Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C5648–C5649, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/C5648/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Snow optical properties at Dome C, Antarctica – implications for snow emissions and snow chemistry of reactive nitrogen" by J. L. France et al.

## Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 29 June 2011

The manuscript presents a photochemical model that describes the release of NO<sub>2</sub> from phot-decay of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> present in surface snow, using various solar zenith angles and rates of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> decay in a radiative transfer model for clear sky conditions. The focus of the study is on surface snow at Dome C, Antarctica: an important study area with regards to snow-boundary layer interactions and the regional formation of ozone, where the snowpack is considered to play a major role in the supply of ozone precursor compounds like NO<sub>2</sub>.

The rationale, approach and objectives are sound, and in short the paper is very well written with an excellent set of figures to illustrate key data and findings. The inclusion

C5648

of measured data and the ensuing discussion comparing the model  ${\rm NO}_2$  fluxes with earlier measured data is both interesting and useful.

## Comments

- The rate of nitrate photolysis is dependent on the quantum yield of photodegradation ( $\Phi$ ) and yet there is some uncertainty in this value, as raised by the authors (p11969). Did the authors therefore attempt a sensitivity analysis by varying values of  $\Phi$  based on the range of lab-based measurements? Furthermore, is it likely that the model output (re: NO<sub>2</sub>flux) will be strongly influenced by this parameter and, if so, do the range of model values e.g. min max lines in Fig 5, reflect different  $\Phi$ .
- Figure 6. The figure legend describes dotted lines to illustrate the min max NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, but these aren't present on the figure (not in my PDF version anyway).

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 11959, 2011.