Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, C11855–C11858, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/C11855/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation on air pollution transport" *by* T. Christoudias et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 14 November 2011

The study by Christoudias et al. analyzes the influence of the NAO on air pollution transport, notably modifications of transport from North America and from Europe. There have been only very few studies dedicated to this topic so far and I therefore consider the present study as a valuable contribution. There had been a few studies on modulations of African dust transport by NAO which should probably be acknowledged in the present manuscript as well (e.g. Moulin et al. published in Nature 1997). The study is based on a 50-year model simulation to include several positive and negative NAO periods in order to deduce robust patterns. The model simulates the transport and loss of two idealized tracers representing i) a non-soluble CO-like gas and ii) a water soluble aerosol-tracer to assess not only changes in transport pathways but also the influence of changes in precipitation. This setup is suitable to address the scientific questions asked. The manuscript is concise and well-structured and overall rather well



11, C11855–C11858, 2011

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



written.

The main problem with the study, however, is that it is very weak and sometimes lacking regarding data interpretation and conclusions. The reader is left with a strong feeling that more data analysis could have been performed and more firm and relevant conclusions could have been drawn. In fact, I do not agree with some of the interpretations of the simulated patterns and some relevant conclusions that should have been drawn appear to have been overlooked (see below).

I therefore would like to propose the following modifications before the manuscript is acceptable:

In Sect. 2.2 it should be clearly stated that the model produces its own meteorology/climate variability, only forced by the SSTs, which is not identical to the true observed variability during the period 1960-2010, but that the characteristics of NAO variations (amplitude, frequency, duration of phases) are similar to the observations or describe the relevant differences if there are any. It is important for the reader to understand that the model produces its own but (presumably) realistic NAO. The title of Sect. 2.2 should be changed accordingly to "North Atlantic Oscillation as represented by EMAC".

Section 3.2, which is the key section of the manuscript, should be strongly revised and the discussion should be enhanced. Abstract and conclusions should be adapted accordingly.

1. Section 3.2 (p 25975, I 18) suggests that correlation patterns in Fig. 7 are generally reversed between CO and aerosols, which is not the case (or I don't understand what the author is trying to say). For both CO and aerosols the surface concentration anomalies are positively correlated with the first EOF over the northern part of the North Atlantic and negatively over the southern part. I don't see a reversal in this pattern. ACPD

11, C11855–C11858, 2011

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2. Section 3.2 (p 25975, lines 22-24): Figure 7 shows an anticorrelation (blue colors) for aerosols for the equatorial region and extending to Africa. The interpretation presented, namely that this is due to increased moisture and precipitation over the tropics for seasons with low NAO, is incorrect. An anticorrelation with NAO means that aerosol concentrations during low/negative NAO phases are elevated, not reduced, thus opposite to what would be expected from enhanced precipitation. Under negative NAO conditions transport is directed more strongly to the east and southeast as opposed to a more northeastward flow under high NAO. I think this is nicely seen in the CO tracer at least over the western parts of Africa where the blue colors suggest elevated CO during negative NAO conditions. However, the correlation for CO turns into a positive one over the eastern parts of Africa. This is interesting but not commented. To me this looks like enhanced transport of North American CO during high NAO along an anticyclonic path first leading towards northern Europe and then southwards to eastern Europe and finally to Africa. I think this is understandable since a stronger Azores High during high NAO leads to enhanced north to south transport over Eastern Europe. This pathway has a different effect on the water-soluble tracer probably because of efficient wash-out along this path even leading even to reduced aerosols during high NAO.

3. Section 3.2. The discussion of the patterns of the European tracers in Fig. 8 is very lacking. CO and aerosols are reduced over most of central Europe (blue colors) during high NAO conditions. The paper does not discuss this feature, nor does it explain the positive anomalies over northern Africa and the Arctic, nor does it explain the strongly negative correlations over the eastern North Atlantic. I think the story is actually quite simple: During a positive NAO both the Icelandic Low and the Azores High are more pronounced than normally. This leads to a stronger separation of the flow over Europe, with enhanced northward transport over the northern parts of Europe and enhanced southward transport over the southern parts. The European tracer is thus depleted over central Europe, as it is either moved rapidly towards southern Europe and northern Africa (creating positive anomalies there) under the influence of the Azores High, or rapidly to the Arctic under the influence of the Icelandic Iow. During Iow NAO con-

11, C11855–C11858, 2011

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ditions, conversely, the air is more stagnant over Central Europe allowing European pollutants to accumulate. The strong negative anomaly over the western Atlantic is simply an effect of reduced direct outflow of European air pollution to this region due to the enhanced north-south pressure gradient and associated westerlies. These points should be discussed.

Minor points: ——— P 25968, line 3: suggest to change to "transport and removal of idealized insoluble gaseous and .."

P 25969, line 20: Please explain the advantage of simulating such a long time period.

P 25971, line 8: Add a comma in ".. dry and wet deposition processes, respectively."

P 25971, line 19: "extend" -> "extent"

P 25972, line 3: What are biogenic emissions used for? To my knowledge CO has no biogenic sources, at least not directly.

P 25972, lines 15-22. It seems to me that the only chemical reaction actually needed is the loss reaction CO + OH -> CO2 + H. We are not interested in the further fate of H as it is not modeled in this version. The reactions as they are stated now are simply wrong as there is no stoichiometry between the left and right-hand sides.

P 25974, line 10-11: I think in this equation the variable q actually refers to "mass mixing ratio in units of kg/kg", certainly not to "concentration" which would have units of mass per volume. This can easily be seen when dp is replaced by -rho * g * dz assuming hydrostatic equilibrium, where rho is the density of air.

P 25974: Why is high NAO associated with an EOF component (>1) and low NAO with (<0)? Why not symmetric (> 0.5) and (< -0.5)? Figure 4 suggests that high and low NAO phases are quite symmetric about the zero-line.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 25967, 2011.

11, C11855–C11858, 2011

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

