Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 24085–24125, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/24085/2011/ doi:10.5194/acpd-11-24085-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Climatic effects of 1950–2050 changes in US anthropogenic aerosols – Part 1: Aerosol trends and radiative forcing

E. M. Leibensperger^{1,*}, L. J. Mickley¹, D. J. Jacob¹, W.-T. Chen², J. H. Seinfeld³, A. Nenes⁴, P. J. Adams⁵, D. G. Streets⁶, N. Kumar⁷, and D. Rind⁸

 ¹School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA USA
 ²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA USA
 ³Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA USA
 ⁴School of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences and School of Chemical & Biological Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA USA
 ⁵Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering and Department Engineering & Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA USA

- ⁶Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL USA
- ⁷Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA USA



⁸NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY USA

*Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA USA

Received: 20 May 2011 - Accepted: 16 August 2011 - Published: 29 August 2011

Correspondence to: E. M. Leibensperger (eleibens@mit.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

We use the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model combined with the GISS general circulation model to calculate the aerosol direct and indirect (warm cloud) radiative forcings from US anthropogenic sources over the 1950-2050 period, based on historical emission inventories and future projections from the IPCC A1B scenario. The aerosol 5 simulation is evaluated with observed spatial distributions and 1980-2010 trends of aerosol concentrations and wet deposition in the contiguous US. The radiative forcing from US anthropogenic aerosols is strongly localized over the eastern US. We find that it peaked in 1970-1990, with values over the eastern US (east of 100°W) of -2.0 Wm^{-2} for direct forcing including contributions from sulfate (-2.0 Wm^{-2}), ni-10 trate (-0.2 W m^{-2}) , organic carbon (-0.2 W m^{-2}) , and black carbon $(+0.4 \text{ W m}^{-2})$. The aerosol indirect effect is of comparable magnitude to the direct forcing. We find that the forcing declined sharply from 1990 to 2010 (by 0.8 W m⁻² direct and 1.0 W m⁻² indirect), mainly reflecting decreases in SO₂ emissions, and project that it will continue declining post-2010 but at a much slower rate since US SO₂ emissions have already 15 declined by almost 60% from their peak. This suggests that much of the warming effect of reducing US anthropogenic aerosol sources may have already been realized by 2010, however some additional warming is expected through 2020. The small positive radiative forcing from US BC emissions (+0.3 W m⁻² over the eastern US in 2010) suggests that an emission control strategy focused on BC would have only limited climate 20

gests that an emission control strategy focused on BC would have only limited benefit.

1 Introduction

25

Growth in population and energy demand over the past 100 years has greatly increased the anthropogenic source of atmospheric aerosols in the United States. This has caused public health, visibility, and deposition concerns (US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 2009; 2010). Increasingly strict regulations on aerosol sources have



been enacted by US air quality agencies over the past decades. However, aerosols also exert a negative radiative forcing on climate and reductions in their abundance aggravate greenhouse-driven climate change (Raes and Seinfeld, 2009). We quantify in this paper the changes in radiative forcing arising from historical and projected trends
 of US anthropogenic aerosol sources for the 1950–2050 period. In a companion paper

(Leibensperger et al., 2011), we use a general circulation model (GCM) to analyze the resulting climate response.

Anthropogenic aerosols mainly consist of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, black carbon (BC), and organic carbon (OC). Sulfate and nitrate aerosols are formed by oxidation of CO_{10} and pitragen avides (NO_{10} NO_{10}). Cool combustion is the dominant service of

- ¹⁰ SO₂ and nitrogen oxides (NO_x \equiv NO + NO₂). Coal combustion is the dominant source of SO₂ in the US. SO₂ emissions grew until 1980 and then decreased by 56% between 1980 and 2008 (US EPA, 2010). Anthropogenic NO_x is emitted by fuel combustion in general and US emissions decreased by 36% between 1990 and 2008 (US EPA, 2010). Ammonia originates mainly from agriculture (Bouwman et al., 1997) and has
- not been subjected to regulation. BC is emitted from small, low-temperature combustion sources such as residential, transport, and small industrial fuel burning. Organic aerosol is traditionally partitioned by models into a primary component (POA) from combustion and a secondary component (SOA) of dominant biogenic origin (Kanakidou et al., 2005). According to Bond et al. (2007), US anthropogenic emissions of BC
- ²⁰ and POA decreased from 1925 to 1970 due to a decline in residential coal use, but increased from 1970 to 1990 due to increases in broader fuel use.

Aerosols directly affect climate by scattering and absorbing solar radiation. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) estimates the aerosol direct effect to presently exert a global mean negative radiative forcing of -0.5 ± 0.4 W m⁻², partly

²⁵ offsetting the positive radiative forcing of $+2.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ from the long-lived greenhouse gases (Forster et al., 2007). Aerosols indirectly affect climate by modifying cloud properties. Absorbing aerosols affect cloud cover by increasing solar heating in the atmosphere ("semi-direct effect"; Koch and Del Genio, 2010). Aerosols can also act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei. An increase in CCN reduces cloud



droplet size, which brightens the clouds ("cloud albedo effect"; Twomey, 1974) and enhances cloud cover by reducing precipitation efficiency ("cloud lifetime effect"; Albrecht, 1989). The IPCC best estimate for the global indirect radiative forcing from the cloud albedo effect is -0.7 W m^{-2} , with a range of uncertainty from $-0.3 \text{ to } -1.8 \text{ W m}^{-2}$

- ⁵ (Forster et al., 2007). The cloud lifetime effect is even more uncertain, but could be comparable in magnitude to the cloud albedo effect with additional effects on the hydrological cycle (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Denman et al., 2007). Additional aerosol indirect radiative effects involving cloud absorption, height, or glaciation could also be significant, but are uncertain (Denman et al., 2007).
- ¹⁰ The need to integrate air quality and climate change mitigation objectives in environmental policymaking is increasingly recognized (National Research Council, 2005; Raes and Seinfeld, 2009; Penner et al., 2010). This is particularly the case for aerosols since air quality improvements potentially come at the cost of warming. The US is an interesting testbed to analyze the climate implications of environmental regulations
- since the historical period from 1950 to present has witnessed a reversal of aerosol trends, increasing until 1980 and then decreasing, with regulations in place to enforce continued decrease in the future. Recent GCM simulations by Mickley et al. (2011) suggest that completely removing US anthropogenic aerosol sources would increase temperatures in the eastern US by 0.4–0.6 °C on an annual mean basis and as much
- as by 1–2°C during summer heat waves. It has been argued that decreasing BC emissions (and hence aerosol absorption) could provide a "win-win" strategy for air quality and climate change mitigation (Jacobson, 2002; Bond, 2007; Grieshop et al., 2009). However, such a strategy is complicated by the fact that BC sources cannot be controlled in isolation from other aerosol components, in particular POA, and uncertainty currounding their role in the perception of the strategy of the strategy
- ²⁵ surrounding their role in the aerosol indirect effects (Chen et al., 2010a; Unger et al., 2010).

We use here a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem CTM) to reconstruct historical aerosol trends from 1950 to present and project future trends to 2050, with focus on the US aerosol loadings and evaluation with observed trends. We then use



the results in the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) GCM 3 to construct a 1950–2050 timeline of aerosol direct and indirect radiative forcing with decadal resolution, resolving the contributions from the different aerosol components. These decadal forcings for the 1950–2050 period are used in a companion paper (Leibensperger et al., 2011) to determine the sensitivity of US climate to anthropogenic aerosol sources

2 Methods

2.1 Global aerosol simulation

in the past and in the future.

We use GEOS-Chem CTM simulations of coupled tropospheric ozone-NO_x-VOC-¹⁰ aerosol chemistry (version 8.01.01; http://geos-chem.org/) to describe the global evolution of aerosol concentrations resulting from changes in anthropogenic emissions from 1950 to 2050. The simulations are conducted for a series of 2-year decadal time slices from 1950 to 2050. The first year is used for initialization and the second year for analysis. All simulations use the same 2000–2001 meteorological data from the NASA

- ¹⁵ Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-4). Using the same meteorological year isolates the effects of emission changes. The GEOS-4 data are available with 1° × 1.25° horizontal resolution, 55 levels in the vertical, and a temporal resolution of 6 h (3 h for surface variables). The data are regridded here to 2° × 2.5° horizontal resolution for input to GEOS-Chem.
- ²⁰ GEOS-Chem simulates the aerosol mass concentrations of sulfate-nitrateammonium (SNA), POA, SOA, and BC (Park et al., 2006; Liao et al., 2007). Gas-phase and aerosol chemistry are coupled by in-cloud SO₂ oxidation, gas-aerosol thermodynamic partitioning of SNA and SOA, aerosol effects on photolysis rates (Martin et al., 2003), and heterogeneous chemistry (Jacob, 2000; Evans and Jacob, 2005). Water-
- ²⁵ soluble gases and aerosols are scavenged in convective updrafts as well as by rainout and washout from convective anvils and large-scale precipitation (Liu et al., 2001). The



model allows for species to return to the atmosphere if falling precipitation evaporates. Dry deposition is modeled using a resistance in series scheme (Weseley, 1989) as described in Wang et al. (1998).

- Sulfate is formed by gas-phase reaction of SO₂ with OH and aqueous-phase reaction
 of SO₂ with H₂O₂ and ozone in clouds and sea-salt aerosols (Alexander et al., 2005). The global mean tropospheric lifetime of sulfate in the model is 4.0 days, comparable to other sulfate models (Schulz et al., 2006). Formation of SNA aerosol is computed locally with the MARS-A aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium model (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003). Following Park et al. (2005), we assume that 20 % of BC and 50 % of POA emitted from anthropogenic sources is hydrophilic and thus available for in-cloud
- scavenging. The remaining portions of BC and POA are emitted as hydrophobic and become hydrophilic in the atmosphere with an e-folding time of 1.2 days. Formation of SOA involves gas-aerosol partitioning of semi-volatile VOC oxidation products (Odum et al., 1997). It follows the treatment of Chung and Seinfeld (2002) as implemented
 in GEOS-Chem (Heald et al., 2006; Liao et al., 2007) and extended to include the
- formation of SOA from isoprene (Henze and Seinfeld, 2006). Global production of SOA is 32 Tg C, similar in magnitude to previous GEOS-Chem studies (Henze et al., 2008; Pye et al., 2010).

Figure 1 shows the 1950–2050 trends of global and US aerosol sources. Emissions
of SO₂ and NO_x are from EDGAR Hyde 1.3 (van Aardenne et al., 2001) for 1950–1990 and EDGAR 3.2 FT (Olivier and Berdowski, 2001) for the year 2000. Emissions of BC and POA are from Bond et al. (2007). 2010–2050 decadal emissions are calculated by applying growth factors to year 2000 emissions. Similar to Fiore et al. (2002) and Wu et al. (2008), growth factors for different categories of anthropogenic emissions
²⁵ (biofuel and fossil fuel) and countries are derived from the Integrated Model to Assess the Greenhouse Effect (IMAGE; Streets et al., 2004) following the IPCC A1B scenario

(Nakićenović and Swart, 2000). We do not consider trends in global emissions from aircraft (0.07 Tg S a^{-1} ; Chin et al., 2000) and ships (4.2 Tg S a^{-1} ; Corbett et al., 1999).



Natural sources of SO₂, NO_x, and VOCs are held constant for the 1950–2050 period. Volcanic emissions of SO_2 (non-eruptive and continuously eruptive only) are from Andres and Kasgnoc (1998) and amount to 5.5 Tg S a^{-1} . Oceanic dimethylsulfide (DMS) in GEOS-Chem has a global source of 21 Tg S a^{-1} and produces 19 Tg S a^{-1} of SO₂ following oxidation by OH and NO₃ (Park et al., 2004). Lightning NO_x emissions 5 are calculated from a parameterization based on cloud top height (Price and Rind, 1992) and then scaled locally to match satellite observations from the Optical Transient Detector/Lightning Imaging Sensor (Sauvage et al., 2007; Murray et al., 2011). The global emission of lightning NO_x is 5.6 Tg N a^{-1} . Soil NO_x emissions follow the algorithm of Yienger and Levy (1995) as implemented by Wang et al. (1998); this amounts 10 globally to 6.6 Tg N a^{-1} including 0.7 Tg N a^{-1} from fertilizer application (also held constant over 1950-2050). Biogenic emissions of isoprene and monoterpenes are calculated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN; Guenther et al., 2006), which yields globally 380 Tg C a^{-1} isoprene and 98 Tg C a^{-1} monoterpenes. We use the climatological biomass burning inventory from Duncan et 15 al. (2003), which yields 1.2 Tg S a^{-1} of SO₂, 6.5 Tg N a^{-1} of NO₂, 2.9 Tg C a^{-1} of BC, and 23 Tq C a^{-1} of POA.

Ammonia emissions are also held constant over the 1950–2050 period for lack of better information. We use the global inventory of Bouwman et al. (1997) overwritten in

- Asia by Streets et al. (2003). Seasonal variations of ammonia emissions are described by Park et al. (2004). Global emissions total 60 Tg N a⁻¹ including 40 Tg N a⁻¹ from anthropogenic sources (mainly agriculture), 14 Tg N a⁻¹ from natural biogenic activity, and 5.9 Tg N a⁻¹ from biomass burning. US emissions total 2.2 Tg N a⁻¹ from anthropogenic sources, 0.6 Tg N a⁻¹ from natural biogenic activity, and 0.04 Tg N a⁻¹ from biomass burning. As in Park et al. (2004), we reduce US ammonia emissions by 10 %
- ²⁵ biomass burning. As in Park et al. (2004), we reduce US ammonia emissions by 10¹ to match inverse model results of Gilliland et al. (2003).



2.2 Aerosol direct radiative forcing

We use the GISS GCM 3 (Rind et al., 2007) as modified by Chen et al. (2010b) to calculate all-sky aerosol direct and indirect radiative forcing. The GCM uses monthly mean tropospheric aerosol distributions from GEOS-Chem, including sulfate, nitrate,

- ⁵ BC, and OC (OC ≡POA + SOA). OC is multiplied by 1.4 to convert from the simulated mass of organic carbon to total organic matter (Malm et al., 1994). Aerosol water content is calculated using hygroscopic growth factors from the Global Aerosol Data Set (GADS; Koepke et al., 1997). These aerosol components are incorporated in a climate equilibrium simulation with resolution of 4° latitude × 5° longitude, 23 vertical levels ex-
- tending from the surface to 0.002 hPa, and fixed present-day sea surface temperatures (SST) and sea ice (Rayner et al., 2003). All-sky aerosol direct radiative forcing is determined within the GCM through parallel radiative calculations including and excluding anthropogenic aerosols. "Anthropogenic" includes contributions from fuel use and industry, but not open biomass burning. Sea salt and soil dust concentrations are from the parallel and industry at al. (2002) and do not influence the direct radiative forcing and parallel have.
- ⁵ Hansen et al. (2002) and do not influence the direct radiative forcing calculated here. Radiative forcing calculations are conducted for both external and internal aerosol mixtures. In the external mixture case, radiative forcing is calculated for the individual aerosol components and then summed to obtain the total anthropogenic aerosol radiative forcing. Externally mixed aerosol components are assumed to have a standard mixture all the total anthropogenic aerosol radiative forcing. Externally mixed aerosol components are assumed to have a standard
- gamma size distribution with an area-weighted effective variance of 0.2 and an effective dry radius of 0.3 μm for sulfate and nitrate, 0.5 μm for OC, and 0.1 μm for BC (Chung and Seinfeld, 2002; Liao et al., 2004). Refractive indices are from Toon et al. (1976) for sulfate and nitrate, and from d'Almeida et al. (1991) for BC and OC. As in Chung and Seinfeld (2002) and Liao et al. (2004), we determine the aerosol extinction efficiency,
- single scattering albedo, and asymmetry parameter from a lookup table generated by offline Mie calculations as a function of aerosol size and refractive index.

Internally mixed aerosols are composed of sulfate, nitrate, OC, and BC. These particles are assumed to have a standard gamma size distribution with surface area-



weighted dry radius of 0.3 µm and area-weighted variance of 0.2 (Chung and Seinfeld, 2002; Liao et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2007). Optical properties of the internal mixture are calculated using the volume-weighted mean of the refractive indices of the individual components. The radiative forcing of an individual aerosol component is calculated by removing it while holding the remaining components fixed.

2.3 Aerosol indirect radiative forcing

Chen et al. (2010b) previously applied the GISS GCM 3 to calculate the aerosol indirect effects initiated by aerosol particles acting as CCN and thus altering the number concentration N_c of cloud droplets. We follow their approach here using the gridded monthly aerosol concentration fields of SNA and carbonaceous aerosols from GEOS-Chem, together with their sea salt aerosol concentrations. N_c is related to the concentration of water soluble aerosol ions, m_i , by a standard power law dependence (Boucher and Lohmann, 1995; Penner et al., 2006):

 $\log N_c = A + B \log m_i$

25

- ¹⁵ We calculate N_c from the archived GEOS-Chem aerosol distributions. Gridded 3-D monthly mean fields of *A* and *B* were obtained by Chen et al. (2010b) from detailed simulations of sulfate and sea salt aerosol microphysics and activation within the GCM (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002; Nenes and Seinfeld, 2003; Fountoukis and Nenes, 2005; Pierce and Adams, 2006). We use these same fields here. N_c is restricted to be 20 cm⁻³ or greater. This restriction reduces radiative forcing estimates in pristine envi-
- ronments (Chen et al., 2010b) but has little effect in the US, our area of interest.

Following Chen et al. (2010b), we consider the cloud albedo and lifetime effects applied to liquid stratiform clouds only. The cloud albedo effect arises from the enhancement of cloud optical depth from the presence of smaller cloud droplets. The cloud optical depth scales as the inverse of the area-weighted mean effective radius r_{e}



(1)

of the cloud droplet size distribution (Del Genio et al., 1996). $r_{\rm e}$ is related to N_c by

$$r_e = \kappa^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left[\frac{3L}{4\pi N_c} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where *L* is the liquid water content of the cloud (cm³ water per cm³ air), and κ is a constant (0.67 over land, 0.80 over ocean (Martin et al., 1994)) that relates the volume mean droplet radius and r_{e} . We restrict r_{e} to be greater than 2 µm and less than 20 µm.

The cloud lifetime effect involves the effect of N_c on the rate of autoconversion of cloud droplets to precipitation. Chen et al. (2010b) introduced a dependence of the autoconversion rate on N_c based on the work of Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000), which fitted results from large eddy simulations of drizzling stratocumulus:

10
$$\frac{dq_{I}}{dt} = -1350 \gamma q_{I}^{2.47} N_{c}^{-1.79}$$

where q_1 is the cloudwater mass content (kg water per kg of air), γ is a tuning parameter added by Hoose et al. (2008) and Chen et al. (2010b) to the original Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000) equation ($\gamma = 1$) in order to retain GCM climate equilibrium. We find climate equilibrium is retained for $\gamma = 12$. This value is consistent with the factor of 2 to 10 underestimate of autoconversion rates found to occur when using gridbox-scale

values of N_c (Morales and Nenes, 2010).

The radiative forcing of the cloud albedo effect is calculated for each decade between 1950 and 2050 using parallel radiative calculations, similar to the calculation of the aerosol direct radiative forcing. The parallel radiative calculations are conducted ²⁰ using cloud optical properties determined from N_c distributions with and without US anthropogenic aerosols. In this method, the cloud albedo effect is calculated without the complications of climate feedbacks and can be treated as a traditional radiative forcing. The cloud lifetime effect cannot be calculated in this manner due to coupling with the hydrological cycle. Instead, multi-year climate equilibrium simulations are re-

²⁵ quired to account for the effects of feedback processes on cloud distributions (Denman



(2)

(3)

et al., 2007; Forster et al., 2007). We thus calculate the total aerosol indirect radiative forcing (cloud albedo and lifetime effects) for each decade between 1950 and 2050 by conducting two 60-year climate equilibrium simulations, one with and one without US anthropogenic aerosol sources. Both simulations use fixed greenhouse gases, SST,

- and sea ice for year 2000. The first 10 years are used for initialization and the following 50 years (representing climate equilibrium conditions) are used for analysis. Comparison to the radiative forcing of the cloud albedo effect, which is calculated independently as described above, allows separate assessment of the first and second aerosol indirect effects. In order to test the significance of our results, we perform a Student's t-test accounting for autocorrelation as described by Zwiers and you Storeh (1995).
- ¹⁰ accounting for autocorrelation as described by Zwiers and von Storch (1995).

3 Evaluation of 1980–2010 US aerosol trends

We evaluate our simulation of aerosol sources, concentrations, and related longterm trends over the US with 1980–2009 wet deposition flux data available from the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/National Trend Network (NADP/NTN; http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/NTN), and 1990–2009 surface air concentrations available from the US Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE; http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/IMPROVE) and the Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET; http://java.epa.gov/castnet). The wet deposition flux data provide constraints on aerosol sources considering that most of SO₂, NO_x, and ammonia emitted in the US is deposited within the asymptote by wet and day processor (Chin and Jacob

²⁰ in the US is deposited within the country by wet and dry processes (Chin and Jacob, 1996; Li et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2011).

Figure 2 compares the simulated and observed annual wet deposition fluxes and surface concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium across the US for the years 1980, 1990, 2000, and 2010. Observations are three-year average values centered on

the decadal year except 2010, which is a two-year average for 2008–2009. All observation sites meeting the NADP/NTN data completion criterion for at least two of the three years are included. Observations of surface air concentrations are not continuous and



some records have substantial gaps. To address this, we first aggregate the observations as seasonal means (DJF, MAM, JJA, SON) for each year, requiring for each season a minimum of 10 data points for IMPROVE (3-day averages) and 5 data points for CASTNET (weekly avera averaged, with the additiona years.

We assemble comparison using the coefficient of detern regression, and the normaliz valid data:

$$\mathsf{NMB} = \frac{\sum_{i=n}^{N} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=n}^{N} O_i}$$

10

25

We additionally calculate the MNB is generally within 5% with previous GEOS-Chem a 15 correlation, and NMB statistic Figure 2a shows that the r deposition across the US, ca tude. The normalized mean bias is less than 4 % for all available decades except 1980 (-15%). Simulated surface concentrations of sulfate have similar success, capturing spatial variability ($r^2 = 0.79-0.95$) with low bias (10% or less). 20

Figure 3 shows the simulated and observed trends in sulfate wet deposition and air concentrations over the 1980–2010 period for the eastern US (east of 100° W). Values are averaged over all sites (n, shown inset) with observations available for more than 25 years (deposition) and more than 17 years (concentrations). Observed wet deposition of sulfate decreased by 58% between 1980 and 2010, consistent with the 56% reduction in SO₂ emissions reported by US EPA (2010). Sulfate aerosol concentra-

ages). The seasonal means for the three years are then
I requirement that data be available for at least two of the
in statistics between the model (*M*) and observations (*O*)
mination (
$$r^2$$
), the corresponding reduced-major-axis linear
zed mean bias (NMB) calculated for all *N* sites containing
(4)
e mean normalized bias MNB = $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=n}^{N} [(M_i - O_i)/O_i]$. The
b of the NMB and frequently closer to 0. To be consistent
aerosol studies, our analysis focuses on linear regression,
cs (Park et al., 2006; Henze et al., 2009; Pye et al., 2009).
model has excellent agreement with observed sulfate wet
apturing the spatial variability ($r^2 = 0.71-0.78$) and magni-



concentrations both decrease by 40% over 1980–2010, less than observed because of an apparent underestimate of 1980 emissions. The simulated decreases of sulfate deposition and surface concentrations are in better agreement with observations after 1990.

- ⁵ Figure 2b compares model and observations for ammonium wet deposition fluxes and aerosol concentrations. The model successfully captures the distribution $(r^2 = 0.63-0.75)$ and magnitude (NMB <7%) of ammonium deposition between 1980 and 2010. The largest deposition is in the agricultural Midwest where emissions are highest. In that region the model is lower than observed. Aerosol ammonium concentrations peak in the industrial Midwest, reflecting the combination of high ammonia emissions and high concentrations of sulfate and nitrate that promote the fractionation of ammonia into the aerosol as ammonium. The model captures this spatial distribution $(r^2 = 0.72-0.84)$, but is biased high, particularly in 2010 (+42%).
- Figure 3 shows observed and simulated 1980–2010 trends in ammonium wet deposition and surface concentrations in the eastern US. The wet deposition data show no significant trend, consistent with the model (which assumes constant ammonia emissions). However, the observed surface concentrations show a large decreasing trend that is only weakly reproduced in the model. This decrease can be explained by decreases in sulfate and nitrate under conditions when the aerosol is neutralized. The
 inability of the model to capture the decrease in ammonium concentrations reflects a
- problem with the nitrate trend, as discussed below.

Figure 2c shows the wet deposition and surface air concentrations of nitrate. The model captures the spatial distribution of nitrate deposition ($r^2 = 0.71-0.81$), but underpredicts total nitrate wet deposition by 20–33 % in 1980–2000. The low bias vanishes in

25 2010, following a decade of large reductions of NO_x from the energy generation sector (US EPA, 2010). Aerosol nitrate in the model is exclusively ammonium nitrate, and its formation is contingent on ammonia availability (since formation of ammonium sulfate is favored thermodynamically over ammonium nitrate). As such, its simulation tends to compound errors in sulfate and ammonium (Park et al., 2006). Nevertheless, our



simulation shows relatively little bias and is improved compared to previous versions of GEOS-Chem (Park et al., 2004, 2006). The observed maximum over the Midwest is well captured by the model where it reflects a balance between ammonia, NO_x , and SO_2 source influences.

Observations of nitrate wet deposition show a 33 % decrease from 1980 to 2009, consistent with the reported 36 % reduction of NO_x emissions (US EPA, 2010). Most of this reduction was realized between 2000 and 2009 through the NO_x State Implementation Plan (SIP) Call. However, the model is largely flat over 1980–2010. The EDGAR emissions used for 1980–2000 are apparently too low. The IMAGE A1B projection used for 2000–2010 does not account for the NO_x SIP Call, and this fortuitously produces a good match to the 2008–2009 observations of nitrate wet deposition (Fig. 2c).

Observed aerosol nitrate concentrations were flat from 1990 to 2000 but then decreased by 23 % between 2000 and 2009. In contrast, model concentrations increased weakly during this period because of the decrease in sulfate, resulting in more ammonium being available for ammonium nitrate formation (West et al., 1999). The model seems to overpredict this effect compared to observations.

15

Figure 4 compares simulated and observed surface concentrations of BC and OC for 1990–2010. Biogenic VOCs are a major source of OC in the Southeast. Open fires are an important component of observed variability for BC and OC in the West and in

- the Southeast (Park et al., 2007). These fires are not well reproduced by the model on an event basis, explaining in part the relatively low correlations between model and observed concentrations. The model is also biased low by 15–40 % on average. Previous GEOS-Chem studies did not show as severe a bias because the BC and OC sources were fitted to reproduce the observations (Park et al., 2003) and included
- significantly higher biomass burning emissions (Park et al., 2003, 2006). Previous models using the Bond et al. (2004) inventory (similar to Bond et al. (2007) used here) also underestimate BC in North America (Koch et al., 2007, 2009).

Figure 3 shows that observed BC and OC concentrations over the US decreased by 50% and 34% respectively between 1990 and 2009. The model trends are much



weaker, with BC and OC concentrations decreasing by 27 % and 16 % respectively, as driven by the trends in the Bond et al. (2007) emission inventory and the IMAGE A1B projection. The mismatch between simulated and observed trends reduces the model low bias in 2010 to 20 % for BC and 15 % for OC.

5 4 Aerosol direct radiative forcing from US anthropogenic sources

Figure 5 (top) shows the calculated annual mean aerosol direct radiative forcing for the peak in US anthropogenic aerosols in year 1980, assuming an internal aerosol mixture (the forcing increases by 10% for an external mixture, due to lesser effect from BC absorption). The forcing is strongly localized over the eastern US, where it reaches -4.9 W m⁻². This more than offsets for that region the 1980 radiative forcing from the 10 long-lived greenhouse gases (+1.8 W m⁻²). On a global scale the aerosol direct radiative forcing from US anthropogenic aerosol sources in 1980 is -0.07 W m^{-2} , 25 % of our computed global aerosol direct radiative forcing of -0.27 W m⁻² from anthropogenic sources worldwide in 1980. By 2010 we find that the radiative forcing from anthropogenic US aerosol sources has decreased to -0.03 W m⁻² globally, amounting 15 to just 8% of the total from worldwide anthropogenic sources (-0.36 W m^{-2}) , reflecting the rapid decline of emissions in the US and growth in Asia (Fig. 1). We show in Leibensperger et al. (2011) that even though the aerosol radiative forcing from US anthropogenic sources is very small on a global scale, the large regional forcing elicits a strong regional climate response over the eastern US. 20

Figure 6 (top) shows the 1950–2050 evolution of the annual mean aerosol direct radiative forcing averaged over the eastern US (east of 100° W). The aerosol direct radiative forcing peaks in 1970–1990 at -2.0 W m⁻² (internally mixed). This value increases to -2.2 W m⁻² if aerosols are treated as an external mixture. When externally

²⁵ mixed, BC radiative forcing is 40 % lower than shown in Fig. 6 and the radiative forcing of sulfate and nitrate is 5–7 % higher. OC shares common sources with BC, which makes its contribution to radiative forcing more sensitive to mixing state; it has 30 %



higher radiative forcing in an external mixture than an internal mixture.

15

20

The radiative forcing trends in Fig. 6 mirror the model US emission trends in Fig. 1. We previously evaluated the model trends for 1980–2010 using observed records of wet deposition and aerosol concentrations (Fig. 3). For sulfate, which is the dominant radiative forcing agent, the model closely reproduces the observed trends for 1990–

⁵ radiative forcing agent, the model closely reproduces the observed trends for 1990– 2010, but is 15% too low in 1980, suggesting a corresponding error in the aerosol radiative forcing estimate for 1980. For BC, the model is 40% too low in 1990 and 2000 but there is much less bias in 2010, reflecting a steep decline in the observed concentrations. For OC and nitrate, the model has relatively little bias and the radiative forcing is small (nitrate is most abundant in winter when insolation is weaker).

We can use the data in Fig. 3 to estimate the model error in computing the change in aerosol direct radiative forcing from 1980 to 2010. The model value for this change (adding the contribution from the different aerosol components, black line in Fig. 6) is $+0.78 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$. Applying scaling factors for the individual components based on Fig. 3, and assuming that the model bias for BC in 1990 applies also to 1980, we obtain a change in radiative forcing constrained by observations of $+0.87 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, about 10% larger.

Future projection of US emissions from the IMAGE model applied to the IPCC A1B scenario (Fig. 1) indicates a continued decrease in aerosol direct radiative forcing (Fig. 6), but with little change past 2020. Aerosol radiative forcing will have decreased by almost a factor of 4 from its peak strength in 1970–1990.

Two policy-relevant implications can be drawn from the trends in US aerosol direct radiative forcing in Fig. 6. First, future decrease in aerosol radiative forcing due to reductions in anthropogenic emissions will likely be smaller than the decrease that has al-

ready been realized over 1980–2010. Thus most of the climate response from controlling US anthropogenic sources should have already been realized. However, additional warming is projected to occur by 2020. Second, the present-day radiative forcing from BC is small (and even less if external forcing is assumed), weakening the argument of a "win-win" scenario for public health and climate from controlling BC emissions. We



elaborate further on these two implications in Leibensperger et al. (2011).

5 Aerosol indirect radiative forcing from US anthropogenic sources

Estimating the aerosol indirect radiative forcing from cloud albedo and lifetime effects is far more uncertain than the direct radiative forcing. Uncertainties relate to the conversion from aerosol mass concentration to cloud droplet number concentration (N_c) and from there to cloud optical properties, including the effective cloud droplet radius (r_e) and the spatial distribution of liquid water path (vertical column of liquid water amount, g m⁻²). Particularly uncertain is the subgrid variability of these parameters. Table 1 compares the global mean cloud properties computed from our model to Chen et al. (2010b) (same GCM and cloud droplet parameterization, but different aerosol concentrations) and to other values in the literature. Our values are consistent with the published ranges.

Figure 7 shows the change in liquid stratiform cloud properties due to US anthropogenic aerosols in 1980 including column N_c , effective cloud droplet radius, and cloud ¹⁵ optical depth. US anthropogenic aerosols increase column integrated N_c by up to $8 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-2}$ (40%) which reduces the effective cloud droplet radius of liquid stratiform clouds by up to 1.2 µm (15%), and increases the warm cloud optical depth by more than 3 (17%). The resulting radiative forcing of the cloud albedo effect amounts to 67% of the direct forcing (1.3 W m⁻² averaged over the US east of 100° W) on an annual basis (Fig. 5 middle) and reaches up to -3.0 W m^{-2} in the Southeast. Similar to the aerosol direct effect, radiative forcing from the cloud albedo effect is largely confined to the US and the downwind North Atlantic.

Figure 5 (bottom) shows the radiative forcing from the total aerosol indirect effect (cloud albedo and lifetime) of US anthropogenic aerosols for 1980. The indirect forcing ²⁵ is calculated as the mean difference in net top of the atmosphere radiation between 50-year simulations with and without US anthropogenic aerosol sources, as described in Sect. 2.3. It is noisier than the direct radiative forcing, largely reflecting the cloud



lifetime effect, but is similarly concentrated over the eastern US and the North Atlantic downwind. The annual mean total indirect forcing in the eastern US (east of 100° W) is -2.2 W m^{-2} for 1980, 10% larger than the direct effect and 70% larger than the cloud albedo effect alone. The total indirect effect is similarly larger globally (-0.08 W m^{-2} total indirect vs. -0.07 W m^{-2} direct).

Figure 6 (bottom) shows our computed 1950–2050 trend in aerosol indirect radiative forcing over the eastern US (east of 100° W) from US anthropogenic sources. The error bars indicate the 95 % confidence interval of this difference based on model interannual variability. The trend in indirect forcing is consistent with the evolution of SO₂ emissions (Fig. 1) and aerosol direct forcing (Fig. 6 top). Indirect forcing peaks in 1970–1990 at a value of -2.0 W m^{-2} , the same magnitude as the aerosol direct effect. SO₂ regulations cause a sharp decrease in forcing with a change of $+1.0 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ between 1990 and 2010, and little change afterward.

6 Conclusions

10

- Efforts to improve air quality through aerosol source reductions could have significant regional climate implications due to the strong and localized radiative forcing exerted by aerosols. The US is of particular interest for investigating this effect as aerosol concentrations peaked in the 1970–1990 period, have decreased rapidly since then, and are projected to continue decreasing in the future. We used here the GEOS-Chem CTM
- applied to historical emission inventories and future projections (IPCC A1B scenario) to simulate global trends in aerosol concentrations over the 1950–2050 period, and we applied those in the GISS GCM to calculate the direct and indirect radiative forcings from US anthropogenic aerosol sources. A companion paper by Leibensperger et al. (2011) examines the resulting climate response over the US and globally.
- A prominent feature of the aerosol radiative forcing history from US sources is the rapid 1980–2010 decline driven by air quality regulations. We tested our reconstruction of this history with observed spatial distributions and long-term trends in wet deposition



(1980–2010) and aerosol concentrations (1990–2010). We reproduce successfully the observed 45 % decline of sulfate wet deposition and concentrations between 1990 and 2010, but underestimate the earlier 1980 to 1990 decline. Ammonium wet deposition fluxes show no long-term trend in the observations or in the model (where ammonia

- ⁵ emissions are assumed constant). The combination of decreasing SO₂ emissions and constant ammonia emissions leads to a weak increasing trend of nitrate in the model, but observations show a decrease. Observations of BC and OC show stronger 1980–2010 declines than simulated by the model, implying a faster decline of emissions than is represented in current inventories.
- We calculated a global direct radiative forcing from US anthropogenic aerosol sources of -0.07 W m⁻² in 1980 when the sources were at their peak. This forcing is strongly localized over the eastern US and downwind North Atlantic. It averages -2.0 W m⁻² over the eastern US (east of 100° W), including -2.0 W m⁻² from sulfate, +0.4 W m⁻² from BC, -0.2 W m⁻² from nitrate, and -0.2 W m⁻² from OC. These values assume an internal aerosol mixture; an external mixture would increase the overall negative radiative forcing by 10% due to decreased absorption by BC. We have not quantified the semi-direct effect of BC on cloud cover, but the transient climate simulations presented in Leibensperger et al. (2011) suggest this effect is similarly small for US anthropogenic BC sources.

The direct radiative forcing from US anthropogenic aerosols declined strongly between 1970–1990 and 2010, largely reflecting the decline in SO₂ emissions. The global forcing declined to -0.03 W m⁻² by 2010, amounting to only 8 % of the forcing from global anthropogenic aerosol sources (as compared to 25 % in 1980). The forcing over the eastern US declined by 0.78 W m⁻² in the model between 1990 and 2010. Correction to this model estimate based on the observed trends of wet deposition fluxes and

tion to this model estimate based on the observed trends of wet deposition fluxes and concentrations would imply a decline of $0.87 \,\mathrm{W \,m^{-2}}$.

We calculated the aerosol indirect forcing including the first and second indirect effects (cloud albedo and cloud lifetime) applied to warm stratiform clouds. US anthropogenic aerosols potentially alter properties of convective and ice clouds but the



scientific understanding of these effects is very low (Denman et al., 2007). We found that the indirect radiative forcing from US anthropogenic aerosol sources is mainly localized over the eastern US and North Atlantic, similarly to the direct forcing, and shows similar temporal trends over the 1950–2050 period. 1980 values over the eastern US average –2.2 W m⁻², similar in magnitude to the direct radiative forcing. About 60 % of the indirect radiative forcing comes from the cloud albedo effect.

We project that by 2050 the direct and indirect radiative forcings over the eastern US from US anthropogenic sources will have decreased to -0.6 W m^{-2} and -0.9 W m^{-2} , respectively, 71 % and 55 % weaker than the 1980 peak. Nitrate is expected to be-10 come a major component of the aerosol radiative forcing in 2050 as NO_x emissions decrease more slowly than SO₂ emissions, consistent with previous findings (Adams et al., 2001). However, we also find that essentially all of the 1980–2050 decrease in radiative forcing occurs over the 1990–2020 period, and that 62 % has already been realized by 2010. US anthropogenic emissions are sufficiently weak by now that little additional reduction in forcing is expected in the future even with the projected con-

tinued decrease in emissions. In addition, the positive radiative forcing from the BC aerosol component is presently too small to provide significant climate leverage from future emission controls targeted at BC.

The current period is witnessing a dramatic decrease in US anthropogenic aerosol forcing as a result of air quality improvements. Combined with the sustained increase in greenhouse radiative forcing, this has the potential for particularly strong warming over the US. The extent to which regional radiative forcing translates into regional climate response is uncertain. We explore this climate response in the Leibensperger et al. (2011) companion paper.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and an EPA Science to Achieve Results (STAR) Graduate Research Fellowship to Eric Leibensperger. The EPRI and EPA have not officially endorsed this publication and the views expressed herein may not reflect those of the EPRI and EPA. This work utilized resources and



technical support offered by the Harvard University School of Engineering and Applied Science Instructional and Research Computing Services. We would like to thank Jack Yatteau for computational assistance.

References

- Adams, P. J. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Predicting global aerosol size distributions in general circulation models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4370, doi:10.1029/2001JD001010, 2002.
 - Adams, P. J., Seinfeld, J. H., Koch, D., Mickley, L., and Jacob, D.: General circulation model assessment of direct radiative forcing by the sulfate-nitrate-ammonium-water inorganic aerosol system, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 1097–1111, 2001.
- ¹⁰ Albrecht, B.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science, 245, 1227– 1230, 1989.
 - Alexander, B., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Li, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Savarino, J., Lee, C. C. W., and Thiemens, M. H.: Sulfate formation in sea-salt aerosols: Constraints from oxygen isotopes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D10307, doi:10.1029/2004JD005659, 2005.
- ¹⁵ Andres, R. and Kasgnoc, A.: A time-averaged inventory of subaerial volcanic sulfur emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 25251–25261, 1998.
 - Barahona, D., Sotiropoulou, R., and Nenes, A.: Global distribution of cloud droplet number concentration, autoconversion rate, and aerosol indirect effect under diabatic droplet activation, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D09203, doi:10.1029/2010JD015274, 2011.
- Binkowski, F. and Roselle, S.: Models-3 community multiscale air quality (CMAQ) model aerosol component – 1. Model description, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4183, doi:10.1029/2001JD001409, 2003.
 - Bond, T. C.: Can warming particles enter global climate discussions?, Environ. Res. Lett., 2, 045030, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/045030, 2007.
- Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J. H., and Klimont, Z.: A technology-based global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D14203, doi:10.1029/2003JD003697, 2004.
 - Bond, T. C., Bhardwaj, E., Dong, R., Jogani, R., Jung, S., Roden, C., Streets, D. G., and Trautmann, N. M.: Historical emissions of black and organic carbon aerosol



from energy-related combustion, 1850–2000, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB2018, doi:10.1029/2006GB002840, 2007.

- Boucher, O. and Lohmann, U.: The sulfate-CCN-cloud albedo effect a sensitivity study with 2 general circulation models, Tellus B, 47, 281–300, 1995.
- ⁵ Bouwman, A., Lee, D., Asman, W., Dentener, F., Van Der Hoek, K., and Olivier, J.: A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 11, 561–587, 1997.
 - Chen, W.-T., Liao, H., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Future climate impacts of direct radiative forcing of anthropogenic aerosols, tropospheric ozone, and long-lived greenhouse gases, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D14209, doi:10.1029/2006JD008051, 2007.
- Chen, W.-T., Lee, Y. H., Adams, P. J., Nenes, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Will black carbon mitigation dampen aerosol indirect forcing?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L09801, doi:10.1029/2010GL042886, 2010a.

10

Chen, W.-T., Nenes, A., Liao, H., Adams, P. J., Li, J.-L. F., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global climate

- response to anthropogenic aerosol indirect effects: Present day and year 2100, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D12207, doi:10.1029/2008JD011619, 2010b.
 - Chin, M. and Jacob, D. J.: Anthropogenic and natural contributions to tropospheric sulfate: A global model analysis, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 101, 18691–18699, 1996.

Chin, M., Rood, R., Lin, S., Muller, J., and Thompson, A.: Atmospheric sulfur cycle simulated

- ²⁰ in the global model GOCART: Model description and global properties, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 24671–24687, 2000.
 - Chung, S. and Seinfeld, J.: Global distribution and climate forcing of carbonaceous aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4407, doi:10.1029/2001JD001397, 2002.
- Corbett, J., Fischbeck, P., and Pandis, S.: Global nitrogen and sulfur inventories for oceangoing ships, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 3457–3470, 1999.
 - d'Almeida, G., Koepke, P., and Shettle, E.: Atmospheric Aerosols: Global Climatology and Radiative Characteristics, A. Deepak, Hampton, VA, 1991.
 - Del Genio, A., Yao, M., Kovari, W., and Lo, K.: A prognostic cloud water parameterization for global climate models, J. Climate, 9, 270–304, 1996.
- ³⁰ Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P. M., Dickenson, R. E., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., da Silva Dias, P. L., Wofsy, S. C., and Zhang, X.: Couplings Between Changes in the Climate System and Biogeochemistry, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, New York, NY,



USA, 2007.

10

- Duncan, B., Martin, R., Staudt, A., Yevich, R., and Logan, J.: Interannual and seasonal variability of biomass burning emissions constrained by satellite observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4100, doi:10.1029/2002JD002378, 2003.
- ⁵ Evans, M. J. and Jacob, D. J.: Impact of new laboratory studies of N₂O₅ hydrolysis on global model budgets of tropospheric nitrogen oxides, ozone, and OH, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L09813, doi:10.1029/2005GL022469, 2005.
 - Fiore, A. M., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Streets, D. G., Fernandes, S. D., and Jang, C.: Linking ozone pollution and climate change: The case for controlling methane, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1919, doi:10.1029/2002GL015601, 2002.
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Van Dorland, R.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: Continued development of a cloud droplet formation parameterization for global climate models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D11212, doi:10.1029/2004JD005591, 2005.
 - Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., and Ghan, S. J.: A new two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics scheme in the Community Atmosphere Model, Version 3 (CAM3), Part II: Single-
- column and global results, J. Climate, 21, 3660–3679, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2116.1, 2008.
 Gilliland, A. B., Dennis, R. L., Roselle, S. J., and Pierce, T. E.: Seasonal NH₃ emission estimates for the eastern United States based on ammonium wet concentration and an inverse modeling method, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4477, doi:10.1029/2002JD003063, 2003.
 Grieshop, A. P., Reynolds, C. C. O., Kandlikar, M., and Dowlatabadi, H.: A black-carbon mitigation.
- tion wedge, Nat. Geosci., 2, 533–534, 2009.
 - Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
- ³⁰ Hansen, J., Sato, M., Nazarenko, L., Ruedy, R., Lacis, A., Koch, D., Tegen, I., Hall, T., Shindell, D., Santer, B., Stone, P., Novakov, T., Thomason, L., Wang, R., Wang, Y., Jacob, D., Hollandsworth, S., Bishop, L., Logan, J., Thompson, A., Stolarski, R., Lean, J., Willson, R., Levitus, S., Antonov, J., Rayner, N., Parker, D., and Christy, J.: Climate forcings in



Goddard Institute for Space Studies SI2000 simulations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 37, doi:10.1029/2001JD001143, 2002.

- Heald, C. L., Jacob, D. J., Turquety, S., Hudman, R. C., Weber, R. J., Sullivan, A. P., Peltier, R. E., Atlas, E. L., De Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Holloway, J. S., Neuman, J. A.,
- ⁵ Flocke, F. M., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Concentrations and sources of organic carbon aerosols in the free troposphere over North America, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D23S47, doi:10.1029/2006JD007705, 2006.
 - Henze, D. K. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global secondary organic aerosol from isoprene oxidation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L09812, doi:10.1029/2006GL025976, 2006.
- Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Fu, T.-M., Jacob, D. J., and Heald, C. L.: Global modeling of secondary organic aerosol formation from aromatic hydrocarbons: highvs. low-yield pathways, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2405–2420, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2405-2008, 2008.

Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., and Shindell, D. T.: Inverse modeling and mapping US air quality

- ¹⁵ influences of inorganic PM_{2,5} precursor emissions using the adjoint of GEOS-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5877–5903, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5877-2009, 2009.
 - Hoose, C., Lohmann, U., Bennartz, R., Croft, B., and Lesins, G.: Global simulations of aerosol processing in clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6939–6963, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6939-2008, 2008.
- Jacob, D.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2131–2159, 2000.
 - Jacobson, M. Z.: Control of fossil-fuel particulate black carbon and organic matter, possibly the most effective method of slowing global warming, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4410, doi:10.1029/2001JD001376, 2002.
- ²⁵ Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys0, 5, 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
- ³⁰ Khairoutdinov, M. and Kogan, Y.: A new cloud physics parameterization in a large-eddy simulation model of marine stratocumulus, Mon. Weather Rev., 128, 229–243, 2000.
 - Koch, D. and Del Genio, A. D.: Black carbon semi-direct effects on cloud cover: review and synthesis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7685–7696, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7685-2010, 2010.



Koch, D., Bond, T. C., Streets, D., Unger, N., and van der Werf, G. R.: Global impacts of aerosols from particular source regions and sectors, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D02205, doi:10.1029/2005JD007024, 2007.

Koch, D., Schulz, M., Kinne, S., McNaughton, C., Spackman, J. R., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S.,

- Berntsen, T., Bond, T. C., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Clarke, A., De Luca, N., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Dubovik, O., Easter, R., Fahey, D. W., Feichter, J., Fillmore, D., Freitag, S., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Horowitz, L., Iversen, T., Kirkevag, A., Klimont, Z., Kondo, Y., Krol, M., Liu, X., Miller, R., Montanaro, V., Moteki, N., Myhre, G., Penner, J. E., Perlwitz, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Sahu, L., Sakamoto, H., Schuster, G., Schwarz, J. P., Seland, O., Stier, P.,
- ¹⁰ Takegawa, N., Takemura, T., Textor, C., van Aardenne, J. A., and Zhao, Y.: Evaluation of black carbon estimations in global aerosol models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9001–9026, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9001-2009, 2009.

Koepke, P., Hess, M., Schult, I., and Shettle, E. P.: Global Aerosol Data Set, Max-Planck-Institut-fur-Meteorologie, Hamburg, 1997.

- Kristjánsson, J. E., Iversen, T., Kirkevag, A., Seland, O., and Debernard, J.: Response of the climate system to aerosol direct and indirect forcing: Role of cloud feedbacks, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D24206, doi:10.1029/2005JD006299, 2005.
 - Leibensperger, E. M., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Chen, W. T., Seinfeld, J. H., Nenes, A., Adams, P. J., Rind, D., Streets, D. G., Kumar, N., and Rind, D.: Climatic effects of 1950–2050
- changes in US anthropogenic aerosols Part 2: Climate response, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 24127-24164, doi:10.5194/acpd-11-24127-2011, 2011
 - Li, Q., Jacob, D. J., Munger, J. W., Yantosca, R. M., and Parrish, D. D.: Export of NO_y from the North American boundary layer: Reconciling aircraft observations and global model budgets, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D02313, doi:10.1029/2003JD004086, 2004.
- Liao, H., Seinfeld, J., Adams, P., and Mickley, L.: Global radiative forcing of coupled tropospheric ozone and aerosols in a unified general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D16207, doi:10.1029/2003JD004456, 2004.
 - Liao, H., Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Wu, S., and Mickley, L. J.: Biogenic secondary organic aerosol over the United States: Comparison of climatological simulations with observations,
- J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D06201, doi:10.1029/2006JD007813, 2007.
 - Liu, H., Jacob, D., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R.: Constraints from Pb-210 and Be-7 on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 12109–12128, 2001.



- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715–737, doi:10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.
- Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and seasonal trends in particle concentration and optical extinction in the United States, J. Geophys. Res.-
- ⁵ Atmos., 99, 1347–1370, 1994.
 - Martin, G. M., Johnson, D. W., and Spice, A.: The measurement and parameterization of effective radius of droplets in warm stratocumulus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 1823–1842, 1994.

Martin, R., Jacob, D., Yantosca, R., Chin, M., and Ginoux, P.: Global and regional decreases

- in tropospheric oxidants from photochemical effects of aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4097, doi:10.1029/2002JD002622, 2003.
 - Menon, S., Del Genio, A., Koch, D., and Tselioudis, G.: GCM Simulations of the aerosol indirect effect: Sensitivity to cloud parameterization and aerosol burden, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 692–713, 2002.
- ¹⁵ Meskhidze, N., Sotiropoulou, R. E. P., Nenes, A., Kouatchou, J., Das, B., and Rodriguez, J. M.: Aerosol-cloud interactions in the NASA GMI: Model development and indirect forcing assessments for sulfate aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 14,295–214,330, doi:10.5194/acpd-7-14295-2007, 2007.

Mickley, L. J., Leibensperger, E. M., Jacob, D. J., and Rind, D.: Regional warming from aerosol

- ²⁰ removal over the United States: Results from a transient 2010-2050 climate simulation, Atmos Environ, accepted, 2011.
 - Morales, R. and Nenes, A.: Characteristic updrafts for computing distribution-averaged cloud droplet number, autoconversion rate and effective radius, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D18220, doi:10.1029/2009JD013233, 2010.
- ²⁵ Murray, L. T., Logan, J. A., Jacob, D. J., and Hudman, R.C.: Spatial and interannual variability in lightning constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data for 1998–2006: Implications for tropospheric ozone and OH, in preparation, 2011.

Nakićenović, N. and Swart, R.: Special Report on Emission Scenarios. A Special Report of the Working Group III of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, in: A Special Report of

the Working Group III of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY USA, 569, 2000.

National Research Council: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change: Expanding the Concept and Addressing Uncertainties, National Academies Press, Washington, DC, 2005.



- Nenes, A. and Seinfeld, J.: Parameterization of cloud droplet formation in global climate models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4415, doi:10.1029/2002JD002911, 2003.
- Odum, J., Jungkamp, T., Griffin, R., Forstner, H., Flagan, R., and Seinfeld, J.: Aromatics, reformulated gasoline, and atmospheric organic aerosol formation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 21, 1800, 1807, 1007

⁵ 31, 1890–1897, 1997.

25

30

- Olivier, J. G. J. and Berdowski, J. J. M.: Global emissions sources and sinks, in: The Climate System, edited by: Berdowski, J., Guicherit, R., and Heij, B. J., A. A. Balkema Publishers/Zeitliner Publishers, Lisse, The Netherlands, 33–78, 2001.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Chin, M., and Martin, R. V.: Sources of carbonaceous aerosols over
- the United States and implications for natural visibility, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4355, doi:10.1029/2002JD003190, 2003.
 - Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D15204, doi:10.1029/2003JD004473, 2004.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Palmer, P. I., Clarke, A. D., Weber, R. J., Zondlo, M. A., Eisele, F. L., Bandy, A. R., Thornton, D. C., Sachse, G. W., and Bond, T. C.: Export efficiency of black carbon aerosol in continental outflow: Global implications, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D11205, doi:10.1029/2004JD005432, 2005.

Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Kumar, N., and Yantosca, R. M.: Regional visibility statistics in the

- ²⁰ United States: Natural and transboundary pollution influences, and implications for the Regional Haze Rule, Atmos. Environ., 40, 5405–5423, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.04.059, 2006.
 - Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Fire and biofuel contributions to annual mean aerosol mass concentrations in the United States, Atmos. Environ., 41, 7389–7400, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.05.061, 2007.
 - Penner, J. E., Quaas, J., Storelvmo, T., Takemura, T., Boucher, O., Guo, H., Kirkevag, A., Kristjansson, J. E., and Seland, O.: Model intercomparison of indirect aerosol effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3391–3405, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3391-2006, 2006.

Penner, J. E., Prather, M. J., Isaksen, I. S. A., Fugelstvedt, J. S., Klimont, Z., and Stevenson, D. S.: Short-lived uncertainty?, Nat. Geosci., 3, 587–588, 2010.

Pierce, J. and Adams, P.: Global evaluation of CCN formation by direct emission of sea salt and growth of ultrafine sea salt, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D06203, doi:10.1029/2005JD006186, 2006.



- Price, C. and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 97, 9919-9933, 1992.
- Pye, H. O. T., Liao, H., Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Henze, D. K., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Effect of changes in climate and emissions on future sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosol levels
- in the United States, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D01205, doi:10.1029/2008JD010701, 5 2009.
 - Pye, H. O. T., Chan, A. W., Barkley, M. P., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global modeling of organic aerosol: the importance of reactive nitrogen (NO_x and NO₃), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11261-11276, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11261-2010, 2010.
- Raes, F. and Seinfeld, J. H.: New Directions: Climate change and air pollution abatement: A 10 bumpy road, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5132–5133, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.001, 2009. Rayner, N., Parker, D., Horton, E., Folland, C., Alexander, L., Rowell, D., Kent, E., and Kaplan, A.: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine
- doi:10.1029/2002JD002670.2003. 15
 - Rind, D., Lerner, J., Jonas, J., and Mclinden, C.: Effects of resolution and model physics on tracer transports in the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies general circulation models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D09315, doi:10.1029/2006JD007476, 2007.

air temperature since the late nineteenth century, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4407,

Salzmann, M., Ming, Y., Golaz, J.-C., Ginoux, P. A., Morrison, H., Gettelman, A., Krämer, M., and Donner, L. J.: Two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics in the GFDL AM3 20 GCM: Description, evaluation, and sensitivity tests, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8037-8064, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8037-2010, 2010.

- Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Liu, X., Chance, K., Jaeglé, L., Palmer, P. I., Wu, S., and Fu, T.-M.: Remote sensed and in situ contraints on processes affecting tropical
- tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 815–838, doi:10.5194/acp-7-815-2007, 2007. 25 Schulz, M., Textor, C., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Dentener, F., and Guibert, S.: Radiative forcing by aerosols as derived from the AeroCom present-day and pre-industrial simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5225-5246, doi:10.5194/acp-6-5225-2006, 2006.
- Storelvmo, T., Kristjansson, J. E., Ghan, S. J., Kirkevag, A., Seland, O., and Iversen, T.: Predicting cloud droplet number concentration in Community Atmosphere Model (CAM)-Oslo, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D24208, doi:10.1029/2005JD006300, 2006. Streets, D., Bond, T., Carmichael, G., Fernandes, S., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nel-

Discussion Paper	AC 11, 24085– Aerosol t radiative	ACPD 11, 24085–24125, 2011 Aerosol trends and radiative forcing		
Discuse	E. M. Leibensperger et al.			
sion F	Title Page			
aper	Abstract	Introduction		
_	Conclusions	References		
Dis	Tables	Figures		
cussio	I	۶I		
n Pap	•	•		
ber	Back	Close		
_	Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc		
Discuss	Printer-friendly Version			
ion F	Discussion			
aper	C	ву		

son, S., Tsai, N., Wang, M., Woo, J., and Yarber, K.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 8809, doi:10.1029/2002JD003093, 2003.

Streets, D., Bond, T., Lee, T., and Jang, C.: On the future of carbonaceous aerosol emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D24212, doi:10.1029/2004JD004902, 2004.

J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D24212, doi:10.1029/2004JD004902, 2004.
 Toon, O. B., Pollack, J., and Khare, B.: The optical constants of several atmospheric aerosol species: ammonium sulfate, aluminum oxide, and sodium chloride, J. Geophys. Res., 81, 5733–5748, 1976.

Twomey, S.: Pollution and the planetary albedo, Atmos. Environ., 8, 1251–1256, 1974.

¹⁰ Unger, N., Bond, T. C., Wang, J. S., Koch, D. M., Menon, S., Shindell, D. T., and Bauer, S.: Attribution of climate forcing to economic sectors, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 3382–3387, doi:10.1073/pnas.0906548107, 2010.

US Environmental Protection Agency: Acid Rain and Related Programs – 2007 Progress Report, Washington, DC, 2009.

- ¹⁵ US Environmental Protection Agency: Our Nation's Air Status and Trends through 2008, Washington, DC, 2010.
 - van Aardenne, J., Dentener, F., and Olivier, J.: A 1° × 1° resolution data set of historical anthropogenic trace gas emissions for the period 1890–1990, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 15, 909–928, 2001.
- Wang, Y., Jacob, D., and Logan, J.: Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry 1. Model formulation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 10713–10725, 1998.
 - Weseley, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional scale numerical models, Atmos. Environ., 23, 1293–1304, 1989.

West, J. J., Ansari, A. S., and Pandis, S. N.: Marginal PM_{2.5}: Nonlinear aerosol mass response to sulfate reductions in the Eastern United States, J. Air Waste Manage., 49, 1415–1424,

- to sulfate reductions in the Eastern United States, J. Air Waste Manage., 49, 1415–1424, 1999.
 - Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Leibensperger, E. M., Jacob, D. J., Rind, D., and Streets, D. G.: Effects of 2000–2050 global change on ozone air quality in the United States, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D06302, doi:10.1029/2007JD008917, 2008.
- ³⁰ Yienger, J., and Levy, H.: Empirical model Of global soil-biogenic NO_x emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 11447–11464, 1995.

	ACPD			
-	11, 24085–24125, 2011			
-	Aerosol ti radiative	rends and e forcing		
	E. M. Leibensperger et al.			
	Title Page			
J	Abstract	Introduction		
-	Conclusions	References		
2	Tables	Figures		
	14	۴I		
J	•	Þ		
	Back	Close		
-	Full Screen / Esc			
	Printer-friendly Version			
-	Interactive Discussion			
J		BY		

Jiscussion Pape

iscussion Pape

Discussion Pape

Jiscussion Papel

- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., and Carouge, C. C.: Nitrogen deposition to the United States: sources and processes, in preparation, 2011.
- Zwiers, F. and von Storch, H.: Taking serial correlation into account in tests of the mean, J. Climate, 8, 336–351, 1995.

5

Discussion Paper	AC 11, 24085–2 Aerosol tr radiative	ACPD 11, 24085–24125, 2011 Aerosol trends and radiative forcing		
Discus	E. M. Leibensperger et al.			
sion F	Title Page			
aper	Abstract	Introduction		
_	Conclusions	References		
Disc	Tables	Figures		
ussio	14	۶I		
n Pa		•		
oer	Back	Close		
-	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc		
Discuss	Printer-friendly Version			
sion	Interactive Discussion			
Paper		D BY		

 Table 1. Global mean cloud properties^a.

	This Work	Chen et al. (2010b)	Other Studies ^b
Total Column N_c (10 ¹⁰ m ⁻²)	3.8	6.2	2.1–7.6 [°]
N_c at 850 hPa (cm ⁻³)	83.0	122.2	75.0–135.0 ^d
r _e at cloud top (μm) ^e	12.9	12.2	6.8–13.3 ^f
LWP (g m ^{-2})	111.3	111.5	41.5–110.0 ^g
TOA SW CF ($W m^{-2}$)	-55.2	-53.8	-46.0-61.0 ^h

^a Cloud droplet number concentration (N_c), effective area-weighted mean cloud droplet radius (r_e), liquid water path (LWP), top-of-atmosphere shortwave cloud forcing (TOA SW CF)

^b Survey of values from models and observation analyses

^c Menon et al. (2002); Gettelman et al. (2008); Hoose et al. (2008), and Salzmann et al. (2010)

^d Penner et al. (2006)

^e Modeled values of r_e are multiplied by $2^{1/3}$ to approximate the cloud top conditions of satellite retrievals (Meskhidze et al., 2007)

^f Menon et al. (2002), Kristjánsson et al. (2005), Penner et al. (2006), Storelvmo et al. (2006), Gettelman et al. (2008), and Barahona et al. (2011)

^g Kristjánsson et al. (2005), Penner et al. (2006), Storelvmo et al. (2006), Gettelman et al. (2008), Hoose et al. (2008), and Salzmann et al. (2010)

^h Menon et al. (2002), Kristjánsson et al. (2005), Penner et al. (2006), Gettelman et al. (2008), and Salzmann et al. (2010)

	ACPD 11, 24085–24125, 2011 Aerosol trends and radiative forcing				
-					
	E. M. Leibensperger et a				
)))))	Title Page				
	Abstract	Introduction			
_	Conclusions	References			
	Tables	Figures			
200	[∢	►I			
		•			
	Back	Close			
-	Full Screen / Esc				
	Printer-friendly Version				
2	Interactive Discussion				
		D av			



Fig. 1. Global (solid) and US (dashed) trends in emissions of SO_2 , NO_x , BC, and POA for 1950–2050. US emissions are multiplied by 10 to fit on scale.











Fig. 2b. Same as Fig. 2a but for ammonium.







Fig. 2c. Same as Fig. 2a but for nitrate.



Fig. 3. 1980–2010 trends in wet deposition fluxes (solid) and surface aerosol concentrations (dashed) in the eastern US (east of 100° W). Values are annual means. Model trends (blue) are compared to observations (black). The numbers of sites averaged in the trend analysis are given inset. Model values are sampled at the site locations and then averaged.







Fig. 4. Black carbon (left) and organic carbon (right) concentration in surface air (μ g m⁻³). Observations (circles) are 3-year averages for 1989–1991, 1999–2001, and 2008–2009. GEOS-Chem model values (background contours) are from the decadal time slice simulations with 1990, 2000, and 2010 emissions. Reduced major axis linear regressions between model and observations are shown inset for each map along with the coefficient of determination (r^2) and normalized mean bias (NMB).



Fig. 5. Annual mean aerosol direct (top), first indirect (middle), and total indirect (bottom) radiative forcing from US anthropogenic sources for year 1980. The aerosol direct effect is calculated assuming an internal mixture. Assuming an external mixture would increase the radiative forcing over the US by 10%. The direct and first indirect effects are calculated with parallel radiative calculations using perturbed aerosol abundances (direct) and cloud optical properties (first indirect). The total aerosol indirect effect is calculated by difference between 50-year climate equilibrium simulations with and without US anthropogenic aerosols. White areas show no significant change at the 95-th percentile of confidence. The global mean values are -0.07 W m^{-2} for the direct effect, -0.05 W m^{-2} for the first indirect effect, and -0.08 W m^{-2} for the total indirect effect.





Fig. 6. 1950–2050 evolution of aerosol direct (top) and total (first + second) indirect radiative forcing (bottom) from US anthropogenic sources over the eastern US (east of 100° W). Circles represent the net direct radiative forcing and the bars give the contributions from the different components. The direct radiative forcing calculations are for an internal aerosol mixture. Aerosol indirect radiative forcing is calculated as the difference between two 50-year equilibrium climate simulations with vs. without US anthropogenic aerosols. The error bars represent the 95 % confidence interval of the forcing based on model interannual variability.





Fig. 7. Effect of US anthropogenic aerosols on column integrated cloud droplet number concentration, liquid stratiform cloud droplet effective radius at cloud top (r_e), and liquid stratiform cloud optical depth. Values are annual model means for 1980.

