Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C13890–C13891, 2012 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/C13890/2012/

© Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Aerosols-cloud microphysics-thermodynamics-turbulence: evaluating supersaturation in a marine stratocumulus cloud" by F. Ditas et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 5 January 2012

I agree with Referee #1: It is a very well written paper with a very high scientific content. The authors present in a very consise way three different methods to estimate the supersaturation fluctuations in a turbulent marine stratocumulus. The authors tackle this problem with a combination of field observations and a simple numerical cloud parcel model in an adequate way.

First of all, my compliments: I didn't find any serious major points to be improved. The paper is really good. Still there are a few points that should be changed/added to improve the manuscript:

C13890

is no effect to be expected, please also state this.

- 2) On p. 29786: You write: "Figure 7 illustrates the resulting activated fraction 0(Scrit) (black squares), which exhibits a similar error function like behaviour as (Dp) in Fig. 6." This is quite possible but this is not obvious by simply comparing Figs. 6 and 7. Please provide also the second error function so that I can judge myself.
- 3) The "50 % activation diameter": why did you choose that and what is the physical meaning of that? It is not the most common parameter in cloud physics.
- 4) I fully support Referee #1 with regard to all the other minor points. Âă

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 29777, 2011.