Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, C11617–C11619, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/C11617/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Avoiding HFC growth is critical for keeping global warming below 2 during the 21st century" *by* Y. Xu et al.

S. Smith

ssmith@pnnl.gov

Received and published: 15 January 2013

The paper addresses an important topic. Emissions of substances other than CO2 make a non-trivial contribution to future forcing, and these need to be considered in mitigation strategies.

Long-term projections of HFC emissions are not new, however. Integrated assessment models produce scenarios (including the RCP scenarios) that also contain HFC emissions for reference as well as mitigation scenarios. So it is important that this work compare their projections with those from integrated assessment models. There is a bit of an inconsistency here since the authors use RCP scenarios for some data, but then substitute their own HFC projections. The IAM models that produced the RCP scenarios have fluorinated gas projections that, in some cases, appear to be more de-



12, C11617–C11619, 2013

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



tailed than those produced in this paper (.e.g., by gas and sector based on evolution of multiple drivers over time. For example, in the case of GCAM, vehicle demand, building AC use, etc.).

All of the modeling groups that produced the RCP scenarios share more detailed data on request. It would be useful to compare the projections in this paper with previous results. The GCAM RCP emissions data, for example, is available from the JGCRI web site. http://www.globalchange.umd.edu/gcamrcp/. Note that both reference case and policy case emissions are available here. We have, coincidentally, made available more detailed emission data, including fluorinated gas emissions by gas, available as supplemental material to a recently published paper at: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-012-0577-3/. Note that GCAM includes a full suite of fluorinated gas emissions, however these are aggregated into a small number of equivalent emissions (with emissions aggregated based on atmospheric lifetime).

On first glance, the HFC projections used in this paper look to be substantially higher over the long-term than, for example, the GCAM projections. In the most recent GCAM model, for example, HFC forcing is only 0.2 W/m2 in 2100,as compared to 0.5 to 0.8 W/m2 here. Note that, in the GCAM scenarios, HFC emissions double or triple from 2005 to 2020, so the GCAM simulates the expected large near-term growth as noted by the authors. This difference may be because many of the drivers of these emissions do not scale with GDP over the long-term due to saturation effects. (Building floorspace, for example.) The projections in this paper, therefore, may be substantially overstating the future role of HFCs.

Note that HFC emissions are much lower in the RCP4.5 scenario as compared to the associated GCAM reference scenario due to explicit mitigation actions. This should also be discussed in the paper. These emission reductions use marginal abatement curves (MAC) developed by EPA and collaborators. These are widely used in the analysis community, so it would be useful if the authors discussed their assumed reduction

12, C11617–C11619, 2013

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



actions vs what is assumed in the EPA MAC curves. It is not always realistic to assume that all emissions of a specific substance are eliminated. In many cases there are specific uses for which substitutes are not economically attractive (even under significant incentives, such as GHG prices).

On another point, as discussed in the RCP scenario papers, it is quite problematic to take emissions from one RCP scenario as a reference case for another RCP scenario. This can lead to inconsistent and misleading results, and needs to be either justified or the data changed to be consistent.

It would also be useful to provide more detail on the HFC projections used in this work (e.g., emissions by substance, region and year).

ACPD

12, C11617–C11619, 2013

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 32613, 2012.