Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 2233–2262, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/2233/2011/ doi:10.5194/acpd-11-2233-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.




This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Global and regional effects of the photochemistry of CH₃O₂NO₂: evidence from ARCTAS

E. C. Browne¹, A. E. Perring^{1,*,**}, P. J. Wooldridge¹, E. Apel², S. R. Hall², L. G. Huey³, J. Mao⁴, K. M. Spencer⁵, J. M. St. Clair⁶, A. J. Weinheimer², A. Wisthaler⁷, and R. C. Cohen^{1,8}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA ²Atmospheric Chemistry Div., National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA ³School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Inst. of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA ⁴School of Engineering and Applied Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA ⁵Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

⁶Div. of Geology and Planetary Sciences, California Inst. of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA ⁷Institut für Ionenphysik & Angewandte Physik, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria





⁸Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Univ. of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA *now at: Chemical Sciences Division, Earth Systems Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA

^{**}now at: Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

Received: 20 December 2010 - Accepted: 12 January 2011 - Published: 21 January 2011

Correspondence to: R. C. Cohen (rccohen@berkeley.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Discussion Pa	ACPD 11, 2233–2262, 2011 Global and regional effects of the photochemistry of CH ₃ O ₂ NO ₂ E. C. Browne et al.		
ner I Discussion			
סמס			
D	Title Page		
_	Abstract	Introduction	
	Conclusions	References	
Ilecion	Tables	Figures	
Dan	I	►I	
	•	•	
5	Back	Close	
	Full Screen / Esc		
sion Paner	Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion		



Abstract


Using measurements from the NASA Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) experiment, we show that methyl peroxy nitrate ($CH_3O_2NO_2$) is present in concentrations of ~ 5–15 pptv in the springtime arctic upper troposphere. We investigate the regional and global effects of $CH_3O_2NO_2$

- by including its chemistry in the GEOS-CHEM 3-D global chemical transport model. We find that at temperatures below 240 K inclusion of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry results in decreases of up to ~ 20% in NO_x, ~ 20% in N₂O₅, ~ 5% in HNO₃, ~ 2% in ozone, and increases in methyl hydrogen peroxide of up to ~ 14%. Larger changes are observed in biomass burning plumes lofted to high altitude. Additionally, by sequestering NO_x at low temperatures, CH₃O₂NO₂ decreases the cycling of HO₂ to OH, resulting in a larger upper tropospheric HO₂ to OH ratio. These results may impact some es
 - timates of lightning NO_x sources as well as help explain differences between models and measurements of upper tropospheric composition.

15 **1** Introduction

Non-acyl peroxynitrates (e.g. HO_2NO_2 , $CH_3O_2NO_2$) are weakly bound molecules that play a role in the chemistry of the troposphere where it is cold (Slusher et al., 2002; Murphy et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007) or where peroxy radicals and NO_x ($NO_x = NO + NO_2$) have especially high concentrations (Spencer et al., 2009). Initial observations and calculations focused on understanding the role these molecules play in the stratospheric HO_x ($HO_x = OH + HO_2$) balance (e.g. Wennberg et al., 1999). More recently, in-situ observations of non-acyl peroxynitrates in the troposphere (Slusher et al., 2002; Murphy et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007; Spencer et al., 2009) have resulted in increased interest in the role of these compounds in NO_x and HO_x budgets in the lower troposphere.


²⁵ During the NCAR Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) experiment (Atlas et al., 2003), measurements in the Arctic upper troposphere, where





temperatures were on average ~ 230 K, showed that non-acyl peroxy nitrates, primarily HO_2NO_2 , were on average, 30% of NO_y ($NO_y = NO + NO_2 + HO_2NO_2 + CH_3O_2NO_2 + HNO_3 + HONO + acyl peroxy nitrates + organic nitrates + NO_3 + 2N_2O_5$) (Murphy et al., 2004). These observations imply that HO_2NO_2 represents a significant sink

- ⁵ of HO_x and acts as a large reservoir of NO_x in the Arctic during winter and spring. Measurements from the NASA Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-North America (INTEX-NA) demonstrated that HO₂NO₂ is present in the mid-latitude upper troposphere at mixing ratios of approximately 76 pptv between 8 and 9 km, accounting for about 5% of NO_y and 10% of the local HO_x sink (Kim et al., 2007).
- ¹⁰ HO₂NO₂ is formed by the association reaction of HO₂ and NO₂ and methyl peroxy nitrate (CH₃O₂NO₂) is formed by the analogous association reaction of CH₃O₂ with NO₂. Although CH₃O₂ is the second most abundant peroxy radical in the atmosphere (after HO₂), much less attention has been devoted to CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry. To our knowledge the indirect measurement of the sum of HO₂NO₂ and CH₃O₂NO₂
- ¹⁵ during the TOPSE campaign by Murphy et al. (2004) is the only previous in-situ evidence for CH₃O₂NO₂. CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry has been previously considered in some box and one dimensional models. Thompson et al. (1997) used a 1-D tropospheric chemical model and upper tropospheric measurements from the Pacific Exploratory Mission in the Western Pacific Ocean (PEM-West B) and predicted a mean concentra-
- tion of 27 pptv for CH₃O₂NO₂ at 10 km in the mid-latitudes (35°-45° N) during February and March. During TOPSE, a steady-state model indicated that at temperatures around 250 K CH₃O₂NO₂ should be present at average concentrations of 70 pptv (at 40°-60° N) and 27 pptv (at 60°-85° N) (Cantrell et al., 2003b). Here we present experimental evidence from observations during the Arctic Research of the Composition of
- ²⁵ the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) experiment for the presence of CH₃O₂NO₂. We use the GEOS-CHEM (Bey et al., 2001) 3-D chemical transport model to investigate the effects of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry on the distribution of NO_x, O₃, NO_y, and HO_y (HO_y = OH + HO₂ + HONO + HO₂NO₂ + CH₃OOH + 2H₂O₂) species. We find that at temperatures below 240 K, the addition of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry to





2237


GEOS-CHEM decreases regional concentrations of NO_x by 20% and of O₃ by 2%. Additionally, concentrations of N₂O₅ decrease by ~ 20% and methyl hydrogen peroxide concentrations increase by ~ 14%.

2 Measurements

⁵ The ARCTAS measurement campaign has been described in detail by Jacob et al. (2010). Here we focus on the spring portion of ARCTAS (ARCTAS-A) which took place 1–19 April 2008. ARCTAS-A consisted of two transit flights between Palmdale, CA and Fairbanks, Alaska (65° N, 148° W) and seven arctic flights. The arctic flights included three local flights as well as flights to and from Thule, Greenland (77° N, 69° W)
 ¹⁰ and Iqaluit, Nunavut (64° N, 69° W). In this analysis we only consider data collected north of 55° N.

The payload of the DC-8 consisted of an extensive suite of gas phase and aerosol measurements (Jacob et al., 2010). In our analysis we use the measurements listed in Table 1. All data are available in a public archive (http://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/
 arcstat-c). Although OH and HO₂ were measured both by the NCAR chemical ionization mass spectrometer (Cantrell et al., 2003a) and the Pennsylvania State laser induced fluorescence (LIF) techniques (Faloona et al., 2004), we use the LIF OH and HO₂ measurements in our model due to the more extensive coverage at high altitudes. The core measurements for this analysis are from the UC Berkeley nitrogen oxides
 instrument. Briefly, total peroxy nitrates (Σ PNs), total alkyl and multifunctional nitrates

²⁰ Instrument. Briefly, total peroxy nitrates (Σ PNs), total alkyl and multifunctional nitrates (Σ ANs), and NO₂ were measured using the Thermal Dissociation-Laser Induced Fluorescence (TD-LIF) instrument described in detail by Wooldridge et al. (2010). NO₂ is measured using laser induced fluorescence (Thornton et al., 2000) with supersonic expansion (Cleary et al., 2002). A 7 kHz, Q-switched, frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser
 ²⁵ pumps a tunable dye laser utilizing a mixture of pyrromethene 597 in isopropanol. This produces narrowband radiation (0.06 cm⁻¹) at 585 nm. The laser light is focused through two multipass white cells and the red-shifted fluorescence (wavelengths long)






of 700 nm) is detected using a red sensitive photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu H7421-50). Prompt scatter is eliminated using time gated detection and scattered light with wavelengths less than 700 nm is rejected by band-pass filters. Fluorescence counts are collected at 4 Hz and averaged to one second for reporting to the data archive. We

- ⁵ tune the dye laser on and off an isolated rovibronic feature of the jet-cooled NO₂ at 585 nm. The frequency is held at the peak of the feature for 9 s and then moved to the off-line position in the continuum absorption of NO₂ for 3 s. The difference between these two signals is directly proportional to the NO₂ mixing ratio. The ratio between the peak and background NO₂ fluorescence is 10 to 1 at 760 torr backing pressure
- ¹⁰ behind the expansion nozzle. The detection cells are kept at a pressure of approximately 0.2 torr. Calibration is performed at least every two hours during a level flight leg using a 4.5 ppm NO₂ reference standard diluted to $\sim 2-8$ ppbv in zero air. Stability of the NO₂ reference is verified by comparing a library of 6–8 different NO₂ standards approximately twice a year. These standards have been observed to remain stable for
- ¹⁵ up to 5 years and to be accurate at atmospherically relevant mixing ratios to within 1% (Bertram et al., 2005). As described in Thornton et al. (2000), correction for fluorescence quenching by water is made using the DLH measurements (Sachse et al., 1987; Diskin et al., 2002).

The configuration of the instrument for ARCTAS consisted of two detection cells. Sample flow was directed through a short (18 cm) inlet heated to approximately 25 °C and then split into two sampling lines. Two-thirds of the flow is directed down 154.5 cm of PFA tubing at cabin temperature before splitting in two. Half of this flow is directed to detection cell 1 for ambient NO₂ measurement. The other half is heated in a quartz tube at 200 °C to thermally dissociate peroxy nitrates and then passes through PFA tubing to detection cell 2. The remaining third of the sample flow is passed directly into

a heated quartz tube (375 °C) followed by PFA tubing for Σ ANs detection in detection cell 2. NO₂ was measured continuously in cell 1 while cell 2 alternately sampled the NO₂ + Σ PNs signal (50% of the time) and the NO₂ + Σ PNs + Σ ANs signal (50% of the time).






During ARCTAS, NO₂ was also measured by photolytic conversion to NO with detection via chemiluminescence from the reaction of NO and ozone (Weinheimer et al., 1994). For the one minute merged data (version 11) the measurements agree within the stated uncertainties. A linear least-squares non-weighted fit (as in Cantrell, 2008) of the chemiluminescence data versus the LIF data resulted in a slope of 0.95 ± 0.01 with an intercept of -8.1 ± 0.8 pptv and an R^2 value of 0.95.

3 Observational evidence of CH₃O₂NO₂

Methyl peroxy nitrate is weakly bound (~ 95 kJ mol⁻¹) and calculations (performed after ARCTAS was completed) suggest that it dissociates with high efficiency in the inlet
lines of the TD-LIF instrument after which it is detected in the NO₂ channel. The interference from CH₃O₂NO₂ is expected to affect both the LIF and chemiluminescence NO₂ measurements. It is likely that past measurements of NO₂ in the upper troposphere and arctic are subject to this interference from CH₃O₂NO₂. This may explain discrepancies between observed and modeled upper tropospheric NO₂ in past experiments (e.g. Crawford et al., 1996). Additionally, it is consistent with the improvement between upper tropospheric measured and modeled NO₂ observed by Bradshaw et al. (1999) between the 1991 PEM-West-A experiment and 1996 PEM-Tropics-A experiment when the inlet was redesigned to decrease the residence time to 40 ms (from 2 s). Here we focus on CH₃O₂NO₂ and its behavior in the LIF instrument as it was configured during ARCTAS. In what follows we refer to this measurement as XNO₂ to indicate that it is a measurement of the sum of NO₂ and a fraction of these thermally

labile nitrogen compounds.

Given a 300 K cabin temperature (approximate mean cabin temperature during ARC-TAS) and a pressure of 300 torr, the thermal decomposition lifetime of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ is 700 ms, while that of HO_2NO_2 is 17.9 s. Although the residence time of an ambient sample in our detection system is quite short (~ 350–850 ms), we calculate that between 48 and 77% of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ and 3 to 6% of HO_2NO_2 thermally dissociate prior






to reaching the NO₂ detection cell. HO₂NO₂ dissociation is minimal and results in a median calculated NO₂ interference of less than 1 ppt (6% of the XNO₂ signal) and a maximum absolute interference during a 1 minute period of 10.3 ppt (19% of the NO₂ signal). This molecule is detected with near unit efficiency in the Σ PNs channel as described previously (Murphy et al., 2004; Wooldridge et al., 2010).

We calculate the ambient $CH_3O_2NO_2$ concentration using an instantaneous photostationary state model subject to the constraint that the sum of the model NO_2 and the fractions of HO_2NO_2 and $CH_3O_2NO_2$ that dissociate in the LIF inlet are equal to the LIF measurement of XNO_2 . We used measured concentrations of HO_2NO_2 to calculate the contribution of HO_2NO_2 to the XNO_measurement. Since the validity of the photo-

- ¹⁰ the contribution of HO_2NO_2 to the XNO_2 measurement. Since the validity of the photostationary state assumption requires that the source and sink reactions of a molecule vary slowly in comparison to the lifetime of that molecule, we perform this calculation only when the lifetime of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ is less than 12 h, or there is greater than 20 h of sunlight per day as in Murphy et al. (2004). Additionally, we filter the data to exclude
- ¹⁵ locations where tropospheric composition has been recently perturbed. These include times when the DC-8 sampled fresh NO_x emissions (defined as NO_x/NO_y > 0.2 and when NO was more than ±3 standard deviations of the median value within ±0.5 km altitude), ozone depletion events (O₃ < 20 ppb), stratospheric influences (defined as O₃/CO > 0.75), and solar zenith angle greater than 85 degrees.
- The reactions included in the photostationary state calculation are shown in Table 2. All measured values are from the archived one minute merged data, version 11. In addition to the XNO₂ measurement, concentrations of species listed in Table 1 were used to constrain the model. As the IUPAC recommended UV cross sections for CH₃O₂NO₂ (Atkinson et al., 2006) are identical to HO₂NO₂(Atkinson et al., 2004), we set the UV photolysis of CH₃O₂NO₂ equal to the measured UV photolysis rate of HO₂NO₂. We do not consider infrared overtone photolysis of CH₃O₂NO₂ due to the shorter thermal decomposition lifetime of CH₃O₂NO₂ and the lower expected cross section of C-H overtones (Nizkorodov et al., 2005; Vaida, 2009). The model is run to steady state concentrations (±0.001%) for peroxyacetyl radical, methyl peroxy radical, and CH₃O₂NO₂.






We do not attempt to fill in missing data points from any of the measured species and calculate concentrations for the 480 one minute averaged data points which meet our selection criteria.

Uncertainties in our model calculations of NO₂ and $CH_3O_2NO_2$ will be a combination of systematic and random uncertainties in the measured concentrations and systematic uncertainties in the photolysis rates, the rate constants, and the estimated residence time in our instrument prior to detection. Uncertainties in the rate constants for $CH_3O_2NO_2$ formation and dissociation and in the instrument residence time will have the largest systematic effect on our results. In our calculation we use the JPL-2006 (Sander et al., 2006) rate recommendations for $CH_3O_2NO_2$ reactions. This recommendation is based on the recent work of Golden (2005), who has re-evaluated the data for formation and dissociation of $CH_3O_2NO_2$. This re-evaluation includes the new measurements of the association reaction of CH_3O_2 and NO_2 by Bacak et al. (2006). The measurement of Bacak et al. (2006) at 223K represents the first measurements

- ¹⁵ of the rate constant below 253 K, thus significantly reducing the uncertainty in this reaction at low temperatures. Adjusting the uncertainties to the JPL-2006 one sigma values for the rate constants of formation and decomposition of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ results in changes to the calculated NO_2 values of ~ ±23% (~ 1.2 ppt) and of -/+40% (~ 2.3 ppt) for $CH_3O_2NO_2$ in the temperature range of 230–235 K. This range of NO_2 concentra-
- ²⁰ tions is within the uncertainty of a simpler calculation of steady state NO₂ using only the measured NO₂ photolysis rate and the NO, ozone, and HO₂ concentrations. Uncertainty in the residence time of ±25% leads to changes of ~ -/ + 10% in both NO₂ and CH₃O₂NO₂ at 230–235 K. These changes in NO₂ and CH₃O₂NO₂ are driven predominantly by the change in the fraction of CH₃O₂NO₂ that dissociates in the inlet. Due to
- ²⁵ the constraint that the sum of NO₂, dissociated CH₃O₂NO₂, and dissociated HO₂NO₂ equal XNO₂, a calculated decrease in the fraction of dissociated CH₃O₂NO₂ (from a decrease in residence times) results in higher inferred NO₂ and consequently a higher calculated steady state CH₃O₂NO₂.





The concentrations of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ calculated by the photostationary state model and constrained by the XNO₂ observations reach values of up to ~ 10–20 pptv in the coldest conditions sampled during ARCTAS (Fig. 1). Under these conditions, the median $CH_3O_2NO_2$ mixing ratio is ~ 1.6 times larger than that of NO₂. As shown in Fig. 2, at temperatures below 240 K, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ ranges from ~ 27–43% of XNO₂ while thermal dissociation of HO₂NO₂ contributes ~ 11–14%. The resulting NO₂ shows improved agreement with NO₂ predicted from measured NO, HO₂, and NO₂ photolysis values at temperatures ~ 245 K and below (not shown). Since $CH_3O_2NO_2$ is approximately equal to NO₂ at temperatures below 240 K, it serves as an important NO_x reservoir that will release NO_x when the air mass warms, potentially doubling the NO₂ concentration. This source of CH_3O_2 and NO_2 radicals will increase ozone production and affect NO_x and HO_x chemistry. In order to investigate this more completely, we added $CH_3O_2NO_2$ chemistry to the global 3-D chemical transport model GEOS-CHEM.

4 Global 3-D CTM model results

The GEOS-CHEM model (version 08-02-02) was run at 2 × 2.5 degree resolution. The standard chemistry in the model is described in detail in Mao et al. (2010). Two separate runs were conducted: one with CH₃O₂NO₂ reactions added to the standard chemistry (hereinafter referred to as the methyl peroxy nitrate or MPN case) and one with only the standard chemistry (hereinafter referred to as the base case). The CH₃O₂NO₂
 reactions consisted of reactions 8 and 13 (Table 2). As in the photostationary state model, photolysis of CH₃O₂NO₂ was calculated assuming that the UV cross sections were equal to those of HO₂NO₂ and that IR photolysis of CH₃O₂NO₂ resulting from vibrational overtone excitation was negligible.

Both models were run from January–December 2007 to remove memory of the initialization. We analyze output for January–December 2008. Significant changes in tropospheric composition, particularly at cold temperatures, result when CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry is included. We present seasonal mean results for the North American Arctic **Discussion** Paper ACPD 11, 2233-2262, 2011 **Global and regional** effects of the photochemistry of **Discussion** Paper CH₃O₂NO₂ E. C. Browne et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References **Figures Tables** 14 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion




(defined here as 175° W– 35° W and 65° N– 85° N) and summertime (June, July, August) results from the tropics (defined here as 180° W– 180° E and 20° S– 20° N). We restrict this analysis to the troposphere by only using results in the model's vertical layers below the layer containing the tropopause.

5 4.1 North American Arctic

As shown in Fig. 3, the mean value for modeled CH₃O₂NO₂ in the coldest conditions (~ 220 K) during the summertime (June, July, August) in the North American Arctic is ~ 40 pptv. During winter (December, January, February), concentrations are lower due to decreased production. The spring (March, April, May) concentrations are slightly higher than the concentrations inferred from the ARCTAS observations of XNO_2 (Sect. 3). $CH_3O_2NO_2$ acts as a NO_x reservoir and including it in the GEOS-CHEM model results in \sim 14–23% less NO_x (Fig. 4a) as compared to the base case. As a result, in the summer, when photochemistry is most active, ozone is reduced by 1.2% (1.3 ppbv) (Fig. 4b). In the winter, ozone is reduced by 0.6% (0.5 ppbv). Although NO_v concentrations remain unchanged, substantial differences in the partitioning of 15 NO_{v} is observed. At the coldest temperatures $N_{2}O_{5}$ concentrations are reduced by ~ 10–20% (Fig. 4c) due to sequestration of NO₂ by CH₃O₂NO₂. At temperatures above ~230-240 K, CH₃O₂NO₂ causes increases in N₂O₅ of up to 20% in the fall (September, October, November) and 30% in winter. Increases in HO₂NO₂ concentrations are also seen in the fall and winter (Fig. 4d). These increases result from the low photo-20 chemical activity in the North American Arctic in the fall and winter. Due to decreased production, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ concentrations decrease (Fig. 3), resulting in increased NO_2 concentrations. This enables formation of the more thermally stable NO_x reservoirs N_2O_5 and HO_2NO_2 (Fig. 4c and d), thus increasing the concentrations relative to the base case. 25

By sequestering NO_x, CH₃O₂NO₂ reduces NO concentrations resulting in a decreased conversion of HO₂ to OH. Consequently, OH concentrations are lower (not shown). These reductions in NO_x and OH by CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry result in up to 4%






less HNO₃ in the winter and 5.5% less HNO₃ in the summer (Fig. 4e). The HO_y species methyl hydrogen peroxide shows increases up to 14% (at ~ 220 K) in the summertime (Fig. 4f). Smaller increases occur at other times of the year. This increase is mainly due to an increase in the lifetime of methyl hydrogen peroxide due to the decreased OH concentrations.

4.2 Tropics

5

Although overall results from the tropics are similar to the results in the North American Arctic there are two distinct differences. First, temperatures in the upper troposphere of the tropics are lower than in the mid latitudes and polar regions due to the higher tropopause. Consequently, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ concentrations peak at temperatures of ~215 K and decrease at lower temperatures (Fig. 5) as do the differences between the base and MPN cases (Figs. 6 and 7). The decrease occurs because of a reduction in CH_3O_2 formation due to the slower rate of $OH + CH_4$ at colder temperatures. The second important difference from the North American Arctic is the presence of large modeled spikes in $CH_3O_2NO_2$ concentrations (and the resulting large spikes in differences between the base and MPN cases) on the otherwise smooth curve that has the temperature dependence of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ chemistry. These spikes are due to elevated concentrations of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ downwind of biomass burning events as confirmed by large concentrations of peroxyacetyl nitrate and CO in these plumes (not shown).

- ²⁰ These spikes appear at regular intervals due to the decreased vertical resolution of the model in the upper troposphere. Each group of points are the results from a different vertical level of the model. In these particular biomass burning events, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ chemistry results in maximum changes of ~ 20–40% less NO_x (Fig. 6a), ~ 2–4% less ozone (Fig. 6b), ~ 20–35% less N_2O_5 (Fig. 6c), ~ 7–20% less HO_2NO_2 (Fig. 6d), ~ 14%
- $_{25}$ less HNO₃ (Fig. 6e), and ~ 30–75% more methyl hydrogen peroxide (Fig. 6f) as compared to the base case. Additionally, the MPN case has approximately 14–28% less OH (Fig. 7a) and 10–25% more HO₂ (Fig. 7b) in these plumes than in the base case.





5 Implications


Through sequestration of NO_x, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ will directly affect the NO_x budget of the upper troposphere. Since lightning emits NO_x directly into the upper troposphere, these emissions will result in proportionally more $CH_3O_2NO_2$ production than surface

- 5 NO_x sources. Recently, several studies have attempted to constrain the lightning NO_x source by varying emissions in models and using top-down constraints from aircraft (e.g. Hudman et al., 2007) or satellite (e.g. Martin et al., 2007) measurements. It is possible that inclusion of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry will necessitate an increase in these lightning NO_x estimates; however this effect will be sensitive to the altitude of lightning
- ¹⁰ NO_x emissions. Over the tropics the CH₃O₂NO₂ to NO_x ratio peaks at ~ 30% between 11 and 12 km altitude and then decreases to ~ 15% by ~ 9.9 km and ~ 14 km. Consequently, calculations of lightning NO_x emitted between 11 and 12 km will be most strongly affected by CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry.

The decrease in upper tropospheric NO_x from inclusion of CH₃O₂NO₂ results in in ¹⁵ creases in HO₂ and decreases in OH in the upper troposphere, thus increasing the HO₂ to OH ratio. During the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-A (INTEX-A) Ren et al. (2008) found that the observed HO₂/OH ratio was larger than model predictions in the upper troposphere. The vertical distribution of changes in OH and HO₂ in the North American mid-latitudes between the base and MPN runs are consistent with
 ²⁰ CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry being partly responsible for the measurement-model differences observed by Ren et al. (2008). However, the magnitude of the change in HO₂ between the MPN and base case runs are significantly smaller than the differences observed

- during INTEX-A. Part of this difference may be explained by the use of different models (global CTM versus box model) and the different time periods and locations being sim-
- ²⁵ ulated. Additionally, the model used in Ren et al. (2008) was constrained to measured NO₂ concentrations. It is likely that these concentrations are measurements of XNO₂.

It is also interesting to note that because both the reaction with OH and IR photolysis are negligible loss process for $CH_3O_2NO_2$, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ has a longer lifetime






than HO₂NO₂ at temperatures below ~ 225 K (symbols in Fig. 8) under daytime conditions. Therefore, in the coldest conditions of the upper troposphere during the day, $CH_3O_2NO_2$ is a more effective reservoir of NO_x and HO_x than HO₂NO₂. However, at night the lifetimes of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ and HO_2NO_2 will be controlled only by thermal de-⁵ composition (lines in Fig. 8) and $CH_3O_2NO_2$ will have a much shorter lifetime. For instance, assuming nine hours of darkness at 225 K, 40% of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ will decompose, releasing CH_3O_2 and NO_2 , whereas HO_2NO_2 will remain intact. Thus, these two species will have different diurnal effects on the radical concentrations.

By using reduced pressures, shorter residence times (e.g. Bradshaw et al., 1999), or some combination thereof, it is possible for future inlet designs for upper tropospheric NO_2 measurements to minimize the interference of $CH_3O_2NO_2$. In the TD-LIF system this would enable detection of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ solely in the peroxy nitrates channel. Although TD-LIF provides the sum measurement of all peroxy nitrate species, an indirect measurement of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ would be possible using the difference between the TD-LIF signal and speciated peroxy acetyl nitrates and pernitric acid measurements.

6 Conclusions

Measurements from ARCTAS indicate that the thermally unstable CH₃O₂NO₂ dissociates in the inlet of NO₂ measurements resulting in upper tropospheric measurements of NO₂ that are better described as thermally labile nitrogen (XNO₂). Using the mea-²⁰ surements of XNO₂ during ARCTAS we show that in the coldest conditions sampled, CH₃O₂NO₂ is present at concentrations approximately equal to or greater than NO₂. Inclusion of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry in the GEOS-CHEM model results in changes in concentrations of NO_x and HO_x and their reservoirs (such as N₂O₅, HNO₃, and methyl hydrogen peroxide). The magnitude of the changes vary by season and region, how-²⁵ ever our results indicate that the addition of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry to GEOS-CHEM results in significant changes whenever the temperature is below 240 K. These changes affect the calculated production and loss rates of NO_x and HO_y, the upper tropospheric



CC ①

HO₂ to OH ratio and the spatial distribution of NO_x and HO_x reservoirs. As shown by the results from the North American Arctic, addition of CH₃O₂NO₂ chemistry to models results in changes to the seasonal cycles of NO_y and HO_y species, particularly in the increase in N₂O₅ and HO₂NO₂ between 230 K and 260 K. The results from the tropics also indicate that CH₃O₂NO₂ plays an important role in the evolution of biomass burning plumes that are lofted to high altitudes. By sequestering both CH₃O₂ and NO₂, CH₃O₂NO₂ changes the chemical evolution and ozone production potential of these plumes.


Acknowledgements. The analysis described here was funded by NASA grant NNX08AE56G

- and a NASA Earth Systems Science Fellowship to ECB. Acetone measurements were supported by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG-ALR) and the Tiroler Zukunftstiftung and carried out with the help/support of T. Mikoviny, M. Graus, A. Hansel and T. D. Maerk. We thank William H. Brune for use of the OH and HO₂ measurements, Glenn Diskin for use of the methane and water measurements, and John D. Crounse and Paul O. Wennberg for the use
- of California Institute of Technology CIMS data. We also thank the ground and flight crews of the DC-8 for their hard work and logistical support during ARCTAS and the ARCTAS science team for their hard work. ECB would like to thank Rynda Hudman for her help with setting up the GEOS-CHEM model.

References

25

- Apel, E. C, Hills, A. J., Lueb, R., Zindel, S., Eisele, S., and Riemer, D. D.: A fast-GC/MS system to measure C₂ to C₄ carbonyls and methanol aboard aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8794, doi:10.1029/2002JD003199, 2003.
 - Atlas, E. L., Ridley, B. A., and Cantrell, C. A.: The Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) Experiment: Introduction, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8353, doi:8310.1029/2002JD003172, 2003.
 - Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I gas phase reactions of O_x, HO_x, NO_x and SO_x species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1461–1738, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.






- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and IUPAC Subcommittee: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II – gas phase reactions of organic species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3625–4055, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3625-2006, 2006.
- ⁵ Bacak, A., Bardwell, M. W., Raventós-Duran, M. T., Percival, C. J., Hamer, P. D., and Shallcross, D. E.: Kinetics of the CH₃O₂ + NO₂ reaction: A temperature and pressure dependence study using chemical ionisation mass spectrometry, Chem. Phys. Lett., 419, 125–129, 2006.
 - Bertram, T. H., Cohen, R. C., Thorn, W. J., and Chu, P. M.: Consistency of ozone and nitrogen oxides standards at tropospherically relevant mixing ratios, J. Air Waste Manage., 55, 1473–1479, 2005.
- Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 106, D19, 23073–23095, 2001.

10

- ¹⁵ Bradshaw, J., Davis, D., Crawford, J., Chen, G., Shetter, R., Müller, M., Gregory, G., Sachse, G., Blake, D., Heikes, B., Singh, H., Mastromarino, J., and Sandholm, S., Photofragmentation Two-Photon Laser-Induced Fluorescence Detection of NO₂ and NO: Comparison of Measurements with Model Results Based on Airborne Observations during PEM-Tropics A, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 4, 471–474, 1999.
- ²⁰ Cantrell, C. A., Edwards, G. D., Stephens, S., Mauldin, R. L., Sondlo, M. A., Kosciuch, D., Eisele, F. L., Shetter, R. E., Lefer, B. L., Hall, S., Flocke, F., Weinheimer, A., Fried, A., Apel, E., Kondo, Y., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Simpson, I. J., Bandy, A. R., Thornton, D. C., Heikes, B. G., Singh, H. B., Brune, W. H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Jacob, D. J., Avery, M. A., Barrick, J. D., Sachse, G. W., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., and Clarke, A. D.: Per-
- oxy radical behavior during the Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) campaign as measured aboard the NASA P-3B aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8797, doi:10.1029/2002JD002715, 2003a.
 - Cantrell, C. A., Mauldin, L., Zondlo, M., Eisele, F., Kosciuch, E., Shetter, R., Lefer, B., Hall, S., Campos, T., Ridley, B., Walega, J., Fried, A., Wert, B., Flocke, F., Weinheimer, A., Hannigan,
- J., Coffey, M., Atlas, E., Stephens, S., Heikes, B., Snow, J., Blake, D., Blake, N., Katzenstein, A., Lopez, J., Browell, E. V., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Seid, G., and Talbot, R.: Steady state free radical budgets and ozone photochemistry during TOPSE, J. Geophys. Res. 108, 8361, doi:10.1029/2002JD002198, 2003b.





Cantrell, C. A.: Technical Note: Review of methods for linear least-squares fitting of data and application to atmospheric chemistry problems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5477–5487, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5477-2008, 2008.

Cleary, P. A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Laser-induced fluorescence detection of

- atmospheric NO₂ with a commercial diode laser and a supersonic expansion, Appl. Optics, 41, 6950–6956, 2002.
 - Crawford, J., Davis, D., Chen, G., Bradshaw, J., Sandholm, S., Gregory, G., Sachse, G., Anderson, B., Collins, J., Blake, D., Singh, H., Heikes, B., Talbot, R., and Rodriguez, J.: Photostationary state analysis of the NO₂-NO system based on airborne observations from the western and central North Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 101, D1, 2053–2072, 1996.
 - Crounse, J., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 78, 6726–6732, 2006.


10

20

Crounse, J. D., DeCarlo, P. F., Blake, D. R., Emmons, L. K., Campos, T. L., Apel, E. C., Clarke,

- A. D., Weinheimer, A. J., McCabe, D. C., Yokelson, R. J., Jimenez, J. L., and Wennberg, P. O.: Biomass burning and urban air pollution over the Central Mexican Plateau, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4929–4944, doi:10.5194/acp-9-4929-2009, 2009.
 - Diskin, G. S., Podolske, J. R., Sachse, G. W., and Slate, T. A.: Open-Path Airborne Tunable Diode Laser Hygrometer, in: Diode Lasers and Applications in Atmospheric Sensing, edited by: Fried, A., SPIE Proceedings, 4817, 196–204, 2002.
 - Faloona, I. C., Tan, D., Lesher, R. L., Hazen, N. L., Frame, C. L., Simpas, J. B., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Di Carlo, P., Ren, X., and Brune, W. H.: A laser-induced fluorescence instrument for detecting tropospheric OH and HO₂: Characteristics and calibration, J. Atmos. Chem., 47, 139–167, 2004.
- ²⁵ Golden., D. M.: Evaluating data for atmospheric models, an example: $CH_3O_2 + NO_2 = CH_3O_2NO_2$, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 37, 10, 625–632, 2005.
 - Hudman, R. C., Jacob, D. J., Turquety, S., Leibensperger, E. M., Murray, L. T., Wu, S., Gilliland,A. B., Avery, M., Bertram, T. H., Brune, W., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Flocke, F. M., Fried,A., Holloway, J., Neuman, J. A., Orville, R., Perring, A., Ren, X., Sachse, G.W., Singh, H.
- B., Swanson, A., and Wooldridge, P. J.: Surface and lightning sources of nitrogen oxides over the United States: Magnitudes, chemical evolution, and outflow, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S05, doi:10.1029/2006JD007912, 2007.

Jacob, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Maring, H., Clarke, A. D., Dibb, J. E., Emmons, L. K., Ferrare, R.






A., Hostetler, C. A., Russell, P. B., Singh, H. B., Thompson, A. M., Shaw, G. E., McCauley, E., Pederson, J. R., and Fisher, J. A.: The Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) mission: design, execution, and first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5191–5212, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5191-2010, 2010.

- Kim, S., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R. E., Tanner, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Olson, J. R., Chen, G., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Lesher, R., Wooldridge, P. J., Bertram, T. H., Perring, A., Cohen, R. C., Lefer, B. L., Shetter, R. E., Avery, M., Diskin, G., and Sokolik, I.: Measurement of HO₂NO₂ in the free troposphere during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-North America 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007676, 2007.
- Mao, J., Jacob, D. J., Evans, M. J., Olson, J. R., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Clair, J. M. St., Crounse, J. D., Spencer, K. M., Beaver, M. R., Wennberg, P. O., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J. G., Hall, S. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Cohen, R. C., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., McNaughton, C., Clarke, A. D., Jaeglé, L., Fisher, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., Le Sager, P., and Carouge, C.: Chemistry of hydrogen oxide radicals (HO_x) in the Arctic troposphere in spring, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5823–5838, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010,
 - 2010. Martin, R. V., Sauvage, B., Folkins, I., Sioris, C. E., Boone, C., Bernath, P., and Ziemke, J.: Space-based constraints on the production of nitric oxide by lightning, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09309, doi:10.1029/2006.JD007831, 2007.
- ²⁰ Murphy, J. G., Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Day, D. A., Rosen, R. S., Cantrell, C., Shetter, R. E., Lefer, B., and Cohen, R. C.: Measurements of the sum of HO₂NO₂ and CH₃O₂NO₂ in the remote troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 377–384, doi:10.5194/acp-4-377-2004, 2004.

Nizkorodov, S. A., Crounse, J. D., Fry, J. L., Roehl, C. M., and Wennberg, P. O.: Near-IR photodissociation of peroxy acetyl nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 385–392, doi:10.5194/acp-5-

- ²⁵ todissociation of peroxy acetyl nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 385–392, doi:10.519 385-2005, 2005.
 - Ren, X., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Long, R. B., Chen, Z., Chen, G.,
 Avery, M. A., Sachse, G. W., Barrick J. D., Diskin, G. S., Huey, L. G., Fried, A., Cohen, R.
 C., Heikes, B., Wennberg, P. O., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., and Shetter, R. E.: HO_x chem-
- istry during INTEX-A 2004: Observation, model calculation, and comparison with previous studies, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05310, doi:10.1029/2007JD009166, 2008.
 - Sachse, G. W., Hill, G. F., Wade, L. O., and Perry, M. G.: Fast response, high precision carbon monoxide sensor using a tunable diode laser absorption technique, J. Geophys. Res., 92,






2071-2081, 1987.

20

- Sander, S. P., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Huie, R. E., Keller-Rudek, H., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Molina, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Orkin, V. L., Ravishankara A. R., and Wine, P. H.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies,
- Evaluation Number 15, JPL Publication 06-2, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, 2006. Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of nonaromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161–180, doi:10.5194/acp-3-161-2003, 2003.
- Shetter, R. E. and Müller, M.: Photolysis frequency measurements using actinic flux spectroradiometry during the PEM-Tropics Mission: Instrument description and some results, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 5647–5661, 1999.
 - Slusher, D. L., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Chen, G., Davis, D. D., Buhr, M., Nowak, J. B., Eisele, F. L., Kosciuch, E., Mauldin, R. L., Lefer, B. L., Shetter, R. E., and Dibb, J. E.: Measurements
- of pernitric acid at the South Pole during ISCAT 2000, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 21, 2011, doi:10.1029/2002GL015703, 2002.
 - Slusher, D. L., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Flocke, F. M., and Roberts, J. M.: A thermal dissociation-chemical ionization mass spectrometry (TD-CIMS) technique for the simultaneous measurement of peroxyacyl nitrates and dinitrogen pentoxide, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D19315, doi:10.1029/2004JD004670, 2004.
 - Spencer, K. M., McCabe, D. C., Crounse, J. D., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., Montzka, D. D., Cantrell, C. A., Hornbrook, R. S., Mauldin III, R. L., and Wennberg, P. O.: Inferring ozone production in an urban atmosphere using measurements of peroxynitric acid, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3697–3707, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3697-2009, 2009.
- St. Clair, J. M., McCabe, D. C., Crounse, J. D., Steiner, U., and Wennberg, P. O.: Chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometer for the in situ measurement of methyl hydrogen peroxide, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 81, 094102, doi:10.1063/1.3480552, 2010.
 - Thompson, A. M., Singh, H. B., Stewart, R. W., Kucsera, T. L., and Kondo, Y.: A Monte Carlo study of upper tropospheric reactive nitrogen during the Pacific Exploratory Mission in the
- Western Pacific Ocean (PEM-West B), J. Geophys. Res., 102, D23, 28437–28446, 1997.
 Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Atmospheric NO₂: In situ laser-induced fluorescence detection at parts per trillion mixing ratios, Anal. Chem., 72, 528–539, 2000.

Vaida, V.: Spectroscopy of Photoreactive Systens: Implications for Atmospheric Chemistry, J.





Phys. Chem. A, 113, 5–18, 2009.

5

- Weinheimer, A. J., Walega, J. G., Ridley, B. A., Gary, B. L., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Rowland, F. S., Sachse, G. W., Anderson, B. E., and Collins, J. E.: Meridional distributions of NO_x, NO_y, and other species in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere during AASE II, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 2583–2586, 1994.
- Wennberg, P. O., Salawitch, R. J., Donaldson, D. J., Hanisco, T. F., Lanzendorf, E. J., Perkins, K. K., Lloyd, S. A., Vaida, V., Gao, R. S., Hintsa, E. J., Cohen, R. C., Swartz, W. H., Kusterer, T. L., and Anderson, D. E.: Twilight observations suggest unknown sources of HO_x, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 1373–1376, 1999.
- ¹⁰ Wisthaler, A., Hansel, A., Dickerson, R. R., and Crutzen, P. J.: Organic trace gas measurements by PTR-MS during INDOEX 1999, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8024, doi:10.1029/2001JD000576, 2002.
 - Wooldridge, P. J., Perring, A. E., Bertram, T. H., Flocke, F. M., Roberts, J. M., Singh, H. B., Huey, L. G., Thornton, J. A., Wolfe, G. M., Murphy, J. G., Fry, J. L., Rollins, A. W., LaFranchi,
- B. W., and Cohen, R. C.: Total Peroxy Nitrates (ΣPNs) in the atmosphere: the Thermal Dissociation-Laser Induced Fluorescence (TD-LIF) technique and comparisons to speciated PAN measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 593–607, doi:10.5194/amt-3-593-2010, 2010.






Table 1. Measurements used in this analysis to constrain the photostationary state model. XNO_2 refers to the sum of NO_2 and the fraction of $CH_3O_2NO_2 + HO_2NO_2$ that thermally dissociate in the inlet of the LIF instrument.

Species	Method	Reference
NO, O ₃	Chemiluminescence	Weinheimer et al. (1994)
XNO ₂	LIF ^a	Thornton et al. (2000), Cleary et al. (2002)
Pernitric acid, peracetic acid,	CIMS ^b	Crounse et al. (2006, 2009)
methyl hydrogen peroxide		St. Clair et al. (2010)
Acetone	PTR-MS ^c	Wisthaler et al. (2002)
Acetaldehyde	GC-MS ^d	Apel et al. (2003)
Peroxyacetyl nitrate	CIMS ^b	Slusher et al. (2004), Kim et al. (2007)
CH ₄	TDLAS ^e	Sachse et al. (1987), Diskin et al. (2002)
OH, HO_2	LIF ^a CIMS ^b	Faloona et al. (2004), Cantrell et al. (2003a)
UV photolytic frequencies	Spectral radiometry	Shetter and Müller (1999)

^a Laser Induced Fluorescence

^b Chemical ionization mass spectrometry

^c Proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry

^d Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry

^e Tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy







Table 2. Reactions and rates used in the photostationary state model.

	Reaction	Rate Constant
1	$CH_4 + OH + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + H_2O$	$2.45 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(-1775/T)^{a}$
2	$CH_3C(O)O_2 + NO \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + CO_2 + NO_2$	$8.1 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(270/T)^{a}$
3	$CH_{3}C(O)CH_{3} + hv + 2O_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}C(O)O_{2} + CH_{3}O_{2}$	Measured
4	$CH_3C(O)O_2 + CH_3C(O)O_2 + 2O_2 \rightarrow 2CH_3O_2 + 2CO_2 + O_2$	$2.9 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(500/T)^{a}$
5	$CH_3OOH + OH \xrightarrow{70\%} CH_3O_2 + H_2O$	$3.8 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(200/T)^{a}$
6	$CH_3C(O)H + h\nu + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + HCO$	Measured
7	$CH_3O_2NO_2 + hv \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + NO_2$	Assumed to be equal to measured HO ₂ NO ₂ value
8	$CH_3O_2NO_2 + hv \rightarrow CH_3O + NO_3$	Assumed to be equal to measured HO ₂ NO ₂ value
9	$CH_3O_2 + NO \rightarrow CH_3O + NO_2$	$2.8 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(300/T)^{a}$
10	$CH_3O_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow Products$	$3.8 \times 10^{-13} \times \exp(780/T)^{b}$
11	$CH_3O_2 + CH_3C(O)O_2 \rightarrow Products$	$2.0 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(500/T)^{a}$
12	$CH_3O_2 + CH_3O_2 \rightarrow Products$	$9.5 \times 10^{-14} \times \exp(390/T)^{a}$
13	$CH_3O_2 + NO_2 + M \leftrightarrow CH_3O_2NO_2 + M$	Low Pressure Limit = $1.0 \times 10^{-30} \times (T/300)^{-4.8}$
		High Pressure Limit = $7.2 \times 10^{-12} \times (T/300)^{-2.1}$
		$\text{Keq} = 9.5 \times 10^{-29} \times \exp(11234/T)^{a}$
14	$CH_3C(O)O_2NO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow CH_3C(O)O_2 + NO_2$	Measured
15	$CH_3C(O)O_2NO_2 \leftrightarrow CH_3C(O)O_2 + NO_2$	Low Pressure Limit = $9.7 \times 10^{-29} \times (T/300)^{-5.6}$
		High Pressure Limit = $9.3 \times 10^{-12} \times (T/300)^{-1.5}$
		$\text{Keq} = 9.0 \times 10^{-29} \times \exp(14000/T)^{a}$
16	$CH_3C(O)OOH + OH \rightarrow CH_3C(O)O_2 + H_2O$	3.7×10^{-12c}
17	$CH_3C(O)H + OH + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)O_2 + H_2O$	$4.7 \times 10^{-12} \times \exp(345/T)^{b}$
18	$CH_3C(O)O_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow Products$	$5.2 \times 10^{-13} \times \exp(980/T)^{b}$

^a JPL 2006 (Sander et al., 2006)

^b Atkinson et al. (2006) (web version last updated 2009 http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/show_datasheets.php? category=gas-phase+organics%3a+hox+%2b+voc+%28c1-c3%29)

^c Master Chemical Mechanism v3.1 (Saunders et al., 2003)











Fig. 1. Methyl peroxy nitrate concentration inferred from observations. The black line represents temperature binned median values.



Printer-friendly Version










Fig. 3. Modeled CH₃O₂NO₂ concentrations over the North American Arctic as a function of temperature and season.



Printer-friendly Version







Fig. 4. Differences between the GEOS-CHEM base case and MPN case (((MPN-BASE)/BASE)*100) over the North American Arctic versus temperature for (a) NO_x , (b) ozone, (c) N_2O_5 , (d) HO_2NO_2 , (e) HNO_3 , and (f) methyl hydrogen peroxide.







Printer-friendly Version





Fig. 6. Differences between the GEOS-CHEM base case and MPN case (((MPN-BASE)/BASE)*100) over the tropics versus temperature for (a) NO_x , (b) ozone, (c) N_2O_5 , (d) HO_2NO_2 , (e) HNO_3 , and (f) methyl hydrogen peroxide. The individual points are the 24 h average value of 1–14 June 2008. The red line is the summer mean concentration. The regular temperature intervals at low temperatures are a result of decreasing vertical resolution in the model at increasing altitudes.











Fig. 7. Differences between the GEOS-CHEM base case and MPN case (((MPN-BASE)/BASE)*100) over the tropics versus temperature for **(a)** OH and **(b)** HO_2 . The individual points are the 24 h average value of 1–14 June 2008. The red line is the summer mean concentration. The regular temperature intervals at low temperatures are a result of decreasing vertical resolution in the model at increasing altitudes.











Printer-friendly Version