Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 6877–6908, 2012
www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/6877/2012/
doi:10.5194/acpd-12-6877-2012

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

Record-breaking ozone loss in the Arctic winter 2010/2011: comparison with 1996/1997

J. Kuttippurath[1], S. Godin-Beekmann[1], F. Lefèvre[1], G. Nikulin[2], M. L. Santee[3], and L. Froidevaux[3]

[1]UPMC Université Paris 06, LATMOS-IPSL, CNRS/INSU, UMR8190, 75005 Paris, France
[2]Swedish Meteorological Hydrological Institute, Kiruna, Sweden
[3]JPL/NASA, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

Received: 5 February 2012 – Accepted: 27 February 2012 – Published: 6 March 2012

Correspondence to: J. Kuttippurath (jayanarayanan.kuttippurath@latmos.ipsl.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Abstract

We present a detailed discussion of the chemical and dynamical processes in the Arctic winters 1996/1997 and 2010/2011 with high resolution chemical transport model (CTM) simulations and space-based observations. In the Arctic winter 2010/2011, the lower stratospheric minimum temperatures were below 195 K for a record period, from December to mid-April, and a strong and stable vortex was present during that period. Analyses with the Mimosa-Chim CTM simulations show that the chemical ozone loss started by early January and progressed slowly to 1 ppmv (parts per million by volume) by late February. The loss intensified by early March and reached a record maximum of ~2.4 ppmv in the late March–early April period over a broad altitude range of 450–550 K. This coincides with elevated ozone loss rates of 2–4 ppbv sh^{-1} (parts per billion by volume/sunlit hour) and a contribution of about 40 % from the ClO–ClO cycle and about 35–40 % from the ClO-BrO cycle in late February and March, and about 30–50 % from the HO_x cycle in April. We also estimate a loss of around 0.7–1.2 ppmv contributed (75 %) by the NO_x cycle at 550–700 K. The ozone loss estimated in the partial column range of 350–550 K also exhibits a record value of ~148 DU (Dobson Unit). This is the largest ozone loss ever estimated in the Arctic and is consistent with the remarkable chlorine activation and strong denitrification (40–50 %) during the winter, as the modeled ClO shows ~1.8 ppbv in early January and ~1 ppbv in March at 450–550 K. These model results are in excellent agreement with those found from the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder observations. Our analyses also show that the ozone loss in 2010/2011 is close to that found in some Antarctic winters, for the first time in the observed history. Though the winter 1996/1997 was also very cold in March–April, the temperatures were higher in December–February, and, therefore, chlorine activation was moderate and ozone loss was average with about 1.2 ppmv at 475–550 K or 42 DU at 350–550 K, as diagnosed from the model simulations and measurements.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

1 Introduction

Chemical ozone loss in the Arctic stratosphere has been observed since 1989. In this region, cold winters are prone to large chemical ozone loss due to the still high amounts of ozone depleting substances in the atmosphere (Rex et al., 2004). However, because of large planetary wave activity, the polar vortex often breaks up or dissipates early in most Arctic winters (WMO, 2011; Harris et al., 2010; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Manney et al., 2003). Therefore, the vortex persistence has been comparatively shorter and the associated ozone loss smaller in the Arctic as compared to the Antarctic (WMO, 2011; Solomon et al., 2007). The longest vortex persistence in the Arctic was found in 1997, in which the wave activity was considerably suppressed, and therefore the vortex was sustained until early May (Coy et al., 1997; Lefèvre et al., 1998). Nevertheless, the ozone loss in 1996/1997 was lower than that of other cold winters such as 1994/1995, 1999/2000, and 2004/2005 due to relatively higher temperatures in December–February 1996/1997, when chlorine activation plays a key role in determining the magnitude of ozone loss (Manney et al., 2003; Santee et al., 1997). In contrast, very low temperatures were observed in March–April due to a high tropopause associated with a tropospheric blocking during the 1996/1997 Arctic winter (Coy et al., 1997). A similar evolution in temperature and vortex persistence was also observed in spring 2011 (Manney et al., 2011; Hurwitz et al., 2011), during which the stratospheric halogen loading was very similar to that in 1996/1997. Note that long persistence of a cold vortex is a necessary requirement for the sustained ozone loss. Studies have already shown prolonged appearance of very low temperatures and exceptional ozone loss in 2010/2011 (Balis et al., 2011; Manney et al., 2011; Sinnhuber et al., 2011). Therefore, the winters are analyzed with a high resolution chemical transport model simulations and satellite measurements to further elucidate the ozone loss process.

This article is arranged in the following order: the introduction is succeeded by the data and method used for this work in Sect. 2. The results from the study are discussed in Sect. 3, in which meteorology and ozone loss during the winters 1996/1997

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

and 2010/2011 are presented in Sect. 3.2 and ozone loss rates and contribution of various chemical cycles to the ozone loss are given in Sect. 3.3. The partial column loss estimations from the model and measurements are debated in Sect. 3.4 and comparison of these loss estimates to other studies are presented in Sect. 3.5. The atypical ozone loss occurred in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 is compared to the Antarctic ozone loss in Sect. 4. The primary findings of this study are concluded in Sect. 5.

2 Data and method

We use the high resolution chemical transport model (CTM) Mimosa-Chim for this study (e.g. Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Tripathi et al., 2006). The model has 1 × 1° horizontal resolution in the spatial domain of 10° S–90° N with 25 isentropical vertical levels between 350 K and 950 K, with 5 K resolution between 425 K and 550 K to study the ozone depletion layers closely. The European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) analyses are used to force the model runs, and the model uses the MIDRAD radiation scheme (Shine, 1987). The chemical fields of the model runs are initialized from the 3-D CTM REPROBUS output (Lefèvre et al., 1998). The kinetic data are taken from Sander et al. (2006), but the Cl_2O_2 cross-sections are from Burkholder et al. (1990), with a log-linear extrapolation up to 450 nm as suggested by Stimpfle et al. (2004). Although there are new measurements for Cl_2O_2 (Papanastasiou et al., 2009), the differences in the simulated ozone loss among various sensitivity runs are very small (2 %) (Kuttippurath et al., 2010b). The model has detailed polar stratospheric cloud (PSC) and sedimentation schemes. As we use the same model and run procedures, further details of the model runs can be found in Kuttippurath et al. (2010b). For the winters considered here, the model was run from 1 December to 30 April. We use the passive tracer method (WMO, 2007 and references therein) to derive the ozone depletion, for which the ozone and passive tracer are initialized together in the beginning of each simulation, and then the ozone loss is estimated as Mimosa-Chim ozone or MLS ozone minus the passive tracer.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

To compare with the simulated results, we use measurements of ozone (O_3) and chlorine monoxide (ClO) from the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) version (v)5 for the winter 1996/1997 and the Aura MLS v3.3 for the winter 2010/2011. The UARS MLS O_3 profiles have a vertical range of about 15–60 km and a vertical resolution of ∼3–4 km. The uncertainty of a typical O_3 measurement is 6–15 % over 16–60 km. The Aura MLS O_3 measurements have a vertical range of about 12–73 km with a vertical resolution of 2.5–3 km and an uncertainty of 5–10 % between 68 hPa and 0.2 hPa. The vertical range of UARS MLS ClO profiles is 100–1 hPa, with a vertical resolution of 4–5 km and an uncertainty of 15 % at 46 hPa, whereas the Aura MLS ClO has a vertical resolution of 3–3.5 km and a vertical range of 100–0.1 hPa. The uncertainty of Aura MLS ClO retrievals is about 10–20 %, depending on altitude. Further details of these data can be found in Livesey et al. (2003) for UARS MLS and Froidevaux et al. (2008), Santee et al. (2008), and Livesey et al. (2011) for Aura MLS.

We use the ECMWF operational meteorological analyses to calculate the minimum temperature, potential vorticity, heat flux, planetary waves, and vortex edge. The ECMWF data archived at Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU) data base are used in this study. These analyses have a horizontal resolution of 2.5°×2.5° and are available at 1000, 700, 500, 300, 200, 150, 100, 70, 50, 30 and 10 hPa pressure levels (e.g. Woods, 2006).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Synoptic evolution of the winter

Figure 1 shows the minimum temperature extracted north of 40° N, zonal wind, heat flux and the wave 1 and 2 calculated from geopotential fields for the Arctic winters 1996/1997 and 2010/2011. In 1996/1997, the minimum temperatures show values above and below 195 K in December and January–March respectively. On the other

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

hand, temperatures below 195 K from December through early April are observed in 2010/2011 (Manney et al., 2011). So the minimum temperature in 2010/2011 is consistently lower than in 1996/1997 throughout the winter by about 2–10 K. As compared to the other cold winters in the Arctic, the temperature in 2010/2011 is similar until mid-February, but about 10–20 K lower than that of other winters in March–April, indicating the longest period of cold temperatures in the last two decades (Manney et al., 2011; Sinnhuber et al., 2011). The temperature in 1996/1997 is also lower than that in 1994/1995, 1999/2000 and 2004/2005 from mid-March to April, but is about 10–20 K higher in December–February than all other winters. It should be recalled that these analyses hold for 10 hPa only. The winters 1999/2000, 2004/2005, and 2010/2011 exhibit the lowest minimum temperature of about 182 K around 20 January.

To check the presence of a sudden stratospheric warming, the temperature at 60° N/10 hPa and 90° N/10 hPa and zonal winds at 60° N/10 hPa are analyzed. In 1996/1997, there were no warmings and the westerlies were strong with a speed of ~40 m s^{-1} in January–April, with the final warming unusually late in early May. In contrast, two minor warmings with a magnitude of about 10 K and 40 K at 90° N/10 hPa in early January and early February, respectively, were observed in 2010/2011. These warmings lasted for a week, and were due to wave 1 and wave 2 amplifications, with zonal mean heat fluxes ($v'T'$ at 45–70° N/100 hPa) of about 34 K m s^{-1}. Nevertheless, strong westerlies with a speed of ~40 m s^{-1} were present from December to the end of March in 2010/2011. The temperature began to increase by the second week of April and the winds turned to easterlies, indicating the final warming, which is about two weeks earlier than in 1996/1997. The heat flux, Eliassen-Palm (EP) flux of the waves 1 and 2, and EP flux divergence (not shown) show very small or near zero values in February–April in both winters. This implies that there was no significant wave activity to warm the stratosphere up, and hence, the temperature stayed cold and winds remained westerly to sustain a stable vortex during the period. However, the heat flux in February–April and wave amplitudes in March–April show comparatively smaller amplitude in 1996/1997, indicating very weak wave driving during the winter. Therefore,

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

a prolonged persistence of colder temperatures, higher zonal wind amplitudes, and hence, an exceptionally late final warming are observed in the Arctic winter 1996/1997. Further details about the dynamical processes of both winters can be found in Hurwitz et al. (2011).

Figure 2 shows potential vorticity maps at 475 K on selected days of both winters. In 1996/1997 (top panel), the vortex was relatively large, stable and pole-centered for most days until late April. In December the vortex was undisturbed, but a minor warming occurred in early January. The vortex was unusually strong in February through mid-April, during which the vortex was mostly pole-centered and large in size. In contrast, in 2010/2011 (bottom panel), the vortex formed in early December with considerable size. Though the minor warming moved the vortex slightly off the pole in January, the vortex was still strong with potential vorticity (PV) values of ~50 pvu (PV units) (1 pvu is 10^{-6} Km^2 kg^{-1} s^{-1}). The vortex stayed pole-centered again until the minor warming in early February, during which the vortex nearly split into two parts. Since the warming was short and the westerlies were strong, the vortex merged and regained its strength to form a large pole-centered one after a few days and stayed intact until late April 2011. The temperatures began to increase and westerlies started to diminish, and the vortex tilted off the pole and, then stayed mostly in the midlatitudes until the final warming in late April. The vortex evolution was similar at most altitudes between 450 K and 850 K, but the vortex dissipation was observed a few days earlier at 850 K in both winters.

3.2 PSCs, chlorine activation and ozone loss

3.2.1 Winter 1996/1997

Figure 3 shows the potential PSC areas, and the vortex averaged Mimosa-Chim simulations of ClO, ozone and ozone loss for the Arctic winter 1996/1997. The ClO data are filtered with respect to a criterion of 12:00 UT and solar zenith angle less than 89°. In this study the area of PSCs (A_{PSC}) is considered as the area where temperatures

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

are less than the Nitric Acid Tri-hydrate (NAT) threshold, T_{NAT}. The T_{NAT} estimation is done by applying the scheme of Hanson and Mauersberger (1988) using the ECMWF temperature and pressure analyses, with 4.5 ppmv (parts per million by volume) of H_2O and a HNO_3 climatology (Rex et al., 2004; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b).

As the temperatures are above 195 K, no PSCs are found in December. In January, PSCs with areas of $\sim 0.7 \times 10^7$ km^2 are estimated at 500–600 K. Large areas of PSCs with a maximum of about 1.3×10^7 km^2 are found at 400–550 K until mid-March and there were no PSCs afterwards, consistent with the temperatures during the period. So the chlorine activation was moderate, as indicated by the ClO mixing ratios of ~0.7 ppbv (parts per billion by volume) in mid-January, about 1–1.7 ppbv in mid-February and about 0.5 ppbv in March around 475 K. Since the vortex was symmetric and pole-centered, there were no changes in ozone distributions at most altitudes until late February, but a reduction of 1–1.3 ppmv was found thereafter in the lower stratosphere in the sunlit parts of the vortex. This change in ozone is evident when following the 3 ppmv and 4 ppmv ozone isopleths. The corresponding ozone loss is about 0.6 ppmv in late February and 1.2 ppmv in late March–April around 475 K. There is also a significant loss of 0.4–0.7 ppmv, by NO_x catalytic chemistry, at altitudes above 550 K up to 700 K in April.

Figure 4 compares the vortex averaged ClO, ozone and ozone loss simulations with those from the UARS MLS measurements at the satellite footprints inside the vortex. Here data are selected with respect to the MLS sampling points and hence, these are slightly different from the vortex averages shown in Fig. 3. The model results are in reasonable agreement with the observations. The simulated ClO is slightly lower (e.g. Santee et al., 1997) and ozone is a little higher, and thus, the simulated ozone loss is about 0.1–0.2 ppmv lower than in the observations at 425–550 K. Still the measurements also show a peak loss of about 1.2 ppmv by late April. In addition, our results are in good agreement with those of Manney et al. (1997, 2003) and Knudsen et al. (1998), who estimate a peak ozone loss of about 1.2 ppmv at 465 K and 1.24 ppmv at 475 K by late March from UARS MLS and ozonesonde measurements, respectively.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

The SLIMCAT model also calculates a similar ozone loss maximum of about 1.1 ppmv at 475 K in late March (Hanson and Chipperfield, 1999).

3.2.2 Winter 2010/2011

Figure 5 presents the vortex averaged modeled and measured ClO, HNO_3, ozone and ozone loss at the Aura MLS sampling locations inside the vortex, together with the area of PSCs, for the winter 2010/2011. Large areas of PSCs with maximum values of about 1.1×10^7 km^2 are estimated from mid-December to late March. Note that the A_{PSC} in 2010/2011 is systematically larger than that in 1996/1997 both with time and altitude. This suggests that the winter 2010/2011 had an unusually long period of PSC appearance in a wide vertical extent between 400 K and 600 K compared to any other Arctic winter (Manney et al., 2011; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b).

Consistent with the A_{PSC}, about 0.5–0.7 ppbv of ClO in December and 1–1.8 ppbv of ClO in January–March at 450–600 K are simulated. The ClO simulations show the record maximum of about 1.8 ppbv in mid-January around 475–700 K. Unlike in other Arctic winters (WMO, 2011; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b), the model calculates large ClO values in March at 450–600 K, pointing to an unusually high chlorine activation for an extended period of time. Furthermore, the HNO_3 profiles depict strong denitrification (about 40–50 %) as they register about 15 ppbv in December, but are denitrified to 5–8 ppbv in January–March in the lower stratosphere, in agreement with the analyses presented in Manney et al. (2011) and Sinnhuber et al. (2011). In accordance with the high chlorine activation, substantial reduction in ozone is modeled from late January onwards. The loss started in the sunlit part of the vortex when it moved to the mid-latitudes during the minor warming in early February, with values of about 0.5 ppmv around 550 K. The loss increased to 1.2 ppmv at 475 K by late February and then rapidly reached the maximum loss of 2–2.4 ppmv by the end of March in 450–550 K. Since most Arctic winters show the peak loss in a narrow vertical region, this case in 2010/2011 stands in contrast with those. A significant loss of around 1 ppmv is also simulated due to the NO_x chemistry above 550 K in February–March. Such large ozone

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

loss at higher altitudes is atypical in the Arctic winters (Manney et al., 2003; Rex et al., 2004; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b).

The model simulations also feature the same ozone loss patterns as the Aura MLS measurements, such as the timing of the onset of ozone loss, the altitude range of ozone loss, and the altitude and timing of the maximum ozone loss and, therefore, exhibit excellent agreement with the observations. Nevertheless, the simulated ozone loss slightly overestimates the Aura MLS observations, as the peak loss is about 0.1–0.2 ppmv lower than that of the observations. This bias is due to the comparatively higher ClO and lower ozone in the model. The maximum ozone loss found in this study is in good agreement with that estimated from the Aura MLS and Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) observations, about 2.3–2.5 ppmv, by Manney et al. (2011) and Sinnhuber et al. (2011), respectively.

To check the sensitivity to PSCs, we have simulated ozone loss without considering NAT PSCs in the model (e.g. Pitts et al., 2007; WMO, 2011). The test run results give (not shown here) a maximum ozone loss of 1.8 ppmv around 450–550 K, and a relative loss of about 65 % for the control run (with NAT PSCs) and 50 % for the liquid/ice PSC run at 475 K, and about 17–19 % for both runs at 675 K. It affirms that the effect of NAT PSCs on the ozone loss simulations is quite large in the lower stratosphere. Note that this loss is still larger than the loss observed in any other Arctic winter, as the previous maximum of 1.6 ppmv was in 2004/2005 (Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Manney et al., 2011; WMO, 2011).

3.3 Ozone loss rates and production rates

Figure 6a shows the ozone loss and production rates simulated at 475 K and 675 K for selected Arctic winters, including 1996/1997 and 2010/2011. In 1996/1997, the ozone loss was moderate and, therefore, loss rates of about 2–3 ppbv sh^{-1} (parts per billion by volume/sunlit hours) are simulated from mid-February to mid-March at 475 K, as a result of significant ClO enhancements in this time period. In 2010/2011, the model simulates an atypical loss rate of 2–4 ppbv sh^{-1} in March and early April. It should

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

be noted that there are high loss rates in December and January 2010/2011 in the lower stratosphere at 475 K as a result of enhancement in ClO, as also shown by Manney et al. (2011), which is important for the cumulative ozone loss of the winter. As expected, there is no ozone production in the lower stratosphere. In the middle stratosphere, at 675 K (Fig. 6b), a loss rate of 2–5 ppbv sh^{-1} is simulated in March–April in 1996/1997. On the other hand, in 2010/2011, large loss rates of about 4–5 ppbv sh^{-1} in January and 13 ppbv sh^{-1} in mid-April are calculated by the model. No significant ozone production was found until mid-March in both winters, but episodically high production rates of about 5–7 ppbv sh^{-1} in 1996/1997 and 10–12 ppbv sh^{-1} in 2010/2011 are estimated thereafter.

In most Arctic winters, as depicted in the figure, the loss rates show a maximum of about 2–4 ppbv sh^{-1} in mid-January, mid-February and mid-March in warm (2008/2009), moderately cold (2007/2008) and cold (2004/2005) winters, respectively, and then suddenly drop to zero loss rate as there is no loss thereafter in the lower stratosphere, at 475 K. Though the loss rates are larger in late February–early March at higher altitudes (e.g. at 675 K), ozone production rates outweigh these high loss rates even in cold winters. In contrast, there are higher ozone loss rates at 475 K in March and early April and relatively lower ozone production rates at 675 K in February through mid-March in 2010/2011 than in other years. This indicates that the winter 2010/2011 was unique in terms of the record ozone loss rates in the lower stratosphere in the March–April period.

We have also evaluated the contribution of various chemical cycles to the ozone loss in the lower and middle stratosphere, as done by Kuttippurath et al. (2010b); results are shown in Fig. 6b. The general features and contributions from various chemical cycles in the lower and middle stratosphere are consistent with those of previous studies (Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Vogel et al., 2008; Butz et al., 2007; Grooß et al., 2005; Hanson and Chipperfield, 1999; Woyke et al., 1999). However, in February–March 2011, our analyses show exceptional contributions from the ClO-ClO (45 %) and BrO-ClO (35–40 %) cycles in terms of absolute values in the lower stratosphere at 475 K. The

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

relatively higher contributions of the halogen cycles are justified by the prolonged appearance and large amounts of ClO during the period. In April 2011, a remarkable contribution from the HO_x cycle (30–50 %) is also calculated in the lower stratosphere. This is linked to relatively higher values of H_2O and HNO_3, the sources of HO_x, in spring. In March–April 2011, the model simulates comparatively higher abundances of NO_x at altitudes above 550 K (see Supplement figure), and hence this cycle dominates (with a 30–70 % contribution) the ozone loss there (Fig. 6). The large contributions from these cycles in February–April are consistent with the large loss and loss rates during the period. The contributions of various chemical cycles during the winter 2010/2011 thus stand in contrast to those in other Arctic winters (e.g. Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Hanson and Chipperfield, 1999). Since these are (presented in Fig. 6b) the fractional contribution of the individual cycles to the cumulative ozone loss that occurred at the respective altitudes, the relative contribution of various chemical cycles in 1996/1997 also shows comparable values to that of other Arctic winters (Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Butz et al., 2007; Woyke et al., 1999). Further discussions on the contribution of various cycles in the Arctic winter 1996/1997 can be found in Hanson and Chipperfield (1999). It should be borne in mind that the rate limiting step of these chemical cycles is the combination of O-atom with the specific molecule (e.g. $O + NO_2$ for NO_x and $O+HO_2$ for HO_x). Therefore, the efficiency and duration of the contributions of these cycles and associated ozone loss in the middle stratosphere primarily depend on the available oxygen atoms in this altitude region.

Note that the loss of NO_x happens through photodissociation and thus in the absence of solar radiation during the polar night, it is chemically long-lived. Therefore, its abundance in a particular winter is largely controlled by the prevailing meteorology. When the polar vortex is very strong, large scale diabatic descent in the polar vortex can bring considerable amounts of NO_x from higher altitudes (Solomon et al., 1982). Strong descent of NO_x was also observed during the reformation of polar vortex after its split or displacement due to a major stratospheric warming, as in the case of the Arctic winters 2003/2004 and 2005/2006 (Randall et al., 2009). However, the enhancement of

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

stratospheric NO_x in 2003/2004 was connected to solar proton events and associated excess production in the mesosphere (Vogel et al., 2008). Therefore, the interannual variability of NO_x (and thus, the NO_x driven ozone loss) in the stratosphere depends on the dynamics of each winter.

3.4 Ozone partial column loss

To get a complete overview of the ozone loss, we have computed the partial column ozone loss in two potential temperature ranges, 350–850 K and 350–550 K, from the MLS measurements inside the vortex and the corresponding Mimosa-Chim simulations (shown in Figs. 4 and 5). In 1996/1997, the Mimosa-Chim simulated ozone partial column loss at the UARS MLS sampling points over 350–550 K reaches 7 DU (Dobson Unit), 17 DU, and 44 DU in late January, late February and late April, respectively. The accumulated ozone loss from the model over 350–850 K by late April shows 62 DU. Identical values are also estimated from the UARS MLS measurements, about 43 DU over 350–550 K and 61 DU over 350–850 K by late April. These estimations are close to the findings of Tilmes et al. (2006) and Harris et al. (2010), who report about 61 ± 20 DU from satellite and 50 ± 20 DU from ozonesonde measurements, respectively, over 380–550 K. The total column loss simulated from REPROBUS, about 50–60 DU (Lefèvre et al., 1998), is also comparable to our estimations. However, these estimations are significantly smaller than the total column loss computed from ozonesonde observations by Knudsen et al. (1998), and Terao et al. (2002), about 92–96 DU.

In 2010/2011, the Mimosa-Chim simulated partial column loss at the Aura MLS footprints reaches about 6 DU, 20 DU, 62 DU, 112 DU, and 148 DU by the end of each month from December until mid-April over 350–550 K. The maximum ozone loss estimated for the 350–850 K altitude range is slightly higher, about 160 DU in mid-April, consistent with the loss simulated above 550 K. The Aura MLS observations show an analogous progression of ozone depletion with time for both column ranges, but the maximum loss is slightly lower than the simulated one, about 115 DU at 350–550 K and 131 DU at 350–850 K. These differences are consistent with the bias found between the

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

measured and modeled ClO and ozone. Nonetheless, these ozone column loss estimations are in good agreement with those estimated by Manney et al. (2011) from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument measurements on 26 March 2011 (~140 DU total column loss) and by Sinnhuber et al. (2011) from the MIPAS observations by late March (~120 DU at 380–550 K). However, the total ozone column loss calculated from the Multi-sensor Reanalysis by Balis et al. (2011) is nearly half (about 65 DU) of that derived in other studies.

3.5 Comparison with other Arctic winters

Though ozone loss in the Arctic has been observed and estimated since 1989, there were only a few cold winters showing large ozone loss in the last two decades. A majority of the Arctic winters were warm (e.g. 2000/2001, 2003/2004, 2005/2006 and 2008/2009) or moderately cold (e.g. 1991/1992, 1993/1994, 1997/1998, 2006/2007, and 2007/2008), and therefore, the ozone loss estimated from ground-based UV-visible total ozone measurements showed a loss of about 25–30 DU and 60 DU, respectively (WMO, 2011). The winters 1994/1995, 1995/1996, 1999/2000, and 2004/2005 were very cold with significant ozone loss of >80–90 DU (Goutail et al., 2005; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b). Note that a similar ozone depletion computation over 380–550 K from ozonesonde and satellite measurements is also available for each winter (Andersen et al., 2002; Tilmes et al., 2006; Harris et al., 2010; WMO, 2011). Table 1 shows the partial column ozone loss over two different altitude bounds for the recent cold/moderately cold Arctic winters. Compared to the other Arctic winters, the loss in 1996/1997 is on the scale of a moderately cold winter, i.e. 60–61 DU over 350–850 K. However, the loss estimated for 2010/2011, 130–160 DU over 350–850 K, is undoubtedly the largest among the Arctic winters, as the previous maximum of 109–115 DU was in 2004/2005 (WMO, 2011; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b). Figure 7 also shows that the loss in 1996/1997 is moderate (1.2 ppmv) and the loss in 2010/2011 is the largest (2.4 ppmv) as compared to other winters. The ozone loss in 2004/2005 is somewhat larger than that of 2010/2011 in February–March, but the additional loss of ~0.8 ppmv

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

thereafter, in mid-March to mid-April 2011, is exceptional. Thus, our analyses confirm the results presented by Manney et al. (2011), who discuss ozone loss during several cold Arctic winters using ozone loss profiles.

4 Comparison with the Antarctic scenario

Since the ozone loss in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 is unprecedented as analysed in this and previous studies (Manney et al., 2011; Sinnhuber et al., 2011), now we assess how comparable these results are with the Antarctic ozone loss. Some additional model runs are performed for a few Antarctic winters and are compared to the Aura MLS observations. Though the main ozone loss processes are alike, the meteorology is entirely different in the two polar regions, giving rise to the difference between the ozone loss observed in the respective polar regions (Solomon et al., 2007; WMO, 2007). On average, our analyses for various winters in 2004–2010 show that peak ozone loss (above 2 ppmv) in the Antarctic stratosphere occurs over a broader altitude range of 350–650 K and usually shows its maximum in the late September and early October period. The peak ozone loss altitudes hardly change, but the maximum loss usually varies between 2.5 ppmv and 3.5 ppmv, depending on the temperature history of each winter. The colder Antarctic winters such as 2006 show a peak loss of about 3.5 ppmv, while the warmer winters, like 2004 and 2009, exhibit a peak loss of about 2.5 ppmv over 450–550 K. In addition, the total column loss in the Antarctic winters usually shows about 130–150 DU in the warmer winters and about 160–180 DU in the colder winters (Kuttippurath et al., 2010a). It appears that the maximum ozone column loss estimated for the Arctic winter 2010/2011 is close to the loss computed for the early years of Antarctic ozone depletion (1985–1990) (Manney et al., 2011; WMO, 2007) and the relatively warmer Antarctic winters (e.g. 2002, 2004, and 2009) (WMO, 2011; Manney et al., 2011; Kuttippurath et al., 2010a; WMO, 2007).

Figure 8 illustrates the vortex averaged ClO and ozone loss estimated in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 and the mean vortex averaged ozone loss estimated for the seven

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

Antarctic winters: 2004–2010. We use the same model and Aura MLS measurements, and the passive tracer method for the estimation of the ozone loss in both polar regions. The ozone loss estimated in these Antarctic winters is about 2.5–3.2 ppmv in the model and 2.4–2.8 ppmv in Aura MLS. The ozone loss estimated in March/April of the Arctic winter 2010/2011 is comparable to that of the September average in the Antarctic, as already shown by Manney et al. (2011). Nevertheless, the Arctic ozone loss is marginally smaller than that of the October average that includes three relatively warm (2004, 2009 and 2010) and two very cold (2006 and 2008) Antarctic winters. The altitudes of maximum ozone loss of the 2010/2011 Arctic winter, 425–575 K, are also identical to those of the Antarctic winters. Therefore, in addition to the column ozone, the ozone loss profiles in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 also show ozone loss features matching those found in the Antarctic stratosphere. The model simulates relatively lower ozone than MLS for most Antarctic winters and thus, modeled ozone loss (i.e. model ozone - model tracer) is larger than the loss estimated with the MLS measurements (i.e. MLS ozone – model tracer).

In most Arctic winters the peak ozone loss is confined to the lower stratosphere centered around 450 K (e.g. Manney et al., 2003; Rex et al., 2004; Kuttippurath et al., 2010b; Manney et al., 2011). The loss above 550 K contributes about 19 ± 7 DU to the total column loss, which is mainly driven by NO_x catalyzed chemistry in the middle stratosphere (Kuttippurath et al., 2010b). On the other hand, as shown by the ozone loss profiles, ozone loss in the Antarctic stratosphere takes place over a broad altitude range centered around 550 K, and thus nearly half of the loss occurs above this isentropic level. Therefore, the Antarctic partial (380–550 K) ozone loss (around 130 DU) computed by Tilmes et al. (2006) is not directly comparable to our ozone partial column loss estimated here for the Arctic winter 2010/2011. In addition, the sparse sampling of the Halogen Occultation Experiment in the southern polar vortex region, which does not always cover the maximum ozone loss period of the Antarctic, makes the comparison more difficult.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

5 Conclusions

A comprehensive analysis of the Arctic winters 1996/1997 and 2010/2011 is presented with respect to the dynamical and chemical evolution of the winters. Both winters show a prolonged stable vortex from December to late April. However, the winter 1996/1997 was moderately cold during December–February and thus, occasional chlorine activation led to a moderate ozone loss of about 1.2 ppmv around 475–550 K or 61 DU over 350–850 K by late March–late April. In contrast, the Arctic winter 2010/2011 experienced the largest area and longest period ever of chlorine activation, with ClO values up to 1.8 ppbv around 450–550 K, which translated to the record ozone loss of around 2.4 ppmv at the same altitudes in late-March/mid-April. The partial column estimates over 350–850 K also show a correspondingly massive loss of about 130–160 DU in mid-April. The simulated ozone loss rates show large values of 2–4 ppbv sh^{-1} in March–early April at 475 K, which is uncommon in the Arctic at this time of the winter. In tune with these ozone loss features, the ClO-ClO and ClO-BrO cycles show increasingly larger values (~40–45 % and 35–40 %, respectively) in late February–March, as does the HO_x cycle in April (about 30–50 %) in the lower stratosphere, at 475 K. Additionally, significant ozone loss of about 0.7–1.2 ppmv is also computed at 550–700 K in March–April 2011. As expected, the NO_x cycle dominates the ozone destruction processes in the middle stratosphere, with a contribution of around 50–70 % at 675 K.

The ozone loss in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 is close to those estimated in the Antarctic winters, as assessed in this study and already shown by Manney et al. (2011). However, it has to be kept in mind that the ozone loss values in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 are comparable to those of the relatively warm Antarctic winters only, though September averages of the cold Antarctic winters also show similar magnitude of ozone loss. This is also applicable to total column ozone loss analyses as they show loss ranges (130–140 DU) equivalent to those of the warm Antarctic winters (e.g. 2004 and 2010) and the early years of the Antarctic ozone depletion (1985–1991), as discussed in Sect. 4. The atypically prolonged chlorine activation and large denitrification

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

triggered this high ozone loss of 2.4 ppmv or 130–160 DU in 2010/2011. Furthermore, large loss (1.5 ppmv) over a broader altitude range (400–600 K) similar to that of the Antarctic is observed for the first time in the 2010/2011 Arctic winter. Nevertheless, since the halogens are decreasing slowly, the ozone loss in the polar stratosphere is expected to decrease even in cold winters. Yet, as discussed in Sinnhuber et al. (2011), with the predicted rate of stratospheric cooling in a climate changing world, the expected reduction in halogens may not help to cut down the ozone loss rates in very cold winters in the next decade. Therefore, cold winters of this kind with a similar range of ozone loss can be expected in the future (Manney et al., 2011; Sinnhuber et al., 2011).

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/6877/2012/acpd-12-6877-2012-supplement.pdf.

Acknowledgements. The ECMWF data are taken from the NADIR/NILU data base and are greatly acknowledged. J. K. thanks Cathy Boonne, IPSL, Paris for the REPROBUS model code. Work at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, was done under contract to NASA.

The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

References

Andersen, S. B. and Knudsen, B. M.: The influence of vortex ozone depletion on Arctic ozone trends, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 2013, doi:10.1029/2001GL014595, 2002. 6890

Balis, D., Isaksen, I. S. A., Zerefos, C., Zyrichidou, I., Eleftheratos, K., Tourpali, K., Bojkov, R., Rognerud, B., Stordal, F., Søvde, O. A., and Orsolini, Y.: Observed and Modelled record ozone decline over the Arctic during winter/spring 2011, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L23801, doi:10.1029/2011GL049259, 2011. 6879, 6890

Burkholder, J. B., Orlando, J. J., and Howard, C. J.: Ultraviolet absorption cross-sections of Cl_2O_2 between 210 and 410 nm, J. Phys. Chem., 94, 687–695, 1990. 6880

Butz, A., Bösch, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Dorf, M., Engel, A., Payan, S., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Observational constraints on the kinetics of the ClO-BrO and ClO-ClO ozone loss cycles in the Arctic winter stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L05801, doi:10.1029/2006GL028718, 2007. 6887, 6888

Coy, L., Nash, E. R., and Newman, P. A.: Meteorology of the polar vortex: Spring 1997, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 2693–2696, 1997. 6879

Froidevaux, L., Jiang, Y. B., Lambert, A., Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Waters, J. W., Browell, E. V., Hair, J. W., Avery, M. A., McGee, T. J., Twigg, L. W., Sumnicht, G. K., Jucks, K. W., Margitan, J. J., Sen, B., Stachnik, R. A., Toon, G. C., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., Filipiak, M. J., Harwood, R. S., Fuller, R. A., Manney, G. L., Schwartz, M. J., Daffer, W. H., Drouin, B. J., Cofield, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Jarnot, R. F., Knosp, B. W., Perun, V. S., Snyder, W. V., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., Wagner, P. A.: Validation of Aura Microwave Limb Sounder stratospheric ozone measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D15S20, doi:10.1029/2007JD008771, 2008. 6881

Goutail, F., Pommereau, J.-P., Lefèvre, F., van Roozendael, M., Andersen, S. B., Kåstad Høiskar, B.-A., Dorokhov, V., Kyrö, E., Chipperfield, M. P., and Feng, W.: Early unusual ozone loss during the Arctic winter 2002/2003 compared to other winters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 665–677, doi:10.5194/acp-5-665-2005, 2005. 6890

Grooß, J.-U., Konopka, P., and Müller, R.: Ozone chemistry during the 2002 Antarctic vortex split, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 860–870, 2005. 6887

Hanson, G. and Chipperfield, M.: Ozone loss at the edge of the polar vortex, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 1837–1845, 1999. 6885, 6887, 6888

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

Hanson, D. and Mauersberger, K.: Laboratory studies of the nitric acid trihydrate: implications for the south polar stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 15, 855–858, 1998. 6884

Harris, N. R. P., Lehmann, R., Rex, M., and von der Gathen, P.: A closer look at Arctic ozone loss and polar stratospheric clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8499–8510, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8499-2010, 2010. 6879, 6889, 6890

Hurwitz, M. M., Newman, P. A., and Garfinkel, C. I.: The Arctic vortex in March 2011: a dynamical perspective, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11447–11453, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11447-2011, 2011. 6879, 6883

Knudsen, B. M., Larsen, N., Mikkelsen, I. S., Morcrette, J.-J., Braathen, G. O., Kyro, E., Fast, H., Gernandt, H., Kanzawa, H., Nakane, H., Dorokhov, V., Yushkov, V., Hansen, G., Gil, M., and Shearman, R. J.: Ozone depletion in and below the Arctic vortex for 1997, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 627–630, 1998. 6884, 6889

Kuttippurath, J., Goutail, F., Pommereau, J.-P., Lefèvre, F., Roscoe, H. K., Pazmiño, A., Feng, W., Chipperfield, M. P., and Godin-Beekmann, S.: Estimation of Antarctic ozone loss from ground-based total column measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6569–6581, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6569-2010, 2010a. 6891

Kuttippurath, J., Godin-Beekmann, S., Lefèvre, F., and Goutail, F.: Spatial, temporal, and vertical variability of polar stratospheric ozone loss in the Arctic winters 2004/2005–2009/2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9915–9930, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9915-2010, 2010b. 6879, 6880, 6884, 6885, 6886, 6887, 6888, 6890, 6892

Lefèvre F., Figarol, F., Carslaw, K. S., and Peter, T.: The 1997 Arctic ozone depletion quantified from three-dimensional model simulations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2425–2428, 1998. 6879, 6880, 6889

Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Froidevaux, L., Waters, J. W., Santee, M. L., Pumphrey, H. C., Wu, D. L., Shippony, Z., and Jarnot, R. F.: The UARS Microwave Limb Sounder version 5 data set: Theory, characterization, and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4378, doi:10.1029/2002JD002273, 2003. 6881

Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Froidevaux, L., Lambert, A., Manney, G. L., Pumphrey, H. C., Santee, M. L., Schwartz, M. J., Wang, S., Cofeld, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Fuller, Jarnot, R. F., Jiang, J. H., Knosp, B. W., Stek, P. C., Wagner, P. A., and Wu, D. L.: Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) Version 3.3 Level 2 data quality and description document, JPL D-33509, Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 91109–8099, 2011. 6881

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

Manney, G. L., Froideveaux, L., Santee, M. L., Zurek, R. W., and Waters, J. W.: MLS observations of Arctic ozone loss in 1996–1997, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 2697–2700, 1997. 6884

Manney, G., Froidevaux, L., Santee, M., Livesey, N., Sabutis, J., and Waters, J.: Variability of ozone loss during Arctic winter (1991–2000) estimated from UARS Microwave Limb Sounder measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4149, doi:10.1029/2002JD002634, 2003. 6879, 6884, 6886, 6892

Manney, G. L., Santee, M. L., Rex, M., Livesey, N. J., Pitts, M. C., Veefkind, P., Nash, E. R., Wohltmann, I., Lehmann, R., Froide- vaux, L., Poole, L. R., Schoeberl, M. R., Haffner, D. P., Davies, J., Dorokhov, V., Gernandt, H., Johnson, B., Kivi, R., Kyro, E., Larsen, N., Levelt, P. F., Makshtas, A., McElroy, C. T., Naka- jima, H., Parrondo, M. C., Tarasick, D. W., von der Gathen, P., Walker, K. A., and Zinoviev, N. S.: Unprecedented Arctic ozone loss in 2011, Nature, 478, 469–475, doi:10.1038/nature10556, 2011. 6879, 6882, 6885, 6886, 6887, 6890, 6891, 6892, 6893, 6894

Papanastasiou, D. K., Papadimitriou, V. C., Fahey, D. W., and Burkholder, J. B.: UV Absorption Spectrum of the ClO Dimer (Cl_2O_2) between 200 and 420 nm, J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 13711–13726, 2009. 6880

Pitts, M. C., Thomason, L. W., Poole, L. R., and Winker, D. M.: Characterization of Polar Stratospheric Clouds with spaceborne lidar: CALIPSO and the 2006 Antarctic season, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5207–5228, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5207-2007, 2007. 6886

Randall, C. E., Harvey, V. L., Siskind, D. E., France, J., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., and Walker, K. A.: NO_x descent in the Arctic middle atmosphere in early 2009, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L18811, doi:10.1029/2009GL039706, 2009. 6888

Rex, M., Salawitch, R. J., von der Gathen, P., Harris, N. R. P., Chipperfield, M. P., and Naujokat, B.: Arctic ozone loss and climate change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L04116, doi:10.1029/2003GL018844, 2004. 6879, 6884, 6886, 6892

Sander, S., Friedl, R., Ravishankara, A., Golden, D., Kolb, C., Kurylo, M., Molina, M., Moortgat, G., Keller-Rudek, H., Finlayson-Pitts, B., Wine, P., Huie, R., and Orkin, V.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies (Evaluation Number 15), JPL Publication: 06–2, 2006. 6880

Santee, M. L., Manney, G. L., Froidevaux, L., Zurek, R. W., and Waters, J. W.: MLS observations of ClO and HNO_3 in the 1996–97 Arctic polar vortex, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 2713–2716, 1997. 6879, 6884

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

Santee, M. L., Lambert, A., Read, W. G., Livesey, N. J., Manney, G. L., Cofield, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Daffer, W. H., Drouin, B. J., Froidevaux, L., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Knosp, B. W., Perun, V. S., Snyder, W. V., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., Wagner, P. A., Waters, J. W., Connor, B., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Ricaud, P., Barrett, B., Kleinböhl, A., Kuttippurath, J., Küllmann, H., von Hobe, M., Toon, G. C., and Stachnik, R. A.: Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder ClO measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D15S22, doi:10.1029/2007JD008762, 2008. 6881

Shine, K. P.: The middle atmosphere in the absence of dynamical heat fluxes, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 113, 603–633, 1987. 6880

Sinnhuber, B.-M., Stiller, G. P., Ruhnke, R., von Clarmann, T., Kellmann, S., and Aschmann, J.: Arctic winter 2010/2011 at the brink of an ozone hole, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L24814, doi:10.1029/2011GL049784, 2011. 6879, 6882, 6885, 6886, 6890, 6891, 6894

Solomon, S., Crutzen, P. J., and Roble, R. G.: Photochemical coupling between the thermosphere and the lower atmosphere: 1. Odd nitrogen from 50 to 120 km, J. Geophys. Res., 87, 7206–7220, 1982. 6888

Solomon, S., Portmann, R. W., and Thompson, D. W. J.: Contrasts between Antarctic and Arctic ozone depletion, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 445–449, 2007. 6879, 6891

Stimpfle, R. M., Wilmouth, D. M., Salawitch, R. J., and Anderson, J. G.: First measurements of ClOOCl in the stratosphere: the coupling of ClOOCl and ClO in the Arctic polar vortex, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03301, doi:10.1029/2003JD003811, 2004 6880

Terao, Y., Sasano, Y., Nakajima, H., Tanaka, H., and Yasunari, T.: Stratospheric ozone loss in the 1996/1997 Arctic winter: Evaluation based on multiple trajectory analysis for doublesounded air parcels by ILAS, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8210, doi:10.1029/2001JD000615, 2002. 6889

Tilmes, S., Müller, R., Engel, A., Rex, M., and Russell III, J.: Chemical ozone loss in the Arctic and Antarctic stratosphere between 1992 and 2005, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L20812, doi:10.1029/2006GL026925, 2006. 6889, 6890, 6892

Tripathi, O. P., Godin-Beekmann, S., Lefevre, F., Marchand, M., Pazmino, A., Hauchecorne, A., Goutail, F., Schlager, H., Volk, C. M., Johnson, B., Konig-Langlo, G., Balestri, S., Stroh, F., Bui, T. P., Jost, H. J., Deshler, T., and von der Gathen, P.: High resolution simulation of recent Arctic and Antarctic stratospheric chemical ozone loss compared to observations, J. Atmos. Chem., 55, 205–226, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9028-8, 2006. 6880

Vogel, B., Konopka, P., Grooß, J.-U., Müller, R., Funke, B., López-Puertas, M., Reddmann, T., Stiller, G., von Clarmann, T., and Riese, M.: Model simulations of stratospheric ozone

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012

loss caused by enhanced mesospheric NO_x during Arctic Winter 2003/2004, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5279–5293, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5279-2008, 2008. 6887, 6889

Woyke, T., Müller, R., Stroh, F., McKenna, D. S., Engel, A., Margitan, J. J., Rex, M., and Carslaw, K. S.: A test of our understanding of the ozone chemistry in the Arctic polar vortex based on in situ measurements of ClO, BrO, and O_3 in the 1994/1995 winter, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 18755–18768, 1999. 6887, 6888

WMO (World Meteorological Organisation): Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2006, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 50, 572 pp., Geneva, Switzerland, 2007. 6880, 6891

WMO (World Meteorological Organisation): Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2006, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 52, 516 pp., Geneva, Switzerland, 2011. 6879, 6885, 6886, 6890, 6891

Woods, A.: Medium-Range Weather Prediction - the European Approach, Springer, ISBN 978-0387269283, 2006. 6881

ACPD

12, 6877–6908, 2012

Table 1. The vortex mean (≥65°, Equivalent Latitude (EqL)) ozone partial column loss (DU) estimated over 350–850 K and 350–550 K from the MLS sampling inside the vortex and corresponding Mimosa-Chim simulations. Here the winter 1997 is 1996/1997 and the same nomenclature procedure is also used for the other winters. The calculations for the moderately cold winter 2010 is done from 1 December to 28 February. The maximum loss is found (shown below) around late/mid-March in 2005, 2007, and 2008 and around late/mid-April in 1997 and 2011.

350–850 K	1997	2005	2007	2008	2010	2011
Mimosa-Chim	61	109	80	98	79	160
MLS	60	115	84	112	60	130
350–550 K						
Mimosa-Chim	42	91	57	80	55	140
MLS	41	81	62	90	42	115

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 1. Temporal evolution of minimum temperature at 475 K (top), temperature at 60° N and 90° N at 10 hPa, and zonal wind at 60° N/10 hPa and other derived quantities for the Arctic winters 1996/1997 (black) and 2010/2011 (red). The heat flux and wave amplitudes are averaged between 45° N and 70° N at 100 hPa. The minimum temperatures during the cold Arctic winters 1994/1995 (yellow), 1995/1996 (violet), 1999/2000 (blue) and 2004/2005 (green) are also shown. The dash-dotted line represents 195 K temperature, the dashed lines mark the zero-wind line and zero heat flux or wave amplitude in the respective plots, and dotted lines differentiate the tentative boundaries of each month.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 2. Temporal evolution of the polar vortex during selected days of the Arctic winters 1996/1997 (upper panel) and 2010/2011 (lower panel) at 475 K potential temperature level. The days are selected by analyzing the complete record of the winter to fairly represent the temporal evolution. The overlaid white contours are temperature in Kelvin. The blue/red colors show relatively low/high potential vorticity units (pvu), where 1 pvu is 10^{-6} Km^2 kg^{-1} s^{-1}.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 3. Temporal evolution of the vertical distribution of potential PSC areas (top) and Mimosa-Chim simulations of ClO (second plot from the top), ozone (third plot from the top) and ozone loss (bottom) inside the vortex for the Arctic winter 1996/1997. The ClO profiles are selected at 12 UT and solar zenith angles below 89°. The white dotted lines represent 475 K and 675 K. The blue/red colors show relatively low/high values of PSC areas or mixing ratios of ClO, ozone, and ozone loss.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 4. Temporal evolution of the vertical distribution of ClO (top panel), ozone (middle panel) and ozone loss (bottom panel) from Mimosa-Chim and UARS MLS for the Arctic winter 1996/1997. The model fields are sampled at the location of MLS observations for each measurement inside the vortex and then averaged for the corresponding day. Both data are smoothed for seven days. The Model and MLS ClO coincident profiles are selected for solar zenith angles <89° and local time between 10 h and 16 h. The white dotted lines represent 475 K and 675 K. The blue/red colors show relatively low/high mixing ratios of ClO, ozone or ozone loss.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 5. Temporal evolution of the vertical distribution of ClO (second panel), HNO_3 (third panel), ozone (fourth panel) and ozone loss (bottom panel) from Mimosa-Chim and Aura MLS for the Arctic winter 2010/2011. The model fields are sampled at the location of MLS observations for each measurement inside the vortex and then averaged for the corresponding day. Both data are smoothed for seven days. The Model and MLS ClO coincident profiles are selected for solar zenith angles <89° and local time between 10:00 h and 16:00 h. The A_{PSC} computed from the ECMWF operational analyses is also shown (top panel). The white dotted lines represent 475 K and 675 K. The blue/red colors show relatively low/high values of PSC areas or mixing ratios of ClO, HNO_3, ozone, and ozone loss.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 6. (a) Vortex averaged instantaneous ozone loss rates (left panel) and production rates (right panel) simulated by Mimosa-Chim at 475 K and 675 K for the Arctic winter 1996/1997 (light green) and 2010/2011 (magenta) compared to those of 2004/2005 (black), 2007/2008 (grey), and 2008/2009 (blue). **(b)** Temporal evolution of the vortex averaged contribution of the BrO-ClO (dark green), ClO-ClO (red), NO-NO_2 (violet), ClO-O (light blue) and HO_x (yellow) chemical cycles during the Arctic winter 1996/1997 (left) and 2010/2011 (right). The dotted horizontal lines represent 50 % of contribution and the vertical dotted lines mark the tentative boundaries of each month.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 7. Vortex averaged ozone loss simulated by Mimosa-Chim for the Arctic winters 1996/1997 (black), 2004/2005 (violet), 2006/2007 (blue), 2007/2008 (green), 2009/2010 (yellow), and 2010/2011 (red) at 475 K. The dotted vertical lines mark tentative boundaries of each month and the dash-dotted horizontal line is 0 ppmv.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012



Fig. 8. Ozone loss and ClO profiles inside the vortex from Mimosa-Chim (green) and MLS (red) in the Arctic winter 2010/2011 and the mean ozone loss profiles in the Antarctic vortex averaged in September and October during 2004–2010. The dotted vertical line is 1.8 ppbv of ClO (left) or 2.5 ppmv of ozone loss (middle and right). The dashed vertical lines are 0 ppmv. The dotted horizontal lines are 475 K and 550 K.

ACPD
12, 6877–6908, 2012