

***Interactive comment on “Lidar-derived PM<sub>10</sub> and comparison with regional modeling in the frame of the MEGAPOLI Paris summer campaign” by P. Royer et al.***

**J. Schneider**

johannes.schneider@mpic.de

Received and published: 6 June 2011

Dear Philippe and co-authors, a short correction to your ACPD-paper "Lidar-derived PM10 and comparison with regional modeling in the frame of the MEGAPOLI Paris summer campaign":

At the beginning of section 5.5.3 (page 11886, lines 7 - 10) you write:

"AMS and soot measurements during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign at the Golf site/Livry Gargan at the north-eastern edge of the agglomeration made evident that secondary inorganic aerosol (inorganic and organic) made up about on the average two thirds of PM10 aerosol (J. Schneider et al., personal communication, 2011),..."

C4392

Our measurements refer only to PM1 (the AMS is a submicron particle instrument, the soot instrument (MAAP) was operated behind a PM1-cyclone).

Best regards,

Johannes Schneider (MPI Chemistry, Mainz)

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 11861, 2011.