

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “ARCTAS-A ground-based observational campaign and meteorological context, interior Alaska, April 2008” by D. E. Atkinson et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 29 June 2011

This manuscript uses lidar data, chemical data from a DRUM sampler, and size distribution data from SMPS and OPC to describe changes in air masses over Fairbanks in April 2008. The manuscript reads like it was rushed to meet a deadline. It is poorly organized, repetitive, incomplete (what is the upper panel in figure 2, where is the legend in figure 9, the legend I figure 21 is not readable, the methods are poorly defined with nothing to assure us that they are meaningful), and grammatically challenged. Did the co-authors read this manuscript?

Scientifically the paper tries to identify distinct layers of aerosols with a lidar and then define these layers with ground based chemical measurements. This makes no sense

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

to me at all. The limited SMPS data are used to describe two “components”. Is there any statistical bases for this? Are the number size distributions below 3450m really different?

There may be a manuscript in this data set but it is not clear to me from what is presented here.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 16499, 2011.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper