Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C7017–C7019, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/C7017/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD 11, C7017–C7019, 2011

> Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Measurements of cloud condensation nuclei activity and droplet activation kinetics of wet processed regional dust samples and minerals" by P. Kumar et al.

### P. Kumar et al.

nenes@eas.gatech.edu

Received and published: 27 July 2011

#### **Response to Referee #2**

We thank the referee for the thoughtful review. Our responses to the issues raised follow.

Reviewer: Page 12562, Lines 8-9: The generation method should be noted here. Also, please clarify that these are soil samples that were atomized, rather than resuspended dust collected on a filter, for example

Author: Done.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



C7018

Reviewer: Page 12575, Line 26: Fix typo.

Author: The exponents  $x_{exp}$  were determined follwing the procedure of Kumar et al. (2009b);  $s_c$  is determined by numerically finding the maximum of equation 15 for a range of dry diameters. The resulting dry diameter-supersaturation pairs are fit to a power law, from which  $x_{exp}$  is determined. The fraction of solute and its composition for each sample were obtained from available literature (Reheis, 1997, Kandler et al., 2009).

Reviewer: Page 12585, Last Paragraph: It is not clear how equation 15 was applied to result in the data points shown in Fig. 9. These are exciting results that show how this new framework can be applied to other samples; therefore, please clarify this process. How were different chemistry solutes considered?

#### Author: Excellent point. A table is now included.

Author: Done

Reviewer: Section 5: Addition of a glossary table is suggested to clearly define (in one place) all of the terms utilized in the equations, as searching for the term definitions can become cumbersome.

Author: This is a good point. Regional dust soils are a mixture of different minerals in various proportions that vary considerably even at the same region. A direct comparison is thus difficult, so we consider a qualitative assessment instead.

Reviewer: Section 4: For interpretation of the soil sample data, it would be useful to state the most prevalent minerals in the soils (should be available in the literature); this should allow for comparisons between the minerals and soils and greater interpretation of the CCN properties.

Reviewer: Section 2.2: It should be mentioned that an SMPS was utilized for the size distribution measurements, as shown in Fig. 1.

## ACPD 11, C7017–C7019, 2011

Interactive Comment



**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



Author: Corrected.

Reviewer: Page 12577, Lines 1-2: Fix grammar.

Author: Corrected.

Reviewer: Page 12581, Lines 11-12: "dry dust lake beds" should be "dry lakebeds".

Author: Corrected.

Reviewer: Page 12581, Line 27: "or the Kelvin" should be "or Kelvin".

Author: Corrected.

Reviewer: Page 12586, Lines 18-20: Rearrange sentence for clarity.

Author: Corrected.

Reviewer: Page 12591, Lines 27-30: Sullivan et al. has since been published in final form in ACP; please fix

Author: Corrected.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 12561, 2011.

ACPD 11, C7017–C7019, 2011

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

