

Interactive
Comment

***Interactive comment on* “The first estimates of global nucleation mode aerosol concentrations based on satellite measurements” by M. Kulmala et al.**

M. Kulmala et al.

tuomo.nieminen@helsinki.fi

Received and published: 13 September 2011

General comment:

It is nice to see that satellite products are used in the studies of the ultrafine particles, even though the results are not so good. The authors state that the derived proxies are able to predict the concentration of nucleation mode particles over the continents but the results do not support this conclusion. Reasoning for that will be presented in the Specific comments section of this review.

After some changes the manuscript could be suitable for publication in ACP. Not for the goodness of the results but in order to encourage developing more suitable satellite

C8911

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



products for the analysis of fine particles. My suggestion is that the main conclusion should be reformulated such that it is not possible to get adequate estimation results for nucleation mode particles with current satellite products.

We thank the referee for these important points. We will reformulate the main conclusions made in the paper, including the last sentence in the abstract.

Specific comments:

Page 18830, lines 5-9: How reliable is the estimating method of [ORG]? If the method by Paasonen et al. (2010) is used, some kind of estimate of the additional variation caused by the calculation of [ORG] should be given.

We will rewrite the derivation of proxies 11 and 12 to include better discussion about different possibilities to estimate [ORG] and associated uncertainties.

Page 18832, lines 20-24 and Page 18834, lines 20-29: Removing [SO₂] and [ORG] from the proxies only because data is not available is disturbing. Several studies have shown that SO₂ is an important factor on the new particle formation and growth. In a clean environment like Hyytiälä it might be possible to set [SO₂] constant but then [ORG] should be included in the proxy due to the emissions from the boreal forest environment. In more polluted environments removing [SO₂] from the proxy would most probably lead to flawed results.

We agree with the reviewer. Our intention here was not to justify removal of [SO₂] and [ORG] from the proxies, just to say that it is at this stage necessary if satellite data are used. We will modify the text accordingly.

- What is the correlation between UV*SO₂ and Nnuc?

We will include discussion about this correlation as well in the revised manuscript.

- Do you think that the results made with Hyytiälä data can be extrapolated into global

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



environment? Especially over polluted areas?

We have derived the proxies from theory, not using any experimental data. Our intension in comparing the proxy with the Hyytiala data was to illustrate the potential problems resulting from the assumptions that are necessary when applying the proxies to satellite data, rather than trying to justify these assumptions. We will modify the text to bring up this message more concretely.

Page 18834, lines 4-19: This section gives many explanations why the data is scattered, but would it be possible to eliminate some of the variation with a simple parameterization?

This is a very good idea and something that we would like to do in the future when more field data and possibly new satellite products will be available. At this stage, we did not find any simple way to go beyond what we already did when deriving these proxies.

Figure 1: I would like to see this plot such as the axes would be scaled to the same range.

We will modify the x-axis such a way that it will span 5 orders of magnitude similar to the y-axis. We will do the same for Figure 2.

Figures 1-2 and referring text: The coefficient of determination (R^2) is a measure of how well the regression line represents the data. The analysis has been made with linear least squares fit and the model contains intercept term. Thus, by definition, R^2 can be calculated as square of the Pearson correlation between the observations and the predictions given by the estimated function, which I assume to be r-value reported in the captions of Figures 1-2. In Fig 1. R^2 values for the fits are less than 0.3, which indicates that the proxies are able to explain less than 30

Drawing a line through a random sample and claiming that there exists significant correlation is bad statistics and in some cases even deceptive.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



We will modify the text according to these comments.

In the light of these results I would say that the statement in the end of the abstract: "The global pattern of nucleation mode particle number concentration predicted by satellite data using our proxies compares fairly well with both observations and global model simulations." is far too optimistic.

We admit that this statement may sound overly optimistic. We will modify the sentence.

Page 18835, lines 6-9: I did not find the number of data points in Figure 2 but it seems that the N of observations is so large that the test for the significance of the correlation coefficient is meaningless. Since the test for significance is highly dependent of number of observations, even weak correlations may seem to be statistically significant.

We agree with the referee.

Page 18835, lines 9-11: I agree with this sentence: "We may conclude that while our solution to replace CS with AOD is necessary in order to apply the proxies to a global scale using satellite data, it is clearly not the ideal one." The Section 3.1 should end on that.

We will remove the last sentences from the end of section 3.1 and discuss this issue a bit more in section 3.2.

Page 18836, lines 9-15 and Figure 4: Does the AOD have a seasonal cycle or is the seasonality shown in the plot caused only by UV?

The relative importance of AOD and UV in causing the seasonal cycle of the proxy varies geographically. The seasonal variability of UV is certainly more important at high latitudes than over tropical areas. The seasonal variability of AOD may be very high in certain locations, such as regions with major biomass burning activities. On average, the variability of UV appears to dominate over that of AOD.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Page 18837, lines 21-23: If the proxy fits poorly to Hyytiälä data, how does it justify the use of it to global environment? In addition, how is it possible to use only data measured in Hyytiälä to justify the use of the derived proxies outside of boreal forest region?

We admit that this sentence was poorly formulated. Our intension in comparing the proxy with the Hyytiala data was to illustrate the potential problems resulting from the assumptions that are necessary when applying the proxies to satellite data, rather than trying to justify these assumptions. We will reformulate our statement.

Page 18838, lines 9-19: This section is the main result of the study and the Concluding remarks section should be written accordingly.

We will rewrite the conclusions section.

Minor comments:

Add basic statistics from the measured parameters used in the proxy construction; e.g. mean, median variance, N of observations, averaging period

Page 18825, line 5, Raes et al., 2010 missing from the reference list

Page 18826, line 11, change Merikanto to Merikanto

Page 18829, line 7, Sipilä et al., 2010 missing from the reference list

Page 18836, line 23, Suni et al., 2008 missing from the reference list

We will add the statistical parameters in the case of comparison between the proxies and measurement data in Hyytiälä (Figures 1 and 2). In the construction of the proxies no measurement data has been used, i.e. the results shown in Figures 4 and 5 are independent of any measured parameters.

We will correct the errors in the reference list.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper