**Atmospheric
Chemistry
and Physics
Discussions**

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere from ACE and GEOS-Chem: a comparison study

G. González Abad[1], N. D. C. Allen[1], P. F. Bernath[1], C. D. Boone[2], S. D. McLeod[2], G. L. Manney[3,4], G. C. Toon[3], C. Carouge[5], Y. Wang[6], S. Wu[7], M. P. Barkley[8,9], P. I. Palmer[9], Y. Xiao[10], and T. M. Fu[11]

[1]Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, UK
[2]Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
[3]NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
[4]Department of Physics, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, New Mexico, USA
[5]Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

ACPD

11, 13099–13139, 2011

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

◄◄ | ►►

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

[6]Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
[7]Dept. of Geological and Mining Engineering and Sciences & Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton MI, USA
[8]EOS Group, College of Science and Engineering, University of Leicester, UK
[9]School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, UK
[10]Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc., Lexington, MA, USA
[11]Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, School of Physics, Peking University, China

Correspondence to: G. González Abad (gga500@york.ac.uk)

Title Page

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

|◄ | ►|

◄ | ►

| Back | Close |

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Abstract

Near global upper tropospheric concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), ethane (C_2H_6) and ethyne (C_2H_2) from ACE (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment) Fourier transform spectrometer on board the Canadian satellite SCISAT-1 are presented and compared with the output from the Chemical Transport Model (CTM) GEOS-Chem. The retrievals of ethane and ethyne from ACE have been improved for this paper by using new sets of microwindows compared with those for previous versions of ACE data. With the improved ethyne retrieval we have been able to produce a near global upper tropospheric distribution of C_2H_2 from space. Carbon monoxide, ethane and ethyne concentrations retrieved using ACE spectra show the expected seasonality linked to variations in the anthropogenic emissions and destruction rates as well as seasonal biomass burning activity. The GEOS-Chem model was run using the dicarbonyl chemistry suite, an extended chemical mechanism in which ethyne is treated explicitly. Seasonal cycles observed from satellite data are well reproduced by the model output, however the simulated CO concentrations are found to be systematically biased low over the Northern Hemisphere. An average negative global mean bias of 12% and 7% of the model relative to the satellite observations has been found for CO and C_2H_6 respectively and a positive global mean bias of 1% has been found for C_2H_2. ACE data are compared for validation purposes with MkIV spectrometer data and Global Tropospheric Experiment (GTE) TRACE-A campaign data showing good agreement with all of them.

1 Introduction

The Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) ethane (C_2H_6) and ethyne (C_2H_2) are two of the most important tropospheric organic trace gases. Ethane is the second most abundant hydrocarbon in the atmosphere and has a lifetime of approximately two months (Rudolph, 1995) whereas ethyne has a shorter lifetime estimated to be between two

and four weeks (Logan et al., 1981). The main sink for non methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) in the free troposphere are reactions with hydroxyl radical (OH). Ethane has a significant impact on air quality as it is a strong source of PAN (peroxyacetyl nitrate), a reservoir for nitrogen dioxide. PAN has a major effect on tropospheric ozone, which

5 is a strong greenhouse gas and also a toxic air pollutant (Rudolph, 1995). The main sources of ethane include biomass burning emissions, natural gas loss and biofuel consumption (Rudolph, 1995; Xiao et al., 2008). Ethyne's main sources include natural gas, biofuel combustion products and biomass burning emissions (Gupta et al., 1998; Logan et al., 1981; Rudolph, 1995; Xiao et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2002). Ethyne

10 may act as a precursor of Secondary Organic Aerosols (SOA) through the formation of glyoxal, a by-product from its oxidation by OH (Volkamer et al., 2009).

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a key gas tracer in the troposphere. Sources in the troposphere include oxidation of methane and other hydrocarbons, biomass burning, and anthropogenic emissions. Reaction with the hydroxyl radical is the main sink of CO.

15 Carbon monoxide's lifetime in the troposphere is about two months. Its reaction with OH has an impact on the tropospheric chemistry of ozone and other important greenhouse gases such as methane (Logan et al., 1981; Turquety et al., 2008).

All together these species are three of the most important NMVOCs (Non Methane Volatile Organic Compounds) in the free troposphere. They play a key role in ozone

20 chemistry through their reactions with OH, and therefore are important for air quality and human health.

Notholt et al., 1997; Rinsland et al., 2002 and Zhao et al., 2002 have all retrieved CO, C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 using ground based FTIRs (Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometers) from solar and lunar measurements. Carbon monoxide concentrations from space

25 have been obtained by many different instruments such as SCIAMACHY, MOPITT, and TES (Buchwitz et al., 2006; Deeter et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2007) that have a nadir viewing geometry and the ATMOS, MIPAS, SMR, ACE and MLS (Barret et al., 2006; Clerbaux et al., 2008; Funke et al., 2007; Rinsland et al., 2000; Pumphrey et al., 2007) instruments with a limb viewing geometry. Ethane concentrations have been

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

|◄ | ►|

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

retrieved previously by MIPAS and ACE (Glatthor et al., 2009; Rinsland et al., 2005) from enhanced concentrations in biomass burning plumes. So far, ethyne has been retrieved extensively from space by ACE, for example as reported by Park et al., 2008 in the Asian monsoon anticyclone and by MIPAS (Glatthor et al., 2007; Parker et al., 2010) some more limited retrievals were made previously by ATMOS for both ethane and ethyne (Irion et al., 2002).

Concentrations of these molecules have been retrieved using data from the ACE Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS) and compared with aircraft data from the GTE (Global Tropospheric Experiment) TRACE-A (Transport and Atmospheric Chemistry near the Equator-Atlantic) field mission (Blake et al., 1996; Chatfield et al., 1998; Fishman et al., 1996) and from the MkIV balloon-borne FTIR flights near Fort Sumner (Toon, 1991). A detailed discussion of these comparisons will show that ACE retrieved concentrations are in good agreement with correlative measurements.

In addition, we compare the ACE measurements with carbon monoxide, ethane and ethyne concentrations simulated by the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (Bey et al., 2001). In these comparisons seasonal variations and hemispheric asymmetries observed with ACE data are reproduced with good accuracy by the model output. The structure of the paper is as follows. Section two includes a description of the ACE-FTS and the retrieval process for carbon monoxide, ethane and ethyne as well as the data subset used in the paper. We will analyze the errors in the retrieval process for ethane and ethyne. The ACE CO product has been validated extensively so we simply cite the previous work (Clerbaux et al., 2008). In section three the retrieved ACE concentrations will be compared with TRACE-A and MkIV data. The fourth section is focused on the GEOS-Chem model in which the set up of the model used in this paper is explained and discussed. In section five the data from ACE and GEOS-Chem are analyzed, discussed and compared; the final section is a conclusion.

2 ACE-FTS measurements and data

The ACE-FTS (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment – Fourier Transform Spectrometer) is the main instrument on board the Canadian satellite SCISAT-1 launched by NASA in August 2003 (see http://www.ac.uwaterloo.ca/). Working primarily in solar occultation with a resolution of $0.02\,cm^{-1}$ in the 2.2 to $13.3\,\mu m$ (750–$4400\,cm^{-1}$) spectral range, the instrument provides altitude profile information for temperature, pressure and volume mixing ratios (VMRs) for numerous molecules of atmospheric interest between $85^\circ\,N$ and $85^\circ\,S$ (Bernath et al., 2005).

The CO retrievals reported in this paper are the standard version 2.2 set of the ACE–FTS retrievals (Boone et al., 2005) using the same linelist that was used by Clerbaux et al., 2008. We use the first overtone of CO when the fundamental lines become saturated allowing us to retrieve CO concentrations down to 5 km.

The C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 are a research version based on the same global-fit coupled with a Lenvenberg-Marquardt non linear least-square algorithm used in the version 2.2 of the ACE–FTS data (Boone et al., 2005). Pressure and temperatures used in the retrieval are from version 2.2. C_2H_6 retrievals use the $^PQ_3$ branch in the ν_7 band located around $3\,\mu m$. A $2\,cm^{-1}$ microwindow centred at $2976.5\,cm^{-1}$ has been used. The retrieval altitude range is between 5 and 20 km. Interferers in this microwindow are H_2O, $H^{18}OH$, O_3, CH_4 and CH_3D with VMR profiles retrieved for each of these isotopologues. Figure 1 shows, as an example, synthetic spectra calculated with the forward model, the observed spectra and the residuals with and without ethane included in the forward model. The reduction of the residuals when ethane is included in the forward model shows the viability of the retrieval in this microwindow.

C_2H_2 retrievals use the ν_3 and $\nu_2 + \nu_4 + \nu_5$ bands in the $3\,\mu m$ region. A complete list of the fourteen microwindows used for its retrieval is reported in Table 1. As stated above this selection of microwindows improves the retrieval in comparison with previous versions of the ACE ethyne product. The new ACE version 3.0 ethyne product makes use of these microwindows. The retrieval altitude range extends between 5

and 15 km. Interferers for the retrieval of ethyne are H_2O, $H^{18}OH$, $H^{17}OH$, N_2O, CO_2, $C^{13}OO$, $C^{18}OO$, O_3 and HCN. The spectroscopic data used for the retrieval of all three molecules is in the HITRAN 2004 database (Rothman et al., 2005). The errors associated with the CO retrievals have been reported previously to be smaller than 2% (Clerbaux et al., 2005). The errors for C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 in a typical VMR profile are dominated by statistical errors. For ethane the errors are usually between 30% in tropical regions and improve to 20% towards the poles. Ethyne errors are less than 25% near the North Pole and less than 30% near the South Pole increasing towards the equator and with altitude. A more detailed analysis of the retrieval error for these two molecules is to follow in Sect. 2.1.

About 11 000 occultations recorded between January 2004 and February 2007 have been used in this study. We have used the DMPs (Derived Meteorological Products) (Manney et al., 2007) to filter out data within or at the edge of the polar vortex (Nassar et al., 2005). 8200 occultations remain for the study after applying the polar vortex filter. The inclination of the SCISAT-1 orbit (74° to the equator) (Bernath, 2006) provides a large number of occultations at high latitudes therefore we decided to discard the occultations perturbed by the descent of the cold, isolated air in the polar vortex. The locations of the occultations used are plotted in Fig. 2. Note that there is an uneven distribution of data, with many occultations available near the poles and just a few hundred in tropical regions.

2.1 C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 retrieval error analysis

Error analysis of VMRs retrieved by ACE-FTS has to consider two components: the statistical or random error and the systematic error. The statistical part of the error corresponds to the error provided by the fitting algorithm (Boone et al., 2005). The 1σ error for the state variable x_j in a Levenberg-Marquardt fitting algorithm is:

$$\sigma_m(x_j) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_y^{-1} \mathbf{K})_{jj}^{-1}} \tag{1}$$

13105

where **K** is the Jacobian of the forward model and $\mathbf{S}_y$ is the covariance matrix of the measurements, assumed to be diagonal. This error, often called measurement noise is plotted in Figs. 3 and 4 in orange for the average of 25 representative occultations selected for the error analysis.

5   Analysis of systematic errors needs to be considered to quantify the effect of the variability of important parameters in the retrieval algorithm. Systematic errors are caused by the uncertainty of relevant parameters (b_j) in the retrieval process. The effects of these uncertainties in the retrieved VMRs (Δx_j) have been quantified using Eq. (2):

10 $$\Delta x_j = |\, x\,(b_j) - x\,(b_j + \Delta b_j)\,| \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (2)$$

where $x\,(b_j)$ is the standard retrieved VMR and $x\,(b_j + \Delta b_j)$ is the retrieved VMR after perturbation of the relevant parameter (b_j) in the retrieval process. The relevant parameters have been perturbed by 1σ of its assumed uncertainty, one at a time while keeping the others unchanged. The relevant parameters considered and their 1σ un-
15 certainties are: temperature (2 K), tangent height (150 m), instrumental line shape (5% of field of view) (Dufour et al., 2009), mixing ratio uncertainties of the main interferers, 10% for H_2O, 1% for CO_2, 5% for O_3 and 25% for N_2O (McHugh et al., 2005) and spectroscopic data uncertainties assumed to be 5% for C_2H_2 (Rothman et al., 2005) and 10% for C_2H_6. A restricted subset of 25 occultations covering all latitudes and seasons
20 has been selected for this analysis.

Figures 3 and 4 summarize the impact of the different parameters on the quality of the retrieval. In general the perturbation of these parameters has a relatively small impact on the retrieved VMRs of ethane and ethyne with changes less than 5% for all of them. Only the perturbation of the tangent height causes a change in the retrieved
25 VMRs which is big enough to change the effective error, at altitudes above 9 km in the case of C_2H_6 and over the full range of altitudes for C_2H_2. The effective error for C_2H_6 is between 20% and 30% over the 6 km to 12 km altitude range increasing to 40% for altitudes between 12 km and 14 km. At higher altitudes the random error

increases sharply due to the low atmospheric concentrations of ethane near the limits of the retrieval. For C_2H_2 the effective error is slightly larger than for C_2H_6. Ethyne errors at altitudes below 10 km are less than 30% and increase to values between 40% and 50% for the altitude range between 10 km and 14 km. This error analysis shows that the VMRs errors are mainly dominated by the random component of the error with a small systematic error component caused mainly by uncertainties in the knowledge of the tangent height. Errors for single profiles are generally less than 30% in the troposphere for both molecules.

3 Balloon and aircraft comparisons

We have compared our retrieved concentrations with those obtained by a similar instrument, the MkIV FTS, and with concentrations obtained with in situ instruments deployed on the DC-8 aircraft in the TRACE-A field campaign. The MkIV-FTS records solar occultation spectra from a high altitude balloon in the 650–5640 cm^{-1} spectral range with a spectral resolution of 0.01 cm^{-1} (Toon, 1991). MkIV data obtained in five flights carried out from Ft. Sumner, New Mexico (34.4° N, 104.2° W) during September 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2007 have been compared with the ACE–FTS retrievals. These flights took place during the autumn turn-around period when the stratospheric winds are light enough to permit long duration (15–30 h) flights. The VMR retrievals extend from the cloud tops up to the float altitude (typically 38 km) with 2–3 km vertical resolution. The MkIV retrieval used the JPL retrieval code GFIT (Sen et al., 1998). Figure 5 shows the result of this comparison between MkIV–FTS and ACE–FTS retrievals. Only ACE occultations within the same time period of the year (September, October, November) and within latitudes and longitudes similar to Ft. Sumner have been used to carry out these comparisons. Very few ACE occultations are near New Mexico and for this reason an average of occultations from the continental USA (30° N–54° N and 85° W–115° W) for the fall season has been used. This comparison shows most of the MkIV-FTS profiles are within one standard deviation of the ACE–FTS average profile for all three molecules.

The TRACE-A field campaign aircraft flights took place between 21 September and 24 October 1992. The flights were located around 12° S and between 40° E and 70° W (Blake et al., 1996; Fishman et al., 1996) and only ACE–FTS occultations situated near this location and occurring in the same season have been used to compare with the aircraft data. Figure 6 shows carbon monoxide, ethane and ethyne concentrations from the TRACE-A measurements and the ACE–FTS. Values from satellite and aircraft are in good agreement with aircraft concentrations falling within one standard deviation of the average satellite values.

The MkIV and TRACE-A correlative comparisons show that the concentrations retrieved using ACE recorded spectra are generally reliable in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere region.

4 GEOS-Chem

GEOS-Chem version v8-02-03 (http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/) is a global 3-D chemical transport model able to simulate trace gases and aerosol distributions in the troposphere (Bey et al., 2001). The model is driven by assimilated meteorological observations from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of the NASA Global Modelling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). We have used here the GMAO GEOS-5 operational meteorology data. GEOS-5 meteorological fields have a temporal resolution of 6 h (3 h for surface variables), a horizontal resolution of 0.5° latitude by 0.667° longitude and a vertical resolution of 72 eta hybrid levels extending from the surface up to 0.01 hPa. The resolution of these meteorological data is degraded for model input to a horizontal resolution of 4° latitude by 5° longitude and with vertical resolution reduced to 47 eta levels by lumping levels above ~80 hPa.

For this paper we need the model predictions for ethyne, ethane and carbon monoxide with the appropriate spatial and temporal resolution. Twenty four hour average concentrations have been considered in this paper given the lifetimes of the molecules. Since the satellite data have a very uneven geographical and temporal distribution only

model data coincident in time, average of a given day, and space with each ACE occultation has been used. The GEOS-Chem data have been interpolated to the satellite altitude grid of 1 km resolution.

The GEOS-Chem chemical mechanism used in this study is the standard NOx-Ox-Hydrocarbon-Aerosol simulation (Horowitz et al., 1998; Martin et al., 2003) with the dicarbonyls chemistry extension (Fu et al., 2008) in order to include explicit ethyne chemistry and updated VOCs including aromatics and dicarbonyls. The main sink for ethane is oxidation with OH. Another minor ethane sink included in the model is oxidation by NO_3. Ethyne oxidation with OH is the only sink included in the model for this species.

Carbon monoxide and ethane emissions include anthropogenic emissions based on the Global Emission Inventory Activity (GEIA) (Wang et al., 1998) for ethane and on the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) for carbon monoxide. Scaling factors have been used to scale the emissions to present-day values. Updates with regional inventories are used where available: The European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) for Europe (Auvray and Bey, 2005) designed for the year 2005, the Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility Observational (BRAVO) Study Emissions Inventory for Mexico (Kuhns et al., 2005) designed for the year 1999, the Streets inventory for South East Asia (Zhang et al., 2009) with base years 2000, 2004 and 2006, the Criteria Air Contaminant inventory (CAC) over Canada with 2002 and 2005 base years and the Environmental Protection Agency/National Emission Inventory (EPA/NEI) (Hudman et al., 2008) for USA with base years 1999 and 2004. Biofuel emissions of CO and C_2H_6 are included in the model (Yevich and Logan, 2003) as well as biomass burning emissions from the monthly data of the Global Fire Emission Database version 2 (GFEDv2) (Giglio et al., 2006). For C_2H_2 biomass burning emissions, anthropogenic emissions and biofuel emissions the model uses the approach followed by Xiao et al., 2007 based on emission factors of ethyne relative to CO. Using this set up for the emissions the following total global budgets are produced by GEOS-Chem. For carbon monoxide for the year 2005 the total emission budget

is 1178 Tg with 340 Tg contribution of anthropogenic origin, 173 Tg from biofuel emissions, 610 Tg due to biomass burning and 53 Tg from monoterpene oxidation. The ethane budget for 2005 is 12 Tg C with 7.5 Tg having an anthropogenic origin, 2 Tg C from biofuel and 2.5 Tg from biomass burning. Finally the ethyne budget is 5 Tg C with 1.25 Tg C having an anthropogenic origin, 2.75 Tg C produced due to the usage of biofuel and 1.25 Tg C coming from biomass burning. Figs. S1, S2 and S3 (Supplement) show a global map with the total emissions for each molecule.

We have run the model between February 2004 and February 2007, the period of time for which ACE–FTS data was available for this study. The model was spun-up with a 3 month run from November 2003 before restarting it in February 2004.

5 ACE – FTS and GEOS-chem data analysis and comparison

Figure 7 shows ACE data available at 7.5 km altitude for all three molecules during the period between February 2004 and February 2007. The hotspots are due to biomass burning plumes and high anthropogenic emissions. Concentrations as high as 250 ppb for CO, 2.50 ppb for C_2H_6 and 0.5 ppb for C_2H_2 are observed at 6.5 km, which is usually the lowest altitude retrieved. However, a number of occultations have retrievals at 5.5 km. The average profiles obtained for the entire period of study are available in supplementary Tables S1, S2 and S3 (CO, C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 respectively) and altitude-latitude cross sections are shown in Fig. S4 (Supplement). The hemispherical asymmetry of concentrations in the middle and upper troposphere with higher values in the Northern Hemisphere is observed in this figure for all three molecules.

Only GEOS-Chem data that has been sampled to the same times and locations as the ACE–FTS occultations has been used to make a meaningful comparison between the model output and the satellite retrievals. The horizontal resolution used for the model is 4° latitude by 5° longitude; the grid is roughly 330 km by 640 km. This allows us to compare it with the 500 km horizontal resolution ACE data (Sica et al., 2008). ACE data above the tropopause have been discarded for the comparison between

the model and the satellite using the information available in the DMPs (Manney et al., 2007). After the collocation the data have been averaged in 10° latitude bins and into temporal seasons for the period February 2004 to February 2007. December-January-February 2004–2005 (DJF0405), March-April-May 2005 (MAM05), June-July-August 2005 (JJA05) and September-October-November 2005 (SON05) are selected to carry out a more detailed study due to the substantial amount of ACE data available during 2005.

Figure 8 shows the altitude-latitude cross sections for CO. We clearly see the hemispherical asymmetry and the seasonal variation of CO concentrations in the upper and middle troposphere linked mainly to anthropogenic emissions and biomass burning seasons over South America, Africa and boreal regions. High concentrations of CO in the Southern Hemisphere (SON season) are linked to biomass burning episodes. This is the strongest feature for CO in Southern latitudes and the model underestimates it, simulating concentrations around 17% lower that the satellite retrieved concentrations. In the Northern Hemisphere the biomass emissions do not have such a strong impact on tropospheric CO concentrations and anthropogenic emissions are dominant. The seasonal variation is therefore not as strong as in the Southern Hemisphere and this can be observed clearly in the ACE data (Clerbaux et al., 2008). Although GEOS-Chem is able to capture this feature, it underestimates CO concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere (Kopacz et al., 2010) by a 15%. The hemispheric asymmetry is also well represented by the model.

Ethane altitude-latitude cross sections are presented in Fig. 9. Once again the seasonal variation and the hemispheric asymmetry are well simulated by the model. Human activity is the main reason for the hemispheric asymmetry and biomass burning emissions contribute strongly to the seasonal variation in both Hemispheres. The first results obtained for ethane from the model showed an unrealistic high positive bias in the Southern Hemisphere, which led us to fix a small bug in the C_2H_6 emissions over Africa. Emission factors were unrealistically large over Namibia leading to anomalously high emissions. It was estimated that the emissions were two orders of magnitude

bigger than what they should be. Therefore to give the ethane model output a more reasonable value, emissions were reduced by 99%. After this modification the model does well in the Southern Hemisphere where the effects of the bug in the emissions over Africa were dramatic. A more detailed comparison between the model and the ACE data is given below.

Figure 10 shows a near global middle to upper tropospheric ethyne concentration distribution observed from space. Due to ethyne's low concentrations the signature associated with it is sometimes weak in the ACE spectra, and fewer measurements are available for it than for CO and C_2H_6. These altitude-latitude cross sections of C_2H_2 show the expected features: hemispheric asymmetry with higher ethyne concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere, obviously linked to human activities and the use of biofuels, and the superimposed seasonal variation linked to emissions from fires and biomass burning episodes. High pollution episodes were also observed from the satellite but it is difficult to separate them from biomass burning plumes. Elevated upper troposphere concentrations from biomass burning emissions are easier to observe in the Southern Hemisphere where background concentrations are lower.

For a more detailed analysis of the model performance the data has been split into four geographical regions of particular interest (shown in Fig. 11). These regions are: North America (formed by two rectangular areas 170° W, 40° W, 80° N, 50° N and 130° W, 40° W, 20° N, 50° N), Eurasia (20° W, 160° E, 80° N, 50° N), Southern Hemisphere (180° W, 180° E, 0° S, 40° S) and Antarctica (180° W, 180° E, 50° S, 90° S). In Figs. 12, 13 and 14 time series of average ACE concentrations at 8.5 km (representative of the upper troposphere) have been plotted alongside the GEOS-Chem concentrations sampled in the same way as the satellite data. Figure 12 presents the results for CO, Fig. 13 for C_2H_6 and Fig. 14 for C_2H_2 respectively. These three plots illustrate the seasonal variation for all three molecules as well as the hemispheric asymmetry in their concentrations fairly well. For these selected regions the mean bias (*bias*), the mean absolute bias (|*bias*|) and the correlation coefficient between satellite and model data have been calculated as:

$$bias = \frac{meanVMRmodel - meanVMRsatellite}{meanVMRsatellite} \times 100 \qquad (3)$$

$$|bias| = \frac{1/n \sum_i |VMRmodel_i - VMRsatellite_i|}{meanVMRsatellite} \times 100 \qquad (4)$$

This statistical analysis is summarized in Table 2 for CO, Table 3 for C_2H_6 and Table 4 for C_2H_2. The CO mean bias between ACE and GEOS-Chem is less than 30 percent for all the regions and seasons. Only North America and Eurasia JJA05 and Antarctica DJF0405 have greater mean biases. In general the model underestimates the CO concentration in the Northern Hemisphere while overestimating it in the Southern Hemisphere and the mean bias is always negative over North America and Eurasia but is always less than 40%. For the case of the C_2H_6 the mean bias is smaller than 35% in all regions for all seasons and only in the Antarctic region does the model significantly overestimate the concentrations. As discussed above, the C_2H_6 emissions were modified in the model after finding a problem in the Southern regions of Africa; a more detailed study is needed to assess and improve the model performance in the polar latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. Finally the analysis of C_2H_2 shows a high mean bias in North America for the DJF0405 season and in Antarctica for the MAM05 and JJA05 seasons. In general the seasonal variation of ethyne at 8.5 km is small according to the ACE data, while the model predicts a greater seasonal variation, linked to the emissions especially in the Northern Hemisphere.

6 Conclusions

The near global tropospheric distributions of CO, C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 have been presented using data from the ACE satellite. Approximately 8200 extravortex profiles were used in this study covering the period between February 2004 and September 2007. It has been possible to observe seasonal variations, and to relate these variations with the main sources of these trace gases: biomass burning and anthropogenic emissions.

Values up to 250 ppb have been observed for individual profiles of CO up to 2.5 ppb for ethane and up to 0.5 ppb for ethyne that are likely associated with biomass burning plumes or strong pollution events. The GEOS-Chem model has been run with the dicarbonyls extended chemistry and has been compared with the ACE-FTS observations. Agreement between the model and the satellite data is good having mean bias values smaller than 40% for all three molecules in all regions and seasons at 8.5 km in altitude. The mean bias of the model relative to the observations for all data at 8.5 km is -12% for CO, -7% for C_2H_6 and 1% for C_2H_2. The hemispheric asymmetry and seasonal variation observed for all three molecule concentrations has been linked to changes in anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions. The ACE data have been compared with similar measurements made by the balloon-borne MkIV-FTS and with aircraft data obtained in the GTE TRACE-A campaign showing that the ACE retrievals are reliable in the upper troposphere lower stratosphere region.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at:
http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/11/13099/2011/
acpd-11-13099-2011-supplement.pdf.

References

Auvray, M. and Bey, I.: Long-range transport to Europe: Seasonal variations and implications for the European ozone budget, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D11303, doi:10.1029/2004JD005503, 2005.

Barret, B., Ricaud, P., Santee, M. L., Attie, J. L., Urban, J., Le Flochmoen, E., Berthet, G., Murtagh, D., Eriksson, P., Jones, A., de la Noe, J., Dupuy, E., Froidevaux, L., Livesey, N. J., Waters, J. W., and Filipiak, M. J.: Intercomparisons of trace gases profiles from the Odin/SMR and Aura/MLS limb sounders, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D21302, doi:10.1029/2006jd007305, 2006.

Bernath, P. F.: Atmospheric chemistry experiment (ACE): Analytical chemistry from orbit, TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem., 25, 647–654, doi:10.1016/j.trac.2006.05.001, 2006.

Bernath, P. F., McElroy, C. T., Abrams, M. C., Boone, C. D., Butler, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., Colin, R., DeCola, P., DeMaziere, M., Drummond, J. R., Dufour, D., Evans, W. F. J., Fast, H., Fussen, D., Gilbert, K., Jennings, D. E., Llewellyn, E. J., Lowe, R. P., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J. C., McHugh, M., McLeod, S. D., Michaud, R., Midwinter, C., Nassar, R., Nichitiu, F., Nowlan, C., Rinsland, C. P., Rochon, Y. J., Rowlands, N., Semeniuk, K., Simon, P., Skelton, R., Sloan, J. J., Soucy, M. A., Strong, K., Tremblay, P., Turnbull, D., Walker, K. A., Walkty, I., Wardle, D. A., Wehrle, V., Zander, R., and Zou, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE): Mission overview, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S01, doi:10.1029/2005gl022386, 2005.

Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q. B., Liu, H. G. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 23073–23095, doi:10.1029/2001JD000807, 2001.

Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Sive, B. C., Chen, T. Y., Rowland, F. S., Collins, J. E., Sachse, G. W., and Anderson, B. E.: Biomass burning emissions and vertical distribution of atmospheric methyl halides and other reduced carbon gases in the South Atlantic region, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 101, 24151–24164, doi:10.1029/96JD00561, 1996.

Boone, C. D., Nassar, R., Walker, K. A., Rochon, Y., McLeod, S. D., Rinsland, C. P., and Bernath, P. F.: Retrievals for the atmospheric chemistry experiment Fourier-transform spectrometer, Appl. Opt., 44, 7218–7231, doi:10.1364/AO.44.007218, 2005.

Buchwitz, M., de Beek, R., Noël, S., Burrows, J. P., Bovensmann, H., Schneising, O., Khlystova,

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

◄ | ►

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

I., Bruns, M., Bremer, H., Bergamaschi, P., Körner, S., and Heimann, M.: Atmospheric carbon gases retrieved from SCIAMACHY by WFM-DOAS: version 0.5 CO and CH_4 and impact of calibration improvements on CO_2 retrieval, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2727–2751, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2727-2006, 2006.

5   Chatfield, R. B., Vastano, J. A., Li, L., Sachse, G. W., and Connors, V. S.: The Great African plume from biomass burning: Generalizations from a three-dimensional study of TRACE A carbon monoxide, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 28059–28077, doi:10.1029/97JD03363, 1998.

Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., Hurtmans, D., Barret, B., Carleer, M., Colin, R., Seme-
10   niuk, K., McConnell, J. C., Boone, C., and Bernath, P.: Carbon monoxide distribution from the ACE-FTS solar occultation measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L16S01, doi:10.1029/2005gl022394, 2005.

Clerbaux, C., George, M., Turquety, S., Walker, K. A., Barret, B., Bernath, P., Boone, C., Bors-dorff, T., Cammas, J. P., Catoire, V., Coffey, M., Coheur, P.-F., Deeter, M., De Mazière, M.,
15   Drummond, J., Duchatelet, P., Dupuy, E., de Zafra, R., Eddounia, F., Edwards, D. P., Em-mons, L., Funke, B., Gille, J., Griffith, D. W. T., Hannigan, J., Hase, F., Höpfner, M., Jones, N., Kagawa, A., Kasai, Y., Kramer, I., Le Flochmoën, E., Livesey, N. J., López-Puertas, M., Luo, M., Mahieu, E., Murtagh, D., Nédélec, P., Pazmino, A., Pumphrey, H., Ricaud, P., Rinsland, C. P., Robert, C., Schneider, M., Senten, C., Stiller, G., Strandberg, A., Strong, K., Sussmann,
20   R., Thouret, V., Urban, J., and Wiacek, A.: CO measurements from the ACE-FTS satel-lite instrument: data analysis and validation using ground-based, airborne and spaceborne observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2569–2594, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2569-2008, 2008.

Deeter, M. N., Emmons, L. K., Francis, G. L., Edwards, D. P., Gille, J. C., Warner, J. X., Khattatov, B., Ziskin, D., Lamarque, J. F., Ho, S. P., Yudin, V., Attie, J. L., Packman, D.,
25   Chen, J., Mao, D., and Drummond, J. R.: Operational carbon monoxide retrieval algo-rithm and selected results for the MOPITT instrument, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4399, doi:10.1029/2002jd003186, 2003.

Dufour, G., Szopa, S., Barkley, M. P., Boone, C. D., Perrin, A., Palmer, P. I., and Bernath, P. F.: Global upper-tropospheric formaldehyde: seasonal cycles observed by the ACE-FTS satel-
30   lite instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3893–3910, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3893-2009, 2009.

Fishman, J., Hoell, J. M., Bendura, R. D., McNeil, R. J., and Kirchhoff, V.: NASA GTE TRACE A experiment (September October 1992): Overview, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 101, 23865–23879, doi:10.1029/96JD00123, 1996.

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Fu, T. M., Jacob, D. J., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Vrekoussis, M., and Henze, D. K.: Global budgets of atmospheric glyoxal and methylglyoxal, and implications for formation of secondary organic aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D15303, doi:10.1029/2007jd009505, 2008.

Funke, B., Lopez-Puertas, M., Bermejo-Pantaleon, D., von Clarmann, T., Stiller, G. P., Hopfner, M., Grabowski, U., and Kaufmann, M.: Analysis of nonlocal thermodynamic equilibrium CO 4.7 μm fundamental, isotopic, and hot band emissions measured by the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding on Envisat, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D11305, doi:10.1029/2006jd007933, 2007.

Giglio, L., van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., and Kasibhatla, P.: Global estimation of burned area using MODIS active fire observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 957–974, doi:10.5194/acp-6-957-2006, 2006.

Glatthor, N., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Milz, M., Steck, T., and Stiller, G. P.: Global peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) retrieval in the upper troposphere from limb emission spectra of the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2775–2787, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2775-2007, 2007.

Glatthor, N., von Clarmann, T., Stiller, G. P., Funke, B., Koukouli, M. E., Fischer, H., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., and Linden, A.: Large-scale upper tropospheric pollution observed by MIPAS HCN and C_2H_6 global distributions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9619–9634, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9619-2009, 2009.

Gupta, M. L., Cicerone, R. J., Blake, D. R., Rowland, F. S., and Isaksen, I. S. A.: Global atmospheric distributions and source strengths of light hydrocarbons and tetrachloroethene, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 28219–28235, doi:10.1029/98JD02645, 1998.

Horowitz, L. W., Liang, J. Y., Gardner, G. M., and Jacob, D. J.: Export of reactive nitrogen from North America during summertime: Sensitivity to hydrocarbon chemistry, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 13451–13476, doi:10.1029/97JD03142, 1998.

Hudman, R. C., Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Millet, D. B., Turquety, S., Wu, S., Blake, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., Holloway, J., and Sachse, G. W.: Biogenic versus anthropogenic sources of CO in the United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L04801, doi:10.1029/2007gl032393, 2008.

Irion, F. W., Gunson, M. R., Toon, G. C., Chang, A. Y., Eldering, A., Mahieu, E., Manney, G. L., Michelsen, H. A., Moyer, E. J., Newchurch, M. J., Osterman, G. B., Rinsland, C. P., Salawitch, R. J., Sen, B., Yung, Y. L., and Zander, R.: Atmospheric Trace Molecule

Spectroscopy (ATMOS) experiment version 3 data retrievals, Appl. Opt., 41, 6968–6979, doi:10.1364/AO.41.006968, 2002.

Kopacz, M., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Logan, J. A., Zhang, L., Megretskaia, I. A., Yantosca, R. M., Singh, K., Henze, D. K., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Khlystova, I., McMillan, W. W., Gille, J. C., Edwards, D. P., Eldering, A., Thouret, V., and Nedelec, P.: Global esti- mates of CO sources with high resolution by adjoint inversion of multiple satellite datasets (MOPITT, AIRS, SCIAMACHY, TES), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 855–876, doi:10.5194/acp- 10-855-2010, 2010.

Kuhns, H., Knipping, E. M., and Vukovich, J. M.: Development of a United States-Mexico emissions inventory for the Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility Observational (BRAVO) Study, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 55, 677–692, 2005.

Logan, J. A., Prather, M. J., Wofsy, S. C., and McElroy, M. B.: TROPOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY - A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE, J. Geophys. Res.-Oc. Atm., 86, 7210–7254, doi:10.1029/JC086iC08p07210, 1981.

Luo, M., Rinsland, C. P., Rodgers, C. D., Logan, J. A., Worden, H., Kulawik, S., Eldering, A., Goldman, A., Shephard, M. W., Gunson, M., and Lampel, M.: Comparison of carbon monox- ide measurements by TES and MOPITT: Influence of a priori data and instrument charac- teristics on nadir atmospheric species retrievals, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D09303, doi:10.1029/2006jd007663, 2007.

Manney, G. L., Daffer, W. H., Zawodny, J. M., Bernath, P. F., Hoppel, K. W., Walker, K. A., Knosp, B. W., Boone, C., Remsberg, E. E., Santee, M. L., Harvey, V. L., Pawson, S., Jackson, D. R., Deaver, L., McElroy, C. T., McLinden, C. A., Drummond, J. R., Pumphrey, H. C., Lambert, A., Schwartz, M. J., Froidevaux, L., McLeod, S., Takacs, L. L., Suarez, M. J., Trepte, C. R., Cuddy, D. C., Livesey, N. J., Harwood, R. S., and Waters, J. W.: Solar occultation satellite data and derived meteorological products: Sampling issues and comparisons with Aura Mi- crowave Limb Sounder, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D24S50, doi:10.1029/2007jd008709, 2007.

Martin, R. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Chin, M., and Ginoux, P.: Global and regional de- creases in tropospheric oxidants from photochemical effects of aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 108, 4097, doi:10.1029/2002jd002622, 2003.

McHugh, M., Magill, B., Walker, K. A., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., and Russell, J. M.: Com- parison of atmospheric retrievals from ACE and HALOE, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S10, doi:10.1029/2005gl022403, 2005.

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Nassar, R., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Manney, G. L., McLeod, S. D., Rinsland, C. P., Skelton, R., and Walker, K. A.: ACE-FTS measurements across the edge of the winter 2004 Arctic vortex, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S05, doi:10.1029/2005gl022671, 2005.

Notholt, J., Toon, G., Stordal, F., Solberg, S., Schmidbauer, N., Becker, E., Meier, A., and Sen, B.: Seasonal variations of atmospheric trace gases in the high Arctic at 79 degrees N, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102, 12855–12861, doi:10.1029/97JD00337, 1997.

Park, M., Randel, W. J., Emmons, L. K., Bernath, P. F., Walker, K. A., and Boone, C. D.: Chemical isolation in the Asian monsoon anticyclone observed in Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE-FTS) data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 757–764, doi:10.5194/acp-8-757-2008, 2008.

Parker, R. J., Remedios, J. J., Moore, D. P., and Kanawade, V. P.: Acetylene C_2H_2 retrievals from MIPAS data and regions of enhanced upper tropospheric concentrations in August 2003, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 29735–29771, doi:10.5194/acpd-10-29735-2010, 2010.

Pumphrey, H. C., Filipiak, M. J., Livesey, N. J., Schwartz, M. J., Boone, C., Walker, K. A., Bernath, P., Ricaud, P., Barret, B., Clerbaux, C., Jarnot, R. F., Manney, G. L., and Waters, J. W.: Validation of middle-atmosphere carbon monoxide retrievals from the Microwave Limb Sounder on Aura, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D24S38, doi:10.1029/2007jd008723, 2007.

Rinsland, C. P., Salawitch, R. J., Osterman, G. B., Irion, F. W., Sen, B., Zander, R., Mahieu, E., and Gunson, M. R.: Stratospheric CO at tropical and mid-latitudes: ATMOS measurements and photochemical steady-state model calculations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 1395–1398, doi:10.1029/1999GL011184, 2000.

Rinsland, C. P., Jones, N. B., Connor, B. J., Wood, S. W., Goldman, A., Stephen, T. M., Murcray, F. J., Chiou, L. S., Zander, R., and Mahieu, E.: Multiyear infrared solar spectroscopic measurements of HCN, CO, C_2H_6, and C_2H_2 tropospheric columns above Lauder, New Zealand (45 degrees S latitude), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4185, doi:10.1029/2001jd001150, 2002.

Rinsland, C. P., Dufour, G., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., and Chiou, L.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) measurements of elevated Southern Hemisphere upper tropospheric CO, C_2H_6, HCN, and C_2H_2 mixing ratios from biomass burning emissions and long-range transport, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L20803, doi:10.1029/2005GL024214, 2005.

Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., Chrus Benner, D., Birk, M., Brown, L. R.,, Carleer,

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

M. R., Chackerian Jr., C., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Hartmann, J.-M., Jucks, K. W., Maki, A. G., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Orphal, J., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C. P., Smith, M. A. H., Tennyson, J., Tolchenov, R. N., Toth, R. A., Vander Auwera, J., Varanasi, P., and Wagner, G.: The HITRAN 2004 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 96, 139–204, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2004.10.008, 2005.

Rudolph, J.: The tropospheric distribution and budget of ethane, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 11369–11381, doi:10.1029/95JD00693, 1995.

Sen, B., Toon, G. C., Osterman, G. B., Blavier, J. F., Margitan, J. J., Salawitch, R. J., and Yue, G. K.: Measurements of reactive nitrogen in the stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 3571–3585, doi:10.1029/97JD02468, 1998.

Sica, R. J., Izawa, M. R. M., Walker, K. A., Boone, C., Petelina, S. V., Argall, P. S., Bernath, P., Burns, G. B., Catoire, V., Collins, R. L., Daffer, W. H., De Clercq, C., Fan, Z. Y., Firanski, B. J., French, W. J. R., Gerard, P., Gerding, M., Granville, J., Innis, J. L., Keckhut, P., Kerzenmacher, T., Klekociuk, A. R., Kyrö, E., Lambert, J. C., Llewellyn, E. J., Manney, G. L., McDermid, I. S., Mizutani, K., Murayama, Y., Piccolo, C., Raspollini, P., Ridolfi, M., Robert, C., Steinbrecht, W., Strawbridge, K. B., Strong, K., Stübi, R., and Thurairajah, B.: Validation of the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) version 2.2 temperature using ground-based and space-borne measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 35–62, doi:10.5194/acp-8-35-2008, 2008.

Toon, G. C.: The JPL MkIV Interferometer, Optics and Photonics News, 2, 19–21, doi:10.1364/OPN.2.10.000019, 1991.

Turquety, S., Clerbaux, C., Law, K., Coheur, P.-F., Cozic, A., Szopa, S., Hauglustaine, D. A., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Gloudemans, A. M. S., Schrijver, H., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., and Edwards, D. P.: CO emission and export from Asia: an analysis combining complementary satellite measurements (MOPITT, SCIAMACHY and ACE-FTS) with global modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5187–5204, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5187-2008, 2008.

Volkamer, R., Ziemann, P. J., and Molina, M. J.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Acetylene (C_2H_2): seed effect on SOA yields due to organic photochemistry in the aerosol aqueous phase, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1907–1928, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1907-2009, 2009.

Wang, Y. H., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O_3-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry 1. Model formulation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 10713–10725, doi:10.1029/98JD00158, 1998.

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

|◄ | ►|
| ◄ | ► |

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Xiao, Y. P., Jacob, D. J., and Turquety, S.: Atmospheric acetylene and its relationship with CO as an indicator of air mass age, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D12305, doi:10.1029/2006jd008268, 2007.

Xiao, Y. P., Logan, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Hudman, R. C., Yantosca, R., and Blake, D. R.: Global budget of ethane and regional constraints on US sources, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D21306, doi:10.1029/2007jd009415, 2008.

Yevich, R. and Logan, J. A.: An assessment of biofuel use and burning of agricultural waste in the developing world, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17, 1095, doi:10.1029/2002gb001952, 2003.

Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., Klimont, Z., Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang, L. T., and Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5131–5153, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009, 2009.

Zhao, Y., Strong, K., Kondo, Y., Koike, M., Matsumi, Y., Irie, H., Rinsland, C. P., Jones, N. B., Suzuki, K., Nakajima, H., Nakane, H., and Murata, I.: Spectroscopic measurements of tropospheric CO, C_2H_6, C_2H_2, and HCN in northern Japan, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4343, doi:10.1029/2001jd000748, 2002.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

|◄ | ►|

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 1. Microwindows used for the retrieval of C_2H_2 in this paper.

Centre wavenumber (cm^{-1})	Microwindow width (cm^{-1})	Lower altitude (km)	Upper altitude (km)
3268.30	0.80	$8-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3270.20	1.00	$12-4|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3278.45	1.00	$14-2|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3286.00	1.60	$12-4|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3287.45	0.90	$10-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3295.90	0.80	$10-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3300.40	0.80	$15-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3304.60	1.30	$10-4|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3304.95	0.80	$8-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	$10-4|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$
3315.98	0.85	$8-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3317.05	0.60	$14-4|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3322.05	1.00	10	20
3331.40	0.80	$8-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20
3335.57	0.45	$9-3|\sin(\text{latitude})|^2$	20

Table 2. Statistical comparison between ACE retrieved CO concentrations and GEOS-Chem output at 8.5 km altitude; VMRs are expressed in ppb: n is the number of data taken into account, bias is the Mean Bias as defined by Eq. (1), |bias| is the Mean Absolute Bias as defined by Eq. (2) and r is the correlation coefficient.

Region	Period	n	ACE-FTS VMR (ppb)	GEOS-Chem VMR (ppb)	Bias %	|bias| %	r
Global	Full	2084	94	82	−12	24	0.46
North America[*]	DJF0405	6	122	102	−16	19	0.50
	MAM05	20	132	95	−28	28	0.40
	JJA05	24	119	71	−40	41	0.08
	SON05	26	101	79	−21	30	0.18
Eurasia[*]	DJF0405	19	111	96	−13	17	0.77
	MAM05	19	124	94	−24	25	0.22
	JJA05	56	111	71	−35	36	0.49
	SON05	43	106	77	−28	30	0.45
Southern Hemisphere[*]	DJF0405	45	74	81	10	16	0.76
	MAM05	38	75	82	9	15	0.67
	JJA05	58	88	77	−12	23	0.10
	SON05	81	116	95	−17	21	0.52
Antarctic[*]	DJF0405	12	49	66	36	41	0.39
	MAM05	39	56	71	27	28	0.48
	JJA05	33	61	77	25	26	0.10
	SON05	29	77	92	18	24	0.11

[*] North America (170° W, 40° W, 80° N, 50° N), Eurasia (20° W, 160° E, 80° N, 50° N),
Southern Hemisphere (180° W, 180° E, 0° S, 40° S) and Antarctica (180° W, 180° E, 50° S, 90° S).

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

|◄ | ►|

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 3. Statistical comparison between ACE retrieved C_2H_6 concentrations and GEOS-Chem output at 8.5 km altitude; VMRs are expressed in ppb: n is the number of data taken into account, bias is the Mean Bias as defined by Eq. (1), |*bias*| is the Mean Absolute Bias as defined by Eq. (2) and r is the correlation coefficient.

Region	Period	n	ACE-FTS VMR (ppb)	GEOS-Chem VMR (ppb)	Bias %	|bias| %	r
Global	Full	1626	0.59	0.55	−7	28	0.65
North America[*]	DJF0405	3	1.01	1.20	18	18	0.81
	MAM05	9	1.13	1.17	4	14	0.29
	JJA05	22	0.81	0.72	−10	21	0.39
	SON05	22	0.76	0.73	−5	25	0.23
Eurasia[*]	DJF0405	13	0.86	0.87	0.3	17	0.43
	MAM05	10	0.97	1.09	13	18	0.24
	JJA05	46	0.77	0.69	−10	21	0.30
	SON05	35	0.78	0.68	−13	22	0.51
Southern Hemisphere[*]	DJF0405	31	0.36	0.39	8	30	0.47
	MAM05	27	0.40	0.44	10	28	0.72
	JJA05	53	0.38	0.42	10	30	0.15
	SON05	65	0.60	0.49	−19	32	0.52
Antarctic[*]	DJF0405	2	0.21	0.29	33	33	1.00
	MAM05	22	0.31	0.32	2	36	0.19
	JJA05	25	0.29	0.38	31	40	0.10
	SON05	27	0.34	0.51	47	50	0.23

[*] North America (170° W, 40° W, 80° N, 50° N), Eurasia (20° W, 160° E, 80° N, 50° N),
Southern Hemisphere (180° W, 180° E, 0° S, 40° S) and Antarctica (180° W, 180° E, 50° S, 90° S).

Table 4. Statistical comparison between ACE retrieved C_2H_2 concentrations and GEOS-Chem output at 8.5 km altitude; VMRs are expressed in ppb: n is the number of data taken into account, bias is the Mean Bias as defined by Eq. (1), |bias| is the Mean Absolute Bias as defined by Eq. (2) and r is the correlation coefficient.

Region	Period	n	ACE-FTS VMR (ppb)	GEOS-Chem VMR (ppb)	Bias %	|bias| %	r
Global	Full	1696	0.071	0.072	1	49	0.51
North America[*]	DJF0405	2	0.109	0.303	179	179	1.00
	MAM05	16	0.116	0.140	21	32	0.38
	JJA05	22	0.090	0.056	−38	42	0.02
	SON05	25	0.100	0.111	12	47	0.02
Eurasia[*]	DJF0405	13	0.118	0.173	47	50	0.15
	MAM05	14	0.134	0.146	8	17	0.74
	JJA05	46	0.079	0.067	−15	37	0.41
	SON05	35	0.091	0.091	1	39	0.40
Southern Hemisphere[*]	DJF0405	37	0.044	0.051	16	66	0.28
	MAM05	30	0.044	0.053	21	55	0.35
	JJA05	52	0.065	0.051	−21	36	0.34
	SON05	57	0.083	0.051	−39	44	0.52
Antarctic[*]	DJF0405	10	0.016	0.027	66	73	0.46
	MAM05	30	0.033	0.072	119	139	0.10
	JJA05	32	0.043	0.092	115	115	0.03
	SON05	29	0.042	0.056	34	45	0.71

[*] North America (170° W, 40° W, 80° N, 50° N), Eurasia (20° W, 160° E, 80° N, 50° N), Southern Hemisphere (180° W, 180° E, 0° S, 40° S) and Antarctica (180° W, 180° E, 50° S, 90° S).

Fig. 1. Calculated spectrum, observed spectrum and residuals for occultation ss11613 at 9.76 km. Top panel shows a calculated spectrum without the C_2H_6 contribution. In the residual plot we can observe the feature due to the absence of C_2H_6 in the forward model.

ACE occultations

Fig. 2. ACE extravortex occultations between January 2004 and February 2007.

Fig. 3. Top panel: mean vmr profile of C_2H_6 (black) for the 25 occultations selected for the error budget calculations and the absolute value of the contribution of each component. Lower panel: relative contribution of each of the components considered in the error budget calculation. In purple in both panels is the effective total error.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

|◄ | ►|

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Fig. 4. Top panel: mean vmr profile of C_2H_2 (black) for the 25 occultations selected for the error budget calculations and the absolute value of the contribution of each component. Lower panel: relative contribution of each of the components considered in the error budget calculation. In purple in both panels is the effective total error.

Fig. 5. Comparison of ACE-FTS average profile and MkIV-FTS profiles. Top left panel CO, top right panel C_2H_6 and lower panel C_2H_2

Fig. 6. Comparison of ACE-FTS average profiles and GTE, TRACE-A aircraft field campaign concentrations of CO, top left panel, C_2H_6 top right panel and C_2H_2 lower panel.

Fig. 7. CO, C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 concentrations at 7.5 km retrieved using ACE-FTS spectra during the period between February 2004 and February 2007. Top left panel CO, top right panel C_2H_6 and bottom panel C_2H_2.

**Fig. 8.** CO altitude-latitude cross sections from ACE (left column), GEOS-Chem (central column) and difference between both data sets (right column). Top panel 2004–2007 time period, second panel December-January-February 2004/2005, third panel March-April-May 2005, fourth panel June-July-August 2005 and fifth panel September-October-November 2005.

Fig. 9. C$_2$H$_6$ altitude-latitude cross sections from ACE (left column), GEOS-Chem (central column) and difference between both data sets (right column). Top panel full study time period, second panel December-January-February 2004/2005, third panel March-April-May 2005, fourth panel June-July-August 2005 and fifth panel September-October-November 2005.

Fig. 10. C$_2$H$_2$ altitude-latitude cross sections from ACE (left column), GEOS-Chem (central column) and difference between both data sets (right column). Top panel full study time period, second panel December-January February 2004/2005, third panel March-April-May 2005, fourth panel June-July-August 2005 and fifth panel September-October-November 2005.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

|◄ | ►|

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Fig. 11.** Geographical regions selected for detailed comparison of ACE and GEOS-Chem data.

Fig. 12. Seasonal and hemispheric variations of CO volume mixing ratios observed (black) and simulated (red) averaged in different regions at 8.5 km.

Fig. 13. Seasonal and hemispheric variations of C_2H_6 volume mixing ratios observed (black) and simulated (red) averaged in different regions at 8.5 km.

Ethane, ethyne and carbon monoxide concentrations

G. González Abad et al.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

◀◀ | ▶▶

◀ | ▶

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Fig. 14. Seasonal and hemispheric variations of C_2H_2 volume mixing ratios observed (black) and simulated (red) averaged in different regions at 8.5 km.

Title Page

Abstract | Introduction

Conclusions | References

Tables | Figures

◄◄ | ►►

◄ | ►

Back | Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion