Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 12987–13014, 2012 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/12987/2012/ doi:10.5194/acpd-12-12987-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Global emission estimates and radiative impact of C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} , C_6F_{14} , C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18}

D. J. Ivy¹, M. Rigby^{1,*}, M. Baasandorj^{2,3}, J. B. Burkholder², and R. G. Prinn¹

¹Center for Global Change Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

²Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, USA

³Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Studies, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA

^{*}now at: Atmospheric Chemistry Research Group, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Received: 25 April 2012 - Accepted: 6 May 2012 - Published: 24 May 2012

Correspondence to: D. J. Ivy (divy@mit.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Discussion Pa	ACPD 12, 12987–13014, 2012							
aper Discussio	Emissions of high molecular weight PFCs D. J. Ivy et al.							
n Pa	Title Page							
lper	Abetreet	late duction						
_	Abstract	Introduction						
	Conclusions	References						
iscuss	Tables	Figures						
ion F	I	►I.						
Daper	•	•						
_	Back	Close						
Discu	Full Screen / Esc							
ssion	Printer-frier	dly Version						
Pap	Interactive	Discussion						
ber								

Abstract

Global emission estimates based on new atmospheric observations are presented for the acylic high molecular weight perfluorocarbons (PFCs): decafluorobutane (C₄F₁₀), dodecafluoropentane (C₅F₁₂), tetradecafluorohexane (C₆F₁₄), hexadecafluoroheptane
⁵ (C₇F₁₆) and octadecafluorooctane (C₈F₁₈). Emissions are estimated using a 3-dimensional chemical transport model and an inverse method that includes a growth constraint on emissions. The observations used in the inversion are based on newly measured archived air samples that cover a 39-yr period, from 1973 to 2011, and include 36 Northern Hemispheric and 46 Southern Hemispheric samples (Ivy et al., 2012). The derived emission estimates show that global emission rates were largest in the 1980s and 1990s for C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂, and in the 1990s for C₆F₁₄, C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈. After a subsequent decline, emissions have remained relatively stable, within

- 20%, for the last 5 yr. Bottom-up emission estimates are available from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research version 4.2 (EDGARv4.2) for C_4F_{10} ,
- ¹⁵ C₅F₁₂, C₆F₁₄ and C₇F₁₆, and inventories of C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂ and C₆F₁₄ are reported to the United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) by Annex 1 countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol. The atmospheric measurement based emission estimates are 20 times larger than EDGARv4.2 for C₄F₁₀ and over three orders of magnitude for C₅F₁₂. The derived emission estimates for C₆F₁₄ largely agree
 with the bottom-up estimates from EDGARv4.2. Moreover, the C₇F₁₆ emission estimates are comparable to those of EDGARv4.2 at their peak in the 1990s, albeit significant underestimation for the other time periods. There are no bottom-up emission estimates for C₈F₁₈, thus the emission rates reported here are the first for C₈F₁₈. The reported inventories for C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂ and C₆F₁₄ to UNFCCC are five to ten times lower
- ²⁵ than those estimated in this study. In addition, we present measured infrared absorption spectra for C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈, and estimate their radiative efficiencies and global warming potentials (GWPs). We find that C₈F₁₈'s radiative efficiency is similar to trifluoromethyl sulfur pentafluoride's (SF₅CF₃) at 0.57 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹, which is the highest



radiative efficiency of any measured atmospheric species. Using the 100-yr time horizon GWPs, the high molecular weight perfluorocarbons studied here contributed up to 15.4 % of the total PFC emissions in CO_2 equivalents in 1997 and 6 % of the total PFC emissions in 2009.

5 1 Introduction

10

Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) are potent greenhouse gases due to their long lifetimes and strong absorption in the infrared atmospheric window region, resulting in global warming potentials (GWPs) on a 100-yr time horizon of three to four orders of magnitude higher than that of carbon dioxide (CO_2) (see Table 1) (Forster et al., 2007). Subsequently, PFCs are considered to have a nearly permanent effect on the Earth's radiative budget, when human time scales are considered. PFCs are included as one of the six classes of greenhouse gases under the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

Atmospheric observations and global emission estimates based on atmospheric ¹⁵ measurements are available for the lower molecular weight PFCs: tetrafluoromethane (CF₄), hexafluoroethane (C₂F₆), octafluoropropane (C₃F₈) and octafluorocyclobutane (c-C₄F₈) (Mühle et al., 2010; Oram et al., 2012). The lower molecular weight PFCs are primarily emitted from the production of aluminum and usage in the semiconductor industry. Efforts are being made by both industries to reduce these emissions (Inter-²⁰ national Aluminium Institute, 2011; Semiconductor Industry Association, 2001; World Semiconductor Council, 2005). Furthermore, CF₄ and C₂F₆ have a natural abundance due to a lithospheric source (Deeds et al., 2008; Harnisch et al., 1996a,b; Mühle et al., 2010). The global emission estimates by Mühle et al. (2010) and Oram et al. (2012) for the lower molecular weight PFCs concluded that bottom-up emission estimates, 25 based on production information and end usage, were underestimated as compared to

²⁵ based on production information and end usage, were underestimated as compared to estimates constrained by atmospheric observations, particularly for C_3F_8 and $c-C_4F_8$.



These studies illustrate the valuable constraint atmospheric observations provide in independently estimating emissions for the verification of bottom-up emission estimates.

Bottom-up emission estimates are provided by the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research version 4.2 (EDGARv4.2) for the high molecular weight PFCs: de-

- ⁵ cafluorobutane (C₄F₁₀), dodecafluoropentane (C₅F₁₂), tetradecafluorohexane (C₆F₁₄) and hexadecafluoroheptane (C₇F₁₆) from 1970 to 2008 (ER-JRC/PBL, 2009). Furthermore, C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂ and C₆F₁₄ emissions are reported from 1990 to 2009 to UNFCCC by Annex 1 countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol (UNFCCC, 2011). However, no emission data are available for octadecafluorooctane (C₈F₁₈).
- Since the early 1990s, these higher molecular weight PFCs have had a relatively minor role as replacements for ozone depleting substances (ODS), which are regulated under the Montreal Protocol (Harvey, 2000). The most significant emission source of the high molecular weight PFCs are from their use as solvents in electronics and precision cleaning, which was approved under the Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP) program (Tsai, 2009; Air and Radiation Global Programs Division, 2006).
- ¹⁵ Icy (SNAP) program (1sai, 2009; Air and Radiation Global Programs Division, 2006). There are also small niche markets for C₄F₁₀ and C₆F₁₄ as fire suppressants (Forte, Jr. et al., 2003; Kopylov, 2002; Tsai, 2009) and C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂ and C₆F₁₄ as refrigerants (ER-JRC/PBL, 2009; Schwaab et al., 2005; Tsai, 2009). Because of the PFCs' large GWPs, emissions of these high molecular weight PFCs as ODS replacements are expected to be decreasing as they are being replaced with lower GWP alternatives
- (Harvey, 2000; United Nations Environment Programme, 1999).

The PFCs, which are liquid at room temperature, $C_5F_{12}-C_8F_{18}$ are additionally being used in the semiconductor manufacturing industry as heat transfer fluids and in vapor phase reflow soldering (3M Electronics Markets Materials Division, 2003; Tsai, 2009;

Tuma and Tousignant, 2001). This emission source is a first-of-a-kind for fluorinated compounds (Tuma and Tousignant, 2001). While the semiconductor industry is making efforts to reduce PFC emissions, their efforts are focused on reducing emissions of the lower molecular weight PFCs. Therefore emission estimates based on atmospheric



observations of the high molecular weight PFCs are valuable for determining if these industries are indeed reducing all PFC emissions.

Laube et al. (2012) provided global emission estimates using a 2-dimensional model and atmospheric observations for C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂, C₆F₁₄ and C₇F₁₆. However, the emission estimates by Laube et al. (2012) were determined qualitatively and not constrained by an inverse method, and therefore their emissions were not optimally determined. In this study, we present global annual emission estimates for the high molecular weight PFCs, C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂, C₆F₁₄, C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈, based on new atmospheric measurements presented in Ivy et al. (2012). Emissions are estimated using a 3-dimensional chemical transport model (CTM), the Model of Ozone and Related Tracers (MOZARTv4.5) and an inverse method, in which the atmospheric observations and an independent estimate of the emission growth rates are used as constraints (Rigby et al., 2011). The derived emissions based on atmospheric measurements are compared to

the available bottom-up emission data from EDGARv4.2 and the inventories reported
 to UNFCCC. Furthermore, we present measured infrared (IR) absorption spectra for
 C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈ in order to provide estimates of their GWPs. Thus, we provide an updated total of the radiative impact of global PFC emissions in CO₂ equivalents from 1978 to 2009, now including the high molecular weight PFCs.

2 Inverse modeling

20 2.1 Observations

25

The atmospheric observations of the high molecular weight PFCs used to constrain the derived emission estimates are based on archived air samples that were measured using the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) "Medusa" systems and cover a time period from 1973 to 2011, see Ivy et al. (2012) for details. The atmospheric histories of the high molecular weight PFCs, shown in Fig. 1, are based on measurements of 36 Northern Hemisphere (NH) archived air samples, filled primarily



at Trinidad Head, California (41.05° N, 124.05° W), and 46 Southern Hemisphere (SH) archived air samples, filled at Cape Grim, Tasmania, Australia (40.68° S, 144.69° E). For this modeling study, the observations were assumed to be representative of the monthly mean hemispheric background tropospheric air. This is a valid assumption given that the archived air samples were filled under baseline conditions.

Associated with each observation is an estimate of its uncertainty, which includes the estimated uncertainties associated with the measurements, the sampling frequency, grid cell model-mismatch and use of repeated dynamics when applicable, see Eq. (1) (Rigby et al., 2010). The measurement uncertainty, $\sigma_{\text{measurement}}$, is the repeatability of each archived air sample measurement and is taken as the 1- σ standard deviation of the repeat sample measurements. The sampling frequency uncertainty, $\sigma_{\text{sampling frequency}}$, provides a measure of the uncertainty in our assumption that a single flask is representative of the monthly mean baseline variability. Since high frequency data are not available, the sampling frequency uncertainty was estimated as the stan-

- ¹⁵ dard deviation of daily modeled output from the CTM over one month at the observation grid cell. The model-mismatch error, σ_{mismatch} , is an estimate of the uncertainty in the assumption that the model grid cell is representative of a single point measurement. We estimated the model-mismatch error using the CTM as the 1- σ standard deviation of the surrounding eight grid cells and the grid cell that contains the observation
- ²⁰ location from the mean of all nine cells (Chen and Prinn, 2006). Reanalysis meteorological data are not available for years prior to 1990 for use in the CTM; therefore, we used repeated meteorological data from 1990 for the years from 1971 to 1989 in the CTM and 2008 for the years from 2009 to 2011. In order to characterize the uncertainty in this use of repeated dynamics, $\sigma_{\rm dynamics}$, a one year simulation was run
- ²⁵ multiple times with different meteorological data from other years, while the emissions and initial conditions were held constant (Rigby et al., 2010). This introduced a mean uncertainty of 5 % at the observation grid cells and was included in the observational uncertainty. Lastly, observations that were below the detection limit of the instruments



were assigned a minimum uncertainty equal to that of the detection limit.

 $\sigma^2_{\text{observational}} = \sigma^2_{\text{measurement}} + \sigma^2_{\text{sampling frequency}} + \sigma^2_{\text{mismatch}} + \sigma^2_{\text{dynamics}}$

2.2 Bottom-up emission estimates

Bottom-up emission estimates are available from EDGARv4.2 (ER-JRC/PBL, 2009).
EDGARv4.2 has global annual emission estimates by source for C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂, C₆F₁₄ and C₇F₁₆ from 1970 to 2008, see Fig. 2, and on a 0.1° longitude by 0.1° latitude gridded data, with non-zero emissions starting in 1971 for C₄F₁₀, 1986 for C₅F₁₂, 1980 for C₆F₁₄ and 1986 for C₇F₁₆. There are no EDGARv4.2 estimates available for C₈F₁₈, therefore C₇F₁₆ estimates were used as a proxy. This is under the assumption that as C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈ have similar properties, they will most likely have similar emission sources. Furthermore, as the archived samples are assumed to be representative of well-mixed background air, the emission estimates will not be sensitive to hemispheric relative spatial distributions. For 2009 to 2011, the emissions were linearly interpo-

lated from the 2007 to 2008 data. The annual 0.1° longitude by 0.1° latitude emissions ¹⁵ were regridded to a horizontal resolution of 2.8° longitude by 2.8° latitude for use in the CTM. Inventories for C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} and C_6F_{14} are also reported to UNFCCC by Annex 1 countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol. However as the reported inventories to UNFCCC are not global, we used the EDGARv4.2 data in the CTM to produce the reference runs and to estimate the sensitivity of the modeled mole fractions to changes ²⁰ in emissions.

2.3 Chemical transport model

The Model of Ozone and Related Tracers (MOZARTv4.5) is a 3-dimensional chemical transport model (Emmons et al., 2010). MOZARTv4.5 was run offline to produce the reference run of modeled atmospheric mole fractions, using the emissions described

in Sect. 2.2, and to estimate the sensitivities of the atmospheric mole fractions to emission perturbations. Meteorological data were provided from the National Centers for



(1)

Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). The NCEP/NCAR reanalysis for use in MOZARTv4.5 are available from 1990 to 2008 every 6 h at a horizontal resolution of 1.8° longitude by 1.8° latitude and with 28 vertical levels in sigma coordinates, from the surface to 3 hPa.

- MOZARTv4.5 interpolated the meteorological data to a resolution of 2.8° longitude by 2.8° latitude, which was the chosen horizontal resolution of the model runs. For years prior to 1990, NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data from 1990 were used repeatedly; and for years after 2008, the 2008 meteorological data were repeated. The PFCs were treated as tracers and no chemistry was input into MOZART; this is a reasonable assumption
- ¹⁰ given their lifetimes are on the order of thousands of years (Ravishankara et al., 1993). A zero initial condition field was assumed for all of the high molecular weight PFCs, with an initial year based on EDGARv4.2's first non-zero emissions of 1971 for C_4F_{10} , 1986 for C_5F_{12} , C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} , and 1980 for C_6F_{14} . The upwind cells of the observation stations were chosen in MOZARTv4.5, as the observations are assumed to be representative of background air (Rigby et al., 2010).

In order to estimate the sensitivities of the atmospheric mole fractions to changes in emission rates, MOZARTv4.5 was run with annual emissions increased by 10% from the reference run for one year. In the subsequent year, the emissions were returned to those of the reference run. This provided an estimate of the sensitivities of mole fractions at the observation grid colle to appual emission abaptage. Due to the computer the sensitivities of mole fractions at the observation grid colle to appual emission abaptage.

fractions at the observation grid cells to annual emission changes. Due to the computational expense of running MOZARTv4.5, these sensitivities were only tracked in the model for two years, and then the values were estimated to decay exponentially, with a one year decay time, to a globally mixed background value.

2.4 Inverse method

²⁵ To derive global emissions, we used an inverse method that included constraints by the atmospheric observations and an independent estimate of the annual growth in emissions (Rigby et al., 2011). Often a minimum variance Bayesian approach is taken for atmospheric measurement based emission estimates while using an independent



estimate of absolute emissions, also known as prior, as a constraint. However, if the prior emission information is largely biased, as is the case for C_4F_{10} and C_5F_{12} , a large uncertainty is often assumed on the prior. This results in the prior providing little information on the derived emissions if observations are available. Alternatively, if ob-

- ⁵ servations are not available for a certain year, then the derived emissions can exhibit unphysical fluctuations due to the biased prior constraining the emissions. The growthbased Bayesian inverse approach, which incorporates the growth rate of emissions as prior information instead of absolute emission rates, overcomes some of these potential biases (Rigby et al., 2011). This inverse method acts to minimize the residuals
- of the atmospheric observations from the modeled mole fractions and the growth rate in the derived emissions from an independent estimate of the emission growth rate. These two constraints are weighted by their relative uncertainties in determining the optimal solution. Here, the observations were weighted by their observational error, described in Sect. 2.1. The assumed independent growth rate was the annual average
- growth rate in emissions for each species from EDGARv4.2. EDGARv4.2 does not provide an uncertainty estimate and because of the underestimation in C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂ in the EDGARv4.2 estimates, the error assumed on the growth rate for C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂ was the mean growth rate in emissions for C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂, C₆F₁₄ and C₇F₁₆ from EDGARv4.2. For C₆F₁₄, the assumed error was the mean growth rate in emissions for C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈. Due to the low temporal frequency of the observations, only globally averaged emissions were resolved.

The year of emission onset in EDGARv4.2 appears to be later than the observations suggest – as observations are non-zero in earlier years. Therefore, an initial condition was also solved for in the inversion. The initial condition was applied globally; as the first SH observation is 5 yr after the first NH observation, this should not have a large influence on the derived emissions. In addition, we included an estimate of the uncertainty in the use of repeated dynamics in the derived emission estimates using a Monte Carlo approach, where the inversion was repeated 1000 times with randomly



varied sensitivities of the modeled mole fractions to perturbations in emissions. The distribution of varied sensitivities was estimated by running the model multiple times with meteorological data from different years and calculating the mole fraction sensitivities, while the emissions and initial conditions were held constant (Rigby et al., 2010).

⁵ This error was found to be relatively small compared to the error propagated through the inversion, and was added in quadrature to give the final estimate of emission uncertainty. The uncertainty on emissions was estimated from the diagonal elements of the error covariance matrix and is based on the relative weighting of the uncertainty associated with the observations as compared to the uncertainty associated with the 10 assumed growth rate constraint on emissions (Rigby et al., 2011).

3 Radiative efficiencies of C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈

3.1 Infrared absorption cross-sections

Roehl et al. (1995) reported infrared absorption cross-sections and GWPs for CF₄, C_2F_6 , C_3F_8 , C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} and C_6F_{14} while Bravo et al. (2010), more recently, similarly for C_8F_{18} . In the present work, we measured infrared absorption spectra of C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. To the best of our knowledge there are no previously published infrared absorption spectrum measurements for C_7F_{16} available.

The C₇F₁₆ (≥ 98%) and C₈F₁₈ (≥ 99%) samples were purchased from Synquest
 Laboratories. These samples were vacuum distilled to remove non-condensables prior to use. Various dilute gas mixtures of the samples in a Helium (He) bath gas were prepared manometrically in 12-I Pyrex bulbs for use in the infrared spectrum measurements. Absorption spectra were measured between 500 and 4000 cm⁻¹ at a spectral resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. Spectra were obtained using two different pathlength absorption cells: a single-pass 16 cm long cell and a low-volume multi-pass cell (750 cm³, 485 cm optical pathlength). Infrared absorption band strengths (absorption cross-sections)



were obtained using Beer's law with spectra recorded over a range of sample concentrations at various bath gas pressures. The infrared spectra of C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} were independent of bath gas pressure for pressures between 20 and 600 Torr (He bath gas). The sample concentrations in the infrared absorption cell were varied over the range (0.10–8.28) × 10¹⁵ mol cm⁻³ for C_7F_{16} and (0.05–7.08) × 10¹⁵ mol cm⁻³ for C_8F_{18} , where the sample concentrations were determined using absolute pressure measurements and the known mixing ratio.

Figure 3 shows the infrared absorption spectra of C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} . The C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} spectra show weak absorption between 500 and 1000 cm⁻¹, but strong absorption bands between 1000 and 1400 cm⁻¹, where the integrated absorption band strengths were determined to be $(3.61 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-16}$ cm² mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for C_7F_{16} (1075–1375 cm⁻¹) and (4.02 ± 0.24) × 10^{-16} cm² mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for C_8F_{18} (1100– 1400 cm⁻¹). The quoted uncertainties are at the 2- σ (95% confidence) level and include estimated systematic uncertainties. The infrared absorption spectra data of C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} are provided in the Supplement.

3.2 Radiative efficiencies

In order to estimate the relative change in radiative forcing per change in atmospheric concentrations, the radiative efficiencies were estimated for C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} using the spectra measured here and the method given by Pinnock et al. (1995). The radiative efficiency for C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} are 0.48 and 0.57 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹, respectively, see Table 1. The radiative efficiency for C_8F_{18} is approximately equal to that of trifluoromethyl sulfur pentafluoride (SF₅CF₃), which is the highest of any measured atmospheric species (Forster et al., 2007).

The radiative efficiencies reported here are in reasonably good agreement, within 25 7%, with those estimated by Bravo et al. (2010). The infrared measurements by Bravo et al. (2010) for C₈F₁₈ were limited to the spectral range 700–1400 cm⁻¹. A radiative efficiency value, based on our measurements, of 0.53 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹ for C₈F₁₈ can be



obtained if a spectral range of 700–1400 cm⁻¹ is used. Therefore we attribute the difference between the reported and measured value for C_8F_{18} to the different spectral ranges of the measurements. The radiative efficiencies by Bravo et al. (2010), based on theoretical calculations and that include a larger spectral range 0–2500 cm⁻¹, are closer to our results for C_8F_{18} , with a difference of 3.6%. Bravo et al. (2010) did not

⁵ closer to our results for C₈F₁₈, with a difference of 3.6%. Bravo et al. (2010) did not measure the infrared spectra of C₇F₁₆ and provide a theoretical calculation of C₇F₁₆'s radiative efficiency as 0.45 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹, which is in good agreement with the results from the present work.

3.3 Global warming potential

- Global warming potentials (GWPs) provide a measure of the climate impact of emissions of a trace gas relative to a reference gas, usually chosen as carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Forster et al., 2007; United Nations Environment Programme, 1999). The radiative efficiencies, along with an estimate of the species' atmospheric lifetimes, allow for GWPs to be estimated. Following the standard method outlined by Forster et al. (2007), the
 GWPs were calculated for C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈. No lifetimes have been estimated for
- C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} . Ravishankara et al. (1993) determined that the major atmospheric removal pathway for the perfluoroalkanes, CF_4 - C_6F_{14} , was via photolysis by hydrogen Lyman- α radiation (121.6 nm) with a possible minor pathway due to reaction with $O(^{1}D)$. Based on the work by Ravishankara et al. (1993), we assume that C_7F_{16} and
- ²⁰ C_8F_{18} will have similar lifetimes, on the order of thousands of years, and have chosen a lifetime of 3000 yr for the GWP calculations, which is close to the lifetime of C_6F_{14} . The GWPs for C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} are estimated to be 7900 and 8350 for a 100-yr time horizon with CO_2 as the reference gas, see Table 1. As the expected lifetimes of C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} are much longer than the chosen time horizons, these GWP calculations are relatively insensitive to the assumed lifetime. To confirm this, a sensitivity analysis
- to the assumed lifetime in the GWP estimate was done following Shine et al. (2005).



Less than a 5 % difference was found in the calculated 100-yr time horizon GWPs when using assumed lifetimes of 500 vs. 3000 or 3000 vs. 10 000 yr.

4 Results and discussion

The reference run of modeled mole fractions using the bottom-up estimates from EDGARv4.2 are lower than the atmospheric observations for the high molecular weight PFCs, see Fig. 1. In particular, the reference run produces modeled mole fractions that are 20 times and over a 1000 times too low for C_4F_{10} and C_5F_{12} , respectively. For C_5F_{12} this is due to the global annual emissions from EDGARv4.2 peaking at less than 0.1 t yr⁻¹, with the only emission source being use as refrigerants in the Ukraine. In contrast, the reference modeled mole fractions for C_6F_{14} are similar to the observations, although the reference run is somewhat lower in the mid-1990s, suggesting an underestimation of emissions during this period. For C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} , the reference is about 50 % lower than atmospheric observations.

Using our inverse method, we provide new global emission estimates based on at-¹⁵ mospheric observations. The derived emissions and their associated uncertainties are presented in Fig. 4 and Table 2. The derived emissions for C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂ are relatively constant over the time period with an average emission rate and uncertainty of 196 ± 33 t yr⁻¹ and 171 ± 42 t yr⁻¹, respectively. The C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂ emissions have the largest decline from 1999 to 2005. The EDGARv4.2 emissions are 20 and 1000 ²⁰ times lower than the derived emissions for C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂, respectively. Furthermore, the EDGARv4.2 emission temporal profile is drastically different than those derived from the observations, with emissions in EDGARv4.2 being relatively lower in the 1980s and then increasing with time.

In contrast, the derived emissions for C_6F_{14} agree fairly well with EDGARv4.2. The C_6F_{14} increase in emissions has a later onset, starting in the 1990s, than that of C_4F_{10} and C_5F_{12} , and has a similar emission profile as that of C_3F_8 , shown in Mühle et al.



(2010). Of the PFCs studied here, C_6F_{14} has the largest emissions with a 1980 to 2010 average emission rate of $510 \pm 62 \text{ t yr}^{-1}$.

The derived emissions for C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} are both higher than those of C_7F_{16} in EDGARv4.2. Interestingly while the other high molecular weight PFC emissions have decreased in the past 10 to 20 yr, the derived emissions for C_7F_{16} are relatively constant for the last ten years, with an average emission rate over the entire study period of $251 \pm 37 \text{ tyr}^{-1}$. The average emission rate for C_8F_{18} is $195 \pm 34 \text{ tyr}^{-1}$.

Our derived emissions for C_4F_{10} and C_5F_{12} agree fairly well with those presented by Laube et al. (2012). However, we see discrepancies for C_6F_{14} and C_7F_{16} . In particular, Laube et al. (2012) estimates lower emission rates from 1994 to 2001 than ours for

- ¹⁰ Laube et al. (2012) estimates lower emission rates from 1994 to 2001 than outs for C_6F_{14} . The C_6F_{14} observations from Laube et al. (2012) agree well with those presented in Ivy et al. (2012) in the early 1980s and 1990s; however after this time period the observations by Laube et al. (2012) are lower than those in Ivy et al. (2012). This is most likely due to a calibration scale difference, with that of Laube et al. (2012) es-
- timating lower mole fractions than Ivy et al. (2012), and possible nonlinearities in the early archive measurements. We see a general underestimation of C₇F₁₆ emissions by Laube et al. (2012) compared with those estimated in this study. This is most likely due to the lower calibration scale by Laube et al. (2012) as compared with Ivy et al. (2012). Laube et al. (2012) used an 85% *n*-isomer of C₇F₁₆ for their calibration scale and subsequently estimate an atmospheric mole fraction in 2010 that is 13% lower. Laube et al. (2012) did not present atmospheric measurements or emission estimates for C₈F₁₈. Furthermore as no bottom-up estimates are available either, the C₈F₁₈ emissions derived in this study are the first published estimates.

Overall the UNFCCC reported inventories are five to ten times lower than the emissions derived based on the observations for C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} and C_6F_{14} . In general, the UNFCCC emission inventories could be considered a lower bounds on global emissions as they do not include some major greenhouse gas emitters. Both C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} are not reported to UNFCCC; however based on our results, their emissions are larger than those of C_4F_{10} and C_5F_{12} and should be considered in future inventories. **Discussion** Paper ACPD 12, 12987-13014, 2012 Emissions of high molecular weight **PFCs Discussion** Paper D. J. Ivy et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

MOZARTv4.5 was run using the derived emissions and produced modeled mole fractions that were much closer to the observations (as required in the inversion), see Fig. 1. Figure 5 shows the residuals of the final modeled mole fractions using the derived emissions to the observations. Most of the residuals are within the estimated observational error and no significant trends in the residuals are found, confirming that the derived emissions represent an improved estimate.

Using the 100-yr time horizon GWPs, we provide an update to the total annual global PFC emissions in CO₂ equivalents (Fig. 6). CF₄, C₂F₆ and $c-C_4F_8$ contribute the most to the radiative forcing of the PFC emissions. However, we find that the high molecular weight PFCs contribute significantly to the total PFC budget, with the C₆F₁₄ emissions

- ¹⁰ weight PFCs contribute significantly to the total PFC budget, with the C_6F_{14} emissions being comparable to those of C_3F_8 . Previous estimates of the radiative forcing of PFC emissions in 2009, which only included CF_4 , C_2F_6 , C_3F_8 and $c-C_4F_8$, are 111 600 Gg CO_2 equivalents in 2009 (Mühle et al., 2010; Oram et al., 2012); inclusion of the high molecular weight PFCs increases this number by 6 % to 118 700 Gg CO_2 -eq.
- ¹⁵ The high molecular weight PFC emissions from 1973 to 2010 have contributed to a total cumulative forcing of 400 000 Gg of CO_2 equivalents and their 2010 emission rates are comparable to the release of 7300 Gg of CO_2 . The largest contribution of the high molecular weight PFCs to the global PFC emission budget was in 1997, when they contributed 15.4% of the total emissions in 1997. Since 1997, the relative contribution to the global emission of the bigh molecular weight PFCs have degreesed.
- to the global emissions of the high molecular weight PFCs has decreased, most likely due to their replacement with low GWP alternatives (Office of Air and Radiation and Office of Atmospheric Programs, 2006).

5 Conclusions

In this study, global emission estimates from 1973 to 2010 have been presented for

 $_{25}$ C₄F₁₀, C₅F₁₂, C₆F₁₄, C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈ using new atmospheric observations and an independent growth constraint on emissions. We find a significant underestimation by EDGARv4.2 for C₄F₁₀ and C₅F₁₂, further illustrating the benefit of atmospheric



observations based emission estimates in verifying bottom-up emission estimates. Additionally, the reported inventories to UNFCCC by Annex 1 countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol are generally five to ten times lower than the derived emission rates for C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} and C_6F_{14} . However the derived emissions are global, therefore this discrepancy cannot be attributed to individual countries. These large discrepancies between the derived and bottom-up estimates highlight the need for more transparent and accurate reporting of emissions. Interestingly, the UNFCCC reported inventories show similar temporal trends as the derived emissions, suggesting the UNFCCC methodology may be a good platform for emissions reporting.

5

20

25

- ¹⁰ Using the newly derived GWPs for C₇F₁₆ and C₈F₁₈, new estimates of the total radiative impact of all PFC emissions are an average 7 % higher than previously reported for 1973 to 2009. The high molecular weight PFCs contributed most significantly to the global PFC emissions, up to 16 % in the 1990s, suggesting a previous underestimation of the total radiative forcing from PFC emissions. While emissions have declined in the past 10 yr, because of their long lifetimes, PFCs are considered to have a nearly perma-
- nent effect on the Earth's radiative budget on human timescales. Therefore, continued monitoring of atmospheric abundances is necessary to detect trends in emissions of these potent greenhouse gases.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/12987/2012/ acpd-12-12987-2012-supplement.zip.

Acknowledgements. This research is supported by the NASA Upper Atmospheric Research Program in the US with grants NNX11AF17G to MIT and a consortium of 40 industrial and foundation sponsors of the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change (see http://globalchange.mit.edu/sponsors/current.html). The work at NOAA was supported by NOAA's Climate Goal. We would like to thank Stacy Walters for his help and advice on the use of the MOZART model.



References

10

3M Electronics Markets Materials Division: Performance Fluid PF-5060, 3M, St. Paul, MN, 2003. 12990

Air and Radiation Global Programs Division: Substitutes in Non-Aerosol Solvent Cleaning Un-

der SNAP as of September 28, 2006, US EPA Report, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington DC, 2006. 12990

Bravo, I., Aranda, A., Hurley, M. D., Marston, G., Nutt, D. R., Shine, K. P., Smith, K., and Wallington, T. J.: Infrared absorption spectra, radiative efficiencies, and global warming potentials of perfluorocarbons: comparison between experiment and theory, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D24317, doi:10.1029/2010JD014771, 2010. 12996, 12997, 12998, 13007

Chen, Y.-H. and Prinn, R. G.: Estimation of atmospheric methane emissions between 1996 and 2001 using a three-dimensional global chemical transport model, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D10307), 1–25, doi:10.1029/2005JD006058, 2006. 12992

Deeds, D. A., Vollmer, M. K., Kulongoski, J. T., Miller, B. R., Mühle, J., Harth, C. M.,
 Izbicki, J. A., Hilton, D. R., and Weiss, R. F.: Evidence for crustal degassing of CF₄ and SF₆ in Mojave Desert groundwaters, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 72, 999–1013, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.027, 2008. 12989

Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C., Guenther, A., Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando, J., Tie, X., Tyndall, G., Wiedinmyer, C.,

Baughcum, S. L., and Kloster, S.: Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4), Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 43–67, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010, 2010. 12993

European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC)/Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL): Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), Release 4.0,

- available at: http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu, last access: 15 December 2011, 2009. 12990, 12993
 - Fenhann, J.: HFC, PFC and SF₆ emission scenarios: recent developments in IPCC special report on emission scenarios, in: Proceedings of Joint IPCC/TEAP expert meeting on options for limitation of emissions of HFCs and PFCs, The Netherlands Ministry of the Environment and United States Environmental Protection Agency, Petten, Netherlands, 15–33, 1999.
- and United States Environmental Protection Agency, Petten, Netherlands, 15–33, 1999. Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Van Dor-



land, R.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, K. B., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 12989, 12997,

12998, 13007

5

10

15

- Fortet Jr., R., McCulloch, A., and Midgley, P.: Emissions of Substitutes for ozone-depleting substances, in: Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Kanagawa, Japan, 257–270, 2003. 12990
- Harnisch, J., Borchers, R., Fabian, P., Gäggeler, H. W., and Schotterer, U.: Effect of natural tetrafluoromethane, Nature, 384, 32, doi:10.1038/384032a0, 1996a. 12989
- Harnisch, J., Borchers, R., Fabian, P., and Maiss, M.: Tropospheric trends for CF₄ and C₂F₆ since 1982 derived from SF₆ dated stratospheric air, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 1099–1102, doi:10.1029/96GL01198. 1996b. 12989
- Harvey, R.: Estimates of US Emissions of High-Global Warming Potential Gases and the Costs of Reductions, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 2000. 12990
 International Aluminium Institute: Results of the 2010 Anode Effect Survey: Report on the Aluminum Industry's Global Perfluorocarbon Gases Emissions Reduction Programme, International Aluminium Institute London, UK, 2011, 12090
- tional Aluminium Institute, London, UK, 2011. 12989
- Ivy, D. J., Arnold, T., Harth, C. M., Steele, L. P., Mu ?hle, J., Rigby, M., Salameh, P. K., Leist, M., Krummel, P. B., Fraser, P. J., Weiss, R. F., and Prinn, R. G.: Atmospheric histories and growth trends of C4F10, C5F12, C6F14, C7F16 and C8F18, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4313–4325, doi:10.5194/acpd-12-4313-2012, 2012. 12988, 12991, 13000
- ²⁵ Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K. C., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR 40-yr Reanalysis Project, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 437–471, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077, 1996. 12994
- ³⁰ Kopylov, S. N.: The influence of oxidation of HFC's and FC's on their fire extinguishing and explosion preventing characteristics, in: Halon Alternatives Technical Working Conference, edited by: Gann, R. G. and Reneke, P. A., Proceedings HOTWC, Albuquerque, NM, USA, 342–349, 2002. 12990



- Laube, J. C., Hogan, C., Newland, M. J., Mani, F. S., Fraser, P. J., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Martinerie, P., Oram, D. E., Röckmann, T., Schwander, J., Witrant, E., Mills, G. P., Reeves, C. E., and Sturges, W. T.: Distributions, long term trends and emissions of four perfluorocarbons in remote parts of the atmosphere and firn air, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4081–4090, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4081-2012, 2012. 12991, 13000
- doi:10.5194/acp-12-4081-2012, 2012. 12991, 13000
 Mühle, J., Ganesan, A. L., Miller, B. R., Salameh, P. K., Harth, C. M., Greally, B. R., Rigby, M., Porter, L. W., Steele, L. P., Trudinger, C. M., Krummel, P. B., O'Doherty, S., Fraser, P. J., Simmonds, P. G., Prinn, R. G., and Weiss, R. F.: Perfluorocarbons in the global atmosphere: tetrafluoromethane, hexafluoroethane, and octafluoropropane, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 10, 5145–5164, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5145-2010, 2010. 12989, 12999, 13001, 13014
 Office of Air and Radiation and Office of Atmospheric Programs, Climate Change Division: Uses and Emissions of Liquid PFC Heat Transfer Fluids from the Electronics Sector, in: US EPA Report EPA-430-R-06-901, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 2006. 13001
- ¹⁵ Oram, D. E., Mani, F. S., Laube, J. C., Newland, M. J., Reeves, C. E., Sturges, W. T., Penkett, S. A., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Röckmann, T., and Fraser, P. J.: Long-term tropospheric trend of octafluorocyclobutane (c-C₄F₈ or PFC-318), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 261–269, doi:10.5194/acp-12-261-2012, 2012. 12989, 13001, 13014

Pinnock, S., Hurley, M. D., Shine, K. P., Wallington, T. J., and Smyth, T. J.: Radiative forcing of

- climate by hydrochlorofluorocarbons and hydrofluorocarbons, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 23227– 23238, doi:10.1029/95JD02323, 1995. 12997
 - Prinn, R. G.: Measurement equation for trace chemicals in fluids and solution of its inverse, in: Inverse Methods in Global Biogeochemical Cycles, Geophys. Monogr. Ser., vol 114, edited by: Kasibhatla, P., AGU, Washington, DC, 3–18, doi:10.1029/GM114p0003, 2000.
- Ravishankara, A. R., Solomon, S., Turnipseed, A. A., and Warren, R. F.: Atmospheric lifetimes of long-lived halogenated species, Science, 259, 194–199, doi:10.1126/science.259.5092.194, 1993. 12994, 12998
 - Rigby, M., Mühle, J., Miller, B. R., Prinn, R. G., Krummel, P. B., Steele, L. P., Fraser, P. J., Salameh, P. K., Harth, C. M., Weiss, R. F., Greally, B. R., O'Doherty, S., Simmonds, P. G.,
- ³⁰ Vollmer, M. K., Reimann, S., Kim, J., Kim, K.-R., Wang, H. J., Olivier, J. G. J., Dlugokencky, E. J., Dutton, G. S., Hall, B. D., and Elkins, J. W.: History of atmospheric SF₆ from 1973 to 2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10305–10320, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10305-2010, 2010. 12992, 12994, 12996



Rigby, M., Ganesan, A. L., and Prinn, R. G.: Deriving emissions time series from spare atmospheric mole fractions, J. Geophys. Res., 116(D08306), 1–7, doi:10.1029/2010JD015401, 2011. 12991, 12994, 12995, 12996

Roehl, C. M., Boglu, D., Brühl, C., and Moortgat, G. K.: Infrared band intensities and global

- ⁵ warming potentials of CF_4 , C_2F_6 , C_3F_8 , C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} , and C_6F_{14} , Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(7), 815–818, doi:10.1029/95GL00488, 1995. 12996
 - Semiconductor Industry Association: Semiconductor Industry Association Announces PFC Reduction and Climate Partnership with US EPA, Semiconductor Industry Association Press Release, Washington, DC, USA, 2001. 12989
- Schwaab, K., Dettling, F., Bernhardt, D., Elsner, C., Sartorius, R., Reimann, K., Remus, R., and Plehn, W.: Fluorinated Greenhouse Gases in Products and Processes: an Evaluation of Technical Measures to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions, German Federal Environmental Agency, Dessau, Germany, 2005. 12990

Shine, K. P., Gohar, L. K., Hurley, M. D., Marston, G., Martin, D., Simmonds, P. G., Walling-

ton, T. J., and Watkins, M.: Perfluorodecalin: global warming potential and first detection in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 39, 1759–1763, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.001, 2005. 12998

Tsai, W.: Environmental hazards and health risk of common liquid perfluoro-n-alkanes, potent greenhouse gases, Environ. Int., 35, 418–424, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2008.08.009, 2009. 12990

Tuma, P. and Tousignant, L.: Reducing emissions of PFC heat transfer fluids, presented at SEMI Technical Symposium, 3M Specialty Materials, San Francisco, CA, 16 July 2001, 1–8, 2001. 12990

20

United National Framework Convention on Climate Change Secretariat: National greenhouse

- gas inventory data for the period 1990–2009, United Nations Office at Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011. 12990
 - United Nations Environment Programme: The implications to the Montreal Protocol of the inclusion of HFCs and PFCs in the Kyoto Protocol, in: Technology and Economic Assessment Panel Report of the HFC and PFC Task Force, Ozone Secretariat, United Nations Environ-
- 30 ment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya 1–86, 1999. 12990, 12998 World Semiconductor Council: Semiconductor manufacturers reduce PFC emissions, World Semiconductor Council Press Release, Kyoto, Japan, 2005. 12989



Discussion Pa	ACPD 12, 12987–13014, 2012						
per Discussion	Emissions of high molecular weight PFCs D. J. Ivy et al.						
Pap	Title	Page					
θr	Abstract	Introduction					
_	Conclusions	References					
iscuss	Tables	Figures					
ion P	14	►I					
aper	•	•					
_	Back	Close					
Discussion	Full Scree Printer-frier	en / Esc Idly Version					
n Paper							

Table	1. Lifetimes,	radiative	efficiencies	and global	warming	potentials	of C_4F_1	₀ , C ₅ F ₁₂ ,	C_6F_{14} ,
C_7F_{16}	and C_8F_{18} .								

			Global warming potentials (GWPs)			
Species	Lifetime [yr]	Radiative efficiencies [Ŵm ⁻² ppb ⁻¹]	20-yr horizon	100-yr horizon	500-yr horizon	Reference
C_4F_{10}	2600	0.33	6330	8860	12 500	Forster et al. (2007)
$C_{5}F_{12}$	4100	0.41	6510	9160	13 300	Forster et al. (2007)
$C_{6}F_{14}$	3200	0.49	6600	9300	13 300	Forster et al. (2007)
$C_7 F_{16}$	(3000)	0.48	5700	7900	11 300	This Study
	3000	0.45	_	-	_	Bravo et al. (2010)
C_8F_{18}	(3000)	0.57	6000	8350	11 900	This Study
2 10	3000	0.50	5280	7390	10 500	Bravo et al. (2010)

Table 2. Annual mean global emission rates and uncertainties for C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} , C_6F_{14} , C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} .

	$C_{4}F_{10}$	$C_{5}F_{12}$	$C_{6}F_{14}$	C_7F_{16}	C ₈ F ₁₈	
Year	$[t yr^{-1}]$	$[t yr^{-1}]$	[t yr ⁻¹]	[t yr ⁻¹]	[t yr ⁻¹]	
1980	181 ± 27	108 ± 16	147 ± 44	174 ± 22	83 ± 32	
1981	188 ± 25	129 ± 17	179 ± 43	183 ± 22	83 ± 30	
1982	197 ± 23	152 ± 18	229 ± 43	188 ± 22	83 ± 29	
1983	205 ± 23	174 ± 19	290 ± 43	189 ± 21	84 ± 27	
1984	211 ± 23	192 ± 20	303 ± 42	178 ± 20	83 ± 26	
1985	215 ± 23	206 ± 20	300 ± 42	162 ± 20	81 ± 26	
1986	213 ± 24	213 ± 21	289 ± 42	179 ± 20	116 ± 25	
1987	200 ± 27	212 ± 22	279 ± 43	193 ± 21	150 ± 25	
1988	198 ± 29	219 ± 24	284 ± 43	208 ± 21	183 ± 25	
1989	206 ± 29	229 ± 26	290 ± 43	227 ± 22	218 ± 25	
1990	222 ± 27	238 ± 27	298 ± 43	238 ± 24	253 ± 26	
1991	243 ± 30	242 ± 29	414 ± 45	346 ± 26	395 ± 26	
1992	262 ± 33	245 ± 35	526 ± 49	345 ± 29	431 ± 27	
1993	280 ± 32	249 ± 41	678 ± 52	308 ± 34	432 ± 28	
1994	295 ± 32	254 ± 45	851 ± 53	288 ± 41	444 ± 29	
1995	303 ± 33	258 ± 45	1080 ± 53	275 ± 46	453 ± 30	
1996	307 ± 33	262 ± 50	1182 ± 55	287 ± 41	457 ± 30	
1997	302 ± 34	267 ± 57	1305 ± 59	359 ± 37	471 ± 31	
1998	288 ± 32	270 ± 50	1100 ± 61	309 ± 47	374 ± 29	
1999	265 ± 29	261 ± 81	852 ± 61	244 ± 50	263 ± 28	
2000	232 ± 28	218 ± 85	681 ± 58	218 ± 46	182 ± 32	
2001	194 ± 30	155 ± 62	659 ± 58	244 ± 38	158 ± 37	
2002	161 ± 33	110 ± 50	561 ± 60	243 ± 38	117 ± 38	
2003	137 ± 34	83 ± 46	501 ± 65	254 ± 41	99 ± 38	
2004	115 ± 35	69 ± 44	466 ± 71	268 ± 44	92 ± 38	
2005	97 ± 36	62 ± 45	452 ± 78	286 ± 46	93 ± 39	
2006	92 ± 41	60 ± 48	371 ± 94	278 ± 49	72 ± 42	
2007	91 ± 47	64 ± 53	343 ± 80	274 ± 49	62 ± 47	
2008	95 ± 47	67 ± 53	334 ± 72	268 ± 46	55 ± 52	
2009	99 ± 46	69 ± 51	333 ± 95	262 ± 51	56 ± 53	
2010	102 ± 48	70 ± 52	345 ± 118	260 ± 56	60 ± 53	



13008



Fig. 1. MOZARTv4.5 model output at the observation grid cells (Northern Hemisphere – solid line and Southern Hemisphere – dashed line) for the reference run using emissions based on EDGARv4.2 (yellow lines) and the final derived emissions (blue lines). The open circles are the atmospheric observations (Northern Hemisphere – grey and Southern Hemisphere – light blue), with the vertical lines being the associated observational uncertainty. The detection limits for the instruments are shown as the grey shading, with dark grey for the SIO instrument and the light grey for the CSIRO instrument.





Fig. 2. Global annual bottom-up emission estimates from 1971 to 2008 by source from EDGARv4.2 for (a) C_4F_{10} , (b) C_5F_{12} , (c) C_6F_{14} and (d) C_7F_{16} . (Note: C_8F_{18} is not available from EDGARv4.2.).











Fig. 4. The global annual emissions for C_4F_{10} , C_5F_{12} , C_6F_{14} , C_7F_{16} and C_8F_{18} derived in this study are shown as the solid blue line, and the associated 1- σ uncertainty in the emissions is represented as the light blue shading. The available bottom-up emissions data are also shown from EDGARv4.2 (solid yellow line) and UNFCCC (solid purple line). The interpolated data used in the reference run from EDGARv4.2 from 2009 to 2011 are shown as the dashed blue line, and the C_8F_{18} reference emissions are also shown as a dashed line, as no bottom-up estimates are available and C_7F_{16} 's emissions were used as a proxy.





Fig. 5. The mole fraction residuals, taken as the observations minus final modeled mole fractions (Northern Hemisphere - grey and Southern Hemisphere - light blue). The vertical lines represent the uncertainty associated with each observation. A zero line is also plotted for reference.

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Fig. 6. Global annual PFC emissions in CO_2 equivalents, using GWPs with a 100-yr time horizon, from 1973 to 2009. The CF_4 , C_2F_6 and C_3F_8 emissions are from Mühle et al. (2010) and the $c-C_4F_8$ emissions are from Oram et al. (2012). The bottom panel shows the relative percentage the high molecular weight PFCs studied here contribute to the new global total of PFC emissions in CO_2 equivalents.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper