

## ***Interactive comment on “Characterization of light-absorbing carbon particles at three altitudes in East Asian outflow by transmission electron microscopy” by J. Zhu et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 16 February 2013

Characterization of light-absorbing carbon particles at three altitudes in East Asian outflow by transmission electron microscopy

Zhu et al.,

The morphology, microstructure, and composition of the submicron fraction of individual light-absorbing carbon (LAC) particles were studied in three different altitudes in low troposphere during the ACE-Asia. Although the LAC particles is one kind of particles in atmospheric science communication, the study is not very surprised. Most of the study concern the technique to know the soot and LAC particle but not much atmospheric science or chemistry. In light of completely investigating physical properties of

C12695

the absorbing carbon particles, the paper can be published the atmospheric chemistry and physics.

The low magnification TEM images in Figure 1 show that most of these particles are organic particles and soot. I have many questions about the samples.

(1) Does the aerosol during the sampling period is very unique? As one of the reviewer' comment, they did found many particles were mixture of sulfate, soot, organics. In particular, sulfate particles were dominated at the Mt. Tai in the upper altitude (Science of the TotalEnvironment 430: 217–222 and Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2011, 11, (22), 11733-11744. ). Such kind of particles are expected to exist in the troposphere over the Yellow sea. Ramana et al., found the extent of black carbon induced warming in East Asia is dependent on the concentration of sulphate and organic aerosols which coated on soot particles in East Asian outflow. From the reviewing similar papers, most study found spherical organic particles were nearby the sources, for example, Li et al., 2012 found similar spherical organic particles in the dry haze layer induced by coal-combustion for industrial or house-hold heating. Posfai et al., 2004 and Adachi et al. 2011 found spherical organic particles (tar ball) nearby the biomass burning sources. Therefore, the author need to explain why the sample in this study was different with others in previous studies. Because the authors only collected sample during one flight time, I suppose that the sample should be associated with one dry cold front. Or the collect pathway nearby some large sources?

(2) The authors show light-absorbing carbon (LAC) particles include black carbon, brown carbon, and humic –like substances. In the TEM study, it is difficult to determine the light-absorbing carbon, from the study of Alexander, et al., 2008. Based on the conclusion of Alexander, et al., 2008, the study almost supposed all of these visible organic particles were light-absorbing carbon. In the atmosphere, there are brown carbon, black carbon, and colorless organic carbon (Andreae et al.,2006). Could the authors explain where the colorless organic carbon (they should be there) occurred in the samples? Do the author can directly use the previous conclusion from Alexander,

C12696

et al., 2008? If in other places, scientists found similar spherical organic particle, can they claim them as the light-absorbing carbon? Therefore, the standard used in this study should be provided.

#### Results and Discussion

(3) P32954 "Typical EDS indicate that the spherical soot contains less silicon and oxygen than that of non-spherical ones." The EDS only can give the semi-quantitative data. Based on the minor silicon in individual particles, the comparison is not significant. Therefore, it is not enough to make the conclusion. About silicon in the individual particles, some references were needed here.

(4) P32955 Line 9-10, In section 2, Experimental, the authors suggest that the lower altitude was influenced by local pollution including ships and fishing boats. However, line 9-10, the soot was proposed from biomass burning. How to explain the contradiction?

(5) P32957 Line 33-25, this is very interesting method to know 3-D particle shape. Unfortunately, the authors didn't introduce the detailed method. The detailed process or methods should be provided in the section 2.

(6) P32959 line 1-10, the authors observed the spherical organic aggregation in the samples, showed in Figure 8e. I don't agree with the explanation. From the Figure 8e, these spherical particles should connect each other instead of physical overloading aggregation. Why did the author think such aggregation could not exist in the atmosphere. Please see the references, they did find similar particles in the air.

(7)P32947 Line 17 CNN-CCN

(8)P32949 Due to

(9)P32961 line 17 120 m

#### References

Niu, H.; Shao, L.; Zhang, D. Soot particles at an elevated site in eastern China during  
C12697

the passage of a strong cyclone. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2012, 430, (0), 217-222.

Li, W. J.; Zhang, D. Z.; Shao, L. Y.; Zhou, S. Z.; Wang, W. X. Individual particle analysis of aerosols collected under haze and non-haze conditions at a high-elevation mountain site in the North China plain.

Ramana, M. V.; Ramanathan, V.; Feng, Y.; Yoon, S. C.; Kim, S. W.; Carmichael, G. R.; Schauer, J. J. Warming influenced by the ratio of black carbon to sulphate and the black-carbon source. *Nature Geosci.* 2010, 3, (8), 542-545.

Li, W.; Shi, Z.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, X.; Li, P.; Feng, Q.; Yuan, Q.; Wang, W. Haze particles over a coal-burning region in the China Loess Plateau in winter: Three flight missions in December 2010. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2012, 117, (D12), D12306.

Posfai, M.; Gelencser, A.; Simonics, R.; Arato, K.; Li, J.; Hobbs, P. V.; Buseck, P. R. Atmospheric tar balls: Particles from biomass and biofuel burning. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*. 2004, 109, (D6), doi:10.1029/2003JD004169.

Adachi, K.; Buseck, P. R. Atmospheric tar balls from biomass burning in Mexico. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2011, 116, (D5), doi:10.1029/2010JD015102.

Alexander, D. T., Crozier, P. A., and Anderson, J. R.: Brown carbon spheres in East Asian outflow and their optical properties, *Science*, 321, 833–836, doi:10.1126/science.1155296,2008.

Andreae, M. O.; Gelencser, A. Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 6, (10), 3131-3148.

---

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 12, 32945, 2012.