Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C1918–C1919, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/C1918/2011/

© Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "The 2005 Study of Organic Aerosols at Riverside (SOAR-1): instrumental intercomparisons and fine particle composition" by K. S. Docherty et al.

## x. Ge

xlge@ucdavis.edu

Received and published: 16 April 2011

This is a very extensive paper, reports the OA measurements during SOAR-1 by various instruments. I am specially interested in the discussions regarding amines, i have a couple of suggestions, hope they are valuable.

(1)P6328L20: "For instance, characteristic mass spectral fragments have 20 been observed to indicate the presence of amines in particles sampled in a number of locations".

Our recent paper (Ge et al., Atmos. Environ., 45, 2011, 524-546) summarized the observation of particle amines, and indeed some of them came from Riverside studies. C1918

Sun et al. (Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1581–1602, 2011) were able to separate an unique nitrogen-enriching OA from NYC aerosols with high correlation with C2H4N+, C3H8N+ and C4H10N+ which are clearly indicative of amines.

(2)P6333L1-5: "The influence of amines on the HR-AMS ion balance is unknown. Amines may contribute to fragments nominally assigned to NH4 (e.g., m/z 16, 17, and 18) in which case they would artificially increase both measured "ammonium" and apparent particle basicity."

This is somehow in consistent with a recent paper (Hersey et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 5867–5933, 2011). They suggested the presence of amines leaded to the observation of significant excess of particulate ammonium.

(3)P6333L5: "amines can compete with NH4 for particulate anions such as inorganic SO4 5 and NO3 forming salts..."

Our recent work (Ge et al.,Atmos. Environ., 45, 2011, 561-577) proved that this could be true for a lot of amines based on thermodynamic calculations.Also, there are some other related studies might also be valuable: Barsanti et al.( Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2949-2957, 2009) and Rehbein et al., (Environ. Sci. Technol., dx.doi.org/10.1021/es1042113.)

At last, about Section 2. In my opinion, if the title is changed to "...Overview of results and instrumental intercomparison....", then the lengthy Section 2 will be inside the scope of the paper.

Xinlei

Best Regards

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 6301, 2011.