

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

**Volatile organic
compounds in
a boreal forest**

H. Hakola et al.

In situ measurements of volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola¹, H. Hellén¹, M. Henriksson¹, J. Rinne², and M. Kulmala²

¹Finnish Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland

²Department of Physical Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 68, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Received: 2 May 2012 – Accepted: 28 May 2012 – Published: 19 June 2012

Correspondence to: H. Hakola (hannele.hakola@fmi.fi)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

We present biogenic VOC, including sesquiterpenes, measurements at the SMEAR II station (Station For Measuring Forest Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations) in Finland using an in situ gas chromatograph mass-spectrometer with 2 h time resolution. The measurements were conducted over the period October 2010–October 2011, at least one week every month. To our knowledge there are no earlier species-speciated semi-continuous BVOC data also covering dormant periods.

During the winter months, and still in March, the concentrations of all biogenic compounds were very low, most of the time below detection limits. The monoterpene concentrations increased in April and started to show diurnal variability, with maximum concentrations at night and minima during the day. The diurnal variability continued until October, after which the concentrations decreased and then only occasional episodes took place. The diurnal variation was affected by boundary layer height. Sesquiterpene concentrations were very low, only a few ppt. The main sesquiterpenes were longifolene and isolongifolene. The diurnal variation of isoprene was opposite to the mono- and sesquiterpene diurnal curve due to isoprene's light dependent emissions. Due to its daytime maximum concentrations, isoprene also dominated hydroxyl radical reactivity in summer even though our isoprene measurements are underestimates due to a breakthrough in a cold trap.

1 Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), especially isoprene and monoterpenes, but also some sesquiterpenes, are emitted in large amounts from a boreal forest (Tarvainen et al., 2007; Rinne et al., 2009). In the atmosphere they react rapidly with the hydroxyl radical, the nitrate radical and ozone, thus participating in the complex atmospheric chemical processes forming ozone (Sillman, 1999; Kleinmann, 2005). They are also involved in new particle formation and growth processes (Kulmala et al., 2004, Tunved

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Volatile organic
compounds in
a boreal forest**

H. Hakola et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

et al., 2006, Bonn et al., 2008). Both ozone formation and new particle formation and growth have significant climate effects, and thus both processes should be quantified. This needs information on the concentrations of individual VOCs in air throughout the four seasons. Previously, the concentrations of biogenic VOCs have been measured in Western Eurasian boreal forests using pumped sampling into the sample canisters (Laurila and Hakola, 1996; Hakola et al., 2006a), adsorbent tubes (Hakola et al., 2003, 2009), and by online proton transfer reaction – mass spectrometry, PTR-MS (Rinne et al., 2005; Lappalainen et al., 2009). Often the measurements conducted by the two first-mentioned techniques, requiring laboratory analysis by gas chromatographic techniques, enable good compound identification but they lack the time resolution of the last-mentioned technique (PTR-MS). However, compound identification in PTR-MS measurements is less certain than with GC-MS, and PTR-MS cannot separate compounds with the same mass, e.g. monoterpenes, from each other.

As an example of earlier research, the solid adsorbent sampling measurements by Hakola et al. (2009) at a boreal forest site, SMEAR II, cover a uniquely long time-period, seven years, but samples were only taken at midday about twice a week. However, they reveal significant variations in annual patterns of concentrations, which are influenced by biological and meteorological factors. The measurements at the same site by PTR-MS (Rinne et al., 2005) show the contrasting diurnal cycles of e.g. isoprene and monoterpenes, but cannot separate the individual monoterpenes.

There is thus a need for well-identified VOC concentration data, especially speciated monoterpene concentration data, covering full annual cycles with a time resolution capable of revealing diurnal cycles.

We have measured biogenic VOC concentrations, including sesquiterpenes, at the SMEAR II station (Station For Measuring Forest Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations) in Finland using an in situ gas chromatograph mass-spectrometer. With this technique we are able to obtain a 60-min-long measurement of well-identified concentrations of VOCs every 120 min. Our focus was on mono-and sesquiterpene concentrations. The

measurements were conducted over the period October 2010–October 2011, for at least one week every month.

Williams et al. (2007) have stressed the importance of also measuring different mirror images (enantiomers) of monoterpenes separately. Different enantiomers can have been formed by different mechanisms, i.e. in a light- or temperature-dependent way. Plants can use different enantiomers for different purposes, e.g., for defense against insects or for attracting pollinators. By studying the enantiomeric signatures of mono- and sesquiterpenes, it is possible to get more information on the processes that are controlling their emissions. Yassaa et al. (2001, 2005, 2007) have studied the enantiomeric compositions of the emissions of different plants, but here we have studied the enantiomeric composition in ambient air samples.

2 Methods

2.1 Measurement site

The measurements were conducted at the SMEAR II station (Station For Measuring Forest Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations 61°51' N, 24°18' E, 181 a.s.l.) at Hyytiälä, in Southern Finland (Hari and Kulmala 2005). The largest nearby city is Tampere with 200 000 inhabitants. It is located 60 km to the south-west of the site. The nearest vegetation is a homogeneous Scots pine forest with some birches and Norway spruces growing nearby. The instrument was located in a container about 5 m outside the forest in a small opening. The VOC inlet was on the roof of a container at about 2 m.

2.2 VOC measurements

The VOCs were measured using an on-line gas-chromatograph-mass-spectrometer. Ambient air was drawn through a 3 or 5 m long stainless steel tube (od 1/4 inch) at a flow rate of 1 l min⁻¹. According to the instructions given by Helmig et al. (2003) the tubes were heated to 120 °C to avoid any losses of sesquiterpenes. The recoveries

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

were at least 89 % for the compounds found in the present study. The heated inlet also destroyed ozone, and no other ozone removal was needed (Hellén et al., 2012). The ozone removal by a heated inlet and subsequent VOC recoveries has been tested by Hellén et al. (2012). VOCs in a 38 ml min^{-1} subsample were collected in the cold trap of a thermal desorption unit (Perkin Elmer ATD-400) packed with Tenax TA. The trap was kept at 10°C (after June 2011 at 20°C) to prevent water vapour present in the air from accumulating into the trap. This allowed the analysis of mono- and sesquiterpenes, but not isoprene, since it needs lower temperatures or stronger adsorbents. Approximately 60 % of the isoprene was lost during collection. Also benzene was not retained totally in the cold trap at 20°C , and about 60 % of the benzene was lost. At 10°C there was no breakthrough of benzene, and thus benzene results are reliable until June. Sesquiterpene measurements were unreliable in June and in July due to the bad quality of the chromatography at the end of the chromatogramme, and these data were not used. The thermal desorption instrument was connected to a gas chromatograph (HP 5890) with DB-1 column (60 m, i.d. 0.25 mm, f.t. $0.25 \mu\text{m}$) and a mass selective detector (HP 5972). One 60-min sample was collected every other hour. The system was calibrated using liquid standards injected on Tenax TA-Carbopack B adsorbent tubes and analyzed using the offline mode of the instrument. The detection limit was below 1 ppt for all of the analytes, much lower than in the corresponding off-line analysis.

The measurements did not cover the whole year, but at least one week was measured each month. In June and in July sesquiterpene data was lost due to chromatographic problems.

Samples were also taken for the enantiomeric determination of α -pinene, camphene and limonene. Pumped samples were taken each month during the growing season and analyzed later in the laboratory using a thermal desorpter (Markes Unity) with a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A) and a mass spectrometer (Agilent 5379N) with an enantiomeric column (Cyclodex-B).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Annual variability of VOC concentrations

Figure 1 shows the mean monthly concentrations of monoterpenes and aromatic hydrocarbons; these are also tabulated in the Table 1 together with the sesquiterpene mean monthly concentrations. Aromatic hydrocarbons are emitted from traffic and wood combustion (Hellén et al., 2006, 2008) and have maximum concentrations in winter due to slower sink reactions and larger emissions (e.g. heating with wood and cold starts of cars), whereas monoterpenes, in spite of their faster sink reaction, have maximum concentrations in summer due to larger emissions during the growing season. Sesquiterpenes have a summer maximum, but small amounts of sesquiterpenes were also measured in winter. The sesquiterpene summer maximum is in accordance with their emission rate maximum from Scots pine (Hakola et al., 2006b) and Norway spruce (Hakola et al., 2003).

During the winter months, concentrations of mono- and sesquiterpenes were low, very often below detection limits. Aromatic hydrocarbons were the main compound group; the mean winter concentration of all aromatics was 270 ppt, whereas mono- and sesquiterpene winter mean concentrations were 8 and 2 ppt, respectively (occasional episodes not included). Monoterpene concentrations increased gradually in spring and reached a maximum in August (508 ppt). In July, measurements covered only the first week, and therefore the maximum could have been reached earlier than in August. Sesquiterpene concentrations also had a maximum in August (2.3 ppt). Aromatic hydrocarbons were below 100 ppt after June, and stayed at a low level until the measurements were finished in October 2011.

The main monoterpene in winter was camphene, followed by p-cymene, α -pinene, and Δ^3 -carene (Fig. 2). The amount of p-cymene and camphene did not change much annually, the lowest concentrations being measured in February 2011, 1 ppt both, and the highest in summer 2011, 11 ppt in June for p-cymene and 28 ppt for camphene in June. However, the concentrations of both of them increased in episodes, as described

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



below in more detail. As spring proceeded, the contribution of camphene and p-cymene became less important, and by February the main monoterpene was already α -pinene, followed by Δ^3 -carene. α -Pinene and Δ^3 -carene are the main compounds emitted from Scots pines in Finland (Jansson et al., 1993; Rinne et al., 2000; Hakola et al., 2006b; Bäck et al., 2012). Limonene, β -pinene and 1,8-cineol concentrations were only significant (monthly mean above 10 ppt) in summer and early autumn. 1,8-Cineol has been measured in the Scots pine emissions during summer only (Hakola et al., 2006b) whereas limonene and β -pinene have been detected also during spring and autumn, but with lesser amounts (Tarvainen et al., 2005). Myrcene was only detected in summer and in September. The concentration of bornylacetate did not change over the course of a year, with its monthly mean concentration staying below 3 ppt for the whole period.

To our knowledge there are no earlier data about sesquiterpene concentrations in air covering all seasons. Some sesquiterpenes are very reactive, their atmospheric lifetime being only a few minutes, and therefore not all of them can be measured in ambient air. However, the less reactive ones, such as isolongifolene and longifolene, can be found at detectable levels. On the basis of emission rate measurements conducted at the SMEAR II station, β -caryophyllene is the most common sesquiterpene (Hakola et al., 2006b), but this is so reactive that it cannot be measured with current techniques.

The main sesquiterpenes measured were longifolene and isolongifolene (Fig. 3). The somewhat surprising sesquiterpene winter concentrations may be due to fluxes from the forest floor. Aaltonen et al. (2012) have measured sesquiterpene fluxes from the ground into the snowpack during the winter. In 2008–2009 the fluxes were quite small, especially close to the snow surface (-0.96 – 0.08 $\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$). However, during the winter of 2009–2010, forest damage caused large sesquiterpene fluxes within the snowpack (220 $\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$). In the mid-summer period, sesquiterpenes are emitted from boreal trees (Hakola et al., 2006b; Ruuskanen et al., 2007; Tarvainen et al., 2007). Sesquiterpenes can also be released to the air as a result of forest management. Haapanala et al. (2011) have also detected sesquiterpene emissions from tree stumps. Sesquiterpene emissions have also been measured from the forest floor (Aaltonen

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



et al., 2011 and Hellén et al., 2006). Sesquiterpenes were also detected in June and July, but due to the bad peak shapes at the end of the chromatogramme at that time, the results were not quantitatively reliable and are therefore not shown.

Measurements also showed occasional monoterpene episodes, in which concentrations increased for couple of days or for just a few hours. On these occasions the wind speed was always low, but the wind direction varied between episodes. The closest sawmill is only about 5 km to the south-east of the site, and a few of the concentration increases can be attributed to this sawmill, but there were also other wind directions that were connected with monoterpene increases i.e. $\sim 350^\circ$, $\sim 200^\circ$ and about 50° – 100° . Liao et al. (2011) studied the anthropogenic influence on the ambient monoterpene concentrations at Hyytiälä and found a much more distinct connection with the closest sawmill than we did. Their measurements were made with a PTR-MS and hence had a better time resolution. They also found somewhat increased concentrations in wind directions of $\sim 350^\circ$, $\sim 30^\circ$ and $\sim 200^\circ$. The city of Tampere is 50 km to the south-west and could cause elevated monoterpene concentrations. Monoterpenes are also emitted from traffic (Hellén et al., 2012). To the north-east (25 km) there is another sawmill that could also be responsible for monoterpene emissions. Figure 4 shows monoterpene data from December 2010 to February 2011. This figure includes several monoterpene episodes; the episodes are accompanied with increased NO_x concentrations, thus showing they are of anthropogenic origin. Three of the episodes in our measurements can be attributed to the closest sawmill (22 December 2010, 18 January 2011 and 23 February 2011). The dominant monoterpenes measured during the two first episodes were camphene and p-cymene, but in the last one α -pinene. One episode originated from the east (4 January 2011), and one mainly from the south (21 January 2011). Again the major compounds were camphene and p-cymene. Ignoring these episodes, the monoterpene concentrations in winter were very low, most of the time below detection limits. Episodes were also seen during autumn a couple of times; again they were composed of camphene and p-cymene. Later in spring and in summer these peaks were no longer detected, possibly being masked by higher concentrations

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



in the biologically-active season. The monthly mean values in Figs. 1, 2 and 3 do not contain the episodes. The Table 3 contains monthly mean concentrations of all measured compounds both including episodes and excluding them.

3.2 Enantiomeric structure of monoterpenes

Williams et al. (2007) measured enantiomerically-resolved monoterpene concentrations at Hyytiälä in April 2005. They found (+)- α -pinene to be the dominant stereoisomer, of α -pinene about 75% being (+)- α -pinene and 25% (-)- α -pinene. Our results were similar, but the contribution of the + enantiomer was a little less than in the earlier study. At the same site we took one ambient air sample each month during the growing season for determination of the enantiomeric structure of the α -pinene and limonene (24 May 2011, 7 June 2011, 22 June 2011, 20 July 2011, 23 August 2011, 6 September 2011). On each occasion about 60% of the α -pinene was (+)- α -pinene. Limonene had more variability, but the variation had no trend during the growing season. In May about 50% was (-)-limonene, on 7 June all the limonene was (-)-limonene, in July and in August about 70% was (-)-limonene, whereas limonene concentration was below detection limit on 22 June and in September.

Williams et al. (2007) suggest that (-)- α -pinene would be formed in a light-dependent way like isoprene, whereas (+)- α -pinene would be released into the air in a temperature-dependent way. Our enantiomer samples were always taken at about midday and they were equally well correlated with isoprene concentrations, thus not enlightening this hypothesis.

3.3 Diurnal variations of VOC concentrations

During the winter months there was no diurnal variability in any of the VOCs. The monoterpene concentrations above detection limits were associated with occasional episodes. In the middle of April, monoterpenes started to show a pronounced diurnal variation, maximum concentrations occurring during the night and minima during

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

the day (Fig. 5). March is not included in the figure, because concentrations in March recalled the low winter concentrations with no diurnal variation. Even though the emissions from Scots pine (the main tree species in the vicinity) are higher during the day (Holzke et al., 2006; Rinne et al., 2007; Taipale et al., 2011), the ambient air concentrations measured during the day were at their lowest, due to increased mixing and faster photochemical reactions.

In summer, the diurnal variability was similar to that in spring, except that the concentrations were higher, the total monoterpene concentration being 670 ppt at night and 60 ppt in the daytime (Fig. 6). This is due to the higher emissions in summer. The highest hourly monoterpene concentrations in summertime were generally observed during periods with the lowest friction velocities, and vice versa (Fig. 7). As the friction velocity tends to be lower at night, the higher concentrations are mainly found at nighttime. It is noteworthy that low daytime friction velocities are also often associated with high monoterpene concentrations. Friction velocity is a good estimate for mixing and boundary layer height. The smaller the friction velocity the smaller the BL height and vice versa. Each monoterpene species also showed similar behaviour separately. In contrast, isoprene concentrations did not show this kind of behaviour but were generally lowest in the night and highest in the afternoon.

Isoprene is formed and emitted only in light conditions and is not therefore emitted during the night. A significant part of the monoterpene emission originates from large storages, and is thus controlled by temperature via the saturation vapour pressure of monoterpenes (Ghirardo et al., 2010). This leads to significant night-time emission, albeit lower than daytime emissions. Thus the diurnal cycle of isoprene concentration is the opposite to that of the monoterpenes. Since sesquiterpene concentrations showed a similar diurnal profile to that of the monoterpenes (Fig. 8), emissions are not likely to be totally under the control of light. Jardine et al. (2011) measured mono- and sesquiterpene concentrations in Amazonia, and Jones et al. (2011) in an Asian tropical forest. In the tropics the monoterpene diurnal variation had a daytime maximum, like isoprene in our measurements, indicating light-dependent emissions there,

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



as observed by Kuhn et al. (2002) and Rinne et al. (2002). Sesquiterpenes in Amazonia had a night maximum as did those at Hyytiälä. Bouvier-Brown et al. (2009) measured diurnal profiles with day minima for most of the sesquiterpenes in California.

In autumn the diurnal variability continued to be similar to that in spring and summer, but the concentrations were lower. The total monoterpene concentration was 15 ppt during the day and 110 ppt at night, i.e. of the same order of magnitude as in spring.

3.4 Reactivity of VOCs

According to Hakola et al. (2006b), the monthly mean VOC emission potentials (30 °C) of Scots pine trees at Hyytiälä were 0.1, 0.3 and 0.06 $\mu\text{g g}_{(\text{needle dry weight})}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ for SQT in June, July and August, and 1.3, 1.0 and 0.45 for monoterpenes in June, July and August, respectively. Scots pine is the main tree species in the area and the SQT/MT emission ratio is 8 %, 30 % and 13 % in June, July and August, respectively. In ambient concentrations this ratio is much lower, i.e., 1.9 %, 1.3 % and 0.5 %, reflecting the higher reactivity of the SQTs. The difference in reactivity is mainly due to the very rapid destruction of some sesquiterpenes (β -caryophyllene and α -humulene in particular) in the ozone reaction. The reaction rate constants are shown in Table 2. β -caryophyllene is the main sesquiterpene found in Scots pine emissions, but it was not measured in the ambient air. Other sesquiterpenes react more slowly and, for example, longifolene's atmospheric lifetime in the ozone reaction is >23 days (Shu and Atkinson, 1995); it was also the main sesquiterpene in air during the summer. In their reactions with ozone, mono- and sesquiterpenes form secondary organic aerosol mass (Hoffmann et al., 1996; Bonn and Moortgat, 2003), the fast-reacting β -caryophyllene and α -humulene in particular having a high aerosol-forming potential. However, due to their high reactivity, their effect on the ozone reaction has to be evaluated from emission rate measurements instead of from the ambient concentrations. Figure 9 shows the monthly means of the destruction rates between ozone and BVOCs,

$$R = k_{\text{X},\text{O}_3}[\text{X}][\text{O}_3],$$

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where k_{X,O_3} is the reaction rate constant between X and O_3 , [X] is the VOC concentration and $[O_3]$ is the ozone concentration. Aromatic hydrocarbons do not react with ozone, so ozone reacted mainly with monoterpenes, only isoprene having about a 5–10% share in the ozone reaction in summer. Figure 9 shows the monthly means of the destruction rates between ozone and the BVOCs. Although the terpinolene and limonene concentrations in air are low, they make a substantial contribution to ozone chemistry.

The reaction rate coefficients of hydroxyl radicals with isoprene, the monoterpenes and the sesquiterpenes are of the same order of magnitude, and all of the compound groups affect hydroxyl radical reactivity. Hydroxyl radicals were not measured, but OH reactivities of different compound groups to OH reactivity are shown in Fig. 10,

$$\text{reactivity} = k_{X,OH}[X]$$

where $k_{X,OH}$ is the reaction rate constant between X and OH and [X] is the VOC concentration. In summer, isoprene dominates hydroxyl radical reactivity, because it has a day maximum, when hydroxyl radical reactions occur, whereas monoterpene concentrations are low during the day. Ortega et al. (2007) measured branch-level VOC emission rates in a mixed northern hardwood forest and evaluated OH reactivity on the basis of their measurements. They also found that isoprene dominated the hydroxyl radical reactivity there. Aromatic hydrocarbons make a large contribution in winter, when also the most reactive aromatics, for example trimethylbenzenes, exist in the air. MT and SQT contribute the year round.

Probable oxidation with OH radicals has a significant contribution to atmospheric new particle formation and subsequent growth (e.g., Kulmala et al., 1998, 2004a,b).

3.5 Comparison with earlier results

Concentrations of monoterpenes and aromatic hydrocarbons have been measured earlier at Hyytiälä during the years 2000–2007. The measurements were based on adsorbent tube sampling and subsequent analysis in a laboratory. Two samples were

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

collected at about midday at weekly intervals (Hakola et al., 2009). The samples were collected from a tower just above the forest canopy. The forest grew during the seven years of measurements, and during the last years the measurements represented the air inside the forest canopy rather than that above it, and for that reason the concentrations increased during the time period. Other activities in the vicinity are also likely to have added to the concentration increase. In the present study, the samples were collected in an open area about three meters outside of the forest at a height of about three meter. We therefore compare the present results with those of the years 2000–2003, when samples were taken above the canopy and prior to forest felling in the vicinity (Table 3). The main differences are to be found in the concentrations of α -pinene and Δ^3 -carene, which were higher in the earlier measurements during all other seasons except summer. Also, camphene was not the dominant compound in winter. The differences during summer are rather small, in spite of the different measurement heights and locations (about 100 m apart from each other), but in other seasons the current concentrations are much lower than those measured earlier. Rinne et al. (2005) measured monoterpene concentrations at Hyytiälä in July 2004 with a proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer (PTR-MS) also at a height of about two metres. The day-time monoterpene concentrations they measured varied between 200–500 ppt, and night-time concentrations frequently reached 1 ppb. This is in accordance with our results.

Recently Seco et al. (2011) have published monoterpene concentrations from the Western Mediterranean area during winter and summer using PTR-MS. They report monoterpene summer and winter mean concentrations of 0.64 ppb and 0.03 ppb, respectively. These concentrations are at about the same level as ours, i.e., summer 0.38 ppb and winter 0.03 ppb, although emission rates in warmer climates are higher implying more efficient sink reactions as well.

4 Conclusions

Isoprene, mono- and sesquiterpene and aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations were measured in a boreal pine forest using an in situ gas chromatograph mass spectrometer. The measurements covered the whole year, at least one week every month. To our knowledge there are no earlier species-speciated semi-continuous BVOC data also covering dormant periods.

During the winter months, and still in March, the concentrations of all biogenic compounds were very low, most of the time below detection limits. Occasionally, the concentrations of monoterpenes, particularly camphene and p-cymene, increased together with NO_x concentrations, implying an anthropogenic source. These episodes lasted from a few hours to few days and were originated from nearby sawmills or the city of Tampere.

The monoterpene concentrations increased in April and started to show diurnal variability, with maximum concentrations at night and minima during the day as expected, since Scots pine VOC emissions are only temperature-dependent. The diurnal variability continued until October, after which the concentrations decreased, with only occasional episodes taking place. The diurnal variation was affected by the friction velocity, high concentrations being found with low friction velocities and low concentrations being observed high friction velocities.

Sesquiterpenes had a similar diurnal cycle to that of monoterpenes, but only in August. The main sesquiterpenes were longifolene and isolongifolene.

The diurnal variation of isoprene was opposite to the mono- and sesquiterpene diurnal curve, due to its totally light-dependent emissions. Due to its daytime maximum concentrations, isoprene also dominated hydroxyl radical reactivity in summer even though our isoprene measurements are underestimates due to breakthrough in a cold trap.

Acknowledgements. The financial support by the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence program (project no 1118615) is gratefully acknowledged.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



References

- Aaltonen, H., Pumpanen, J., Pihlatie, M., Hakola, H., Hellén, H., and Bäck, J.: Emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds from boreal Scots pine forest floor, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 151, 682–691, 2011.
- 5 Aaltonen, H., Pumpanen, J., Hakola, H., Vesala, T., Rasmus, S., and Bäck, J.: Snowpack concentrations and estimated fluxes of volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2033–2044, doi:10.5194/bg-9-2033-2012, 2012.
- Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 2, 216 pp., ISBN-1-56396-340-X, 1994.
- 10 Bonn, B., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Sihto, S.-L., and Ruuskanen, T.: How biogenic terpenes govern the correlation between sulphuric acid concentrations and new particle formation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D12209, doi:10.1029/2007JD009327, 2008.
- Bouvier-Brown, N. C., Goldstein, A. H., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., and de Gouw, J. A.: In-situ ambient quantification of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and related oxygenated compounds during BEARPEX 2007: implications for gas- and particle-phase chemistry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5505–5518, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5505-2009, 2009.
- 15 Bäck, J., Aalto, J., Henriksson, M., Hakola, H., He, Q., and Boy, M.: Chemodiversity of a Scots pine stand and implications for terpene air concentrations, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 689–702, doi:10.5194/bg-9-689-2012, 2012.
- 20 Ghirardo, A., Koch, K., Taipale, R., Zimmer, I., Schnitzler, J.-P., and Rinne, J.: Determination of de novo and pool emissions of terpenes from four common boreal/alpine trees by ¹³CO₂ labeling and PTR-MS analysis, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 33, 781–792, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02104.x, 2010.
- Haapanala, S., Hakola, H., Hellén, H., Vestenius, M., Levula, J., and Rinne, J.: Is forest management a significant source of monoterpenes into the boreal atmosphere?, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 1291–1300, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1291-2012, 2012.
- 25 Hakola, H., Tarvainen, V., Laurila, T., Hiltunen, V., Hellén, H., and Keronen, P.: Seasonal variation of VOC concentrations above a boreal coniferous forest, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 1623–1634, 2003.
- 30 Hakola, H., Hellén, H., and Laurila, T.: Ten years of light hydrocarbon (C₂-C₆) concentration measurements in background air in Finland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 3621–3630, 2006a.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Hakola, H., Tarvainen, V., Bäck, J., Ranta, H., Bonn, B., Rinne, J., and Kulmala, M.: Seasonal variation of mono- and sesquiterpene emission rates of Scots pine, *Biogeosciences*, 3, 93–101, doi:10.5194/bg-3-93-2006, 2006b.
- Hakola, H., Hellén, H., Tarvainen, V., Bäck, J., Patokoski, J., and Rinne, J.: Annual variations of atmospheric VOC concentrations in a boreal forest, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 14, 722–730, 2009.
- Hari, P. and Kulmala, M.: Station for measuring ecosystem-atmosphere relations (SMEAR II), *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 10, 315–322, 2005.
- Hellén, H., Hakola, H., Pirjola, L., Laurila, T., and Pystynen, K.-H.: Ambient air concentrations, source profiles and source apportionment of 71 different C₂-C₁₀ volatile organic compounds at urban and residential areas in Finland, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 103–108, 2006.
- Hellén, H., Hakola, H., Haaparanta, S., Pietarila, H., and Kauhaniemi, M.: Influence of residential wood combustion on local air quality, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 393, 283–290, 2008.
- Hellén, H., Kuronen, P., and Hakola, H.: Heated stainless steel tube for ozone removal in the ambient air measurements of mono- and sesquiterpenes, *Atmos. Environ.*, 57, 35–40, 2012.
- Helmig, D.: Ozone removal techniques in the sampling of atmospheric volatile organic trace gases, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 3635–3651, 1997.
- Holzke, C., Hoffmann, T., Jaeger, L., Koppmann, R., and Zimmer, W.: Diurnal and seasonal variation of monoterpene and sesquiterpene emissions from Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 3174–3185, 2006.
- Janson, R.: Monoterpene emissions from Scots pine and Norwegian spruce, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 2839–2850, 1993.
- Jardine, K., Yañez Serrano, A., Arneith, A., Abrell, L., Jardine, A., van Haren, J., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L. V., Ishida, F. Y., Karl, T., Kesselmeier, J., Saleska, S., and Huxman, T.: Within-canopy sesquiterpene ozonolysis in Amazonia, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D19301, doi:10.1029/2011JD016243, 2011.
- Jones, C. E., Hopkins, J. R., and Lewis, A. C.: In situ measurements of isoprene and monoterpenes within a south-east Asian tropical rainforest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6971–6984, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6971-2011, 2011.
- Kleinman, L. I.: The dependence of tropospheric ozone production rate on ozone precursors, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 575–586, 2005.
- Kuhn, U., Rottemberger, S., Biesenthal, T., Wolf, A., Schebeske, G., Ciccioli, P., Brancaleoni, E., Frattoni, M., Tavares, T. M., and Kesselmeier, J.: Isoprene and monoterpene emissions of

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Amazonian tree species during the wet season: direct and indirect investigations on controlling environmental functions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8071, doi:10.1029/2001JD000978, 2002.

5 Kulmala, M., Toivonen, A., Mäkelä, J. M., and Laaksonen, A.: Analysis of the growth of nucleation mode particles observed in Boreal forest, *Tellus B*, 50, 449–462, 1998.

Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Anttila, T., Laaksonen, A., and O'Dowd, C.: Organic aerosol formation via sulphate cluster activation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D04205, doi:10.1029/2003JD003961, 2004a.

10 Kulmala, M., Suni, T., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Dal Maso, M., Boy, M., Reissell, A., Rannik, Ü., Aalto, P., Keronen, P., Hakola, H., Bäck, J., Hoffmann, T., Vesala, T., and Hari, P.: A new feedback mechanism linking forests, aerosols, and climate, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 557–562, doi:10.5194/acp-4-557-2004, 2004.

Laurila, T. and Hakola, H.: Seasonal Cycle of C₂-C₅ hydrocarbons over the Baltic Sea and Northern Finland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 30, 1597–1607, 1996.

15 Lappalainen, H. K., Sevanto, S., Bäck, J., Ruuskanen, T. M., Kolari, P., Taipale, R., Rinne, J., Kulmala, M., and Hari, P.: Day-time concentrations of biogenic volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest canopy and their relation to environmental and biological factors, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5447–5459, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5447-2009, 2009.

20 Liao, L., Dal Maso, M., Taipale, R., Rinne, J., Ehn, M., Junninen, H., Äijälä, M., Nieminen, T., Alekseychik, P., Hulkkonen, M., Worsnop, D. R., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Monoterpene pollution episodes in a forest environment: indication of anthropogenic origin and association with aerosol particles, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 16, 288–303, 2011.

Rinne, J., Hakola, H., Laurila, T., and Rannik, Ü.: Canopy scale monoterpene emissions of *Pinus sylvestris* dominated forests, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1099–1107, 2000.

25 Rinne, H. J. I., Guenther, A. B., Greenberg, J. P., and Harley, P. C.: Isoprene and monoterpene fluxes measured above Amazonian rainforest and their dependence on light and temperature, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 2421–2426, 2002.

Rinne, J., Ruuskanen, T. M., Reissell, A., Taipale, R., Hakola, H., and Kulmala, M.: On-line PTR-MS measurements of atmospheric concentrations of volatile organic compounds in a European boreal forest ecosystem, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 10, 425–436, 2005.

30 Rinne, J., Bäck, J., and Hakola, H.: Biogenic volatile organic compound emissions from the Eurasian taiga: current knowledge and future directions, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 14, 807–826, 2009.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Ruuskanen, T. M., Kajos, M., Hellén, H., Hakola, H., Tarvainen, V., and Rinne, J.: Volatile organic compound emissions from Siberian larch, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27, 5807–5812, 2007.
- Shu, Y. and Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric lifetimes and fates of a series of sesquiterpenes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 7275–7281, 1995.
- 5 Sillman, S.: The relation between ozone, NO_x and hydrocarbons in urban and polluted rural environments, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 1821–1845, 1999.
- Taipale, R., Kajos, M. K., Patokoski, J., Rantala, P., Ruuskanen, T. M., and Rinne, J.: Role of de novo biosynthesis in ecosystem scale monoterpene emissions from a boreal Scots pine forest, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 2247–2255, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2247-2011, 2011.
- 10 Tarvainen, V., Hakola, H., Hellén, H., Bäck, J., Hari, P., and Kulmala, M.: Temperature and light dependence of the VOC emissions of Scots pine, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 989–998, doi:10.5194/acp-5-989-2005, 2005.
- Tarvainen, V., Hakola, H., Rinne, J., Hellén, H., and Haapanala, S.: Towards a comprehensive emission inventory of terpenoids from boreal ecosystems, *Tellus B*, 59, 526–534, 2007.
- 15 Tunved, P., Hansson, H.-C., Kerminen, V.-M., Ström, J., Dal Maso, M., Lihavainen, H., Viisanen, Y., Aalto, P. P., Komppula, M., and Kulmala, M.: High natural aerosol loading over Boreal forests, *Science*, 312, 261–263, 2006.
- Yassaa, N. and Williams, J.: Analysis of enantiomeric and nonenantiomeric monoterpenes in plant emissions using portable dynamic air sampling/solid-phase microextraction (PDASSPME) and chiral gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 4875–4884, 2005.
- 20 Yassaa, N. and Williams, J.: Enantiomeric monoterpene emissions from natural and damaged Scots pine in a boreal coniferous forest measured using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1141, 138–144, doi:10.1016/J.Chroma.2006.12.006, 2007.
- 25 Yassaa, N., Brancaleoni, E., Frattoni, M., and Ciccioli, P.: Trace level determination of enantiomeric monoterpenes in terrestrial plant emission and in the atmosphere using a beta-cyclodextrin capillary column coupled with thermal desorption and mass spectrometry, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 915, 185–197, 2001.
- 30 Williams, J., Yassaa, N., Bartenbach, S., and Lelieveld, J.: Mirror image hydrocarbons from Tropical and Boreal forests, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 973–980, doi:10.5194/acp-7-973-2007, 2007.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Monthly mean concentrations of VOCs (ppt). The months with high episodes mean values are calculated separately including and excluding the episodes. Sesquiterpene concentrations were not available in June and July due to bad chromatography.

	10 Oct	10 Nov exc.epis.	10 Nov inc.epis.	10 Dec exc.epis.	10 Dec inc.epis.	11 Jan exc.epis.	11 Jan inc.epis.	11 Feb exc.epis.	11 Feb inc.epis.
monoterpenes									
α -pinene	8.1	2.3	3.1	5.5	21	bdl	1.1	2.0	13.8
camphene	12	4.9	7.8	12	31	2.9	12	0.7	1.3
β -pinene	2.8	1.2	1.7	0.9	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.6	2.0
myrcene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
3-carene	1.7	0.8	2.6	4.3	18	bdl	1.2	0.4	4.2
p-cymene	4.7	3.9	7.0	7.4	15	1.0	3.7	0.5	0.9
limonene	5.8	2.6	3.9	2.5	8.4	bdl	1.2	bdl	bdl
terpinolene	1.1	0.1	1.2	bdl	0.1	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
oxygenated monoterpenes									
1,8-cineol	4.1	2.9	3.7	2.7	3.0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5
bornylacetate	1.7	0.6	2.0	2.5	2.8	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7
sesquiterpenes									
longicyclene	2.2	0.8	2.2	1.4	1.9	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
isolongifolene	2.3	0.9	2.9	1.7	2.3	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.0
longifolene	1.9	0.2	1.7	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
aromadendrene	2.2	0.5	1.6	0.6	1.0	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
a-humulene	1.4	0.4	2.4	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.3	0.3
alloaromadendrene	1.5	0.3	2.2	0.6	2.8	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl
aromatics									
benzene	210	118	119	142	160	111	123	190	196
toluene	53	26	29	179	219	69	85	79	85
Ethylbenzene	bdl	bdl	bdl	30	38	6.9	9.9	9.2	9.8
p/m-xylene	bdl	bdl	bdl	77	100	13	19	16	17
o-xylene	bdl	bdl	bdl	8.7	10.9	2.1	2.5	3.6	3.9
Styrene	bdl	bdl	bdl	40	52	6.8	11	8.7	9.5
Propylbenzene	bdl	bdl	bdl	7.5	29	1.5	2.5	1.4	1.6
2-ethyltoluene	bdl	bdl	bdl	9.8	5.3	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.2
3-ethyltoluene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	14	0.8	2.4	0.9	1.8
4-ethyltoluene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	0.3	1.8	0.4	1.0
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	bdl	0.1	bdl	3.8	bdl	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	bdl	bdl	bdl	15	21	1.1	3.0	1.8	2.0
1,2,3-trimethylbenzene	bdl	bdl	bdl	2.4	3.0	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6
MT	36	16	27	32	96	5	19	5	23
oxy-MT	5.8	3.5	5.7	5.2	5.7	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.3
SQT	11	3.1	13	4.2	8.0	0.6	1.7	1.3	2.2
ARO	265	145	150	515	653	214	262	314	330

Table 1. Continued.

	11 Mar exc.epis.	11 Mar inc.epis.	11 Apr	11 May	11 Jun	11 Jul	11 Aug	11 Sep	11 Oct
monoterpenes									
α -pinene	1.0	26	34	30	68	126	259	131	51
camphene	0.8	14	8.1	11.0	13	16	28	19	11
β -pinene	bdl	0.6	3.3	3.3	8.7	44	72	21	9.4
myrcene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	1.7	3.2	1.6	1.5	bdl
3-carene	0.2	12	11	9.8	26	80	109	71	22
p-cymene	0.7	6.6	5.0	5.2	11	5.7	7.1	7.4	4.4
limonene	bdl	1.8	2.1	1.3	1.4	25.1	29.5	5.6	1.7
terpinolene	bdl	bdl	0.1	bdl	0.2	7.9	1.4	0.2	bdl
oxygenated monoterpenes									
1,8-cineol	0.47	0.52	1.59	1.87	2.61	16	10	1.27	0.52
bornylacetate	0.59	0.59	0.17	bdl	0.13	1.77	0.29	bdl	bdl
sesquiterpenes									
longicyclene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	na	na	bdl	bdl	bdl
isolongifolene	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.1	na	na	0.2	bdl	bdl
longifolene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	na	na	2.1	0.7	bdl
aromadendrene	0.3	0.3	bdl	bdl	na	na	bdl	bdl	bdl
a-humulene	0.4	0.4	bdl	bdl	na	na	bdl	bdl	bdl
alloaromadendrene	bdl	bdl	bdl	bdl	na	na	bdl	bdl	bdl
aromatics									
benzene	112	106	103	39	87	11	15	19	25
toluene	57	60	56	32	88	10	22	40	27
Ethylbenzene	6.4	6.2	5.1	2.1	7.1	0.7	2.7	3.0	1.2
p/m-xylene	12	11	11	4	17	1	5	7	1
o-xylene	3.1	2.9	7.4	6.6	27	13	21	3.9	1.1
Styrene	5.5	5.6	4.9	2.2	10	1.0	2.8	2.8	1.1
Propylbenzene	0.7	3.0	1.9	2.0	2.2	3.6	3.3	2.0	0.6
2-ethyltoluene	3.8	0.6	0.8	0.4	1.1	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2
3-ethyltoluene	0.5	3.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	1.6	0.2	0.1	bdl
4-ethyltoluene	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.4	1.7	0.3	0.3	bdl
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.8	1.4	0.6	bdl
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.6	1.0	0.4	bdl
1,2,3-trimethylbenzene	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2	bdl
MT	2.6	61	63	60	129	308	508	257	99
oxy-MT	1.1	1.1	1.8	1.9	2.7	18	10	1.3	0.5
SQT	1.4	1.3	0.2	0.1	na	na	2.3	0.7	bdl
ARO	202	202	192	89	241	46	76	80	58

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 2. Reaction rate coefficient of VOCs with hydroxyl radical and ozone.

VOC	rate constant (cm ³ molecule ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	
	OH	O ₃
Isoprene	1.01 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.3 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
monoterpenes		
Camphene	5.3 × 10 ⁻¹¹	9.0 × 10 ⁻¹⁹
Δ ³ -carene	8.8 × 10 ⁻¹¹	37 × 10 ⁻¹⁸
p-cymene	1.5 × 10 ⁻¹¹	5.0 × 10 ⁻²⁰
Limonene	171 × 10 ⁻¹¹	2.0 × 10 ⁻¹⁶
myrcene	21.5 × 10 ⁻¹¹	47 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
α-pinene	5.37 × 10 ⁻¹¹	8.66 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
β-pinene	7.89 × 10 ⁻¹¹	1.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
terpinolene	225 × 10 ⁻¹²	1.38 × 10 ⁻¹⁶
sesquiterpenes		
alloaromadendrene	62 × 10 ⁻¹²	11.2 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
aromadendrene	6.25 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.20 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
β-caryophyllene	200 × 10 ⁻¹²	116 × 10 ⁻¹⁶
α-humulene	235 × 10 ⁻¹²	87 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
isolongifolene	96 × 10 ⁻¹²	1.14 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
longicyclene	9.40 × 10 ⁻¹²	4.40 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
longifolene	6.20 × 10 ⁻¹²	1.10 × 10 ⁻¹⁷
aromatics		
benzene	1.23 × 10 ⁻¹²	1.7 × 10 ⁻²²
toluene	5.96 × 10 ⁻¹²	4.1 × 10 ⁻²²
ethylbenzene	7.1 × 10 ⁻¹²	
p-xylene	1.47 × 10 ⁻¹¹	1.0 × 10 ⁻²¹
m-xylene	2.36 × 10 ⁻¹¹	
o-xylene	1.37 × 10 ⁻¹¹	1.7 × 10 ⁻²¹
styrene	5.8 × 10 ⁻¹¹	
propylbenzene	6.0 × 10 ⁻¹²	
2-ethyltoluene	1.23 × 10 ⁻¹¹	
3-ethyltoluene	1.92 × 10 ⁻¹¹	
4-ethyltoluene	1.21 × 10 ⁻¹¹	
1,3,5-TMB	5.75 × 10 ⁻¹¹	
1,2,4-TMB	3.25 × 10 ⁻¹¹	

The rate constants are from Atkinson (1994) except those for β-caryophyllene, which are from Shu and Atkinson (1995). Other sesquiterpene data is from CSID:1406720, <http://www.chemspider.com/Chemical-Structure.1406720.html> (accessed 12:32, 4 June 2012). Predicted data is generated using the US Environmental Protection Agency's EPI Suite. Ozone reactions of aromatic hydrocarbons are of negligible importance.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Table 3. Comparison of the present results (afternoon values only and daily means) to the earlier measurements (2000–2003) conducted at Hyytiälä (Hakola et al., 2009).

PPTV	2000–2003, afternoon				2010–2011, afternoon				2010–2011, daily mean			
	winter	spring	summer	autumn	winter	spring	summer	autumn	winter	spring	summer	autumn
α -pinene	52	69	107	110	5	3	71	23	6	32	189	38
camphene	7	3	9	13	15	2	9	8	12	11	22	11
β -pinene	14	12	25	22	0	0	17	4	1	3	52	7
3-carene	29	34	63	54	3	1	30	14	4	11	84	19
limonene	0	0	0	3	3	1	7	3	2	2	22	4
1,8-cineol	2	1	24	1	1	1	12	2	1	2	9	2
terpinolene	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
bornylacetate	2	2	11	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.




Fig. 1. Monthly mean concentrations of monoterpenes and aromatic hydrocarbons at Hyytiälä. Episodes are not included.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Fig. 2.** The monoterpene distribution in winter and in summer at Hyytiälä.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.




Fig. 3. The monthly mean concentrations of different sesquiterpene species at Hyytiälä. June and July measurements are missing due to chromatographic problems.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




Fig. 4. Monoterpene winter episodes 18.12.2010–28.2.2011.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 5. The mean diurnal variability of monoterpenes in spring (April, May). March is not included in the figure, because concentrations then are more like winter concentrations, with no diurnal variability.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.




Fig. 6. The mean diurnal variability of monoterpenes and isoprene in summer (June, July, August). (Isoprene concentrations are underestimates due to breakthrough of the cold trap).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.




Fig. 7. The monoterpene concentration dependence on friction velocity in June, July and August.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Fig. 8.** The mean diurnal variability of longifolene in August.

Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.




Fig. 9. Monthly means of destruction rates in reactions between ozone and the monoterpenes and isoprene.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest

H. Hakola et al.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Fig. 10. Relative contribution of different BVOC groups to the OH reactivity. Sesquiterpene contribution is missing in June and July due to chromatographic problems.