

Interactive comment on “Novel application of satellite and in-situ measurements to map surface-level NO₂ in the Great Lakes region” by C. J. Lee et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 10 August 2011

The paper introduces an interesting approach to link surface measurements and satellite observations of NO₂, which allows to derive maps of surface-level NO₂. It is well written and clearly structured. The methods (and their limitations) are explained comprehensibly. It should be published on ACP after minor revisions.

Comments:

1. Page 17248: It is mentioned later, but it should be pointed out already here that there are two different OMI NO₂ products, with significant differences. Thus, it is not straightforward to compare the quantitative results from the various comparisons of OMI and in-situ data.

C7619

2. Page 17254 Line 21: The reference to Lamsal is misleading here; for both SP and DOMINO, one separate reference should be given.

3. Page 17264 Line 1: This is rather surprising; is there at least a relationship of H to e.g. temperature for a relaxed significance criterion?

4. Page 17265 1st paragraph: Is the decrease also observed in the in-situ data?

5. Page 17267 Line 1: Please specify “sufficient”.

6. Caption Fig. 2: Please add “OMI” after “average” and give the period of the campaign.

7. Fig. 4: Std might be added as error bar.

8. Fig. 8: I was bemused by the yellow lines and first interpreted them as a sharp gradient in NO₂; please choose a color which is not contained in the colorscale (like grey) and explain them in the legend (roads, borders, something else?)

9. Fig. 11: As in Fig. 8, the respective in-situ measurements should be added as color coded circles.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 17245, 2011.

C7620