

Interactive comment on “In situ measurements of aerosols optical properties and number size distributions in a subarctic coastal region of Norway” by S. Mogo et al.

A. Stohl (Editor)

ast@nilu.no

Received and published: 20 April 2012

The reviewer comments on this paper were generally not favoring acceptance of the paper for ACP. Reviewer #3 was clearly suggesting rejection, reviewer #2 was also very critical but encouraged major revisions, as the data set itself warrants publication. Reviewer #1 was somewhat more positive, suggesting that the paper should be publishable if several issues can be solved.

In their responses, the authors say that they have addressed all the reviewer comments and suggest that they have done major revisions to the paper. Given the substantial amount of work the authors have put into the responses to the reviewers, I am willing to

C16518

send a revised version of the paper to the reviewers again. However, the authors should be aware that it is quite possible that I will not be able to accept even an improved version of the paper for ACP. Presumably, very substantial improvements of the paper will be required to convince the reviewers and me that this paper can be accepted for ACP. This also includes improvements of the use of the English language. The authors should submit a revised version of the paper only if they really believe that they can meet these requirements.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 32921, 2011.