

## ***Interactive comment on “Observations and modelling of microphysical variability, aggregation and sedimentation in tropical storm cirrus outflow regions” by M. W. Gallagher et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 18 October 2011

#### Overall recommendation

Although the topic of the paper is interesting, I recommend to reject the manuscript in its current form. To my opinion the submitted manuscript does not meet the standards I expect for a scientific paper, a lack of diligence in its preparation is obvious. The following issues should be corrected before the paper is resubmitted:

1. Citations should be complete and in the text they should appear in appropriate form.
2. Figures 2, 7, and 8 should be sufficiently large that one can see what is de-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

scribed in the text.

3. Figure 3b is either missing or is Figure 4 (unclear).
4. Figure 5 is missing. The Figure 5 in the manuscript is identical to Figure 6.
5. The caption of Figure 4 is wrong.

To my understanding it is not the job of the referee to look at such simple things.

I look forward to a resubmitted version where I will be happy to discuss the contents of the paper.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 23761, 2011.