

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury in precipitation in core urban areas, Chongqing, China

Y. M. Wang¹, D. Y. Wang^{1,2}, B. Meng³, Y. L. Peng¹, L. Zhao¹, and J. S. Zhu¹

¹Key Laboratory of the Three Gorges Reservoir Region's Eco-Environment (Ministry of Education), College of Resources and Environment, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China

²Chongqing Key Laboratory of Agricultural Resources and Environment, Chongqing 400716, China

³State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, China

Received: 23 February 2012 – Accepted: 3 April 2012 – Published: 20 April 2012

Correspondence to: D. Y. Wang (dywang@swu.edu.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

The spatial and temporal distribution patterns of mercury (Hg) in precipitation were investigated in the core urban areas of Chongqing, China. During the period from July 2010 to June 2011, total mercury (THg) and methyl mercury (MeHg) concentrations in precipitation were analyzed from three sampling sites. Our results suggest that the volume-weight mean THg and MeHg concentrations in precipitation were 30.67 ng l⁻¹ and 0.31 ng l⁻¹, respectively. The proportion of MeHg in THg ranged from 0.1 % to 7.6 % with a mean value of 1.3 %. THg and MeHg concentrations showed seasonal variations, while the highest THg value was measured in winter. Contrarily, the highest MeHg concentration was observed in autumn. Additionally, a geographically gradual decline of THg concentration in precipitation was observed from the city center to the suburb, then to the exurb. 5 mm rainfall might be a threshold for the full wash-out capability. Rainfall above 5 mm may have a diluting effect for the concentrations of Hg. Moreover, the current research implies that the coal combustion and motor vehicles could be the dominant sources for Hg in the precipitation.

1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) pollution, a global issue, poses potential threats to human health and wildlife (National Research Council, 2000). Hg species, including gaseous element mercury (Hg⁰), reactive gaseous mercury (RGM), and particulate mercury (PHg), are commonly represented in the atmosphere (Lindberg et al., 2007). The primary mechanisms for wet deposition are: (1) in-cloud oxidation of Hg⁰ by O₃, (2) gas-phase oxidation of Hg⁰ by ·OH and O₃ followed by cloud-droplet uptake. Dissolved mercury (DHg) and PHg are the major forms of Hg in precipitation, where the later one can be deposited through cloud-droplet/precipitation scavenging activity (Lindberg et al., 2007).

MeHg generally accounts for ~0.5–3.0 % of THg in precipitation (Mason et al., 2000; St. Louis et al., 2005; Nguyen et al., 2005; Munthe et al., 1995a, b), but the proportion

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



can be >10% in single events (St. Louis et al., 2005; Hall et al., 2005). At present, the understanding on the sources of MeHg in atmosphere or precipitation is very limited (Hammerschmidt et al., 2007). Previous studies speculated that MeHg in precipitation could originate from the capture of MeHg from atmosphere as well as the oxidation of dimethyl mercury to MeHg (Brosset and Lord, 1995). However, the extents of both processes are typically confined within the atmosphere. Recently, Hammerschmidt et al. (2007) found that MeHg in precipitation could be derived from the aqueous phase methylation through a reaction between labile Hg (II) complexes and an unknown methylating agent(s).

Deposition is one of the most important steps of Hg biogeochemical cycle in the atmosphere. Numerous studies suggested that atmospheric deposition is the primary source of Hg to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Lombard et al., 2011), such as Great Lakes (Fitzgerald et al., 1991; Landis and Keeler, 2002), remote inland lakes (Sorensen et al., 1990) and forested catchments (Munthe et al., 1995a). Some other studies considered atmospheric deposition of MeHg to be a minor contributor to the aquatic ecosystem (Mason et al., 2000). Some investigators argued that wet deposition could be an important source of methylmercury for certain ecosystems (Munthe et al., 1995b; Rolffhus et al., 2003), such as upland watershed at the ELA (St. Louis et al., 1994).

Investigating the distributions of Hg deposition is of significant importance to the understanding of the fate of Hg in the environment. Therefore, numerous long-term monitoring stations have already been set up worldwide, such as the Mercury Deposition Network (MDN, with more than 100 active stations by now) (National Atmospheric Deposition Program, 2007). Environment Canada also operates Canadian Atmospheric Hg Measurement Network (CAMNet) which had 11 sampling sites in 1994 (CAMNet, 2006). Only a few monitoring studies of Hg deposition have been carried out at remote and suburban locations in China. Guo et al. (2008) and Fu et al. (2010b) reported that the annual mean Hg concentrations in precipitation were higher in some semi-remote and remote Chinese areas, when compared to some areas in other countries (Guentzel

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



et al., 2001; Sakata and Marumoto, 2005). Although China is recognized as one of the biggest emitters of atmospheric Hg (Zhang and Wong, 2007; Jiang et al., 2006), there lacks long-term Hg research networks capable to depict temporal and spatial distribution of Hg in precipitation. Therefore, it is very urgent to conduct long-term continuous measurements of Hg concentrations in precipitation and its deposition fluxes in China.

Anthropogenic Hg emissions in China show a clear pattern in regional distribution (Fu et al., 2010a), while the southwestern China is considered as a seriously affected area (Streets et al., 2005). Chongqing locates in southwestern China, is regarded as a traditional industrial city. With heavy industry as the main player in the local economy, it has a large demand on energy, about 70 % of which is derived from coal in which the average mercury concentrations is 0.32 mg kg^{-1} (Wang et al., 2006). Previous studies showed that a large amount of Hg emitted into the atmosphere was associated with coal combustion (Wang et al., 1999). The industries locate in the core urban zone are the major sources of Hg pollution to ambient air (Yang et al., 2009). Chongqing, with a complex terrain, is surrounded by hills and mountains, where the average wind speed is as slow as 0.95 m s^{-1} (Li et al., 2010). Thus, the area is prone to high atmospheric stability and radiation inversion, mercury spreading to the ambient is inhibited. In addition, the urban heat island effect also decreases the speed of air flow, resulting in higher atmospheric Hg level in core urban area. It is reported that the annual mean concentration of Hg^0 ($6.74 \pm 0.37 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$) in main districts of Chongqing is three folds higher than the global background level (Yang et al., 2009). With the process of precipitation, the increased Hg which entered the terrestrial ecosystem could endanger the living creature. In addition, acid rain deposition is also more serious in Chongqing, which results in high washout ratio and MeHg formation.

To investigate the spatial and temporal distribution patterns of Hg in precipitation in Chongqing, THg and MeHg concentrations in precipitation at three typical sites (including the city center, suburb, and exurb) were determined from July 2010 to June 2011. The objectives of this study were: (1) to quantify the concentrations of THg and MeHg

in core urban areas in Chongqing; (2) to provide fundamental data for Hg cycling on a global scale.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area and sampling sites

5 The Chongqing Municipality locates in the upstream of the Yangtze River with a population of 33 030 000 in 2010. Its topography is characterized by valley, hills and mountains; the elevation ranges from 145 to 2797 m above sea level. In addition, it has a typical subtropical humid monsoon climate with an annually average temperature of 18.8° and average annual rainfall of 1200 mm (Yang et al., 2009).

10 Three sampling stations were selected at the city center, suburb and exurb. Detailed descriptions of the three stations are provided in Table 1. In addition, the locations of the sampling points are illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.2 Sampling methods

15 The automatic precipitation sampler (APS-3A, Changsha Xianglan Scientific Instruments Co., Hunan, China) was situated on unshadowed building roof at each sampling site. The sampler consisted of one tipping-bucket rain gauge, one moisture sensor, one automatic cover, one funnel, eight sampling borosilicate bottles, eight Teflon tubes connecting the funnel to the sampling bottles, and one portable fridge for cold storage (4°) of the rain samples. The moisture sensor would activate the electric pathway allowing automatic removal of the funnel cover during the rain event. Each precipitation event was sampled from each site during the period from July 2010 to June 2011. In a precipitation event, we defined the period of rain as since the triggering of moisture sensor to the next day 09:00 a.m. Then the sampling borosilicate bottle was altered automatically for the next precipitation event.

20

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The water samples were divided into two bottles in the sampling field. One bottle was used for the measurement of pH and the concentrations of major anion. The other bottle was further separated into two samples, one filtered through 0.45 μm microfilters (Millipore, USA) for analyses of dissolved total mercury (DHg) and dissolved methylmercury (DMeHg); the other was unfiltered for analyses of THg and total methylmercury (MeHg). All the samples analyzed for species of Hg were acidified to 5% (v/v) using concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl). The rain samples were transported to the lab on ice, then stored in a refrigerator at +4° in the dark until analysis.

The water samples were transferred from sampler to acid cleaned borosilicate glass bottles. These bottles were initially soaked in 10% (v/v) HNO_3 for at least 48 h, followed by rinsing with ultra-pure grade water (18.2 $\text{M}\Omega\text{cm}^{-1}$), and baked for one hour in a muffle oven at 500°. Finally, they were doubly bagged and stored in wooden boxes until use. All operations were performed in accordance with ultraclean sample handling protocols.

2.3 Sample analysis

For THg and DHg determinations, the samples were analyzed (following the EPA Method 1631) by Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry (CVAFS, Brooks Rand model III, Brooks Rand Laboratories, Seattle, WA) after oxidation, purge and trap (US EPA, 2002). Complying with the EPA Method 1630, samples for MeHg and DMeHg determinations went through distillation, ethylation and gas chromatographic (GC) separation before CVAFS analyses (US EPA, 2001).

The major anions: nitrate (NO_3^-), nitrite (NO_2^-), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}), chloride (Cl^-), phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) in precipitation were measured using Dionex DX-120 ion chromatograph according to EPA method 300.0 (US EPA, 1993). Phosphate and nitrate concentrations were often below detection limits (0.03 mg l^{-1} PO_4^{3-} and 0.02 mg l^{-1} NO_2^-), thus not included in our discussion. After transporting the samples to lab, pH was immediately measured.

2.4 Quality control and statistical analysis

Quality controls for THg and MeHg determinations included method blank, blank spikes, duplicates, and matrix spikes. The method detection limits ($3 \times \sigma$) of THg and MeHg were 0.02 ng l^{-1} and 0.01 ng l^{-1} . The method blank was lower than the detection limits in all cases. The average relative standard deviation for the duplicate analyses of THg and MeHg were 5.2% and 5.4%, respectively. Recoveries for matrix spikes ranged from 95 to 110% for THg, 85 to 108% for MeHg. The equipment blanks of automatic precipitation sampler for THg and MeHg were 0.04 ng l^{-1} and 0.02 ng l^{-1} , respectively.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 13.0 software. The differences among sites as well as seasons were tested by the analysis of variation (ANOVA). 0.05 was used as the level of significance for these analyses.

Volume-weighted (VMW) mean concentration is calculated by the following formula (Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia, 2012):

$$\text{VMW} = (X_1 \times V_1 + X_2 \times V_2 + \dots + X_t \times V_t) / (V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_t) = \sum (X_t \times V_t) / \sum V_t$$

where, X_t is the ion concentration of each precipitation event (ng l^{-1}), V_t is the rainfall (mm).

3 Results

3.1 Total mercury in precipitation

Concentrations of THg in precipitation at the three sites from July 2010 to June 2011 ranged from 5.81 to 157.58 ng l^{-1} , with an annual volume-weighted (VMW) mean concentration of 30.67 ng l^{-1} ($n = 258$) (Table 2). Table 2 also shows a comparison of THg concentrations in some other sites in China or other countries. The mean concentration of THg in core urban area, Chongqing was close to that reported in Wujiang River

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Basin, Guizhou, China (Guo et al., 2008), but significantly higher than those reported in some remote areas such as Mt. Leigong, Guizhou, China (Fu et al., 2010a), Mt. Gongga, Sichuan, China (Fu et al., 2010b), Mt. Changbai, Jilin, China (Wan et al., 2009), Underhill, Veront, USA (Keeler et al., 2005) and Great Lake Region (Hall et al., 2005).

Additionally, most of the mercury in precipitation was associated with particulates, which accounted for 8.3% to 92.7% (mean 63.3%) of total mercury suggesting that PHg was dominant among the different mercury species. The high correlation ($r = 0.968$, $p = 0.000$) was also observed between PHg and THg concentrations indicating that the THg concentration in precipitation may be influenced by the PHg concentration.

In order to evaluate the spatial and temporal distribution of THg, all the measurements were grouped by seasons and sites (Fig. 2). The seasonal variations of THg in precipitation were obvious with higher mean THg concentrations in winter (December, January, February) at all sampling sites.

This finding was similar to the seasonal variations of THg in precipitation in Wujiang River Basin, Guizhou, China; but in contrast to the observations in North America (Lan-dis and Keeler, 2002), Adirondacks (Choi et al., 2008) and Great Lakes region (Hall et al., 2005), which found increased THg concentration during summer months.

A spatial trend of decreasing THg concentrations in precipitation was observed from the city center (NA) to suburb (BB) and then to the exurb (JY). Anthropogenic influences (discuss in Sect. 3.5) and urban heat-island effect can be considered as possible explanations for this trend. THg concentrations at the city center (NA) (VMW mean concentration 35.95 ng l^{-1}) is statistically significantly higher than that at suburb (BB) ($p = 0.018$) and exurb (JY) ($p = 0.000$), while no significant difference between BB and JY was observed ($p = 0.060$). In order to further understanding on the spatial variations of THg concentrations in precipitation, all the samples collected at three sites were divided into six groups based on their initial concentrations: $<10 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $10\text{--}20 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $20\text{--}40 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $40\text{--}60 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $60\text{--}80 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $>80 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). The majority of all events at NA, BB, JY were in the range of $20\text{--}40 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$. More than 10% of all events at NA

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



had THg concentration exceeding 80 ng l^{-1} , all observed in winter months (December, January); contrarily, less than 5 % of events at BB (three events) and JY (three events) had concentrations that high. At all three sites, events with THg concentrations less than 10 ng l^{-1} were the rarest.

3.2 Methyl mercury in precipitation

From Table 2, measured MeHg concentrations at the three sites are ranged in $0.04\text{--}1.94 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, the annual VMW mean MeHg concentration was 0.31 ng l^{-1} . When compared to other researches (Table 2), it was much higher than the levels reported in rural area in Wujiang Basin and Mt. Leigong, Guizhou, China (Guo et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2010), Great lake regions (Hall et al., 2005) and ELA, Canada (St. Louis et al., 1994). It was also determined that 6.2–91.9 % (mean 40.5 %) of the measured MeHg was associated with particles and the correlation between MeHg and PMeHg concentrations was high ($r = 0.527$, $p = 0.005$).

Percentage of MeHg in THg (%MeHg) in precipitation was 0.1–.9 % (mean 1.3 %), 0.1–1.8 % (mean 0.7 %) and 0.2–7.6 % (mean 1.9 %) in NA, BB, JY, respectively. Figure 4 shows the %MeHg in all the samples at the three sites from July 2010 to June 2011. The majority of %MeHg was around 1.0 % which was comparable to those reported in northern Wisconsin (mean 1.3 %) (Lamborg et al., 1995) and Great lakes region (most of %MeHg below 1 %) (Hall et al., 2005); but was higher than that reported in Wujiang Basin, China (mean 0.7 %) (Guo et al., 2008). However, when compared with %MeHg in estuarine and marine waters (less than 5 %) or freshwater lakes and rivers (up to 30 %) (Coquery et al., 1997; Leermakers et al., 1996; Mason and Sullivan, 1999; Meili, 1997), it showed a lower MeHg production in precipitation. Higher %MeHg was discovered from September 2010 to November 2010, due to increased MeHg and decreased THg concentrations in autumn. There were only a small number of events with %MeHg beyond 4 %, most of them appeared at BB except one at NA site (in October 2010).

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



There were significant seasonal variations of mean MeHg concentration. The highest arithmetic mean MeHg concentration occurred in autumn months (VMW mean concentration 0.45 ng l^{-1}) (Fig. 5), which was significantly higher than those sampled in other seasons (spring VMW 0.28 ng l^{-1} , $p = 0.000$; summer VMW 0.27 ng l^{-1} , $p = 0.000$; winter VMW 0.38 ng l^{-1} , $p = 0.001$). This finding about the temporal distribution of MeHg in precipitation is not consistent with observations in many regions which showed increased MeHg concentration during winter months (Guo et al., 2008; Bloom et al., 2004). In spring, summer and winter, MeHg concentrations did not show clear seasonal trend, especially at JY sites the MeHg concentrations in those three seasons were similar. The spatial variation in MeHg concentrations was obvious, the highest mean value (1.94 ng l^{-1}) was observed at BB (suburb) while the lowest (0.04 ng l^{-1}) was observed at JY (exurb).

The yearly frequency of events with MeHg concentrations among $0.1\text{--}0.2 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $0.2\text{--}0.3 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $0.3\text{--}0.4 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $0.4\text{--}0.5 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$, $0.5\text{--}1.0 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$ at NA is similar (Fig. 3). Events with MeHg concentrations under 0.1 ng l^{-1} were recorded at JY, accounted for about 15% of all events. At JY, events with lower MeHg concentration were more frequent, about 60% of all fall into the $0.1\text{--}0.2 \text{ ng l}^{-1}$ range. At least 15% of all events at BB had MeHg concentrations exceeding 1.0 ng l^{-1} which was not observed at JY.

4 Discussion

4.1 The relationship between mercury concentrations in precipitation and rain amount

THg, MeHg concentrations as well as the corresponding precipitation amount of every rain event at the three sites were shown in Fig. 6. Our results show that the THg and MeHg concentrations vary with rain amount. Significant negative correlations between THg and rain amount ($r = -0.176$, $p = 0.005$), as well as MeHg and the rain amount ($r = -0.151$, $p = 0.016$) were also observed. In our research, lower rain amount

in winter (47.8 mm, about one-tenth of that in summer) may be one possible reason for the highest THg concentration.

Frequent plum rains (continuous light rain) in autumn may explain the highest MeHg concentration. Light rain tends to have higher MeHg concentrations than does heavy rain, considering the similar amount of total MeHg sharing in different study sites. Statistically significant differences in MeHg were observed between spring and autumn ($p = 0.520$), although the precipitation amount was similar in spring (263.5 mm, 20 events) and autumn (207.1 mm, 28 events).

We grouped the measurements at the three sites according to the rainfall (China Meteorological Administration): light rain 0–10 mm d⁻¹; moderate rain 10–25 mm d⁻¹ and heavy rain 25–50 mm d⁻¹ (Fig. 7). Torrential rains (50–100 mm d⁻¹) and downpours (>100 mm d⁻¹) were not taken into our consideration due to low frequencies. Fig.7 shows that lighter rain events exhibit higher THg and MeHg concentrations. THg concentrations measured in rainfalls less than 2 mm were significantly higher than those from heavier rains (2–5 mm, $p = 0.001$; 5–10 mm, $p = 0.000$; 10–25 mm, $p = 0.000$; 25–50 mm, $p = 0.000$). 25–50 mm rainfall showed statistically significant difference in THg concentration when compared to <2 mm ($p = 0.000$) and 2–5 mm rainfall ($p = 0.042$). However, the difference was statistically insignificant when compared to 5–10 mm ($p = 0.277$) and 10–25 mm ($p = 0.188$) rainfall. These results support the perspective that atmospheric mercury is effectively scavenged during the early part of the rain event (Guo et al., 2008; Hall et al., 2005). As described above, it is likely that the PHg in precipitation accounted for about 63.3% of THg in our research, being consistent with that the scavenging of PHg by precipitation contributed significantly to mercury wet deposition at urban site (Sakata and Marumoto, 2005).

In addition, our research also preliminarily indicates that 0–5 mm rainfall plays a dominant role in scavenging atmospheric Hg and 5 mm may be a threshold value for the biggest wash-out capability to Hg. The part of rain exceeding 5 mm may play a dilution role in term of concentration, instead of washing out.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



However, no statistically significant relationship was observed between rainfall and MeHg concentrations.

4.2 Anthropogenic influence on mercury distribution in precipitation

In order to investigate the anthropogenic contributions to precipitative Hg, we examined the correlations between THg and major anions in precipitation. It is interesting that a highly significant correlation was observed between THg and SO_4^{2-} ($r = 0.306$, $p = 0.000$), and between THg and NO_3^- ($r = 0.314$, $p = 0.000$) concentrations in precipitation. SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- in precipitation mainly come from the washout of SO_2 , NO_x in atmosphere, which are indicators for anthropogenic influences (Mao et al., 2008).

SO_2 is emitted primarily from the combustion of coal. Coal combustion emission of Hg (about 5 ty^{-1}) contributes to about 57% of total anthropogenic emissions in Chongqing (Wang et al., 2006). In recent 10 yr, with the industrial developments in Chongqing, the coal consumption increased from 17.0×10^6 tons of Standard Coal Equivalent (SCE) in 2001 to 48.6×10^6 tons in 2011 (Chongqing Statistics Yearbook, 2011). In addition, sulfur-rich coal makes a large proportion in the coal consumption in Chongqing, which unleashes increasing SO_2 into the atmosphere (as shown in Fig. 8, SO_4^{2-} accounts for about 75% in all anions), and results in serious acid rain, which affects biogeochemical behaviors of Hg, leading to a high washout ratio and formation of MeHg (Branfireun et al., 1999; Wong et al., 2006). In our research, the pH ranged from 2.88 to 7.27, with an acid rain ($\text{pH} < 5.6$) frequency up to 80%. However, there was no significant correlation between pH and THg/MeHg concentrations ($p = 0.587$; $p = 0.530$). Thereafter, we preliminarily presume that the coal combustion is a main source for mercury wet deposition in Chongqing. Similarly, in Eastern Ohio, USA (Keeler et al., 2006) the dominant contributor to mercury wet deposition was found to be coal combustion ($\sim 70\%$). Thus, the increased coal combustion for house heating may also explain the high THg in precipitation in winter, besides the decreased rain

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



amount (as discussed in Sect. 3.4). Guo et al. (2008) also pointed out that maximum THg concentrations in rain in winter may be related to housing heating using coal.

NO_x in atmosphere is emitted directly from the combustion of fossil fuels (such as coal) and transportation sources (Caffrey et al., 2010; Lynam et al., 2006; Lombard et al., 2011). NO_x exhibits peak concentrations in the morning, typically associated with the morning commute emissions (Touma et al., 2006). In addition, transportation sources are considered as one of the contributors to atmospheric Hg in urban setting (Lyman and Gustin, 2008), and hourly averaged total gaseous mercury (TGM) concentrations were positively correlated with NO_x ($y = 7.0\text{NO}_x$ in ppm + 1.9, $r^2 = 0.59$, $p < 0.0001$) (Stamenkovic et al., 2007). Motor vehicles were one of the most important contributors to atmospheric Hg in Chongqing (Yang et al., 2009). In Chongqing, the number of civil motor vehicles had increased for 5.75 folds from 2000 to 2010 (Chongqing Statistics Yearbook, 2001–2011). NO_3^- in precipitation made up 30 % of all measured anions (Fig. 8). Hence, besides coal combustion, mobile transportation may also influence the Hg distribution patterns within the urban areas Chongqing.

Higher THg levels at NA site were probably owing to the dense population centers and heavy transportation. The sampling site in NA district is about 5 km away from the Chongqing thermal power plant and 15 km away from the Luohuang thermal power plant (Fig. 1) which will be removed from Chongqing core urban areas by the end of 2013. By generating abundant Hg-containing particles (accounting for 62.6 % of THg at NA site), these two power plants greatly influenced the precipitation Hg concentration. Both wet and dry Hg deposition can be dominated by PHg removal (Gildemeister et al., 2005; Sakata and Marumoto, 2002).

Unlike the NA site which locates in a relatively densely populated and crowded transport area, there is no major mercury emission source around the BB sampling site. Even though JY is relatively isolated from human activities (locates near rural areas and is generally characterized by extensive forest cover), the results showed no significant difference between BB and JY sampling sites. Long range atmospheric transport of Hg from surrounding areas may be the predominant source for Hg in JY.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Increased atmospheric loadings of Hg could lead to enhanced MeHg in precipitation (Hammerschmidt et al., 2007). However, there was no significant correlation between concentrations of MeHg and THg in precipitation in our research, indicating that they may come from different sources, and not related with anthropogenic source. Sampling is ongoing at these sites, and further researches will be able to identify the long-term trend and sources of MeHg in atmosphere or precipitation.

5 Conclusions

From July 2010 to June 2011, THg and MeHg concentrations in precipitation were investigated in the core urban areas of Chongqing, a traditional industrial city consuming large amount of coal as the major fuel source (70 % of energy consumption). The annual mean THg and MeHg concentrations in precipitation were 30.67 ng l^{-1} and 0.31 ng l^{-1} , respectively. The proportion of MeHg in THg ranged from 0.1 % to 7.6 % with a mean value of 1.3 %. An obvious seasonal variation of THg concentration was observed in precipitation, with highest value in winter. This could attribute to the rain amount and the seasonal variations in anthropogenic emission sources, especially the coal combustion, a main source of mercury wet deposition in Chongqing. However, the highest MeHg value was observed in autumn. A geographic trend of decreasing THg concentrations in precipitation was also observed from the center to the suburb, and then to the exurb, which was related to emission sources and long range transport of Hg. Furthermore, 5 mm rainfall may be a threshold for the full wash-out capability, rainfall exceeding 5 mm may have a diluting effect.

Acknowledgements. This work is financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (40973079, 41173116) and Postgraduate Sci-tech Innovation Foundation of Southwest University (kb2010019). We thank Junjian Hu and Yaozu Guo for their assistance in the samples collections. We are very much grateful to Luo Peng and Lei Zhang at Winsor University, Canada, for their valuable suggestion and assistance to the revised manuscript.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



References

- Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET), available at: <http://www.eanet.cc/product/>, 2012.
- Allan, C. J. and Heyes, A.: A preliminary assessment of wet deposition and episodic transport of total and methyl mercury from low order blue ridge watersheds, S.E. USA, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 105, 573–592, 1998.
- Bloom, N. S., Moretto, L. M., Scopece, P., and Ugo, P.: Seasonal cycling of mercury and monomethyl mercury in the Venice Lagoon (Italy), *Mar. Chem.*, 91, 85–99, 2004.
- Branfireun, B. A., Roulet, N. T., Kelly, C. A., and Rudd, J. W. M.: In situ sulphate stimulation of mercury methylation in a boreal peatland: towards a link between acid rain and methylmercury contamination in remote environments, *Global Biochem.*, 13, 743–750, 1999.
- Brosset, C. and Lord, E.: Methylmercury in ambient air, Method of determination and some measurement results, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 82, 739–750, 1995.
- Caffrey, J. M., Landing, W. M., Nolek, S. D., Gosnell, K. J., Bagui, S. S., and Bagui, S. C.: Atmospheric deposition of mercury and major ions to the Pensacola (Florida) watershed: spatial, seasonal, and inter-annual variability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5425–5434, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5425-2010, 2010.
- Coquery, M., Cossa, D., and Sanjuan, J.: Speciation and sorption of mercury in two macro-tidal estuaries, *Mar. Chem.*, 58, 213–227, 1997.
- CAMNet. Canadian Atmospheric Mercury Measurement Network: <http://www.msc.ec.gc.ca/arqp/camnet-e.cfm>, 2006.
- China Meteorological Administration: <http://www.cma.gov.cn/>, last access: 6 October 2010.
- Choi, H. D., Sharac, T. J., and Holsen, T. M.: Mercury deposition in Adirondacks: A comparison between precipitation and throughfall, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1818–1827, 2008.
- Fitzgerald, W. F., Mason, R. P., and Vandal, G. M.: Atmospheric cycling and air-water exchange of mercury over mid-continental lacustrine regions, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 56, 745–767, 1991.
- Fu, X. W., Feng, X., Dong, Z. Q., Yin, R. S., Wang, J. X., Yang, Z. R., and Zhang, H.: Atmospheric gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) concentrations and mercury depositions at a high-altitude mountain peak in south China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2425–2437, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2425-2010, 2010a.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Zhu, W., Z., Rothenberg, S., Yao, H., and Zhang, H.: Elevated atmospheric deposition and dynamics of mercury in a remote upland forest of southwestern China, *Environ. Poll.*, 158, 2324–2333, 2010b.
- Gildemeister, A. E., Graney, J., and Keeler, G. J.: Source proximity reflected in spatial and temporal variability in particle and vapor phase Hg concentrations in Detroit, Mich, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 353–358, 2005.
- Guentzel, J., Landing, W. M., Gill, G. A., and Pollman, C. D.: Processes influencing rainfall deposition of mercury in Florida, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35, 863–873, 2001.
- Guo, Y. N., Feng, X. B., Li, Z. G., He, T. R., Yan, H. Y., Meng, B., Zhang, J. F., and Qiu, G. L.: Distribution and wet deposition fluxes of total and methyl mercury in Wujiang reservoir Basin, Guizhou, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 7096–7103, 2008.
- Hall, B. D., Manolopoulos, H., Hurley, J. P., Schauer, J. J., St. Louis, V. L., Kenski, D., Graydon, J., Babiarz, C. L., Cleckner, L. B., and Keeler, G. J.: Methyl and total mercury in precipitation in the Great Lakes region, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 7557–7569, 2005.
- Hammerschmidt, C. R., Lamborg, C. H., and Fitzgerald, W. F.: Aqueous phase methylation as a potential source of methylmercury in wet deposition, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 1663–1668, 2007.
- Jiang, G. B., Shi, J. B., and Feng, X. B.: Mercury pollution in China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 3672–3678, 2006.
- Keeler, G. J., Gratz, L. E., and Al-wili, K.: Long-term atmospheric mercury wet deposition at Underhill, Vermont, *Ecotoxicol.*, 14, 71–83, 2005.
- Keeler, G. J., Landis, M. S., Norris, G. A., Christianson, E. M., and Dvonch, J. T.: Sources of mercury wet deposition in Eastern Ohio, USA, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 5874–5881, 2006.
- Lamborg, C. H., Fitzgerald, W. F., Vandal, G. M., and Rolfhus, K. R.: Atmospheric mercury in northern Wisconsin: sources and species, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 80, 189–198, 1995.
- Landis, M. S. and Keeler, G. J.: Atmospheric mercury deposition to Lake Michigan during the Lake Michigan mass balance study, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 4518–4524, 2002.
- Leermakers, M., Meuleman, C., and Baeyens, W.: Mercury distribution and fluxes in LakBaikal, in: *Global and Regional Mercury Cycles: Sources, Fluxes and Mass Balances*, edited by: Baeyens, W. R. G., Ebinghaus, R., and Vasiliev, O., Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 303–315, 1996.
- Li, Y., Geng, D., Dong, X. N., and Zhu, Y.: Climate change of wind speed in Chongqing from 1961 to 2007, *Trans. Atmos. Sci.*, 33, 336–340, 2010.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Lindberg, S., Bullock, R., Ebinghaus, R., Engstrom, D., Feng, X. B., Fitzgerald, W., Pirrone, N., Prestbo, E., and Seigneur, C.: A Synthesis of Progress and Uncertainties in Attributing the Sources of Mercury in Deposition, *J.e Human Environ.*, 36, 19–33, 2007.
- Lombard, M. A. S., Bryce, J. G., Mao, H., and Talbot, R.: Mercury deposition in Southern New Hampshire, 2006–2009, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7657–7668, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7657-2011, 2011.
- Lyman, S. N. and Gustin, S.: Speciation of atmospheric mercury at two sites in northern Nevada, USA, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 927–939, 2008.
- Lynam, M. M. and Keeler, G. J.: Source-receptor relationships for atmospheric mercury in urban Detroit, Michigan, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 3144–3155, 2006.
- Mao, H., Talbot, R. W., Sigler, J. M., Sive, B. C., and Hegarty, J. D.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of Hg⁰ over New England, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 1403–1421, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1403-2008, 2008.
- Mason, R. P. and Sullivan, K. A.: The distribution and speciation of mercury in the South and equatorial Atlantic, *Deep-Sea Res. II*, 46, 937–956, 1999.
- Mason, R. P., Lawson, N. M., and Sheu, G. R.: Annual and seasonal trends in mercury deposition in Maryland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1691–1701, 2000.
- Meili, M.: Mercury in Lakes and Rivers, in: *Metal Ions in Biological Systems*, Vol. 34: Mercury and its Effect on Environment and Biology, edited by: Sigel, A. and Sigel, H., Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, chp. 2, 21–51, 1997.
- Munthe, J., Hultberg, H., and Iverfeldt, Å.: Mechanisms of deposition of methyl mercury and mercury to coniferous forests, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 80, 363–371, 1995a.
- Munthe, J., Hultberg, H., Lee, Y.-H., Parkman, H., Iverfeldt, Å., and Renberg, I.: Trends of mercury and methyl-mercury in deposition, run-off water and sediments in relation to experimental manipulations and acidification, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 85, 43–48, 1995b.
- National Atmospheric Deposition Program, 2006 Annual Summary, Mercury Deposition Network, 11–14, <http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/lib/data/2006as.pdf>, 2007.
- National Research Council: Toxicological effects of MeHg, Committee Report, Board of Environmental Studies and Toxicology, National Academy press, Washing DC, p. 344, 2000.
- Nguyen, H. L., Leermakers, M., Kurunczi, S., Bazo, L., and Baeyens, W.: Mercury distribution and speciation in Lake Balaton, Hungary, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 340, 231–246, 2005.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Rolfhus, K. R., Sakamoto, H. E., Cleckner, L. B., Stoor, R. W., Babiarz, C. L., Back, R. C., Manolopoulos H., and Hurley, J. P.: Distribution and fluxes of total and methylmercury in Lake Superior, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37, 865–872, doi:10.1021/es026065e, 2003.
- Sakata, M. and Marumoto, K.: Formation of atmospheric particulate matter in the Tokyo metropolitan area, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 239–246, 2002.
- Sakata, M. and Marumoto, K.: Wet and dry deposition fluxes of mercury in Japan, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 3139–3146, 2005.
- Sorensen, J., Glass, G., Schmidt, K., Huber, J., and Rapp Jr., G.: Airborne mercury deposition and watershed characteristics in relation to mercury concentrations in water, sediments, plankton, and fish of eighty northern Minnesota lakes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 24, 1716–1727, 1990.
- St. Louis, V. L., Rudd, J. W. M., Kelly, C. A., Beaty, K. G., Bloom, N. S., and Flett, R. J.: Importance of wetlands as sources of methylmercury to boreal forest ecosystems, *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 51, 1065–1076, 1994.
- St. Louis, V. L., Rudd, J. W. M., Kelly, C. A., and Barrie, L. A.: Wet deposition of methyl mercury in northwestern Ontario compared to other geographic locations, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 80, 405–414, 1995.
- St. Louis, V. L., Sharp, M. J., Steffen, A., May, A., Barker, J., Kirk, J. A., Kelly, D. J. A., Arnott, S. E., Keatley, B., and Smol, J. P.: Some sources and sinks of monomethyl and inorganic mercury on Ellesmere Island in the Canadian High Arctic, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 2686–2701, 2005.
- Stamenkovic, J., Lyman, S., and Gustin, M. S.: Seasonal and diel variation of atmospheric mercury concentrations in the Reno (Nevada, USA) airshed, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 6662–6672, 2007.
- Statistic Bureau of Chongqing. Chongqing Statistics Yearbook, Chongqing Press, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010.
- Streets D. G., Hao, J. M., Wu, Y., Jiang, J. K. Chan, M., Tian, H. Z., and Feng, X. B.: Anthropogenic mercury emissions in China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 7789–7806, 2005.
- Touma, J. S., Cox, W. M., and Tikvar, J. A.: Spatial and temporal variability of ambient air toxics data, *Air Waste Manage. Assoc.*, 56, 1716–1725, 2006.
- US EPA: Method 1631: Revision E, Mercury in water by Oxidation, Purge and Trap, and Cold Vapor atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1–33, 2002.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



US EPA: Method 1630: Methyl mercury in water by distillation, aqueous ethylation, purge and trap, and CVAFS. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology Engineering and Analysis Division (4303), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460, 1–41, 2001.

5 US EPA: Method 300: Revision 2.1, Determination of inorganic anions by ion chromatography, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental monitoring systems laboratory office of research and development, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268, 1–28, 1993

Wan, Q., Feng, X. B., Julia, L., Zheng, W., Song, X. J., Li, P., Han, S. J., and Xu, H.: Atmospheric mercury in Changbai Mountain area, northeastern China II. The distribution of reactive gaseous mercury and particulate mercury and mercury deposition fluxes, Environ. Res., 109, 721–727, 2009.

Wang, D. Y., He, L., Wei, S. Q., and Feng, X. B.: Estimation of mercury emission from different sources to atmosphere in Chongqing, China, Sci. Total Environ., 366, 722–728, 2006.

15 Wang, Q. C., Shen, W. G., and Ma, Z. W.: The estimation of mercury emission from coal combustion in China, China Environ. Sci., 19, 318–321, 1999 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Wong, C. S. C., Duzgoren-Aydin, N. S., Aydin, A., and Wong, M. H.: Sources and trends of environmental mercury in Asia, Sci. Total Environ., 368, 649–662, 2006.

Yang, Y. K., Chen, H., and Wang, D. Y.: Spatial and temporal distribution of gaseous elemental mercury in Chongqing, China, Environ. Monit. Assess., 156, 479–489, 2009.

20 Zhang, L. and Wong, M. H.: Environmental mercury contamination in China: sources and impacts, Environ. Int., 33, 108–121, 2007.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Description of sampling sites in core urban areas, Chongqing, China.

Site	Type	Descript
Nanan District (NA)	city center	a crowded place with lots of markets and high population density (2294 people per square kilometer)
Beibei District (BB)	suburb	a residential area with good vegetation cover, population density (843 people per square kilometer)
Jinyun Mountain (JY)	exurb	near rural regions, covered by extensive forest with little huamn activities

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Table 2. Comparison of THg and MeHg concentration in precipitation measured in Core urban area, Chongqing, China and those at other sites.

	Rainfall (mm)	Concentration (ng l ⁻¹)		Time	Classification	Reference
		THg	MeHg			
Main urban area, Chongqing, China	921	30.67 (5.81–157.58)	0.31(0.04–1.94)	Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Urban	This study
Wujiang, Guizhou, China	963	36.0 (7.5–149.1) ¹	0.19 (0.08–0.82) ¹	Jan to Dec 2006	Semi-remote	Guo et al. (2008)
Mt. Leigong, Guizhou, China	1533	4 (1.2–30.8)	0.04 (< detection level to 0.15)	May 2008 to May 2009	Remote	Fu et al. (2010a)
Mt. Gongga, Sichuan, China	1818	14.3 ± 7.7	0.16 ± 0.08	May 2005 to Apr 2007	Remote	Fu et al. (2010b)
Mt. Changbai, Jilin, China		11.5–15.9		Aug 2005 to Jul 2006	Remote	Wan et al. (2009)
Caryville, Tennessee, USA	1410 ± 100	10 ± 1		1995–1996	Town	Guentzel et al. (2001)
Underhill, Veront, USA	1091 ²	7.8–10.5 ³		1993–2004	Remote	Keeler et al. (2005)
Newcomb, New York, USA		4.9 (0.2–28.5)		Dec 2004 to Dec 2006	Remote	Choi (2008)
Great Lake Region		13.5 (10–60)	0.097 (0.01–0.85)	May 1997 to Dec 2003		Hall et al. (2005)
ELA, Northwestern Ontario, Canada	835.8	4.04 (0.95–9.31)	0.052 (0.010–0.179)	1992–1993	Remote	St. Louis et al. (1995)
Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory, North Carolin, USA	139.7	5.75–25	<0.025–0.16	11 Jun–14 Jul 1994	Remote	Allan et al. (1998)

¹ Precipitation Hg concentrations in Wujiang, China probably overestimate the “real” levels of precipitations semi-rural areas of Guizhou province for its measurements impacted by dry depositions.

² Mean rainfall for 11 yr.

³ The range of annual VMW concentration for 11 yr.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Table 3. The consumption of total energy and coal (10 000 tons of Standard Coal Equivalent) and the percentage of coal consumption to total energy consumption from 2001 to 2010 in Chongqing.

year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
coal consumption	1700.4	1929.9	2206.4	2505.1	3151.7	3381.9	3832.3	4049.0	4499.8	4857.6
total consumption of energy	2573.7	2823.1	3137.9	3668.4	4464.6	4881.6	5512.4	5895.1	6431.6	7117.4
coal %	66.1%	68.4%	70.3%	68.3%	70.6%	69.3%	69.5%	68.7%	70.0%	68.3%


Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

**Fig. 1.** The locations of study area and sampling sites.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

**Fig. 2.** THg concentrations in all precipitation samples at three sampling sites over time.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.




Fig. 4. The percent of THg present as MeHg in all precipitation events at 3 sites.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.




Fig. 5. MeHg concentrations in all precipitation samples at three sampling sites over time.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Fig. 6. The relationship between THg and MeHg concentrations with rain amount.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.




Fig. 7. THg and MeHg concentrations in precipitation collected from three sampling sites grouped together according to different rain amount.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 8. The percent of main anions in precipitation.

Spatial and temporal distributions of total and methyl mercury

Y. M. Wang et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

