Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 25605–25654, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/25605/2011/ doi:10.5194/acpd-11-25605-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Impact of the isoprene photochemical cascade on tropical ozone

### F. Paulot<sup>1</sup>, D. K. Henze<sup>2</sup>, and P. O. Wennberg<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA <sup>3</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

Received: 20 July 2011 - Accepted: 2 September 2011 - Published: 13 September 2011

Correspondence to: F. Paulot (paulot@caltech.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Discussion Par	<b>ACPD</b> 11, 25605–25654, 2011		
per   Discussio	Impact of the isoprene photochemical cascade F. Paulot et al.		
n Paner	Title Page		
-	Abstract	Introduction	
Disc	Conclusions	References	
Ilission	Tables	Figures	
Pan	I	►I.	
	•	•	
	Back	Close	
iscuss	Full Screen / Esc		
on P	Printer-friendly Version		
aper	Interactive Discussion		



#### Abstract

Tropical tropospheric ozone affects Earth's radiative forcing and the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere. Considerable work has been devoted to the study of the processes controlling its budget. Yet, large discrepancies between simulated and observed tropical tropospheric ozone remain. Here, we characterize some of the mechanisms by 5 which the photochemistry of isoprene impacts the budget of tropical ozone. At the regional scale, we find that isoprene nitrates can account for up to 70% of the local NO<sub>x</sub> sink. Using forward sensitivity simulations, we show that the modulation of O<sub>x</sub> by isoprene nitrates photochemistry can be characterized by their net impact on NO<sub>v</sub>. We use adjoint sensitivity simulations to demonstrate that the oxidation of iso-10 prene can affect ozone outside of continental regions through the transport of NO<sub>x</sub> over near-shore regions (e.g., South Atlantic) and the oxidation of isoprene outside of the boundary layer far from its emissions regions. The latter mechanism is promoted by the simulated low boundary-layer oxidative conditions. In our simulation, 20% of the isoprene is oxidized above the boundary layer in the tropics. Changes in the interplay 15 between regional and global effect are discussed in light of the forecasted increase in anthropogenic emissions in tropical regions.

#### 1 Introduction

The impact of tropical ozone on Earth's radiative forcing (Forster et al., 2007) and
oxidative capacity have motivated considerable work to unravel the complex interplay between dynamics (Bowman et al., 2009; Nassar et al., 2009; Chandra et al., 2003; Ziemke and Chandra, 1999; Jacob et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2006), surface emissions and chemistry that controls its distribution. Spatial patterns such as the South Atlantic ozone maximum (Thompson et al., 2000; Bowman et al., 2009) have been particularly
explored as well as the sensitivity of ozone to NO emissions from lightning (Sauvage et al., 2007b), biomass burning (Jacob et al., 1996; Chandra et al., 2002; Ziemke and





Chandra, 1999; Jourdain et al., 2007; Edwards et al., 2006) and soil (Jaeglé et al., 2004). However, recent advances in observations of tropospheric ozone have revealed that the tropical budget of ozone remains poorly captured by models (Bowman et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010).

South Atlantic ozone exhibits a well-defined zonal "wave-one" pattern. Numerous studies have been devoted to the study of the different factors controlling this pattern ranging from emissions (Sauvage et al., 2007b; Edwards et al., 2006; Jacob et al., 1996) to dynamics (Wang et al., 2006), but the influence of uncertainties in chemical mechanisms on the simulation of Atlantic ozone has been seldom addressed (Bowman et al., 2009).

The tropics are characterized by very large biogenic emissions, whose photooxidation impacts the budgets of  $O_x=O_3+NO_2$  and  $HO_x=OH+HO_2$  (Lelieveld et al., 2008). The influence of the photooxidation of isoprene, a five-carbon dialkene that accounts for 30 % to 50 % of biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) emissions (Guenther et al., 1995; Guenther et al., 2006) on photochemistry has recently motivated consid-

- erable laboratory (Paulot et al., 2009a,b; Lockwood et al., 2010; Crounse et al., 2011), field (Thornton et al., 2002; Ren et al., 2008; Lelieveld et al., 2008) and theoretical work (Peeters et al., 2009; Dibble, 2004a,b). Simulations suggest that the effect of isoprene chemistry on the  $O_x$  budget extends to much larger scale than isoprene's short atmo-
- <sup>20</sup> spheric lifetime would suggest (Roelofs and Lelieveld, 2000; von Kuhlmann et al., 2004; Pfister et al., 2008). This study aims to better characterize the mechanisms that control the spatial extent of the isoprene photochemical cascade, the complex suite of photochemical and physical transformations undergone by isoprene and its photochemical products, as well as its interplay with the O<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> tropical budgets.
- The photooxidation of isoprene is primarily initiated by reactions with OH. This reaction produces isoprene peroxy radicals (ISOPO<sub>2</sub>), whose fate is critical to the  $O_x$  budget:

 $ISOP + OH + O_2 \rightarrow ISOPO_2$ 



(1)

When ISOPO<sub>2</sub> reacts with NO, isoprene photooxidation promotes O<sub>x</sub> formation

$$ISOPO_2 + NO \rightarrow ISOPO + NO_2$$

$$ISOPO \rightarrow OVOC + HO_2$$

$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2$$

$$5 \text{ NO}_2 + hv + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_3$$

where OVOC denotes oxygenated volatile organic compounds.

Reactions (2) to (4) contribute to the formation of  $O_x$  in regions where urban centers are embedded in forested areas (e.g., Atlanta, Chameides et al., 1988). The autocatalytic production of  $O_x$  is terminated by radical losses, e.g., the formation of nitric acid (OH + NO<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  HNO<sub>3</sub>) and hydrogen peroxide (HO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). Since the ozone production efficiency – the number of molecules of O<sub>3</sub> produced per molecule of NO<sub>x</sub> consumed (Liu et al., 1987) – generally increases as the ratio of NO<sub>x</sub> to VOC decreases (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998), tropical regions with high isoprene emissions and low anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions can be expected to be extremely sensitive to increasing NO emissions (Thornton et al., 2002). For instance, ozone production in the outflow of Manaus (Brazil) is similar to that of major North American cities (Kuhn et al., 2010).

When  $ISOPO_2$  reacts with  $HO_2$ ,  $HO_x$  is destroyed Eq. 6. This slows the local photochemistry, contributing to the very low ozone levels over remote tropical forests:

 $ISOPO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow ISOPOOH + O_2$ 

Because isoprene emissions are so large, its photooxidation can modulate the local photochemical conditions. Under very low  $NO_x$  conditions, the removal of  $HO_x$  via Reaction (6) is predicted to result in very low concentrations of  $HO_x$  in the isoprenerich boundary layer (Houweling et al., 1998). Under these conditions, the lifetime of 25608



(2) (3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

ISOPO<sub>2</sub> can become long (~60 s) and unimolecular processes become competitive with Reactions (2) and (6) (Peeters et al., 2009; Crounse et al., 2011). This attenuates the removal of HO<sub>x</sub> by isoprene photochemistry, more consistent with field observations of HO<sub>x</sub> (Peeters and Muller, 2010; Stavrakou et al., 2010; Archibald et al., 2010):

5  $ISOPO_2 \rightarrow xOH + (1 + y)HO_2 + OVOC$   $x, y \ge 1$ 

Understanding low oxidative photochemical conditions is important for determining the extent of the isoprene photochemical cascade as such conditions promote the transport of isoprene and its photochemical products. In particular, the transport of biogenics to the upper troposphere through convection has been suggested to influence the budget of ozone on the global scale as ozone and NO<sub>x</sub> are much longer lived in this region of the atmosphere than at the surface (Doherty et al., 2005; Collins et al., 1999; Moxim and Levy, 2000).

The modulation of NO<sub>x</sub> through isoprene nitrates (ISOPONO<sub>2</sub>), minor products of the reaction of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> with NO, is another mechanism that allows the impact of isoprene photochemistry to propagate to larger scales (e.g., Wu et al., 2007; Stevenson et al., 2006; Ito et al., 2007; Horowitz et al., 1998, 2007; Fiore et al., 2005)

 $ISOPO_2 + NO \rightarrow ISOPONO_2$ 

10

The formation of ISOPONO<sub>2</sub> Eq. (8) modulates O<sub>x</sub> by diminishing its local formation through NO<sub>x</sub> sequestration. Furthermore, with high isoprene emissions, the fraction of NO<sub>x</sub> segregated in ISOPONO<sub>2</sub> can become large enough that their formation contributes to the loss of NO<sub>x</sub>. Unlike nitric acid, however, organic nitrates may not be a terminal sink of NO<sub>x</sub> but rather a reservoir (like peroxyacetylnitrate, PAN). Depending on their lifetime and fate, the transport of these organic nitrates contribute to the export of NO<sub>x</sub> away from its emission regions. The efficiency of this export depends on the fraction of NO<sub>x</sub> that is returned to the atmosphere through the photooxidation of ISOPONO<sub>2</sub> and its nitrate-containing oxidation products R<sup>\*</sup>ONO<sub>2</sub>.



(7)

(8)



ISOPONO<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{OH, O_3, hv}$  NO<sub>2</sub>  $\xrightarrow{OH, O_3, hv} R^*ONO_2$ wet/dry deposition

- There has been considerable laboratory research to determine the yield of ISOPONO<sub>2</sub> (Y ~ 10%±5%, Chen et al., 1998; Sprengnether et al., 2002; Paulot et al., 2009a; Lockwood et al., 2010). Laboratory (Paulot et al., 2009a; Lockwood et al., 2010) and field observations (Grossenbacher et al., 2001, 2004; Giacopelli et al., 2005; Perring et al., 2009) suggest isoprene nitrates have a short atmospheric lifetime. However, there is much uncertainty regarding the relative importance of deposition and photochemical sinks (by OH and ozone). Ito et al. (2007) and Perring et al. (2009) estimated that the fate of isoprene nitrates is dominated by photochemical losses while Horowitz et al. (2007) and Giacopelli et al. (2005) concluded that deposition is their primary sink.
- If the isoprene nitrates are primarily lost through photooxidation, assessing their impact on the budget of reactive nitrogen and ozone must include proper representation of their photochemical products (Grossenbacher et al., 2001), about which very little is known. Paulot et al. (2009a) reported the formation of propanone nitrate and ethanal nitrate from ISOPONO<sub>2</sub> oxidation by OH and inferred that the ratio of Eq. (9) to Eq. (10) is ~1. Similarly, Giacopelli et al. (2005) proposed a suite of possible ozonolysis prod-
- <sup>20</sup> ucts. The formation of long-lived organic nitrates is significant as they may contribute to the long-range transport of NO<sub>x</sub> and the unexplained burden of organic nitrates in the free troposphere (Perring et al., 2009; Horowitz et al., 2007). There are also large uncertainties on the yield and fate of organic nitrates formed at night from isoprene +NO<sub>3</sub> chemistry (Horowitz et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2009).
- The representation of  $NO_x$  recycling Eq. (9), segregation Eq. (10) and depositional loss Eq. (11) vary considerably across models. This is known to contribute to differences in simulated ozone (Stevenson et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2007; Jacob and Winner,



(9)

(10)

(11)

2009). For instance, in the standard release of GEOS-Chem, isoprene nitrates are assumed to deposit readily, such that they behave like a terminal sink for  $NO_x$  much like the production of nitric acid in the boundary layer (Fiore et al., 2005). In MOZART (Horowitz et al., 2007), isoprene nitrates are short-lived and a large fraction is oxidized by ozone and OH to yield second-generation organic nitrates that are then solely deposited.

To determine the impact of isoprene photochemistry, the relative importance of Eq. (2), Eq. (6), Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) must be knows. It remains, however, very uncertain. In particular the fate of  $ISOPO_2$  depends critically on:

10 1. The rate of  $\text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ . GEOS-Chem and MOZART assume that the rate of  $\text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2$  is independent of R (for n(C) > 2) (Horowitz et al., 1998, 2007) with  $k_{\text{HO}_2} = 7.4 \times 10^{-13} \exp(700/\text{T})$ . Kinetics studies suggest, however, that the rate of  $\text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2$  increases with the size of the molecule. The expression derived by Saunders et al. (2003),  $k'_{\text{HO}_2} = 2.91 \times 10^{-13} \exp(1300/\text{T})(1 - \exp(-0.245 \text{ n(C)}))$ , is in good agreement with the rate measured by Boyd et al. (2003) for isoprene. For isoprene at 298K,  $k'_{\text{HO}_2}/k_{\text{HO}_2} \sim 2$ .

- 2. The isoprene emission inventory. Compared with MEGAN, the GEIA emissions inventory (Guenther et al., 1995) is characterized by higher isoprene emissions in the northern mid-latitudes, which favor the reaction of isoprene peroxy radicals with NO. Using the GEIA inventory and  $k_{HO_2}$ , Paulot et al. (2009b) found the fate of isoprene peroxy radicals to be dominated by their reaction with NO.
- 3. The isomerization rate of isoprene peroxy radicals. The rate derived by Crounse et al. (2011) is significantly slower than the theoretical rate derived by Peeters et al. (2009). It follows that the overall importance of the isomerization is much smaller than previous estimates (e.g., Peeters and Muller, 2010), calling for additional laboratory investigations.





25

20

5

In this study, we focus on the regions located between 15°S and 7°N (Fig. 1) where more than 50% of the global terrestrial isoprene emissions are located (Fig. 5). These estimates remain uncertain (Guenther et al., 2006) as (a) bottom-up estimates are derived from ground studies that are too sparse to capture the diversity of plants in the

- rain forest, and (b) top-down estimates using satellite measurements of formaldehyde (Palmer et al., 2003; Barkley et al., 2008) rely on chemical mechanisms that are known to poorly represent the photochemistry under high biogenics and low NO<sub>x</sub> conditions (e.g., Lelieveld et al., 2008; Stone et al., 2010). In particular, the yield of formaldehyde from isoprene oxidation under these conditions is ill-defined.
- The ratio between emitted isoprene (on a C basis) and NO<sub>x</sub> exhibits a strong seasonality that is primarily driven by NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from biomass burning. This is in contrast to the Northern mid latitudes where this ratio is driven by the seasonality of isoprene emissions. The ratio of isoprene to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions spans a very wide range from less than 10 in the peak biomass burning season in Africa and Southeast Asia to more than 100 outside the biomass burning season in South America. In the following,
- <sup>15</sup> more than 100 outside the biomass burning season in South America. In the following, we take advantage of the diversity of chemical regimes resulting from the very broad range of  $NO_x$ -to-isoprene ratios to examine how the impact of isoprene photooxidation on  $O_x$  is modulated by local photochemical conditions using both forward and adjoint sensitivity simulations.

#### 20 2 Model description

25

We use the GEOS-Chem global 3-D chemical transport model v8.2.1 (Bey et al., 2001) and its adjoint (Henze et al., 2007). The model is driven by the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorology from the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System. Here the resolution of the model is  $4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  and 47 vertical layers. The reported results correspond to the period spanning June 2006 to May 2007 after a one and a half year spin-up.

An adjoint model provides an efficient tool for calculating the gradient of a scalar model response function with respect to all of the model parameters simultaneously.





Here the formulation of a discrete adjoint model is briefly described; more detailed derivations can be found in works such as Giering and Kaminski (1998) or Sandu et al. (2005). A chemical transport model can be viewed as a sequence of discrete operators,  $F^n$ , that advances a concentration vector from time step *n* to step 5 n+1,

$$\boldsymbol{c^{n+1}} = F^n(\boldsymbol{c^n},\boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

where  $c^n$  is the vector of all *K* tracer concentrations,  $c = [c^{n_1}, ..., c^{n_k}, ..., c^{n_K}]^T$  at time step n = 0, ..., N and  $\sigma$  is a vector of *M* parameters,  $\sigma = [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_M]^T$ , such as emissions and reaction rate constants. The adjoint model is used to calculate the sensitivity of a scalar model response function,  $\mathcal{J}$ , with respect to the model parameters,  $\sigma$ . For sensitivity analysis, the response function is a function of terms,  $J^n(\mathbf{c}^n)$ , that may de-

pend upon only concentrations of particular species or in particular locations

$$\mathcal{J}=\sum_{n=0}^{N}J^{n}(\boldsymbol{c}^{n}).$$

15

The Jacobian matrices of the model operator around any given time step can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}^{n+1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{c}^n} = \frac{\partial F^n(\boldsymbol{c}^n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{c}^n} \equiv \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_c^n, \qquad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}^{n+1}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial F^n(\boldsymbol{c}^n)}{\partial \sigma} \equiv \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_\sigma^n.$$

The adjoint sensitivity variables are defined as  $\lambda_c^n = \nabla_{c^n} \mathcal{J}$  and  $\lambda_{\sigma} = \nabla_{\sigma} \mathcal{J}$ , where the subscripts *c* and  $\sigma$  indicate sensitivity with respect to *c* and  $\sigma$ , respectively. Initializing

$$\lambda_c^N = \frac{\partial J^N}{\partial \boldsymbol{c}^N}$$
 and  $\lambda_\sigma = \frac{\partial J_\sigma}{\partial \sigma}$ 

adjoint sensitivities are found by evaluating the following update formulas iteratively from n=N,...,1,

$$\lambda_{c^{n-1}} = (\mathbf{F}_{c}^{n-1})^{T} \lambda_{c^{n}} + \frac{\partial J^{n-1}}{\partial c^{n-1}}$$
$$\lambda_{\sigma} = (\mathbf{F}_{\sigma}^{n-1})^{T} \lambda_{c^{n}} + \lambda_{\sigma}$$

at the conclusion of which  $\lambda_{c^0}$  is the sensitivity of the cost function with respect to initial conditions,  $\nabla_{c^0} \mathcal{J}$ , and  $\lambda_{\sigma}$  is the desired sensitivity of the cost function with respect to the model parameters,  $\nabla_{\sigma} \mathcal{J}$ . This pair of equations represents the adjoint model, and shows how it entails application of pieces of the forward tangent linear model ( $\mathbf{F}_c^n$ ) in reverse order. The adjoint model calculates the sensitivity of the scalar model response with respect to all *M* elements of the model parameter vector in ~3 times the length of a forward model run, which is nearly *M* times more efficient than repeatedly perturbing individual parameters and rerunning the forward model *M* times. Given *M* is  $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ , the savings are considerable. Adjoint capabilities were added to the GEOS-Chem model by Henze et al. (2007). The adjoint of the chemistry is generated by the Kinetic PreProcessor (KPP, Damian et al. (2002); Sandu and Sander (2006)), which was expanded to include calculation of sensitivities with respect to reaction rate

constants directly from the discrete forward-model chemical solver operators. Isoprene emissions are calculated using MEGANv2.0 (Guenther et al., 2006; Millet

- et al., 2008). Anthropogenic emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> are calculated using the GEIA inventory scaled to the model run year according to fossil fuel usage (Bey et al., 2001; Benkovitz et al., 1996). NO<sub>x</sub> is also emitted from biomass burning (from GFED2 inventory, Randerson et al., 2006), soil (Yienger and Levy, 1995) and lightning (Price et al., 1997; Sauvage et al., 2007a). Dry deposition is calculated using a resistance-in-series model
   (Wesely, 1989; Wang et al., 1998). Wet deposition is calculated following the approach
- presented by Mari et al. (2000) and Jacob et al. (2000).

Isoprene nitrate photochemistry is represented here using three surrogates:  $ING_0$ ,  $ING_1$  and  $ING_2$  (Table S1).  $ING_0$  represents first-generation nitrates formed from





5

10

15

isoprene photooxidation during day and nighttime. ING<sub>0</sub> retains a double bond, such that it is rapidly oxidized by OH ( $k(298 \text{ K}) = 4.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and ozone ( $k(298 \text{ K}) = 9.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), consistent with laboratory observations (Paulot et al., 2009a; Lockwood et al., 2010). For simplicity, the oxidation of ING<sub>0</sub> by OH and ozone is assumed to yield the same products.  $ING_1$  and  $ING_2$  are both second-5 generation organic nitrates. ING<sub>1</sub> represents nitrates from methacrolein (MACR), methylvinylketone (MVK) and other hydroxycarbonyls formed from isoprene photooxidation (e.g., HC5 and  $ING_0$ , Paulot et al., 2009a). ING<sub>1</sub> is assumed to react rapidly with OH ( $k_{OH}$ (298 K) = 8 × 10<sup>-12</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molec<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), since it represents compounds that generally feature an aldehyde group. Lacking a double bond, ING<sub>1</sub> does not react with ozone. ING<sub>0</sub> photooxidation also yields ING<sub>2</sub>. This species represents the formation of long-lived nitrates and its oxidation by OH ( $k_{OH} = 4.0 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Zhu et al., 1991)) and photolysis (Jenkin et al., 1997; Roberts and Fajer, 1989) are derived from propanone nitrate. Wet and dry deposition of ING<sub>0</sub>, ING<sub>1</sub> and ING<sub>2</sub> are treated as terminal losses of NO<sub>x</sub>. In the following, we will use the notation ING to denote 15

 $ING_0 + ING_1 + ING_2$ .

Besides the representation of the isoprene nitrates, the standard GEOS-Chem mechanism has been modified to incorporate the isomerization of the isoprene peroxy radicals (Crounse et al., 2011), the formation of the isoprene epoxide (Paulot et al.,

20 2009b) as well as the carbon dependence of the reaction of HO<sub>2</sub> with peroxy radicals (Saunders et al., 2003). These changes are detailed in Table S1.

#### 3 Simulations

ING photochemistry can affect ozone production in two different ways: (a) their formation depletes NO<sub>x</sub>, diminishing local ozone production and the concentration of OH,
 and (b) their photooxidation releases NO<sub>x</sub>, which, conversely, promotes local photochemistry and ozone formation. If ING lifetime is short, (a) and (b) largely compensate each other and the regional effect of ING is reduced. Conversely, if ING lifetime is long,





their formation and transport modulate  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  concentration and thus ozone production in both local and remote regions.

Forward sensitivity simulations are used to explore the local and regional impacts of the representation of isoprene nitrate photochemistry. The long lifetime of ozone makes

<sup>5</sup> it difficult to ascribe large-scale changes in ozone to either (a), (b) or the transport of ozone using forward simulations. We use adjoint modeling to evaluate the large scale impact of the isoprene photochemical cascade, as it allows to explore the sensitivity of a given function of the model outputs ( $\mathcal{J}$ ) to many parameters (Giering and Kaminski, 1998),

#### 10 3.1 Reference simulation

25

Both adjoint and forward sensitivity simulations use the same reference simulation. We set the yield of the isoprene nitrate to 10%, the recycling to 50%. Henry's constants are taken from Ito et al. (2007) for ING<sub>0</sub> and ING<sub>1</sub> ( $H = 1.7 \times 10^4$  M/atm) and from Sander (1999) for ING<sub>2</sub> ( $H = 10^3$  M/atm). The oxidation of isoprene in this simulation <sup>15</sup> is dominated by OH in every region (~ 85% globally, Fig. 2). The lifetime of isoprene can exceed six hours over South America, because of very low OH. This long lifetime results in the efficient transport of isoprene to the free troposphere through convection, such a significant fraction of isoprene is oxidized outside of the boundary layer (Fig. S2). In the tropics, isoprene peroxy radicals react primarily with HO<sub>2</sub> (~60%). <sup>20</sup> Reaction with NO and isomerization (Peeters et al., 2009; Crounse et al., 2011) ac-

counts for  $\sim$ 25 % and 10 % of isoprene peroxy radical fate, respectively.

The fate of isoprene peroxy radicals has important consequences regarding the yield of its second– and third– generation products. In the reference simulation, we find that IEPOX, the epoxide formed from the oxidation of isoprene hydroxyhydroperoxide (ISOPOOH) has a global yield of ~32 %, significantly larger than that simulated by Paulot et al. (2009b) using  $k_{HO_2}^{I}$ , the GEIA emission inventory and neglecting isomerization (Fig. 2). This has important implications for the representation of secondary





organic aerosol from isoprene photooxidation under low  $NO_x$  conditions (Surratt et al., 2010; Froyd et al., 2010). The chemical representation of the isoprene photochemical cascade also affects the use of the formaldehyde total column to estimate isoprene emissions in tropical regions as the yield of formaldehyde from the photooxidation of

<sup>5</sup> HPALD and ISOPOOH, which together account for ~65 %–75 % of isoprene photooxidation in the tropics, is not known (Palmer et al., 2003; Barkley et al., 2008).

 $ING_0$  sources are dominated by OH/NO chemistry (Fig. 2). As noted by Horowitz et al. (2007) and Brown et al. (2009), however, nocturnal formation of organic nitrates (from  $NO_3$  chemistry) can be a significant source of reactive organic nitrates.  $ING_0$  loss

- <sup>10</sup> is dominated by photooxidation, consistent with the conclusion from Ito et al. (2007). The mean photochemical lifetime of  $ING_0$  is shorter than that of isoprene primarily because the formation of  $ING_0$  is favored by higher concentrations of NO, which correlate with higher OH and ozone. Photochemical sinks of  $ING_0$  are dominated by ozone (Fig. 2). This reflects both the short atmospheric lifetime of  $ING_0$  with respect to ozone
- <sup>15</sup> (Lockwood et al., 2010) and the importance of nocturnal sources of ING<sub>0</sub> when OH oxidation is negligible. Because of its short atmospheric lifetime, the impact of ING<sub>0</sub> on the transport of reactive nitrogen to the upper troposphere and to oceanic basins is very limited, suggesting the fraction of NO<sub>x</sub> recycled from ING<sub>0</sub> photooxidation, as well as the fate of ING<sub>1</sub> and ING<sub>2</sub>, are essential for assessing the overall effect of ING<sub>20</sub> photochemistry.

#### 3.2 Sensitivity simulations

#### 3.2.1 Forward sensitivity simulations

To assess the effect of different representations of isoprene nitrate photochemistry, we carry out 27 simulations that span three different isoprene nitrates yields (Y =

<sup>25</sup> 0.05, 0.10, 0.15), three different NO<sub>x</sub> recycling efficiency from the reaction of ING<sub>0</sub> with ozone and OH ( $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1$ ), and three different wet and dry deposition of ING<sub>0</sub>, ING<sub>1</sub> and ING<sub>2</sub>. The deposition rate of INGs is modified by adjusting their associated Henry's





constants. In the base case, Henry's constants are taken from Ito et al. (2007) for  $ING_0$  and  $ING_1$  ( $H = 1.7 \times 10^4$  M atm<sup>-1</sup>) and from Sander (1999) for  $ING_2$  ( $H = 10^3$  M atm<sup>-1</sup>). In the slow deposition case, INGs are deposited like PAN (H = 3.6 M atm<sup>-1</sup>, no wet deposition) while in the fast deposition case, they are all assumed to behave like nitric <sup>5</sup> acid ( $H = 1 \times 10^{14}$  M atm<sup>-1</sup>).

In addition, one simulation is carried out where the yield of  $ING_0$  from Reaction (8) is set to 0%. In another simulation, the yield  $ING_0$  is set to 10% from Reaction (8) but  $ING_0$  does not undergo any additional photochemistry. The later simulation is similar to the treatment of  $ING_0$  in the default GEOS-Chem chemical mechanism.

<sup>10</sup> Modifying the treatment of isoprene nitrate chemistry has little impact on the relative importance of the oxidation channels of isoprene, because variation in ozone and OH mixing ratios are well correlated. However the lifetime of isoprene is strongly impacted by the representation of isoprene nitrate chemistry with variations exceeding  $\sim \pm 20\%$ across the different simulations (Fig. 2). The largest changes are found over South <sup>15</sup> America, where NO is very low.

The branching between night and day formation of ING<sub>0</sub> depends on the assumed yield of isoprene nitrates. For an organic nitrate yield of 5 % from OH/NO chemistry, NO<sub>3</sub> chemistry becomes the primary source of ING<sub>0</sub> consistent with the conclusions from Horowitz et al. (2007) (Fig. 2). Even when ING<sub>0</sub> is assumed to deposit as fast as nitric acid, its fate remains dominated by photooxidation reflecting its short photochemical lifetime. Because of their slower photooxidative sinks, ING<sub>1</sub> and ING<sub>2</sub> are much more sensitive to the treatment of deposition. Better constraints on the relative importance of photochemical and depositional sinks are especially critical for ING<sub>2</sub> since it contributes significantly to the transport of NO<sub>x</sub>. From this analysis, it is clear that

the treatment of  $ING_0$  as a terminal sink of  $NO_x$  (e.g., the assumption that they fully deposit) in the GEOS-Chem standard simulations cannot be reconciled with laboratory data presented by Lockwood et al. (2010) and Paulot et al. (2009a).





#### 3.2.2 Adjoint sensitivity simulations

The adjoint of GEOS-Chem has been primarily used in inversion problems to improve emissions inventories (Henze et al., 2009; Kopacz et al., 2010). It was recently used by Kopacz et al. (2011) to constrain the sources of black carbon in the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau and by Zhang et al. (2009) to investigate the impact of intercontinental

5 Tibetan Plateau and by Zhang et al. (2009) to investigate the impact of intercontinenta transport on ozone pollution on the west coast of the United States.

Here we use the adjoint of GEOS-Chem to explore the sensitivity of ozone and  $NO_x$  to some of the photochemical processes controlling the isoprene photochemical cascade: the emissions of isoprene and  $NO_x$ , Y and  $\alpha$ . Similar adjoint approaches have been used previously to investigate the chemical processes controlling ozone pollution in urban settings (Menut et al., 2000; Vautard et al., 2000; Schmidt and Martin, 2003; Martien et al., 2006). Here, the cost function  $\mathcal{J}$  is defined here as either the mean tropospheric ozone or  $NO_x$  mixing ratio (in ppbv). We consider three time periods: July 2006 to October 2006 (high biomass burning in all tropical continental regions,

- Fig. 5); December 2006 to February 2007 (high biomass burning in Northern Africa, low biomass burning over South America and Southeast Asia); April 2007 to May 2007 (low biomass burning for all tropical regions); and four geographical regions (Fig. 1) that are largely isolated from transport of ozone from Northern midlatitudes. For each time period, the model is run for one additional buffer month in order to limit the bias
   for long-range influence and initial conditions (Figs. S3 and S4). For instance, the sen-
- sitivity of a cost function defined over the period April to May is calculated by running the adjoint back through March. We define  $_{X}^{R_1} s_{B}^{A}$  as the normalized sensitivity of A in region R<sub>1</sub> to changes in B in the model box X located at latitude lat, longitude lon, and altitude z, i.e.,  $\frac{B(X)}{J} \frac{\partial J}{\partial B(X)}$  where  $\mathcal{J} = \overline{A}|_{R_1}$  and  $\overline{(.)}$  designates the tropospheric mean.





We define:

$${}^{\mathsf{R}_{1}}_{\mathsf{R}_{2}}\mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{B}} = \sum_{\mathsf{lat}\in\mathcal{R}_{2}; z \leq z_{t}} \frac{{}^{\mathsf{R}_{1}}_{\mathsf{X}} {}^{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{B}}}{\Delta_{\mathsf{lon}}(\mathsf{X})}$$

where  $z_t$  is the tropopause altitude and  $\Delta_{lon}(X) = 5^{\circ}$  is the longitudinal resolution of the model. Acronyms used for R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> are listed in Table 1. For instance,  ${}^{a}_{A}S^{O_3}_{OH+ING_0}$ 

5 refers to the normalized sensitivity of Atlantic ozone (in % per ° longitude) to the rate of oxidation of ING<sub>0</sub> by OH.

#### 4 Discussion

The modeled tropical ozone over continental regions is very sensitive to the treatment of ING photochemistry (Fig. S5). Over continental regions, ozone can vary by as much
as ±20 % from the reference simulation as a result of changes in the representation of ING photochemistry. Changes in ozone are not limited to continental regions with high isoprene emissions. Downwind of Africa and South America, for instance, the Atlantic and Pacific basins exhibit a sensitivity to ING chemistry similar to continental regions. Ozone over remote oceanic regions is also found to be affected by isoprene
photochemistry.

 $NO_x$  concentrations play a critical role in modulating ozone production. In order to rationalize the simulated sensitivity of ozone to changes in the representation of isoprene nitrates, we thus define the local net removal of  $NO_x$  by isoprene nitrate chemistry as:

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{ING}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{ING}_0} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{ING}_1}^{\star} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{ING}_1} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{ING}_2} - \alpha \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{ING}_0}$ 

<sup>20</sup> where  $\mathcal{P}_{ING_0}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{ING_1}^{\star}$  are the photochemical sources of ING<sub>0</sub> and ING<sub>1</sub> (excluding the source from ING<sub>0</sub>);  $\mathcal{L}_{ING_0}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{ING_1}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{ING_2}$  are the photochemical losses of ING<sub>0</sub>, 25620



(12)



ING<sub>1</sub> and ING<sub>2</sub>.  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  defines three regimes associated with different regions of the tropics (Fig. 3): the continents, where isoprene nitrate photochemistry results in NO<sub>x</sub> loss ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING} > 0$ ); the near-shore oceanic basins, where isoprene nitrate photochemistry contributes to NO<sub>x</sub> production ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING} < 0$ ); and the remote oceanic basins ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING} \sim 0$ ).

In this section, we show that the impact of isoprene photochemistry on ozone in each of these regions reflect different mechanisms: modulation of NO<sub>x</sub> where  $\mathcal{D}_{ING} > 0$ , transport of ozone produced in the upper troposphere where  $\mathcal{D}_{ING} \sim 0$  and finally the competition between the transport of ozone and NO<sub>x</sub> where  $\mathcal{D}_{ING} < 0$ .

### 4.1 NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency controls the impact of isoprene nitrates over tropical continental regions ( $D_{ING} > 0$ )

10

Over regions with high isoprene and low NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (e.g, South America, New Guinea),  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  can account for up to 70% of NO<sub>x</sub> loss ( $\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$ ). On continental scales, however, a large fraction of the NO<sub>x</sub> segregated in isoprene nitrates is cycled back to the atmosphere (61% for Africa, 48% for South America).

- <sup>15</sup> The sensitivity of O<sub>x</sub> production ( $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$ ) to ING photochemistry is well correlated with its effect on  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  (Fig. 4). It follows that very different representations of the ING chemistry can have comparable effects on continental  $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$ : {Y = 15%,  $\alpha$  = 100%, fast deposition}, {Y = 10%,  $\alpha$  = 50%, fast deposition}, {Y = 15%,  $\alpha$  = 50%, default deposition} yield similar  $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$  over South America. As the NO<sub>x</sub> loss mechanisms (export to according basing and deposition) are different in each of these representations, they
- to oceanic basins and deposition) are different in each of these representations, they result in diverse predictions regarding nitrogen deposition and ozone formation downwind from continents, such that the need to accurately constrain ING photochemistry is not relaxed.

The sensitivity of  $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  varies regionally and seasonally reflecting changes <sup>25</sup> in the fraction of NO<sub>x</sub> lost through the isoprene nitrate chemistry (Fig. S6). Continental ozone is most sensitive to isoprene nitrate chemistry outside the biomass burning seasons, when isoprene impact on  $\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$  is largest. Conversely, the representation of isoprene nitrate chemistry has little regional impact on ozone during the biomass





burning period regardless of isoprene emissions. This difference can be understood through the seasonal variation of  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$ . While increasing the production of INGs, large emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> during the biomass burning season favor the production of OH and ozone such that the lifetime of INGs is reduced and their effect on the ozone budget is diminished.

5

The adjoint sensitivity of tropical ozone to isoprene nitrate yield  $\binom{t}{A}S_{Y}^{O_{3}}$  is negative everywhere. This is consistent with the regional removal of NO<sub>x</sub> dominating the impact of isoprene nitrate photochemistry on ozone. Seasonal and regional variations in the sensitivity reflect those of  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$ , e.g., in Africa where  $\frac{t}{A}S_{Y}^{O_3}$  is ~5 times greater from April to May than from December to February (Fig. 5).

The sensitivity of tropical ozone to the yield of the nitrate, Y, can be compared to its sensitivity to methane oxidation (Fig. 5).  ${}^{t}S_{OH+CH4}^{O_3}$  is always positive and its zonal variations largely reflect the fraction of methylperoxy radicals reacting with NO (Jaeglé et al., 2001; Wennberg et al., 1998). As a result,  ${}^{t}S_{OH+CH_4}^{O_3}$  is generally larger over continents, where NO emissions are concentrated. An important exception is South America where the oxidation of methane is limited by the low OH concentrations simulated by the model in low-NO<sub>x</sub>, high-biogenic environments.

Ozone is very sensitive to the formation rate of nitric acid  $({}^{t}S_{OH+NO_{2}}^{O_{3}})$ , a major sink of NO<sub>x</sub> in much of the atmosphere, emphasizing the need for very accurate understand-

<sup>20</sup> ing of the reaction of OH with NO<sub>2</sub> (Mollner et al., 2010). The production of both ING<sub>0</sub> and nitric acid result in the loss of NO<sub>x</sub>. As a result,  ${}^{t}S_{OH+NO_{2}}^{O_{3}}$  and  ${}^{t}S_{Y}^{O_{3}}$  are negative throughout the tropics. Nitric acid formation is most efficient when the concentrations of OH and NO<sub>2</sub> are elevated, i.e., over large NO<sub>x</sub> sources (biomass burning in Africa or anthropogenic and biomass burning in Java, Fig. 5). Unlike nitric acid, the photochem-<sup>25</sup> ical lifetime of ING<sub>0</sub> is very short under these conditions and it is not an efficient sink of NO<sub>x</sub>. However, under low NO<sub>x</sub> conditions and high isoprene emissions, ING<sub>0</sub> photooxidation is limited and it behaves, at least locally, as an important sink of NO<sub>x</sub> (Fig. 3). It follows that  ${}^{t}S_{OH+NO2}^{O_{3}}$  and  ${}^{t}S_{Y}^{O_{3}}$  have opposite dependence on surface NO<sub>x</sub> conditions.





This is supported by their seasonal variations over South America where  ${}^{t}_{s}S^{O_{3}}_{OH+NO2}$ is greater than  ${}^{t}_{s}S^{O_{3}}_{\gamma}$  during the biomass burning season ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_{x}} \ll 1$ ), but significantly lower outside the biomass burning seasons (Fig. 5). Seasonal variations are even greater in Africa where  ${}^{t}_{A}S^{O_{3}}_{\gamma}$  is almost negligible from December to February (Fig. 5) when isoprene and biomass burning emissions are collocated (Fig. 5), but comparable to  ${}^{t}_{A}S^{O_{3}}_{OH+NO_{2}}$  from April to May. A similar mechanism may also explain the large contrast between Java, where  ${}^{t}_{s}S^{O_{3}}_{OH+NO_{2}}$  as a result of large anthropogenic activities, and the more pristine New Guinea where  ${}^{t}_{s}S^{O_{3}}_{OH+NO_{2}}$ .

- <sup>t</sup> $S_{\alpha}^{O_3}$  suggests, however, that the impact of isoprene nitrate photochemistry (and <sup>10</sup> more generally of isoprene photochemistry) on ozone is not restricted to the modulation of the local photochemistry. Indeed, while <sup>t</sup> $S_{\alpha}^{O_3}$  is generally positive, as would be expected from its impact on the local  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  (Fig. 3), it becomes negative when isoprene emissions and biomass burning are collocated, e.g., in Africa from December to February. Similarly, the sensitivity of ozone to tropical emissions of isoprene (<sup>t</sup> $S_{E(ISOP)}^{O_3}$ , Fig. 6)
- <sup>15</sup> switches sign seasonally and regionally, unlike <sup>t</sup>S<sup>O<sub>3</sub></sup><sub>E(NO<sub>x</sub>)</sub> that is always positive regardless of the source of NO<sub>x</sub>. For instance, <sup>t</sup><sub>S</sub>S<sup>O<sub>3</sub></sup><sub>E(ISOP)</sub> is positive during the biomass burning season (Fig. 6, July–October) and becomes negative as biomass burning emissions decrease (December–February and April–May). Similarly, <sup>t</sup><sub>s</sub>S<sup>O<sub>3</sub></sup><sub>E(ISOP)</sub> switches sign between western Southeast Asia and eastern Southeast Asia. The next two sections are devoted to unraveling the mechanisms that govern the interactions of the isoprene photochemical cascade with O<sub>x</sub> at the local and global scales.





### 4.2 Long-range impact of isoprene photochemistry through transport to the upper troposphere ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING} \sim 0$ )

The contrast between the remote and local impacts of isoprene photooxidation on  $O_x$  is directly illustrated by the large regional variability of  ${}^S\mathcal{S}_{E(ISOP)}^{O_3}$  (Fig. 7).  ${}^S_S\mathcal{S}_{E(ISOP)}^{O_3}$  is

- <sup>5</sup> negative and well correlated with  ${}^{S}_{S} S^{NO_{x}}_{E(ISOP)}$ .  ${}^{S}_{S} S^{O_{3}}_{E(ISOP)}$  is minimum from April to May when  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  contributes most to  $\mathcal{L}_{NO_{x}}$ . Unlike the regional effect of isoprene emissions on ozone, their long-range impact (dominated by Africa) is generally positive and not associated with a local production of ozone, as  ${}^{S}_{A} S^{NO_{x}}_{E(ISOP)}$  is very small.
- Large-scale ozone enhancement fueled by isoprene photochemistry may impact the transport of ozone formed over continental regions, through the well-known "Atlanta" mechanism (Chameides et al., 1988), i.e., by the boundary layer oxidation of isoprene under elevated NO<sub>x</sub> conditions (e.g., from December to February in Africa). The spatial impact of this mechanism is usually limited in the midlatitudes because the lifetime of  $O_x$  is relatively short in the boundary layer. In the tropics, however, efficient convective transport may allow  $O_x$  to be carried to the free troposphere, where it can be advected over much larger spatial scales thanks to a significantly longer lifetime (Jacob et al., 1996).

The "Atlanta" mechanism does not, however, account for the maximum in  ${}_{A}^{S}S_{E(ISOP)}^{O_3}$ outside the biomass burning seasons (Fig. 7). We propose that this reflects ozone production in the free troposphere driven by the transport of boundary layer isoprene and its photochemical products by convection. Observations of high concentrations of isoprene (up to ~1 ppbv) and its photochemical products in the upper troposphere have been reported over Europe (Colomb et al., 2006; Stickler et al., 2006), Africa (Bechara et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2010), and South America (Warneke et al., 2001). The model exhibits a very characteristic "C"-profile for isoprene in the tropics and a

The model exhibits a very characteristic "C"-profile for isoprene in the tropics and a significant fraction of isoprene oxidation takes place outside the boundary layer (~ 20% in the tropics, Fig. S2). In these conditions, the production of ozone is extremely





efficient as (a) isoprene peroxy radicals react almost entirely with NO, and (b)  $\mathcal{D}_{\rm ING}$  ~0 as ING fate is almost entirely driven by NO<sub>x</sub>-neutral photochemical reactions. The injections of reactive isoprene can have a very large impact on ozone production in particular if it is accompanied by emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> (e.g., from lightning) (Collins et al., 1999; Poisson et al., 2000; Bechara et al., 2010; Jacob et al., 1996; Prather and Jacob,

- 1997). The formation of  $NO_x$  reservoirs in the upper troposphere (e.g., PAN) further amplifies the impact of isoprene photochemistry on  $NO_x$  by promoting the transport of  $NO_x$  to remote regions (Poisson et al., 2000). This mechanism would be consistent with the study of Aghedo et al. (2007), who reported that most of the production of ozone fueled by African emissions does not take place over the African continent, but rather downwind.
  - Ozone production in the free troposphere increases as the supply of isoprene and its photochemical products from the boundary layer increases, i.e., under low boundary layer oxidative conditions. Thus, the production of ozone in the upper troposphere is favored, somewhat paradoxically, by its destruction in the boundary layer. Since ozone
- <sup>15</sup> favored, somewhat paradoxically, by its destruction in the boundary layer. Since ozone is also transported to the upper troposphere, the net effect of the transport of isoprene to the upper troposphere depends on the relative magnitude of these two processes. Unlike Africa, where NO<sub>x</sub> is generally high enough such that isoprene photochemistry does not significantly depress  $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$  in the boundary layer, the segregation of NO<sub>x</sub> by
- <sup>20</sup> isoprene nitrates in South America by Eq. (6) results in very low boundary layer O<sub>x</sub> (Fig. 7). This competition is reflected in the greater sensitivity of Pacific ozone to isoprene emissions from Africa compared to South America outside the biomass burning seasons (Fig. S7). Similarly,  ${}_{S}^{S} S_{E(ISOP)}^{O_3}$  is generally negative, reflecting its impact on the removal of NO<sub>x</sub> by ING, while  ${}_{S}^{p} S_{E(ISOP)}^{O_3}$  can be positive during the biomass burning season, when boundary layer ozone over South America is less impacted by isoprene photochemistry. The importance of the VOC transport thus depends on the boundary layer budget of ozone, which is largely controlled by  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$ . It follows that ING photochemistry may indirectly modulate the large-scale effect of isoprene photooxidation on





the O<sub>x</sub> budget.

20

of NO<sub>v</sub> (Fig. S8).

The large-scale influence of isoprene emissions can be contrasted to that of lightning and biomass burning NO<sub>x</sub>. The large-scale effect of lightning is significantly greater than that of isoprene emissions and may not proceed through the same mechanisms as  ${}_{A}^{P/S} S_{E_{li}(NO_{x})}^{NO_{x}} > 0$  suggests a direct impact on the local ozone production far from its source regions. In contrast, the intercontinental effect of biomass burning is much more limited than that of isoprene and is not associated with large-scale transport of NO<sub>x</sub>. Injection of biomass burning emissions outside of the boundary layer (Val Martin et al., 2010) may, however, extend the spatial impact of biomass burning on ozone.

#### <sup>10</sup> 4.3 Isoprene nitrates as NO<sub>x</sub> reservoirs ( $D_{ING} < 0$ )

In this section, we focus on near shore oceanic basins, downwind of major isoprene emissions. In these regions, ING photooxidation contributes significantly to the NO<sub>x</sub> source (Fig. 3). The impact of isoprene photochemistry on O<sub>x</sub> budget reflects the competition between the transport of continental ozone over continental regions (greater continental  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$ ) and greater ozone production downwind. Despite the loss of NO<sub>x</sub> during its export (through deposition), this competition can result in an increase in O<sub>x</sub> downwind of ING production regions, since the ozone production efficiency is generally greater far from NO<sub>x</sub> sources.

It follows that ING<sub>0</sub> and ING<sub>1</sub> are too short-lived to contribute significantly to the transport of NO<sub>x</sub> since  ${}^{S}S_{OH+ING_{0}}^{O_{3}}$  and  ${}^{S}S_{OH+ING_{1}}^{O_{3}}$  are positive, even over oceanic basins: their impact primarily reflects their modulation of ozone production and transport from continental regions. Conversely,  ${}^{S}S_{OH+ING_{2}}^{O_{3}}$  is generally negative: ING<sub>2</sub> contribution to NO<sub>x</sub> transport to high OPE regions outweighs the associated increase in the removal

<sup>25</sup> An example of this competition between transport of NO<sub>x</sub> and transport of ozone is the sensitivity of South Atlantic ozone to the recycling of NO<sub>x</sub> from ING<sub>0</sub> phootooxidation ( $\alpha$ ). <sup>a</sup> $S_{\alpha}^{O_3}$  vary seasonally and spatially in response to changing photochemical 25626 iscussion Paper ACPD 11, 25605-25654, 2011 Impact of the isoprene photochemical **Discussion Paper** cascade F. Paulot et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close **Discussion Paper** Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

> CC () BY

conditions over Africa (Fig. 8).  ${}^{a}_{A}S^{O_{3}}_{a}$  is positive where isoprene peroxy radicals react primarily with HO<sub>2</sub> (e.g., from April to May,). Under these conditions, the increase in ozone production efficiency between the isoprene emission region and the receptor region is not large enough to compensate for the loss of NO<sub>x</sub> during its export.

<sup>5</sup> Conversely, <sup>a</sup><sub>A</sub>S<sup>O<sub>3</sub></sup> is negative where isoprene peroxy radicals primarily react with NO, i.e. where D<sub>ING</sub> is low and the impact of ING chemistry on the local P<sub>O<sub>x</sub></sub> is limited (e.g., from December to February, where biomass burning and isoprene emissions are collocated). Under these conditions, the contrast in ozone production efficiency can be very large and the increase in the transport of NO<sub>x</sub> outweighs the decrease in the local
 <sup>10</sup> production of ozone. From <sup>t</sup><sub>A</sub>S<sup>O<sub>3</sub></sup><sub>α</sub> < 0 and <sup>A</sup><sub>A</sub>S<sup>O<sub>3</sub></sup><sub>α</sub> > 0 (consistent with ∂D<sub>ING</sub>/∂α < 0) in the December to February period, it follows that the large–scale impact dominates over the regional–scale one under elevated NO<sub>x</sub> conditions.

## 4.4 Can the effect of chemistry, emissions and dynamics on the tropical O<sub>x</sub> budget be separated using experimental observations?

- <sup>15</sup> In the previous sections, we have shown that the oxidation of biogenics modulates the budget of  $O_x$  and that this modulation can vary dramatically in space and time as summarized in Fig. 9. Thus, the difference in the representation of this coupling across models need to be accounted for when analyzing intermodel differences of tropical  $O_x$ (Stevenson et al., 2006).
- Most studies focusing on tropical ozone have been devoted to improving NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from lightning, biomass burning and soil to achieve better agreement with ozone measurements (Sauvage et al., 2007b; Jaeglé et al., 2004; Ziemke et al., 2009). It follows from this study, however, that the impact of changes in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on the O<sub>x</sub> budget cannot be readily separated from their modulation by different representations
- of the photooxidation of biogenics and transport processes (in particular deep convection, Nassar et al., 2009). Thus, the uncertainty of  $NO_x$  emissions derived from ozone or  $NO_2$  measurements will be commensurate with errors in the representation of these





processes.

The different factors investigated here exhibit different seasonal and geographical behavior that can be used to unravel their coupling. Several avenues for further study can be inferred from this work:

- <sup>5</sup> the ozone contrast between land and ocean is predicted to be amplified by biomass burning but reduced by lightning and the transport of NO<sub>x</sub> reservoirs. The differences in seasonal and interannual variability of biomass burning and biogenic emissions combined with the availability of long record of tropospheric ozone (e.g., OMI) may help disentangle the different contributors to tropical ozone (Martin et al., 2000). In particular, we find that  ${}^{a}_{A}S^{O_{3}}_{E(ISOP)}$  and  ${}^{a}_{A}S^{O_{3}}_{E_{bb}(NO_{x})}$ are of similar magnitudes (Fig. S9). This is at odds with the conclusions of Sauvage et al. (2007b) who found that the dependence of Atlantic ozone on isoprene emissions is negligible. This may be associated with the treatment of isoprene nitrates as a terminal sink of NO<sub>x</sub> in the standard GEOS-Chem mechanism.
- the fraction of isoprene (and more generally biogenic volatile organic compound) 15 oxidized in the upper troposphere (i.e., vertical profile of isoprene or formaldehyde) governs the large-scale impact of short-lived volatile organic compound on the O<sub>v</sub> budget. Instruments such as TES, IASI and ACE-FTS provide some information on the vertical profile of many chemical compounds that are impacted differently by NO<sub>x</sub> and biogenic emissions. These multi-compounds analysis has 20 been used to investigate the budget of ozone (Bowman et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 2011) or formic acid (Gonzàlez Abad et al., 2009; Paulot et al., 2011). Vertical profiles also provide essential constraints on the photooxidative conditions in the boundary layer, complementing surface measurements. In particular, if the fraction of isoprene oxidized outside of the boundary layer is found to be over-25 estimated by the model, this would confirm that HO<sub>v</sub> concentration in high isoprene/low NO<sub>v</sub> environments are higher than simulated (Stone et al., 2010). Such a multi-compound approach may also be useful to constrain isoprene emissions





from satellite observations of formaldehyde total column (Palmer et al., 2003). Under low-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions, i.e., where the fate of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> is dominated by reactions with HO<sub>2</sub> and isomerization, it is unlikely that isoprene emissions can be directly related to formaldehyde total columns (Barkley et al., 2008). Instead, a formal inversion approach explicitly taking into account the spatial and vertical footprint of isoprene emissions on formaldehyde is probably required (Fig. S10).

5

10

15

20

- The sensitivity of ozone to isoprene photochemistry can be altered by changes in anthropogenic activities. This is illustrated by the sensitivity of ozone to anthropogenic NO<sub>v</sub> emissions in Java. Ozone is also very sensitive to soil NO<sub>v</sub> emissions (Fig. 6), which are also affected by human activities such as deforestation (Keller et al., 1993, 2005). To explore further the sensitivity of the interplay between ozone and biogenics activities, we modify anthropogenic emissions of NO<sub>v</sub> such that NO<sub>v</sub> emissions per capita are identical to those of North America (7.8 kg(NO)/yr/person, circa 2005) everywhere. This extreme scenario yields a large increase in OH (~30 %) and tropical ozone (Fig. S11).  ${}^{t}S^{O_{3}}_{E(ISOP)}$  increases throughout the tropics (Fig. 10). This increase can be large enough to make  ${}^{t}\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{ISOP})}^{\mathsf{O}_3}$  positive in regions where it is negative in the present-day simulation. Since some tropical crops are known to emit more isoprene than the rain forest they replace, this would further amplify the ozone increase associated with direct anthropogenic emissions (Hewitt et al., 2009). Similarly, negative  ${}^{t}S^{O_{3}}_{a}$  are not isolated to Africa as in the reference simulation but extend to large regions of the tropics (Fig. S13), underlying the profound change in the chemical regime of the tropics if all inhabitants had the same  $NO_x$  emissions per capita as North Americans.





#### 5 Conclusions

The rapid economic development of the tropical regions calls for a better assessment of how anthropogenic perturbations may influence the concentration of ozone and assess the consequences of this change on food security (Van Dingenen et al., 2009; Hewitt

 et al., 2009), human health (World Health Organization, 2005) and radiative forcing (directly or indirectly (e.g., Sitch et al., 2007)).

In this work, we have shown that accurate simulation of tropical ozone must take into account the modulation of the  $O_x$  budget by the photooxidation of biogenics. In particular, the regional simulation of ozone is shown to be very sensitive to the removal and export of  $NO_x$  by isoprene nitrates. In South America and New Guinea, the high ratio of isoprene–to– $NO_x$  emissions makes isoprene nitrates chemistry the primary sink of  $NO_x$ .

We have also shown that the impact of isoprene photooxidation on tropical ozone is not limited to the regional scale. We hypothesize that this long-range effect is the result of dynamic and photochemical processes: efficient vertical mixing (through deep convection) and low boundary layer  $HO_x$  (as a result of high biogenics and low  $NO_x$ emissions). Under these conditions, the model predicts that a significant fraction of isoprene is oxidized in the free troposphere, where its contribution to ozone production is amplified. The inability of models to reproduce ground  $HO_x$  observations may thus have consequences beyond the regional scale.

From this work, it is clear that the effect of the photochemistry of biogenics on ozone cannot be simply isolated from that of emissions, even on the global scale. In particular, a better understanding of the coupling of isoprene with NO<sub>x</sub> emissions requires a much improved representation of the isoprene photochemical cascade as a whole,

since many of the large scale effects presented here result from the photochemistry of second–, third–, etc.– generation photochemical products.





### Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/25605/2011/acpd-11-25605-2011-supplement.pdf.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank M. R. Beaver and J. D. Crounse for helpful discussions.
 FP was supported by a NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship. DKH was supported by
 the NASA New Investigator Program. Simulations were performed on Caltech's Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences Dell cluster.

#### References

- 10 7-1193-2007, 2007. 25625
  - Archibald, A. T., Cooke, M. C., Utembe, S. R., Shallcross, D. E., Derwent, R. G., and Jenkin, M. E.: Impacts of mechanistic changes on  $HO_x$  formation and recycling in the oxidation of isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8097–8118, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8097-2010, 2010. 25609
- <sup>15</sup> Barkley, M. P., Palmer, P. I., Kuhn, U., Kesselmeier, J., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., Martin, R. V., Helmig, D., and Guenther, A.: Net ecosystem fluxes of isoprene over tropical South America inferred from Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) observations of HCHO columns, J. Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2008JD009863, 2008. 25612, 25617, 25629

Bechara, J., Borbon, A., Jambert, C., Colomb, A., and Perros, P. E.: Evidence of the impact of deep convection on reactive Volatile Organic Compounds in the upper tropical tropo-

20 pact of deep convection on reactive Volatile Organic Compounds in the upper tropical troposphere during the AMMA experiment in West Africa, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10321–10334, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10321-2010, 2010. 25624, 25625

Benkovitz, C. M., Scholtz, M. T., Pacyna, J., Tarrasón, L., Dignon, J., Voldner, E. C., Spiro, P. A., Logan, J. A., and Graedel, T. E.: Global gridded inventories of anthropogenic emissions of

- sulfur and nitrogen, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 29239–29254, doi:10.1029/96JD00126, 1996.
   25614
  - Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated





meteorology – Model description and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 23073–23095, doi:10.1029/2001JD000807, 2001. 25612, 25614

- Bowman, K. W., Jones, D. B. A., Logan, J. A., Worden, H., Boersma, F., Chang, R., Kulawik, S., Osterman, G., Hamer, P., and Worden, J.: The zonal structure of tropical O<sub>3</sub> and CO
- as observed by the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer in November 2004 Part 2: Impact of surface emissions on  $O_3$  and its precursors, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3563–3582, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3563-2009, 2009. 25606, 25607, 25628
  - Boyd, A. A., Flaud, P.-M., Daugey, N., and Lesclaux, R.: Rate Constants for RO<sub>2</sub> + HO<sub>2</sub> Reactions Measured under a Large Excess of HO<sub>2</sub>, J. Phys. Chem. A, 107, 818–821, doi:10.1021/jp026581r, 2003. 25611

10

25

- Brown, S. S., deGouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Ryerson, T. B., Dubé, W. P., Atlas, E., Weber, R. J., Peltier, R. E., Neuman, J. A., Roberts, J. M., Swanson, A., Flocke, F., McKeen, S. A., Brioude, J., Sommariva, R., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Nocturnal isoprene oxidation over the Northeast United States in summer and its impact on reactive
- nitrogen partitioning and secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3027–3042, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/3027/2009/, 2009. 25610, 25617
  - Chameides, W. L., Lindsay, R. W., Richardson, J., and Kiang, C. S.: The role of biogenic hydrocarbons in urban photochemical smog: Atlanta as a case study, Science, 241, 1473, doi:10.1126/science.3420404, 1988. 25608, 25624
- <sup>20</sup> Chandra, S., Ziemke, J. R., Bhartia, P. K., and Martin, R. V.: Tropical tropospheric ozone: Implications for dynamics and biomass burning, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, 4188, doi:10.1029/2001JD000447, 2002. 25606
  - Chandra, S., Ziemke, J., and Martin, R.: Tropospheric ozone at tropical and middle latitudes derived from TOMS/MLS residual: Comparison with a global model, J. Geophys. Res, 108, 4291, doi:10.1029/2002JD002912, 2003. 25606
  - Chen, X., Hulbert, D., and Shepson, P. B.: Measurement of the organic nitrate yield from OH reaction with isoprene, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 25563–25568, doi:10.1029/98JD01483, 1998. 25610

Collins, W. J., Stevenson, D. S., Johnson, C. E., and Derwent, R. G.: Role of convection in determining the budget of odd hydrogen in the upper troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 104,

- determining the budget of odd hydrogen in the upper troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 26927–26942, doi:10.1029/1999JD900143, 1999. 25609, 25625
  - Colomb, A., Williams, J., Crowley, J., Gros, V., Hofmann, R., Salisbury, G., Klüpfel, T., Kormann, R., Stickler, A., Forster, C., et al.: Airborne measurements of trace organic species in the





upper troposphere over Europe: the impact of deep convection, Environ. Chem., 3, 244–259, doi:10.1071/EN06020, 2006. 25624

- Cooper, M., Martin, R., Sauvage, B., Boone, C., Walker, K., Bernath, P., McLinden, C., Degenstein, D., Volz-Thomas, A., and Wespes, C.: Evaluation of ACE-FTS and OSIRIS Satellite
- retrievals of ozone and nitric acid in the tropical upper troposphere: Application to ozone production efficiency, J. Geophys. Res, 116, D12306, doi:10.1029/2010JD015056, 2011. 25628
  - Crounse, J. D., Paulot, F., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Wennberg, P. O.: Peroxy radical isomerization in the oxidation of isoprene, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 13, 13607–13613, doi:10.1039/C1CP21330J, 2011. 25607, 25609, 25611, 25615, 25616
- Damian, V., Sandu, A., Damian, M., Potra, F., and Carmichael, G. R.: The kinetic preprocessor KPP-a software environment for solving chemical kinetics, Comput. Chem. Eng., 26, 1567– 1579, doi:10.1016/S0098-1354(02)00128-X, 2002. 25614

Dibble, T. S.: Intramolecular hydrogen bonding and double H-atom transfer in peroxy and alkoxy radicals from isoprene, J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 2199–2207, doi:10.1021/jp0306702, 2004a. 25607

15 25

25

Dibble, T. S.: Prompt chemistry of alkenoxy radical products of the double H-atom transfer of alkoxy radicals from isoprene, J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 2208–2215, doi:10.1021/jp0312161, 2004b. 25607

Doherty, R. M., Stevenson, D. S., Collins, W. J., and Sanderson, M. G.: Influence of convective

- transport on tropospheric ozone and its precursors in a chemistry-climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3205–3218, doi:10.5194/acp-5-3205-2005, 2005. 25609
  - Edwards, D. P., Emmons, L. K., Gille, J. C., Chu, A., Attié, J., Giglio, L., Wood, S. W., Haywood, J., Deeter, M. N., Massie, S. T., Ziskin, D. C., and Drummond, J. R.: Satellite-observed pollution from Southern Hemisphere biomass burning, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D14312, doi:10.1029/2005JD006655, 2006. 25607
  - Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Purves, D. W., II, H. L., Evans, M. J., Wang, Y., Li, Q., and Yantosca, R. M.: Evaluating the contribution of changes in isoprene emissions to surface ozone trends over the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res, 110, D12303, doi:10.1029/2004JD005485, 2005. 25609, 25611
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Van Dorland, R.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of





the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, 2007. 25606 Froyd, K. D., Murphy, S. M., Murphy, D. M., de Gouw, J. A., Eddingsaas, N. C., and Wennberg, P. O.: Contribution of isoprene-derived organosulfates to free tropospheric aerosol mass, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107, 21360–21365, doi:10.1073/pnas.1012561107, 2010. 25617

- Giacopelli, P., Ford, K., Espada, C., and Shepson, P. B.: Comparison of the measured and simulated isoprene nitrate distributions above a forest canopy, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D01304, doi:10.1029/2004JD005123, 2005. 25610
  - Giering, R. and Kaminski, T.: Recipes for adjoint code construction, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 24, 437–474, 1998. 25613, 25616
- Gonzàlez Abad, G., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., McLeod, S. D., Manney, G. L., and Toon, G. C.: Global distribution of upper tropospheric formic acid from the ACE-FTS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8039–8047, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8039-2009, 2009. 25628
  - Grossenbacher, J. W., Couch, T., Shepson, P. B., Thornberry, T., Witmer-Rich, M., Carroll, M. A., Faloona, I., Tan, D., Brune, W., Ostling, K., and Bertman, S.: Measurements of isoprene nitrates above a forest canopy, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 24429–24438, doi:10.1029/2001JD900029, 2001. 25610

15

- Grossenbacher, J. W., Barket, Jr., D. J., Shepson, P. B., Carroll, M. A., Olszyna, K., and Apel, E.: A comparison of isoprene nitrate concentrations at two forest-impacted sites, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109, D11311, doi:10.1029/2003JD003966, 2004. 25610
- <sup>20</sup> Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., Erickson, D., Fall, R., Geron, C., Graedel, T., Harley, P., Klinger, L., Lerdau, M., McKay, W. A., Pierce, T., Scholes, B., Steinbrecher, R., Tallamraju, R., Taylor, J., and Zimmerman, P.: A global model of natural volatile organic compound emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 8873–8892, doi:10.1029/94JD02950, 1995. 25607, 25611

Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates

- of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006. 25607, 25612, 25614
  - Henze, D. K., Hakami, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Development of the adjoint of GEOS-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2413–2433, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2413-2007, 2007. 25612, 25614
- <sup>30</sup> Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., and Shindell, D. T.: Inverse modeling and mapping US air quality influences of inorganic PM<sub>2.5</sub> precursor emissions using the adjoint of GEOS-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5877–5903, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5877-2009, 2009. 25619 Hewitt, C. N., MacKenzie, A. R., Di Carlo, P., Di Marco, C. F., Dorsey, J. R., Evans, M., Fowler,





D., Gallagher, M. W., Hopkins, J. R., Jones, C. E., Langford, B., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Lim, S. F., McQuaid, J., Misztal, P., Moller, S. J., Monks, P. S., Nemitz, E., Oram, D. E., Owen, S. M., Phillips, G. J., Pugh, T. A. M., Pyle, J. A., Reeves, C. E., Ryder, J., Siong, J., Skiba, U., and Stewart, D. J.: Nitrogen management is essential to prevent tropical oil palm plantations from causing ground-level ozone pollution, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106, 18447–18451, doi:10.1073/pnas.0907541106, 2009. 25629, 25630

Horowitz, L. W., Liang, J., Gardner, G. M., and Jacob, D. J.: Export of reactive nitrogen from North America during summertime – Sensitivity to hydrocarbon chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 13451–13476, 1998. 25609, 25611

5

15

20

<sup>10</sup> Horowitz, L. W., Fiore, A. M., Milly, G. P., Cohen, R. C., Perring, A., Wooldridge, P. J., Hess, P. G., Emmons, L. K., and Lamarque, J.: Observational constraints on the chemistry of isoprene nitrates over the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res., 112, 12, doi:10.1029/2006JD007747, 2007. 25609, 25610, 25611, 25617, 25618

Houweling, S., Dentener, F., and Lelieveld, J.: The impact of nonmethane hydrocarbon compounds on tropospheric photochemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 10673–10696, doi:10.1029/97JD03582, 1998. 25608

Ito, A., Sillman, S., and Penner, J. E.: Effects of additional nonmethane volatile organic compounds, organic nitrates, and direct emissions of oxygenated organic species on global tropospheric chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D06309, doi:10.1029/2005JD006556, 2007. 25609, 25610, 25616, 25617, 25618

Jacob, D. J. and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, Atmos. Environ., 43, 51–63, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051, 2009. 25610

Jacob, D. J., Heikes, B. G., Fan, S.-M., Logan, J. A., Mauzerall, D. L., Bradshaw, J. D., Singh, H. B., Gregory, G. L., Talbot, R. W., Blake, D. R., and Sachse, G. W.: Origin of ozone and

NO<sub>x</sub> in the tropical troposphere: A photochemical analysis of aircraft observations over the South Atlantic basin, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 24235–24250, doi:10.1029/96JD00336, 1996. 25606, 25607, 25624, 25625

Jacob, D. J., Liu, H., Mari, C., and Yantosca, R. M.: Harvard wet deposition scheme for GMI, 2000. 25614

Jaeglé, L., Jacob, D. J., Brune, W. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Chemistry of HO<sub>x</sub> radicals in the upper troposphere, Atmos. Environ., 35, 469–489, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00376-9, 2001. 25622

Jaeglé, L., Martin, R. V., Chance, K., Steinberger, L., Kurosu, T. P., Jacob, D. J., Modi, A. I.,





Yoboue, V., Sigha-Nkamdjou, L., and Galy-Lacaux, C.: Satellite mapping of rain-induced nitric oxide emissions from soils, J. Geophys. Res, 109, doi:10.1029/2004JD004787, 2004. 25607, 25627

Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., and Pilling, M. J.: The tropospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds: a protocol for mechanism development, Atmos. Environ., 31, 81–104, 1997. 25615

Jourdain, L., Worden, H. M., Worden, J. R., Bowman, K., Li, Q., Eldering, A., Kulawik, S. S., Osterman, G., Boersma, K. F., Fisher, B., Rinsland, C. P., Beer, R., and Gunson, M.: Tropospheric vertical distribution of tropical Atlantic ozone observed by TES

during the northern African biomass burning season, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L04810, doi:10.1029/2006GL028284, 2007. 25607

Keller, M., Veldkamp, E., Weitz, A. M., and Reiners, W. A.: Effect of pasture age on soil trace-gas emissions from a deforested area of Costa Rica., Nature, 365, 244–246, doi:10.1038/365244a0, 1993. 25629

<sup>15</sup> Keller, M., Varner, R., Dias, J., Silva, H., Crill, P., de Oliveira Jr, R., and Asner, G.: Soilatmosphere exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, methane, and carbon dioxide in logged and undisturbed forest in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil, Earth Interact., 9, 1–28, doi:10.1175/EI125.1, 2005. 25629

Kopacz, M., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Logan, J. A., Zhang, L., Megretskaia, I. A., Yantosca,

- R. M., Singh, K., Henze, D. K., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Khlystova, I., McMillan, W. W., Gille, J. C., Edwards, D. P., Eldering, A., Thouret, V., and Nedelec, P.: Global estimates of CO sources with high resolution by adjoint inversion of multiple satellite datasets (MOPITT, AIRS, SCIAMACHY, TES), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 855–876, doi:10.5194/acp-10-855-2010, 2010. 25619
- Kopacz, M., Mauzerall, D. L., Wang, J., Leibensperger, E. M., Henze, D. K., and Singh, K.: Origin and radiative forcing of black carbon transported to the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2837–2852, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2837-2011, 2011. 25619
  - Kuhn, U., Ganzeveld, L., Thielmann, A., Dindorf, T., Schebeske, G., Welling, M., Sciare, J., Roberts, G., Meixner, F. X., Kesselmeier, J., Lelieveld, J., Kolle, O., Ciccioli, P., Lloyd, J.,
- Trentmann, J., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Impact of Manaus City on the Amazon Green Ocean atmosphere: ozone production, precursor sensitivity and aerosol load, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9251–9282, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9251-2010, 2010. 25608

Lelieveld, J., Butler, T. M., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Fischer, H., Ganzeveld, L., Harder,





H., Lawrence, M. G., Martinez, M., Taraborrelli, D., et al.: Atmospheric oxidation capacity sustained by a tropical forest, Nature, 452, 737, doi:0.1038/nature06870, 2008. 25607, 25612

Liu, S. C., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Parrish, D. D., Williams, E. J., Fahey, D. W.,
 Hübler, G., and Murphy, P. C.: Ozone production in the rural troposphere and the implications for regional and global ozone distributions, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 4191–4207, doi:10.1029/JD092iD04p04191, 1987. 25608

Lockwood, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Fiddler, M. N., and Alaghmand, M.: Isoprene nitrates: preparation, separation, identification, yields, and atmospheric chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,

10, 6169–6178, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6169-2010, 2010. 25607, 25610, 25615, 25617, 25618
 Mari, C., Bechtold, P., and Jacob, D.: Transport and scavenging of soluble gases in a deep convective cloud, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 22255–22268, doi:10.1029/2000JD900211, 2000. 25614

Martien, P. T., Harley, R. A., and Cacuci, D. G.: Adjoint Sensitivity Analysis for a Three-

- <sup>15</sup> Dimensional Photochemical Model: Implementation and Method Comparisons, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2663–2670, doi:10.1021/es0510257, pMID:16683606, 2006. 25619
  - Martin, R. V., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Ziemke, J. M., and Washington, R.: Detection of a lightning influence on tropical tropospheric ozone, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 1639–1642, doi:10.1029/1999GL011181, 2000. 25628
- Menut, L., Vautard, R., Beekmann, M., and Honoré, C.: Sensitivity of photochemical pollution using the adjoint of a simplified chemistry-transport model, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 15379– 15402, doi:10.1029/1999JD900953, 2000. 25619
  - Millet, D. B., Jacob, D. J., Custer, T. G., de Gouw, J. A., Goldstein, A. H., Karl, T., Singh, H. B., Sive, B. C., Talbot, R. W., Warneke, C., and Williams, J.: New constraints on ter-
- restrial and oceanic sources of atmospheric methanol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6887–6905, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6887-2008, 2008. 25614
  - Mollner, A. K., Valluvadasan, S., Feng, L., Sprague, M. K., Okumura, M., Milligan, D. B., Bloss, W. J., Sander, S. P., Martien, P. T., Harley, R. A., McCoy, A. B., and Carter, W. P. L.: Rate of Gas Phase Association of Hydroxyl Radical and Nitrogen Dioxide, Science, 330, 646–649, heidd 1400/asimore 1100000, 0010, 00000
- <sup>30</sup> doi:10.1126/science.1193030, 2010. 25622
  - Moxim, W. J. and Levy, H.: A model analysis of the tropical South Atlantic Ocean tropospheric ozone maximum: The interaction of transport and chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 17393–17416, doi:10.1029/2000JD900175, 2000. 25609





- Murphy, J. G., Oram, D. E., and Reeves, C. E.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds over West Africa, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5281–5294, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5281-2010, 2010. 25624
- Nassar, R., Logan, J. A., Megretskaia, I. A., Murray, L. T., Zhang, L., and Jones, D. B. A.:
- Analysis of tropical tropospheric ozone, carbon monoxide, and water vapor during the 2006 El Niño using TES observations and the GEOS-Chem model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 114, D17304, doi:10.1029/2009JD011760, 2009. 25606, 25627
  - Palmer, P. I., Jacob, D. J., Fiore, A. M., Martin, R. V., Chance, K., and Kurosu, T. P.: Mapping isoprene emissions over North America using formaldehyde column observations from space,
- J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4180, doi:10.1029/2002JD002153, 2003. 25612, 25617, 25629
   Paulot, F., Crounse, J. D., Kjaergaard, H. G., Kroll, J. H., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Isoprene photooxidation: new insights into the production of acids and organic nitrates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1479–1501, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1479-2009, 2009a. 25607, 25610, 25615, 25618
- Paulot, F., Crounse, J. D., Kjaergaard, H. G., Kurten, A., St. Clair, J. M., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Unexpected Epoxide Formation in the Gas-Phase Photooxidation of Isoprene, Science, 325, 730–733, doi:10.1126/science.1172910, 2009b. 25607, 25611, 25615, 25616

Paulot, F., Wunch, D., Crounse, J. D., Toon, G. C., Millet, D. B., DeCarlo, P. F., Vigouroux, C.,

- Deutscher, N. M., González Abad, G., Notholt, J., Warneke, T., Hannigan, J. W., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Dunlea, E. J., De Mazière, M., Griffith, D. W. T., Bernath, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Wennberg, P. O.: Importance of secondary sources in the atmospheric budgets of formic and acetic acids, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1989–2013, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1989-2011, 2011. 25628
- Peeters, J. and Muller, J.-F.: HO<sub>x</sub> radical regeneration in isoprene oxidation via peroxy radical isomerisations. II: experimental evidence and global impact, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 12, 14227–14235, doi:10.1039/C0CP00811G, 2010. 25609, 25611

30

- Peeters, J., Nguyen, T., and Vereecken, L.: HO<sub>x</sub> radical regeneration in the oxidation of isoprene, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 5935–5939, doi:10.1039/b908511d, 2009. 25607, 25609, 25611, 25616, 25646
- Perring, A. E., Bertram, T. H., Wooldridge, P. J., Fried, A., Heikes, B. G., Dibb, J., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Singh, H. B., and Cohen, R. C.: Airborne observations of total RONO<sub>2</sub>: new constraints on the yield and lifetime of





isoprene nitrates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1451–1463, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1451-2009, 2009. 25610

Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J. F., Orlando, J. J., Walters, S., Guenther, A., Palmer, P. I., and Lawrence, P. J.: Contribution of isoprene to chemical

- <sup>5</sup> budgets: A model tracer study with the NCAR CTM MOZART-4, J. Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2007JD008948, 2008. 25607
  - Poisson, N., Kanakidou, M., and Crutzen, P. J.: Impact of Non-Methane Hydrocarbons on Tropospheric Chemistry and the Oxidizing Power of the Global Troposphere: 3-Dimensional Modelling Results, J. Atmos. Chem., 36, 157–230, doi:10.1023/A:1006300616544, 2000. 25625
  - Prather, M. J. and Jacob, D. J.: A persistent imbalance in HO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> photochemistry of the upper troposphere driven by deep tropical convection, Geophys. Res. Lett, 24, 3189–3192, doi:10.1029/97GL03027, 1997. 25625

10

Price, C., Penner, J., and Prather, M.: NO<sub>x</sub> from lightning 1. Global distribution based on

- <sup>15</sup> lightning physics, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 5929–5942, doi:10.1029/96JD03504, 1997. 25614 Randerson, J. T., van der Werf, G. R., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global Fire Emissions Database, Version 2 (GFEDv2), Tech. rep., Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, TN, USA, doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/834, 2006. 25614
- Ren, X., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Long, R. B., Chen, Z., Chen, G., Avery, M. A., Sachse, G. W., et al.: HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry during INTEX-A 2004: Observation, model calculation, and comparison with previous studies, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 5310, doi:10.1029/2007JD009166, 2008. 25607

Roberts, J. M. and Fajer, R. W.: UV absorption cross sections of organic nitrates of potential

- atmospheric importance and estimation of atmospheric lifetimes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 23, 945–951, doi:10.1021/es00066a003, 1989. 25615
  - Roelofs, G. and Lelieveld, J.: Tropospheric ozone simulation with a chemistry-general circulation model: Influence of higher hydrocarbon chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 22697–22712, doi:10.1029/2000JD900316, 2000. 25607
- <sup>30</sup> Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's Law Constants for Inorganic and Organic Species of Potential Importance in Environmental Chemistry (Version 3), Tech. rep., 1999. 25616, 25618 Sandu, A. and Sander, R.: Technical note: Simulating chemical systems in Fortran90 and Matlab with the Kinetic PreProcessor KPP-2.1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 187–195,





doi:10.5194/acp-6-187-2006, 2006. 25614

20

- Sandu, A., Daescu, D. N., Carmichael, G. R., and Chai, T.: Adjoint sensitivity analysis of regional air quality models, J. Computat. Phys. , 204, 222–252, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2004.10.011, 2005. 25613
- Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of nonaromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161–180, doi:10.5194/acp-3-161-2003, 2003. 25611, 25615

Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Liu, X., Chance, K., Jaeglé, L., Palmer, P. I.,

- Wu, S., and Fu, T.-M.: Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 815–838, doi:10.5194/acp-7-815-2007, 2007a. 25614
  - Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., Van Donkelaar, A., and Ziemke, J. R.: Quantification of the factors controlling tropical tropospheric ozone and the South Atlantic maximum, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 112, D11309, doi:10.1029/2006JD008008, 2007b. 25606, 25607, 25627, 25628
   Schmidt, H. and Martin, D.: Adjoint sensitivity of episodic ozone in the Paris area to emissions on the continental scale, Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres), 108, 8561, doi:10.1029/2001JD001583, 2003. 25619

Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, Wiley-Interscience, 1998. 25608

Sitch, S., Cox, P. M., Collins, W. J., and Huntingford, C.: Indirect radiative forcing of climate change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink, Nature, 448, 791–794, doi:10.1038/nature06059, 2007. 25630

Sprengnether, M., Demerjian, K. L., Donahue, N. M., and Anderson, J. G.: Product analysis of

the OH oxidation of isoprene and 1, 3-butadiene in the presence of NO, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8–8, 2002. 25610

- Stavrakou, T., Peeters, J., and Müller, J.-F.: Improved global modelling of HO<sub>x</sub> recycling in isoprene oxidation: evaluation against the GABRIEL and INTEX-A aircraft campaign measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9863–9878, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9863-2010, 2010. 25609
- Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T. P. C., Wild, O., Zeng, G., Amann, M., Atherton, C. S., Bell, N., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G., Doherty, R. M., Drevet, J., Eskes, H. J., Fiore, A. M., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Horowitz, L. W., Isaksen, I. S. A., Krol, M. C., Lamarque,



J., Lawrence, M. G., Montanaro, V., Müller, J., Pitari, G., Prather, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M. G., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D. T., Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., and Szopa, S.: Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near-future tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D08301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006338, 2006. 25609, 25610, 25627

Stickler, A., Fischer, H., Williams, J., de Reus, M., Sander, R., Lawrence, M. G., Crowley, J. N., and Lelieveld, J.: Influence of summertime deep convection on formaldehyde in the middle and upper troposphere over Europe, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D14308, doi:10.1029/2005JD007001, 2006. 25624

5

- Stone, D., Evans, M. J., Commane, R., Ingham, T., Floquet, C. F. A., McQuaid, J. B., Brookes, D. M., Monks, P. S., Purvis, R., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J., Lee, J., Lewis, A. C., Stewart, D., Murphy, J. G., Mills, G., Oram, D., Reeves, C. E., and Heard, D. E.: HO<sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA: impact of isoprene and NO<sub>x</sub>, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9415–9429, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9415-2010, 2010. 25612, 25628
- <sup>15</sup> Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Eddingsaas, N. C., Chan, M., Loza, C. L., Kwan, A. J., Hersey, S. P., Flagan, R. C., Wennberg, P. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Reactive intermediates revealed in secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107, 6640–6645, doi:10.1073/pnas.0911114107, 2010. 25617

Thompson, A. M., Doddridge, B. G., Witte, J. C., Hudson, R. D., Luke, W. T., Johnson, J. E.,

- Johnson, B. J., Oltmans, S. J., and Weller, R.: A tropical Atlantic paradox: Shipboard and satellite views of a tropospheric ozone maximum and wave-one in January-February 1999, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3317–3320, doi:10.1029/1999GL011273, 2000. 25606
  - Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Cohen, R. C., Martinez, M., Harder, H., Brune, W. H., Williams, E. J., Roberts, J. M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Hall, S. R., Shutter, R. E., Wert, B. P., and
- Fried, A.: Ozone production rates as a function of  $NO_x$  abundances and  $HO_x$  production rates in the Nashville urban plumes, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4146, doi:10.1029/2001JD000932, 2002. 25607, 25608
  - Val Martin, M., Logan, J. A., Kahn, R. A., Leung, F.-Y., Nelson, D. L., and Diner, D. J.: Smoke injection heights from fires in North America: analysis of 5 years of satellite observations,
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1491–1510, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1491-2010, 2010. 25626 Van Dingenen, R., Dentener, F. J., Raes, F., Krol, M. C., Emberson, L., and Cofala, J.: The global impact of ozone on agricultural crop yields under current and future air quality legisla-

tion, Atmos. Environ., 43, 604–618, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.033, 2009. 25630





Vautard, R., Beekmann, M., and Menut, L.: Applications of adjoint modelling in atmospheric chemistry: sensitivity and inverse modelling, Environ. Modell. Softw., 15, 703–709, doi:10.1016/S1364-8152(00)00058-X, 2000. 25619

von Kuhlmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Pöschl, U., and Crutzen, P. J.: Sensitivities in global scale modeling of isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1–17, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1-2004, 2004. 25607

- <sup>5</sup> modeling of isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1–17, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1-2004, 2004. 25607 Wang, P., Fishman, J., Harvey, V. L., and Hitchman, M. H.: Southern tropical upper tropospheric zonal ozone wave-1 from SAGE II observations (1985–2002), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D08305, doi:10.1029/2005JD006221, 2006. 25606, 25607
- Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub> hydrocarbon chemistry 1. Model formulation, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 10713–10726, doi:10.1029/98JD00158, 1998, 25614
  - Warneke, C., Holzinger, R., Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Lindinger, W., Pöschl, U., Williams, J., Hoor, P., Fischer, H., Crutzen, P., et al.: Isoprene and Its Oxidation Products Methyl Vinyl Ketone, Methacrolein, and Isoprene Related Peroxides Measured Online over the Tropical Rain Forest of Surinam in March 1998, J. Atmos. Chem., 38, 167–185, 2001. 25624
- Rain Forest of Surinam in March 1998, J. Atmos. Chem., 38, 167–185, 2001. 25624
   Wennberg, P. O., Hanisco, T. F., Jaeglé;, L., Jacob, D. J., Hintsa, E. J., Lanzendorf, E. J., Anderson, J. G., Gao, R.-S., Keim, E. R., Donnelly, S. G., Negro, L. A., Fahey, D. W., McKeen, S. A., Salawitch, R. J., Webster, C. R., May, R. D., Herman, R. L., Proffitt, M. H., Margitan, J. J., Atlas, E. L., Schauffler, S. M., Flocke, F., McElroy, C. T., and Bui, T. P.: Hydrogen Radicals, Nitrogen Radicals, and the Production of O<sub>3</sub> in the Upper Troposphere, Science,
  - 279, 49-53, doi:10.1126/science.279.5347.49, 1998. 25622
  - Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, Atmos. Environ., 23, 1293–1304, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.058, 1989. 25614
- World Health Organization: Air Quality Guidelines Global Update, 2005. 25630
- Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., and Rind, D.: Why are there large differences between models in global budgets of tropospheric ozone?, J. Geophys. Res., 112, doi:10.1029/2006JD007801, 2007. 25609, 25610

Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NOx emissions, J. Geophys.

- <sup>30</sup> Res., 100, 11447–11464, doi:10.1029/95JD00370, 1995. 25614
  - Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Kopacz, M., Henze, D. K., Singh, K., and Jaffe, D. A.: Intercontinental source attribution of ozone pollution at western U.S. sites using an adjoint method, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L11810, doi:10.1029/2009GL037950, 2009. 25619





- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Logan, J. A., Chance, K., Eldering, A., and Bojkov, B. R.: Intercomparison methods for satellite measurements of atmospheric composition: application to tropospheric ozone from TES and OMI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4725–4739, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4725-2010, 2010. 25607
- <sup>5</sup> Zhu, T., Barnes, I., and Becker, K. H.: Relative-rate study of the gas-phase reaction of hydroxy radicals with difunctional organic nitrates at 298 K and atmospheric pressure, J. Atmos. Chem., 13, 301–311, doi:10.1007/BF00058137, 1991. 25615
  - Ziemke, J. R. and Chandra, S.: Seasonal and interannual variabilities in tropical tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 21425–21442, doi:10.1029/1999JD900277, 1999. 25606
- <sup>10</sup> Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Duncan, B. N., Froidevaux, L., Bhartia, P. K., Levelt, P. F., and Waters, J. W.: Tropospheric ozone determined from Aura OMI and MLS: Evaluation of measurements and comparison with the Global Modeling Initiative's Chemical Transport Model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D19303, doi:10.1029/2006JD007089, 2006. 25645 Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Duncan, B. N., Schoeberl, M. R., Torres, O., Damon, M. R., and
- <sup>15</sup>Bhartia, P. K.: Recent biomass burning in the tropics and related changes in tropospheric ozone, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L15819, doi:10.1029/2009GL039303, 2009. 25627

Diecheeinn Dar	AC 11, 25605-	ACPD 11, 25605–25654, 2011 Impact of the isoprene photochemical cascade	
pr   Diechee	Impac isop photoc cas		
ion Daner	Title Page		
	Abstract Conclusions	Introduction References	
	Tables	Figures	
		►I	
	•	•	
_	Back	Close	
	Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-friendly Ve		ndly Version	
non	Interactive Discussion		



#### Table 1. Notations.

\_

${}^{R_{y}}_{R_{x}}\mathcal{S}_{X}^{Y}$	normalized sensitivity of mean tropospheric Y over the region $R_y$ to changes in X over the region $R_x$ . For photochemical processes, unless otherwise noted,	
	$R_y$ extends from the surface to the tropopause. $R_y^{H_y} S_X^{\gamma}$	
	is expressed in % per degree longitude.	
а	Atlantic $(R_x, R_y)$	
А	Africa $(R_x, R_y)$	
р	Pacific $(\hat{R}_x, \hat{R}_y)$	
S	South America $(R_x, R_y)$	
S	Southeast Asia $(R_x, R_y)$	
t	Tropics $(R_x, R_y)$	
w	World $(R_x, R_y)$	
$\mathcal{D}_{ING}$	net effect of ING chemistry on the NO <sub>x</sub> budget	
$\mathcal{L}_{NO_{v}}$	Loss of $NO_x$ (account for the role of PAN and ING as	
	NO <sub>x</sub> reservoirs)	
$\mathcal{P}_{X}$	Photochemical production of X	
$E_{bb}(NO_x)$	NO <sub>x</sub> emissions from biomass burning	
$E_{li}(NO_x)$	NO <sub>x</sub> emissions from lightning	
E(ISOP)	Isoprene emissions	
Ŷ	Isoprene nitrate yield	
α	$NO_x$ recycling from $ING_0$ photooxidation	







**Fig. 1.** OMI/MLS tropospheric total column ozone in Dobson units (Ziemke et al., 2006). The different geographical regions used in this study are denoted by white contours. The tropical region extends from 15° S to 7° N. Top panel: June 2006–October 2006, middle panel: November 2006–February 2007, bottom panel: March 2007–May 2007.







**Fig. 2.** Overview of the isoprene photochemical cascade (thick color bars) for the reference simulation in different regions (Table 1). Branching ratios for the reference run (in percent) are indicated by bars for isoprene oxidation (**A**, left axis), isoprene peroxy radical fate (**B**, left axis), isoprene nitrate sources (**C**, left axis), ING<sub>0</sub> fate (**D**, right axis), ING<sub>1</sub> fate (**E**, right axis), ING<sub>2</sub> fate (**F**, right axis). Black open circles indicate the lifetime (in hours) of isoprene (**A**, right axis), ING<sub>0</sub> (**D**, left axis), ING<sub>1</sub> (**E**, left axis) and ING<sub>2</sub> (**F**, left axis), and the fractional loss of NO<sub>x</sub> caused by isoprene nitrate chemistry,  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$  (**C**, right axis in %). The extent of the error bars represents the minimum and maximum values calculated using the different representations of isoprene nitrate chemistry. J designates the isomerization of isoprene peroxy radical (**B**, Peeters et al., 2009) or the photolysis of ING<sub>2</sub> (**F**).







**Fig. 3.** Isoprene nitrate chemistry significantly affects the budget of NO<sub>x</sub> in the tropics. Positive numbers represent the fraction of the net chemical loss of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$ ) accounted for by isoprene nitrate photochemistry ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING} > 0$ ) in the tropospheric column. Negative numbers denote the fraction of the net chemical source of NO<sub>x</sub> accounted for by isoprene nitrate photochemistry ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING} < 0$ ) in the tropospheric column.

<b>Discussion</b> Pa	<b>ACPD</b> 11, 25605–25654, 2011		
per   Discussion P	Impac isoj photoc cas F. Pau	Impact of the isoprene photochemical cascade F. Paulot et al. Title Page	
aper	Title		
—	Abstract	Introduction	
Disc	Conclusions	References	
ussion	Tables	Figures	
Pape	14	▶1	
Ū,	•	•	
	Back	Close	
iscussion P	Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version		
aper	Interactive Discussion		





**Fig. 4.** The correlation between  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$  on the regional scale (South America) across a large range of representations of ING chemistry suggests that the influence of ING chemistry on  $\mathcal{P}_{O_x}$  is primarily controlled by its net impact on NO<sub>x</sub>. Upward-pointing triangles/Circles/downward-pointing triangles denote different ING<sub>0</sub> yields: Y = 5 %/10 %/15%; red/black/blue colors refer to fast/medium/slow ING deposition; empty/half filled/filled symbols denote different NO<sub>x</sub> recycling from the photooxidation of ING<sub>0</sub>:  $\alpha$  = 0/50/100 %. The reference simulation is indicated by a vertical cross. The purple diamond indicates a simulation where the yield of ING<sub>0</sub> from ISOPO<sub>2</sub> + NO is set to zero. The green diamond indicates a simulation where ING<sub>0</sub>, ING<sub>1</sub> and ING<sub>2</sub> have no photochemical sink (similar to the standard GEOS-Chem mechanism).







**Fig. 5.** Adjoint sensitivity of tropical ozone (solid lines) and NO<sub>x</sub> (dashed lines) to changes in the isoprene nitrate yield (red), the isoprene nitrate recycling (blue, ×5), the loss rate of methane (green, CH<sub>4</sub> + OH), the rate of OH + NO<sub>2</sub> (black, ×0.5). For example, the mean sensitivity of tropospheric tropical ozone to methane from July to October is ~0.028 % per degree longitude (from  $-15^{\circ}$ N to  $7^{\circ}$ N). Hence, a uniform increase of 1 % of the oxidation rate of methane by OH in the tropics is predicted to cause an increase in tropospheric tropical ozone of ~0.1 %. Increases in the absolute sensitivity of ozone to NO<sub>x</sub> losses from one season to another (e.g., over Africa from December–February to April–May) reflect changes in ozone production efficiency. The grey shaded region denotes the region over which the cost functions are evaluated.







**Fig. 6.** Adjoint sensitivity of tropical ozone (solid lines) and NO<sub>x</sub> (dash lines) to changes in the emissions of isoprene (green), lightning NO<sub>x</sub> (red, ×0.5), biomass burning NO<sub>x</sub> (blue), soil NO<sub>x</sub> (cyan) and anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> (black). The grey shaded region denotes the region over which the cost functions are evaluated.





**Fig. 7.** Adjoint sensitivity of tropospheric ozone (solid lines) and NO<sub>x</sub> (dashed lines) over South America (shaded region) to changes in the emissions of isoprene (green), lightning NO<sub>x</sub> (red), biomass burning NO<sub>x</sub> (blue) and anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> (black).





**Fig. 8.** Seasonal variations in the adjoint sensitivity of Atlantic (top row) and African (bottom row) ozone to the NO<sub>x</sub> recycling from ING<sub>0</sub> photooxidation ( $\alpha$ ) summed from the surface to  $p_1 = 500 \text{ mbar} (S' = \sum_{p > p_1} s \text{ in } \%/^{\circ^2})$ ). Contours indicate the mean ratio between the loss of isoprene peroxy radicals through reaction with HO<sub>2</sub> and the loss of isoprene peroxy radicals through reaction with HO<sub>2</sub> and NO.







**Fig. 9.** Schematic representation of the spatial modulation of the O<sub>x</sub> budget by the isoprene photochemical cascade. The impact of isoprene photooxidation on ozone depends on its impact on NO<sub>x</sub> near isoprene emissions ( $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$ , continent). In particular,  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$  influences the amount of BVOCs injected in the free troposphere and therefore the large-scale impact of the isoprene photochemical cascade. Under high NO<sub>x</sub>, an increase in the continental  $\mathcal{D}_{ING}/\mathcal{L}_{NO_x}$  (green filled arrow) results in an increase of ozone downwind of continents ( $\Delta O_3 > 0$ ) because of increased transport of nitrogen reservoirs and a large contrast in ozone production efficiency (black arrow). Conversely, under low NO<sub>x</sub>, a similar increase can result in a decrease of ozone downwind of continents, as its transport from continents dominate over its local production (low OPE contrast).





**Fig. 10.** Absolute changes in the adjoint sensitivity of tropospheric tropical ozone  $(\Delta^t S_X^{O_3} = {}^t S_X^{O_3} (H-NO_x) - {}^t S_X^{O_3}$ , with  ${}^t S_X^{O_3}$  in %/o<sup>2</sup>) to changes in the emissions of isoprene (green), lightning NO<sub>x</sub> (red), biomass burning NO<sub>x</sub> (blue) and anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> (black) resulting from a very large increase in anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Green-shaded areas denote regions where  ${}^t S_X^{O_3}$  become positive because of higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The grey shaded region denotes the regions over which the cost function are evaluated.



