

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Hygroscopic behavior of individual NaNO₃ particles” by M.-J. Lee et al.

M.-J. Lee et al.

curo@inha.ac.kr

Received and published: 4 October 2011

Anonymous Referee #1

First of all, I thank the reviewer for his/her comments and review.

Please let me reply to the comments one by one.

1. comment: Lee et al. investigated the hygroscopic properties of individual NaNO₃ particles. Specifically, they focused on the DRH and ERH of NaNO₃ particles ranging in size from 2.5 to 4 micrometers. The main conclusion from the results is that NaNO₃ particles do not homogeneously effloresce (i.e. homogeneously crystallize) for typical atmospheric relative humidities and time scales. In contrast, NaNO₃ particles contaminated with unidentified heterogeneous nuclei or NaNO₃ particles suspended on certain types of solid surfaces (such as Al foil or Ag foil) can effloresce heterogeneously at RH

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Interactive
Comment

values above 20%. The researchers have carefully performed the measurements, but I do not recommend the paper for publication in ACP for the following reasons: 1) as pointed out by Lee et al., several authors have already shown that NaNO₃ particles do not effloresce homogeneously under typical atmospheric RH conditions (see for example references [2] and [1]).

* reply: Some researchers have observed DRH and ERH of NaNO₃ particles, but the others not. The point is that I want to explain why the hygroscopic behavior of NaNO₃ standard particles has been controversial, which has never been investigated systematically before.

2. comment: The heterogeneous results are not likely atmospherically relevant. Even though the authors observed heterogeneous nucleation in the laboratory, this doesn't mean that the same process will occur in the atmosphere. One suggestion for the authors is to carry out experiments using heterogeneous nuclei typically found in the atmosphere, such as mineral dust or soot particles. In the current manuscript, I don't see the connection between the heterogeneous results and the atmosphere.

* reply: If the heterogeneous results are not atmospherically relevant, then I would like to ask whether the homogeneous systems which have been published by many people in atmospheric environmental journals including ACP so far are atmospherically relevant.

References: 1. Gysel, M., E. Weingartner, and U. Baltensperger, Hygroscopicity of aerosol particles at low temperatures. 2. Theoretical and experimental hygroscopic properties of laboratory generated aerosols. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2002. 36(1): p. 63-68. 3. Hoffman, R.C., A. Laskin, and B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, Sodium nitrate particles: physical and chemical properties during hydration and dehydration, and implications for aged sea salt aerosols. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 2004. 35(7): p. 869-887.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)