

***Interactive comment on* “Comment on “Tropospheric temperature response to stratospheric ozone recovery in the 21st century” by Hu et al. (2011)” by C. McLandress et al.**

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 25 January 2012

This manuscript offers a well argued retort to a severely flawed paper by Hu et al, which (somehow) appeared in ACP earlier this year. It is very much worth publishing.

I offer some suggestions for improving the manuscript: none of these are essential or require large amounts of labor. I leave it to the authors to decide which ones they may wish implement in the final version of the manuscript.

1. Figure 1 panel f: it is really difficult to see the line style (and hence the sign) of the minuscule contour in the bottom-right corner of the bottom right panel, or to see its statistical significance. Unfortunately this "tiny thing in the corner" is the whole point of the figure. That being the case, the authors may consider using, e.g., red-blue color

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



contours with dotting or hashing for stat-sig. As it stands, one really needs to squint to see things, and the squinting doesn't help much.

2. Figure 2, rightmost column: again, one key point of the figure (that the error bars on the red lines in the right panels – at low levels – do not cross the zero line) is very hard to see. Why now blow up the axes for those two panels to make the point clear?

3. Figure 3: I am not sure why we need to see both the 200 and the 300 hPa trends. I was, perhaps, reading the paper a little too fast, but it was not clear from the text. Maybe the need for this can be made more explicit.

4. Figure 4: as in Figure 1. Again, quite difficult to see things, as the line style for the negative-valued contours is murky. Would strongly encourage using color, unless it is prohibitively expensive.

5. Figure 6: this is a really nice demonstration which, again, could be much improved with a color figure. Needless to say, one would want to use identical color palettes for Figures 1 and 6.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 32993, 2011.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper