Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C13673–C13674, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/C13673/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "A parameterization of low visibilities for hazy days in the North China Plain" by J. Chen et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 30 December 2011

Major comments

Based on ground measurement of aerosol, visibility and meteorological conditions in north china plain, the paper proposed a parameterization scheme for low visibility conditions on hazy days. The analysis is well-grounded, the result is reliable with innovative ideas. The reviewer thinks this paper can be published on ACP.

Minor comments

In the introduction part, the author mentioned "Mass concentrations of PM2.5 in Beijing and Chongqing both exceeded the national air quality standard of particulate matter within 10 μ m (PM10) for residential areas (100 μ gm-3) and were at least 10 times those (5–10 μ gm-3) measured in US continental east (Hidy et al., 2009)." The term

C13673

"air quality standard for residential area" is not clear and improper. It is suggested to revise the sentence to "Mass concentrations of PM2.5 in Beijing and Chongqing both exceeded the ambient air quality standard of China (GB3095-1996) for PM10 (100 μ gm-3, grade 2) and were at least 10 times those (5–10 μ gm-3) measured in US continental east (Hidy et al., 2009)."

Another suggestion is that besides GB3095-1996, the author may consider referring following PM2.5 standards in China for comparison in the sentence mentioned above:

75 μ gm-3 (QX/T 113-2010, Observation and forecast levels of haze)

35 μ gm-3(grade 2, annual average limit, ambistandard ent quality of China. GB3095-20XX (draft), air http://www.zhb.gov.cn/gkml/hbb/bgth/201111/W020111121388004546031.pdf)

These may help the readers (especially for those non-Chinese readers) to know updated progress of ambient air quality standard revision in china.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 31363, 2011.