

Unraveling the complex local-scale flows influencing ozone patterns in the southern Great Lakes of North America

I. Levy¹, P.A. Makar¹, D. Sills², J. Zhang¹, K.L. Hayden¹, C. Mihele¹, J. Narayan¹, M.D. Moran¹, S. Sjostedt¹ and J. Brook¹

[1]{Air Quality Research Division, Science and Technology Branch, Environment Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada}

[2]{Cloud Physics and Severe Weather Research Section, Environment Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada}

Correspondence to J. Brook (jeff.brook@ec.gc.ca)

Abstract

This study examines the complexity of various processes influencing summertime ozone levels in the southern Great Lakes region of North America. Results from the Border Air Quality and Meteorology (BAQS-Met) field campaign in the summer of 2007 are examined with respect to land-lake differences and local meteorology using a large array of ground-based measurements, aircraft data, and simulation results from a high resolution (2.5 km) regional air-quality model, AURAMS.

Analyses of average ozone mixing ratio from the entire BAQS-Met intensive campaign period support previous findings that ozone levels are higher over the southern Great Lakes than over the adjacent land. However, there is great heterogeneity in the spatial distribution of surface ozone over the lakes, particularly over Lake Erie during the day, with higher levels located over the southwestern end of the lake. Model results suggest that some of these increased ozone levels are due to local emission sources in large nearby urban centers. While an ozone reservoir layer is predicted by the AURAMS model over Lake Erie at night, the land-lake differences in ozone mixing ratios are most pronounced during the night in a shallow inversion layer of about 200 m above the surface. After sunrise, these differences have a

1 limited effect on the total mass of ozone over the lakes and land during the day, though they
2 do cause elevated ozone levels in the lake-breeze air in some locations.

3 The model also predicts a mean vertical circulation during the day with an updraft over
4 Detroit-Windsor and downdraft over Lake St. Clair, which transports ozone up to 1500 m
5 above ground and results in high ozone over the lake.

6 Oscillations in ground-level ozone mixing ratios were observed on several nights and at
7 several ground monitoring sites, with amplitudes of up to 40 ppbv and time periods of 15-40
8 minutes. Several possible mechanisms for these oscillations are discussed, but a complete
9 understanding of their causes is not possible given current data and knowledge.

11 **1 Introduction**

12 Land and sea/lake breeze winds play an important role in determining many aspects of coastal
13 environments, and air quality in particular. Air pollutants levels in coastal regions, that hold a
14 large part of the world's population along with emission sources, are the outcome of the
15 combined effect of several factors, such as long-range transport, short-range transport, local
16 emissions, photochemical activity, and surface properties (Banta et al., 2005). While the main
17 driving force of the breeze winds is the time-varying temperature difference between land and
18 sea/lake, other factors have been shown to interact with these winds, such as large-scale
19 synoptic flow (e.g., Oh et al., 2006), the urban heat island (e.g., Ohashi and Kida, 2002),
20 vicinity to a mountain ridge (e.g., Lu and Turco, 1994), curvature of the shoreline (e.g., Alpert
21 and Getenio, 1988; Levy et al., 2008b), and other topographical features such as vegetation,
22 land use and land cover.

23 The effect of sea/lake breeze winds on air pollution has been shown in many locations around
24 the world, such as Athens (e.g., Kallos et al., 1993; Kambezidis et al., 1998); the Iberian
25 peninsula (Millan et al., 2000); southern France (e.g., Lasry et al., 2005); Israel (e.g., Alper-
26 Siman Tov et al., 1997; Levy et al., 2008a); Taiwan (e.g., Cheng, 2002; Liu et al., 2002);
27 Korea (Oh et al., 2006), southwestern British Columbia (Brook et al., 2004), and Los Angeles
28 (Lu and Turco, 1994, 1995). The large populations living in these coastal cities are often
29 exposed to high pollution levels due to higher emissions and may experience greater health
30 impacts due to higher chronic exposures accentuated or even induced by the complex local

1 meteorology. Thus, this meteorology can lead to more complex yet potentially repeatable
2 exposure patterns.

3 The impact of the North American Great Lakes on pollution levels has been studied since the
4 1960's (e.g., Mukammal, 1965; Lyons and Cole, 1973, 1976; Anlauf et al., 1975; Keeler et
5 al., 1990; Sillman et al., 1993; Dye et al., 1995; Hanna and Chang, 1995; Hastie et al, 1999;
6 Cooper et al., 2001; Fast and Heilman, 2003, 2005). These multi-year observations combined
7 with model output suggest that ozone is systematically higher over the lakes. Dye et al.
8 (1995) analyzed observations from the Lake Michigan Ozone Study in 1991, and found the
9 highest ozone concentrations occur in a shallow and cool conduction layer over the lake.
10 Ozone precursors emitted during the night and early morning were trapped in this shallow
11 layer of cool and stable air over the water to react and produce high concentrations of ozone
12 during the day. Hastie et al. (1999) reported increases of 30 ppbv in ozone levels measured in
13 air masses arriving with the Lake Ontario lake-breeze front, measured at two ground stations
14 north of the lake and from an aircraft. The authors postulate that the origin of these polluted
15 air masses is emissions from source areas that are entrained over the lake by the land breeze at
16 night. Fast and Heilman (2005) used the PEGASUS air-quality model to evaluate ozone
17 levels over the Great Lakes region for two summers (May–September 1999 and 2001).
18 Ozone exceedances above 60 and 80 ppbv were found to be higher on average over the
19 southern Great Lakes than over land. Similar findings were published by Capps et al. (2010)
20 for a 14-day period in August 2002 using the CMAQ air-quality model.

21 The magnitude of the land-lake differences in ozone are not well characterized due to a lack
22 of routinely available pollutant data of fine spatiotemporal resolution. For example, Hastie et
23 al. (1999) reported that ozone levels ranged from 60 ppbv to 100 ppbv over Lake Ontario
24 during measurements with an instrumented aircraft on August 26th 1993 around noon, while
25 NO_x ranged from 1.5 ppbv to 6 ppbv. In a subsequent flight about one hour later, ozone
26 levels were within the same range, but the location of the maximum ozone had changed,
27 suggesting that both spatial and temporal changes, perhaps related to advection patterns, play
28 an important part.

29 During the Border Air Quality and Meteorology (BAQS-Met) study, which took place in
30 summer 2007 in southwestern Ontario, Canada, a comprehensive suite of air-quality and
31 meteorological measurements were made from both fixed and mobile platforms in order to
32 study air pollutant transport and transformation in relation to lake and land breezes and to

gain more insight into the nature of the high ozone over and near the lake in particular. The study region is situated between two of the Great Lakes, Lake Erie and Lake Huron, and a third, smaller lake, Lake St. Clair (see Fig. 1). This paper describes some of the results from this study that are related to ozone levels over Lake Erie and Lake St. Clair. First, average ozone mixing ratios from ground-level measurements and from the AURAMS regional air-quality model are examined in time and space. Then, a case study is presented to illustrate the influence of local meteorological circulations driven by the southern Great Lakes on air quality. Included in the analysis are measurements from a meso-network, a chemistry supersite, an instrumented aircraft, an instrumented ferry, an instrumented buoy, and a tethered sonde.

The goal of this paper is to elucidate the complexity of various processes influencing summertime ozone levels in the southern Great Lakes region of North America. More specifically, it examines the spatio-temporal variability in the levels of surface ozone and related air pollutants, with respect to precursor emission sources, regional- (synoptic) and local- (land-lake breeze) scale meteorology, differing processes over land and water, and the vertical structure of ozone. The analyses, which are unique in the extent to which detailed measurements and model applications have been combined and interpreted, provide insight regarding the behaviour and significance of the elevated ozone levels over the complex coastal environment.

2 Datasets

The BAQS-Met field campaign was conducted during the summer of 2007 to study the effect of mesoscale meteorology on air pollution in the southwestern Ontario. Figure 1 provides an overview of the study area and measurement station locations used in this paper. In addition to routine air-quality monitoring stations operated by the Province of Ontario (Thermo Scientific instrumentation with ozone logged at 1 minute resolution) and the State of Michigan (1 hour resolution for ozone), spatial detail was enhanced by deploying a meso-network of ozone and meteorological instruments. This was in operation before, during and after the intensive observing period of the study (June 20 to July 10) to obtain 1-5 minute time-resolved measurements for the late spring to late summer period. Ozone mixing ratios at the meso-network sites were measured using low-power monitors from 2B Technologies (Model #202). Ozone instruments were also installed on a buoy in Lake Erie and on a ferry

operating in Lake Erie between Leamington and Pelee Island (Thermo Scientific instrumentation with ozone logged at 1 minute resolution).

Additional detailed chemical measurements were made with research-grade instruments at three chemistry supersites (Harrow, Bear Creek, and Ridgetown) which operated for the intensive period (e.g., Stroud et al., 2010). These sites used instruments from Thermo Scientific to measure O₃ (Model 49C), SO₂ (Model 43C), CO (Model 48 TLE) and NO/NO_x (Model 42C). A standard chemiluminescence-based NO/NO_x instrument (Thermo Scientific Model 42C) was modified in-house to conduct continuous measurements of NO, NO₂ and NO_y with 1 minute time resolution. Gas phase volatile organic compounds were measured at Harrow with 5 minute resolution by an Ionicon Proton Transfer Mass Spectrometer (PTR-MS) (Gouw and Warneke, 2007). An instrumented Twin Otter aircraft was also deployed for approximately 30 hours of flight time measuring gas and particle phase pollutants as well as meteorology at a 1 second time resolution. These measurements are described in detail in Hayden et al. (2010). At the Ridgetown supersite, a Vaisala Tethersonde system consisting of three ECC ozonesondes from Science Pump (model 6A) and tethered meteorological sondes (model # TTS111/RSS911) made measurements from the surface up to about 1000 m above ground level (A.G.L.) on multiple days during the period.

To better understand the BAQS-Met observations, output from the GEM/AURAMS regional air-quality modeling system (Cho et al., 2009; Côté et al., 1998; Makar et al., 2009), which was run with three nested grids with 42, 15 and 2.5 km horizontal grid spacing (Fig. 1), are also analyzed in this paper. A more detailed description of the model set up and a comprehensive evaluation of the model's performance for BAQS-Met is given by Makar et al. (2010a,b).

The main purpose of the model applications presented here was to obtain a more detailed interpretation of the pollutant behavior in the study region and to assess the prevalence and/or validity of the commonly observed and modeled high ozone levels over the lakes. Although the model has limitations, it also has unique value in providing a complete, physically-consistent, four-dimensional description of the atmosphere during BAQS-Met that is not possible with measurements. Recognizing the model is imperfect, an attempt is made in this paper to use the model predictions in conjunction with available measurements so as to provide broader insight in a manner that accentuates the model strengths (e.g., long term average fields as opposed to specific measurement periods) and provides complementary

1 information that helps to interpret the measurements. Our focus here has not been to evaluate
2 the model (cf. Makar et al., 2010a,b) but to use it to help understand the conditions in the
3 region, in light of available measurements. Nonetheless, the reader needs to be aware that
4 what is displayed in several cases is model output and we have tried to make this distinction
5 clear in the text. Model output was analyzed with the open-source statistical language R
6 (RDCT, 2009) and visualized with the Unidata Integrated Data Viewer (IDV) (Murray et al.,
7 2003).

8 Diurnal averages of measured ozone were calculated at 11 rural sites and 6 urban sites, as well
9 as for the Buoy and Pelee Island sites in Lake Erie (shown in Fig. 1, listed in Table 1). For
10 consistency between different sites, averages were calculated for the Buoy operational period
11 only (June 26th – July 10th 2007). Diurnal averages were created by calculating 2-hour
12 running averages of ozone on a 1-minute basis (1-hour for Michigan sites) for each site during
13 the entire period, then averaging by time of day for each site. The 2-hour period was selected
14 for consistency with Michigan sites. Composite diurnal averages were then obtained by
15 averaging over sites grouped by type, i.e., rural and urban, as described in Table 1. Diurnal
16 change rates in ozone concentration were calculated by hour as the difference between the
17 averages of two consecutive hours. Also, the AURAMS predicted ozone levels for the near-
18 surface layer during the buoy operational period were extracted over a relevant analysis sub-
19 domain around southwestern Ontario, Lake St. Clair, Detroit, and the northwestern quadrant
20 of Lake Erie (Fig. 1), and model grid cells were grouped by different surface type (i.e., rural,
21 lake, and urban).

22 Throughout the paper, Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) is used to present the data, which is one
23 hour ahead of Eastern Standard Time (EST) and four hours behind Coordinated Universal
24 Time (UTC).

25 26 **3 Results and Discussion**

27 **3.1 Diurnal pattern of surface ozone**

28 To examine the diurnal behavior of ground-level ozone over the lakes as compared to land, 2-
29 hour averages of ozone and their hourly change rates were calculated for the Buoy
30 (representing the lake), Pelee Island (representing lake influenced conditions), and the groups
31 of 11 rural and six urban sites (Fig. 2). Ozone measurements over Lake Erie were also made

1 by a monitor installed for BAQS-Met on the Leamington – Pelee Island ferry, but due to its
2 routine movement it was not possible to compute relevant diurnal averages. The ferry
3 measurements were therefore averaged by trip times (approximately 90 minutes for each trip),
4 for four sailings that were scheduled for the same departure times on all weekdays (Fig. 2a).
5 Thus only its over-lake measurements were combined for comparison with and to further
6 support the buoy and Pelee Island measurements. Although differences in ozone
7 concentrations between land and lake were suggested in previous studies, this is the first time
8 a detailed diurnal pattern and change rate are available at high temporal resolution and
9 compared between lake, rural and urban sites.

10 Figure 2 shows the averaged ozone diurnal pattern (2a) and change rates (2b) measured at the
11 different site types, as well as the average ozone diurnal pattern (2c) and change rates (2d)
12 predicted for various surface types for the same period by the AURAMS model. The
13 nighttime (00:00-06:00 EDT) ozone mixing ratio over the lake (buoy) is ~5 ppbv higher than
14 the Pelee Island site, ~15 ppbv higher than the rural-site average, and close to 25 ppbv higher
15 than the urban-site average. At 10:00 EDT, average ozone levels at the rural and urban sites
16 match those of the buoy. Higher ozone concentrations over the lake at night are due to a lack
17 of fresh NO emissions, particularly in comparison to urban areas, and lower deposition rates
18 to water surface than land surfaces (e.g., Dye et al., 1995; Sillman et al., 1993). Examination
19 of the morning (09:00 EDT) rate of increase in ozone at the buoy, shown in Fig. 2b, indicates
20 that it is slower over water than over land (e.g., 1.5 vs. 3.4, 5.8, and 7.5 ppbv hr⁻¹, for the
21 buoy, Pelee Island, rural, and urban sites, respectively). These differences are due to greater
22 vertical mixing over land which quickly replenishes the ozone at the surface in the morning,
23 particularly over the urban areas where ozone experiences the greatest depletion at night.
24 This behavior suggests that the nighttime differences between lake and land are limited to a
25 shallow layer above the surface since within a few hours after sunrise mixing from aloft
26 results in a minimum in spatial variability in surface ozone from 10:00-11:00 EDT.

27 Previous studies have shown that the break up of the surface inversion and vertical mixing
28 with the ozone residual layer aloft has a significant impact on morning build up of ground-
29 level ozone, whereas photochemical production processes augment surface ozone levels later
30 in the day (Zhang and Rao, 1999). Zhang and Rao (1999) found comparable summer mean
31 ozone build-up rates at a rural site, with the highest rates (~6.5 ppbv hr⁻¹) at 10:00 EDT,
32 compared to 10 ppbv hr⁻¹ at 11:00 EDT at an urban site in New York City. Kleinman et al.

1 (2002) used a chemical box model to estimate ozone build-up rates at five large cities in the
2 United States. The authors found the highest rates of 11.3 ppbv h⁻¹ in Philadelphia, PA and
3 Houston TX, compared to 6, 4.3, and 3.5 ppbv h⁻¹ in Nashville, TN, New York City, NY, and
4 Phoenix, AZ, respectively. In this study over southwestern Ontario, measured rates of change
5 of ozone mixing ratio at the urban sites (Fig. 2b) are between 8 ppbv hr⁻¹ (at 09:00 EDT) and -
6 6 ppbv hr⁻¹ (at 21:00 EDT).

7 To compare the measured diurnal cycles of ozone to those predicted by AURAMS, an
8 analysis sub-domain of the 2.5-km model grid was selected over the study region (Fig. 1).
9 The AURAMS mean ozone diurnal pattern for the lakes versus land surfaces in the region
10 (Figs. 2c, d) is similar to the measurements, with a ~15 ppbv difference at night and build-up
11 rates of 2.7 and 6 ppbv hr⁻¹ over lake and land surfaces, respectively, at 09:00 EDT. Urban
12 areas (i.e., mainly Detroit) over the modeled region show a higher build-up rate of 10.8 ppbv
13 hr⁻¹ in the morning (10:00 EDT) compared to the maximum rate of 7.5 ppbv hr⁻¹ measured at
14 the urban sites an hour earlier, as well as faster loss rates at night (-13 ppbv hr⁻¹ at 20:30 EDT)
15 compared to measurements (-6 ppbv hr⁻¹ at 21:00 EDT). These differences might be due, at
16 least in part, to the six urban sites being located at or close to the city center, which are thus
17 more influenced by traffic NO_x emissions compared to the part of the model domain that is
18 assigned to the “urban” group (cf. Fig. 1).

19 From 23:00 to 06:00 EDT Fig. 2b shows a general trend of decreasing measured ozone loss
20 rates. In contrast, the model predicts an almost constant loss rate with a magnitude of about 2
21 ppbv hr⁻¹. The result of these differences in nighttime change rates is lower predicted ozone
22 mixing ratios in the morning (06:00 EDT) compared to measurements. These lower model
23 values at sunrise are then compensated by higher predicted versus measured change rates in
24 the morning, particularly over rural (8 vs. 6 ppbv hr⁻¹, respectively) and urban (11 vs. 8 ppbv
25 hr⁻¹, respectively) areas. As a result, the predicted daily maxima in the afternoon are higher
26 than measured for urban areas (63 vs. 50 ppbv, respectively), but are in good agreement for
27 rural areas (~58 ppbv).

28 Given the limited ability to obtain systematic three-dimensional measurements, particularly
29 over the lakes, and after showing reasonable agreement between measured and predicted
30 average ozone levels near the surface in the study region in Fig. 2, the AURAMS output was
31 examined to gain more insight into the vertical structure of ozone over the different surface
32 types of rural, lakes and urban and to examine the suggestion that higher ozone levels over the

lakes are limited to a shallow layer above the surface. Time-height cross sections extracted from the AURAMS mean hourly ozone fields for the buoy operational period (June 26–July 10), averaged further over the three surface types in the sub-domain are displayed in Figs. 3a–c. While overall relatively small differences are predicted throughout much of the vertical domain between the rural and lake surface types (Figs. 3a and 3b, respectively), not surprisingly, the model produces lower ozone levels over the urban areas within about 200 m of the surface at night. In contrast, according to the model there are considerably higher levels within the lower 1500 m over the urban areas during the day (Fig. 3c).

Quantitative model estimates of the differences in the vertical structure of ozone between different surface types is shown in Figs. 3d–f, together with a closer examination of the lowest vertical levels (outlined with the red frames in Figs. 3d–f) shown in Figs. 3g–i. Confirming the interpretation of Fig. 2 above that the significant land-lake differences are limited to a shallow layer, Fig. 3d shows small differences (less than ± 2 ppbv) in ozone levels over land vs. lake at the 200–1500 m levels in the early morning. Near the surface, however, differences are as high as 10–12 ppbv, but rapidly decrease to 4 ppbv at 100 m (Fig. 3g). A detailed case study presented in section 3.3 presents observations confirming this vertical structure and further detailing its impact on observed surface ozone. The largest contrast in the time-height cross sections is between the urban and lake surface types (Figs. 3f and 3i) near the surface at night (21:00–04:00), with the urban area being 14–20 ppbv lower than the lakes, in good agreement with observations shown in Fig. 2a, of about 25 ppbv difference between the buoy and urban sites at night. These nighttime differences are the result of two loss mechanisms near the surface that act at different intensities over land and over the water. First, greater NO_x emissions over land (and over urban areas in particular) result in stronger ozone titration. Second, ozone has higher deposition velocities over land than over water. Adding to these mechanisms is the increased vertical stability over land due to radiative cooling of the surface that prevents vertical exchange and ozone replenishment from aloft.

3.2 Spatial pattern of mean ozone

The scarcity of continuous (in time and space) air pollution observations over the Great Lakes generally reduces the examination of their spatial distribution to short term field campaigns where multiple monitors are used around the lakes (e.g., Fast and Heilman, 2005), and/or from aircraft measurements are taken above the region (e.g., Hastie et al., 1999). In an attempt to bridge this gap and examine the spatial distribution of ozone over the study region,

1 ozone mixing ratios from AURAMS for the entire 23-day BAQS-Met intensive observing
2 campaign (June 20 – July 10) were calculated. The spatial distributions of mean surface
3 ozone mixing ratios for different times of day are shown in Fig. 4a. Modeled surface ozone
4 mixing ratios are higher over the lakes as compared to their surroundings at all times of day
5 (Fig. 4a1), but the difference is more pronounced at night with 10-15 ppbv difference between
6 21:00 and 06:00 EDT. This result is consistent with the actual measurements shown in Fig.
7 2a. However, the output from AURAMS indicates that ozone levels are not homogeneous
8 over Lake Erie. This difference is most pronounced over the south-central part of Lake Erie
9 (between Cleveland and Wheatley), where during the study period daily mean ozone levels
10 reached a high of about 50 ppbv, compared to 41 ppbv in southwestern Ontario. Note that
11 particularly low ozone mixing ratios of 20-30 ppbv are predicted over the urban regions of
12 Cleveland and Detroit, where emission levels are typically higher. It should also be noted that
13 mean ozone mixing ratios over Lake Huron are lower than over Lake Erie. With the
14 southwestern end of Lake Erie being ringed by the urban centers of Detroit, Toledo, and
15 Cleveland (Fig. 1), this suggests that proximity to pollution emission sources is also an
16 important factor. Fast and Heilman (2005) presented a similar finding for Lakes Erie and
17 Huron in their modeling results.

18 Figure 4 also shows a vertical cross section between the surface and 3000 m a.g.l. of ozone
19 (4b) and vertical wind (4c) along a transect across Lake St. Clair and Lake Erie, marked A-F
20 in Fig. 4. The simulated mean ozone cross section shows a reservoir layer persisting after
21 21:00 EDT (Fig. 4b5), centered between 600 and 1000 m a.g.l., over Lake Erie and
22 Cleveland. At the start of the overnight period (i.e., 00:00 EDT) the model suggests that
23 higher ozone levels over Lake Erie are not limited to the lowest layers, but extend to about
24 1500 m a.g.l. By early morning (06:00 EDT) the modeled differences over land and over the
25 lakes are much reduced and are limited to a shallow layer of less than 200 m a.g.l. In
26 contrast, above about 500 m at this time the horizontal variation in ozone is small, with no
27 apparent lake-related features. The main differences in the early morning are over the large
28 emission areas (Cleveland and west of Lake St. Clair) due to ozone titration by NO that is
29 emitted into a shallow nocturnal surface layer.

30 After noon, when photochemical production is peaking, the modeled higher ozone over the
31 lake (60-70 ppbv, ~10 ppbv higher than over land, Fig. 4b4) is limited to a shallower layer of
32 about 100 m over Lake Erie. This is most evident over the U.S. side of the lake, while on the

1 Canadian side, ozone mixing ratios are lower (50-60 ppbv). This pattern is likely related to
2 the assumed spatial distribution in emissions over the lake, where emissions on the U.S. side
3 are spread over the southern part of the lake while emissions on the Canadian side are
4 allocated along the main shipping lane (see Makar et al., 2010b). At the same time, over Lake
5 St. Clair the predicted high ozone levels of about 70 ppbv extend up to 1500 m a.g.l.
6 following the vertical extent of the coupled lake and urban circulation (Fig. 4c4). In the
7 evening (21:00 EDT) while ground-level ozone is reduced over land, it is 10-15 ppbv higher
8 over Lake Erie, up to about 2000 m a.g.l. This difference is noticeable through the night and
9 until early morning in the model output and is due to differences in the rate of surface
10 deposition of ozone between land and water, the increased impact of NO titration of ozone
11 after sunset over the land, and the decrease in ozone vertical exchange over land at night, as
12 mentioned earlier.

13 Predicted high ozone levels are also noticeable near the surface during the day over the larger
14 metropolitan areas of Detroit and Cleveland (Fig. 4a4), with values going from 35 ppbv at the
15 downtown areas (e.g., point E at the cross section in Fig. 4a4) to above 60 ppbv in the cities'
16 outskirts. Unlike the high ozone predicted close to the surface of Lake Erie mentioned earlier,
17 the high values over land extend up to about 1500 m a.g.l. (Fig. 4b4), corresponding to the
18 vertical extent of the modeled breeze circulation cell, with rising air over land and descending
19 over the lakes (Fig. 4c4). However, the high values over the lake are more widespread
20 compared to the cities, particularly over the southwestern and central parts of Lake Erie,
21 though emission sources over the water are not high.

22 It is interesting to note that during the night, low ozone mixing ratios predicted over Detroit
23 extend to hundreds of meters above the ground (at 00:00 EDT over point B in Fig. 4b2), while
24 over Cleveland the titrated layer is shallower. This difference in the vertical extent of ozone
25 titration could be explained in part by the vertical motion produced by the model over Detroit
26 and over the adjacent part of Lake St. Clair at this time (over point B in Fig. 4c2). However,
27 similar vertical flow is also predicted over Cleveland (Fig. 4c2 over point E), without a
28 similar effect on ozone above the surface. Additional measurements are needed to be able to
29 determine the extent to which these features and city-to-city differences occur and their
30 implications on the local air quality.

31

3.3 Case study: July 6-7 2007

The analyses in the two previous subsections have examined the average temporal and spatial patterns of ozone in the study area for the BAQS-Met intensive observing period. In order to fully understand the complex influence of local terrain-driven circulations in this area, however, it is necessary to consider a day-specific case study. Based on a review of the BAQS-Met air-quality and meteorological measurement data, the July 6-7 period was identified as being an interesting example of the impact of local circulations associated with lake and land breezes on the time evolution and spatial distribution of ozone and other pollutants. The following paragraphs describe this period focusing on conditions at the Harrow supersite, which is located 30 km southeast of the Detroit-Windsor urban complex (see Fig. 1). The Harrow area is affected by both Lake Erie and Lake St. Clair, as well as Detroit-Windsor. Measurements are also presented from additional surface sites, the Twin-Otter aircraft, and the Ridgetown tethersonde to obtain a more complete understanding of this case.

3.3.1 Meteorology

A high-pressure system approached the BAQS-Met study area from the west on July 6th, and passed south of the study region from SW to S on the 7th. The ridge passage caused the light to moderate synoptic flow to shift from northwesterly on July 6th to westerly on July 7th in the morning. In addition, as shown in Fig. 5, superimposed on these synoptic features during this period were lake-induced winds and their associated sequence of mesoscale lake-breeze fronts. The positions of these fronts, which were determined for every hour of each day during BAQS-Met (Sills et al., 2010), were based upon multiple information sources including the meso-network, routine meteorological observation stations, and satellite and radar images.

The meso-analysis shown in Fig. 5a for July 6 12:00 EDT suggests that a portion of the Lake Erie lake-breeze front (marked A and A1 in Fig. 5a) had just formed on the northwestern and western shores and was moving inland and northward south of Harrow. By 20:00 EDT (Fig. 5b) this lake-breeze front had passed over Harrow, reached the south shore of Lake St. Clair and stretched from Windsor to the southeast corner of Lake St. Clair. At this time a large part of extreme southwestern Ontario was experiencing southerly winds under an air mass that originated over Lake Erie, while northerly winds were prevalent over the remainder of the domain. The lake-breeze flow was moderately deformed by the synoptic winds so that lake-

breeze fronts did not form on the downwind side (south shores) of the lakes (Sills et al., 2010). At 20:00 EDT on July 6, the portion of the Lake Erie lake-breeze front that stretched from Windsor and to the south (marked A2 in Fig. 5b), was retreating eastward towards Harrow as the synoptic flow had swung around from northwesterly to westerly. A few hours later at 00:00 EDT on July 7th, a third lake-breeze front had almost reached Harrow from the northeast, this time generated earlier in the day at the southern end of Lake Huron (marked B in Fig. 5b & c).

Thus, during this period of less than a day, the detailed analysis of the meteorological conditions, enabled by deployment of the meso-network, revealed that air was transported to SW Ontario first from the northwest, then the south, then the west, and then the northeast, as can also be seen in the wind measurements at the Harrow site (Fig. 6a). In addition, this analysis showed that size and location of the land area impacted by the “Lake Erie influenced air” changed continuously during the day and both Lakes St. Clair and Huron played a role in the mesoscale patterns as they evolved. These relatively dramatic meteorological changes provided a unique opportunity to examine in detail how these large lakes influence ozone and other air pollutants. Insights gained through such analyses provide additional measures for evaluating the capabilities of the high-resolution GEM and AURAMS models and for better assessing the true nature of the higher ozone occurring over and near the lakes. The discussion below will thus focus on how the chemical characteristics of the air masses changed with the lake-breeze-front transitions described above and in Sills et al. (2010).

3.3.2 Air pollution

Figure 6 shows concurrent time series from the Harrow supersite of wind speed and direction (Fig. 6a), O₃, SO₂, and CO mixing ratios (Fig. 6b), nitrogen oxides (NO, NO₂, NO_z and NO_y) and the toluene-to-benzene ratio (TBR, Fig. 6c) for July 6-7th. Also shown is O₃ at three BAQS-Met sites east of Harrow (Wheatley, Leamington, and the Lake Erie buoy, Fig. 6d; see Fig. 1 for locations) for July 6-7th. As NO₂ measurements from the supersite were not available for the morning of July 6th, measurements taken by the co-located instrument operated by the Ontario Ministry of Environment (OME) are presented in Fig. 6. Toluene and benzene measurements from the PTR-MS were used as one measure of the photochemical age of the air masses affecting the site (Roberts et al., 1984). Since both species are emitted from anthropogenic sources (mostly vehicle exhaust), and toluene is approximately four times more reactive with OH radicals, the lower the toluene/benzene ratio the more aged the air mass is.

1 A ratio of about 4 indicates a freshly emitted air mass, while a ratio of about 1 marks an air
2 mass emitted approximately 1-2 days earlier (Vlasenko et al., 2009). Below is a description
3 of the different air masses identified during the 40 hr period of July 6th 08:00 EDT to July 8th
4 00:00 EDT of the case study. For better clarity, certain time periods are marked in Fig. 6 in
5 numbers (1-11) and referenced in the following text as different stages.

6 Stage 1 (08:00-10:05 EDT): A NNW flow (Fig. 6a) brings relatively fresh pollution to
7 Harrow in the early morning hours, with CO levels of 400 ppbv, NO of 5 ppbv and NO_y of 20
8 ppbv. The high TBR of almost 3 and a wind speed of about 3-5 m s⁻¹ (11-18 km hr⁻¹) from
9 the NNW support the assumption that these are polluted air masses arriving in an almost
10 direct route from the Detroit-Windsor area.

11 Stage 2 (10:05-12:50 EDT): A sharp drop of about 200 ppbv in CO mixing ratios at 10:00
12 EDT, along with significant changes in ozone, SO₂, NO and NO_y mark a different air mass.
13 This air is more photochemically aged, as seen by the lower TBR of 2.3-1.5, and with the
14 winds having a more easterly component (wind direction of 0-45 deg, Fig. 6a), suggests an air
15 mass that followed a longer path from Detroit to the east (i.e., north of Harrow) in the
16 morning and now arrives at Harrow from the north, allowing more time for photochemical
17 activity. Increases in mixing height due to the surface heating at this time, would also
18 contribute to the above mentioned decrease in primary pollutants. However, the decrease in
19 TBR at that time (from 2.3 to 1.5), being a ratio between ambient levels of two species and
20 therefore not sensitive to changes in mixing height, suggests this air mass had also undergone
21 more photochemical processing.

22 Stage 3 (12:50-13:25 EDT): The Lake Erie lake-breeze front passing at Harrow at 12:50 EDT
23 (marked A1 in Fig. 6 between stages 2 and 3) causes an abrupt change in wind direction from
24 northwesterly to southerly and an increase in wind speed from 5 to 7 m s⁻¹. The frontal
25 passage coincides with a sharp drop in ozone, a dramatic increase in NO_y (from 7 to 21 ppbv),
26 NO (from 1 to 3 ppbv), NO₂ (from 3 to 13 ppbv), and the TBR rises, which are all indicative
27 of a fresher air mass. Indeed Fig. 6b shows that CO also experienced an abrupt jump, from
28 202 to 491 ppbv, and SO₂ increased from 0.2 to 5.6 ppbv. During Stage 3 there is also a rapid
29 recovery in the ozone mixing ratio after the drop. This is either due to a change in its build-up
30 rate from 18 ppb hr⁻¹ in Stage 2 to 25 ppb hr⁻¹ as a result of the availability of precursors
31 and/or spatial heterogeneity in this new air mass with 'pools' of higher NO locally destroying
32 ozone, which would have been the cause of the drop at the start of Stage 3.

1 Stages 4 (13:25-13:50 EDT) and 5 (13:50-15:10 EDT): During the short time period labeled
2 as Stage 4 the wind continues to blow from the south, ozone increases more slowly and there
3 are small decreases in CO, NO_y, NO₂ and NO. These variations, as well as the observations
4 during Stage 5, tend to indicate that there was considerable spatial heterogeneity in the air
5 mass behind the lake-breeze front. The peak in SO₂ during Stage 5, which is about 10 ppbv
6 higher than the peak in Stage 3, and the maximum CO mixing ratio near this time further
7 suggest that this fresh air mass contained a complex, variable mixture of primary pollutants.

8 The second intrusion of relatively fresh emissions at Harrow starting Stage 5 is surprising
9 given the apparent origin of the air over Lake Erie. The wind directions over the region
10 during the morning hours, however, suggest that this air mass likely originated near Detroit-
11 Windsor. With NNW winds in the early morning these urban/industrial emissions could have
12 followed the Detroit River traveling to the area of Lake Erie south and west of Harrow. Then
13 this ‘pool’ of relatively fresh emissions would have been transported northward across
14 Harrow (at 12:50 EDT) into extreme southwestern Ontario behind the advancing lake-breeze
15 front. The amount of temporal variability in the pollutant levels after the frontal passage
16 (Stages 3, 4 and 5), which were all associated with southerly wind off the lake, suggests that
17 the pollutants were recently emitted and experienced limited horizontal and vertical mixing.
18 Transport early in the morning from Detroit-Windsor and then accumulation in the stable air
19 over the lake could have led to this scenario. It is interesting to note that, with the exception
20 of SO₂, pollutant levels observed in Stages 3 and 5 were similar. This raises the possibility
21 that the air mass in Stage 4 was a short “interruption” to a larger air mass containing ample
22 ozone precursors and hence experiencing relatively rapid ozone formation.

23 Stage 6 (15:10-17:30 EDT): A sharp increase of 9 ppbv in ozone mixing ratios in two
24 minutes (from 78 to 87 between 15:04 and 15:06) that is followed by a drop in CO, SO₂ and
25 NO_y mixing ratios a few minutes later marks another dramatic change of air mass. Ozone
26 levels during this stage maintain an almost constant and high value (85-90 ppbv), indicating
27 an air mass that has been fully processed. This is supported by the low NO (< 1 ppbv), the
28 large ratio of NO_z to NO_x, and minimum values in the TBR of 0.8.

29 Stage 7 (17:30-19:10 EDT): A change in wind direction from S to SW (Fig. 6a) and a
30 decrease in ozone from 90 to 70 ppbv at 17:30 that coincides with changes in CO, SO₂, NO_y,
31 NO_z and NO₂ (Figs. 6b and c) mark another change in air mass. This air also maintains a
32 near-constant ozone level for almost two hours that together with the low TBR and high

1 NO_z/NO_y indicates this air mass was also photochemically aged. However, its origin and
2 history were clearly different than in Stage 6 given that less ozone had been produced. Figure
3 5b shows that during the period of southerly flow over the region (Stages 3-7) the Lake Erie
4 air mass moves northward to the south shore of Lake St. Clair. The meso-network station at
5 Lighthouse Cove (LIG in Fig. 1) experienced its peak ozone at ~20:00 EDT just after the front
6 (A) passed over, thus confirming the existence of higher ozone in this air mass, which
7 covered a distinct area of about 2000 km² at 20:00 EDT.

8 Stage 8 (19:10-20:35 EDT): As the retreating Lake Erie lake-breeze front passes Harrow for
9 the second time from west to east (marked A2 on Fig. 5b and on Fig. 6) there was a large drop
10 in ozone of 40 ppbv in 35 minutes (between 19:50-20:25 EDT, Fig. 6b). This is accompanied
11 by changes in other species, as well as wind speed and direction, and is hypothesized to be the
12 result of complex three-dimensional flows in this frontal zone. This complexity is further
13 indicated by the dramatic oscillation in ozone, dropping first by about 10 ppbv, then rising by
14 25 ppbv, and then halving from 80 to 40 ppbv. A detailed description of the meteorological
15 and pollutant changes across lake-breeze frontal zones in this region is given in Hayden et al.
16 (2010), based on BAQS-Met measurements taken at multiple heights on board the Twin Otter
17 aircraft.

18 Stage 9 (20:35-07:40 EDT): After the front (A2) passes east of Harrow, a westerly synoptic
19 flow develops over the SW Ontario region. Wind speeds during this overnight period are
20 light to stagnant, but gradually push the air mass with maximum values in ozone, east and
21 south back towards Lake Erie. A fresher air mass moves over Harrow, as indicated by the
22 increasing TBR after 20:00 EDT, a decrease in NO_z and an increase in NO₂ from 5 ppbv at
23 21:00 to 14 ppbv at 04:00 EDT (Fig. 6c). The westerly winds in the first few hours after the
24 frontal passage and the analysis of the local meteorology in Section 3.3.1 suggest the Detroit-
25 Windsor region as the source for the pollution at this time.

26 The light wind flow pushes the ozone-rich air eastward, where it is marked by a 40 ppbv drop
27 in ozone mixing ratio at Leamington (LEA in Fig. 1) between 21:00-22:00 EDT. This is
28 shown in Fig. 6d along with ozone levels over the lake measured by the buoy and at the
29 Wheatley meso-network site located further east (marked ECO and WHE in Fig. 1,
30 respectively). Throughout the evening there is also continued southwestward movement of a
31 third lake-breeze front (Lake Huron front), which eventually affects the region. At 20:00
32 EDT this front (marked B on Fig. 5b) stretches from rural areas in Michigan north of Detroit,

1 through Lake St. Clair and then towards Lake Erie. Eventually, it pushes southwestward and
2 passes Leamington at about midnight with ozone levels dropping further and stabilizing at
3 about 10-20 ppbv. According to the ozone in Fig. 6b this front appears to have passed over
4 Harrow at around 04:00 EDT on July 7th.

5 The result of these two later lake-breeze frontal passages (A2 and B) is a reduction in ozone
6 mixing ratios over land in southwestern Ontario. However, high mixing ratios remain over
7 Lake Erie since the Lake Huron front does not penetrate far enough south. The difference
8 between the two air masses is clearly seen by comparing Leamington ozone to the
9 observations at the buoy (Fig. 6d). At 00:00 EDT (July 7th) the mixing ratio was 70 ppbv at
10 the buoy and 20 ppbv in Leamington, with the large difference between these two locations
11 persisting until 05:30 EDT. The location of the edge of the ozone-rich air mass is evident at
12 Wheatley, where ozone mixing ratios fluctuate during the night between the Leamington and
13 Buoy levels. This boundary between the air masses was also observed by the Twin Otter
14 aircraft as it flew WSW over Lake Erie during the early morning hours of July 7. The aircraft
15 passed approximately 5 km NE of the buoy at 150 m a.g.l. at 05:20 EDT (Fig. 7a) and
16 detected a sudden steep drop in ozone from 70 ppbv to 20 ppbv and a simultaneous increase
17 in NO₂ (Fig. 7b). The vertical differences in ozone over land and over Lake Erie at this time
18 are described in the following sub-section 3.3.3 and the oscillations in ozone observed at
19 several sites are discussed in section 3.3.4.

20 Stage 10 (07:40-18:50 EDT, July 7th): Low wind speeds of 0-4 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 6a) in the morning,
21 an increase in NO from 2 to 4 ppbv (Fig. 6c), and sharp increases in CO from 300 to 800 ppbv
22 and in SO₂ from almost 0 to 5 ppbv (Fig. 6b) suggest a different and more polluted air mass
23 arriving at Harrow. These mark the beginning of another stage, with a typical morning build-
24 up of ozone from 19 ppbv at 08:00 to 55 ppbv at 13:00 (i.e., 7.2 ppbv hr⁻¹, comparable with
25 the average 5.8 ppbv hr⁻¹ for 11 rural sites in the morning shown in Fig. 2). This stage
26 continues through the day with a high of 60 ppbv in ozone persisting through the evening.
27 During this period wind speed increases to 6 m s⁻¹ and gradually shifts from NW to W, SW,
28 and southerly flow (Fig. 6a). SO₂ peaks of 12 ppbv at 15:45 EDT and 27 ppbv at 17:35 EDT,
29 both associated with high NO_y and low (<1) TBR, are observed during the afternoon
30 southwesterly flow. These suggest more freshly emitted pollutants that, with the
31 southwesterly flow, point to local to regional transport of polluted air crossing over Lake Erie,
32 potentially related to a large power plant near the western shore of the lake.

1 Stage 11 (18:50-00:00 EDT, July 7th): Uncharacteristically high ozone after sunset at Harrow
2 (Fig. 6b) and other sites in the region (Fig. 6d) is observed at this stage. There was also a
3 higher TBR ratio compared to the previous stage, reaching 2, and an increase in CO, NO₂ and
4 NO_y after 22:00 EDT. The regional spread of the high ozone, being measured at multiple
5 sites in the study region (Fig. 6d), and the persistent and moderate southwesterly winds (Fig.
6 6a) suggest that a large regional airmass with elevated ozone was being transported from
7 southwest of Lake Erie. At the same time, some more local sources were likely adding to this
8 airmass, as evidenced by the higher TBR and primary pollutant levels. Ozone remained high
9 across the region after sunset due to turbulent/mechanical mixing induced by moderate winds,
10 which continued to bring the higher ozone aloft to the surface and tended to homogenize the
11 levels throughout the region.

12 The detailed description above demonstrates the complexity of the factors controlling ozone
13 levels in southwestern Ontario observed in a short period of 40 hours. Pollution sources
14 affecting the region can be from long-range transport (Stage 11) or relatively local emissions
15 (e.g., Detroit-Windsor) that are transported in complex pathways by land-lake breeze winds
16 (e.g., Stages 6 & 7), while undergoing photochemical processing, and by larger-scale flows
17 (e.g., Stage 9). Furthermore, the air arriving with the lake breeze is often heterogeneous in its
18 composition and photochemical age, as demonstrated in Stages 3-7.

19 **3.3.3 Vertical structure**

20 Given the complexity described above in surface ozone for the case study, the vertical
21 structure of ozone in the lower troposphere is examined in the following paragraphs.
22 Measurements supporting the limited vertical extent of enhanced ozone levels over Lake Erie
23 discussed in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, are found in the early morning of July 7th. At this time
24 vertical profiles of ozone were obtained by the aircraft (Fig. 8) and by tethered sonde launches at
25 Ridgeway (Fig. 9). A temperature profile taken by the aircraft over Lake Erie at 05:40 EDT
26 ascending from Pelee Island (Fig. 8b and marked P1 in Figs. 7a and 8a; timing corresponds to
27 Stage 9 described above) shows a thermal inversion layer between 200-235m a.g.l. The
28 inversion separates a low-ozone layer near the surface (about 25 ppbv) that is also NO₂-rich
29 (10 ppbv) from the regional ozone levels of 40-45 ppbv observed above the inversion along
30 with lower NO₂ levels. Over land, however, the thermal inversion starts at the surface due to
31 radiative cooling overnight (profiles P2-P7 and P9 in Figs. 7, 8c-h and 8j). This results in
32 higher NO₂ (e.g., 20 ppbv over Windsor in P4 and over Detroit in P7, Figs. 8e and 8h,

1 respectively) and consequently much lower ozone levels near the ground (e.g., about 5 ppbv
2 near Windsor, P4, Fig. 8e). However, ozone is rapidly increasing with height within the
3 surface inversion layer of about 150 m a.g.l., and above that layer it is over 40 ppbv, the same
4 as over the lake at this altitude.

5 Tethersonde launches at Ridgetown on the same morning support these findings and show a
6 surface inversion up to about 100 m a.g.l. at 06:00 EDT (Fig. 9b), with ozone levels
7 increasing from zero to 50 ppbv with altitude, and stabilizing at 40 ppbv above 300 m. The
8 air mass sampled by the early morning launches P1-P6 (Figs. 9b-g, respectively) is the same
9 as the one described in Stage 9 at Harrow, dominated by a westerly large scale flow. Thus,
10 the uniqueness of the conditions over the lake (i.e., higher ozone) is limited to a shallow
11 surface layer (<200 m), while the reservoir of ozone aloft is similar across this larger region
12 with no evidence of the land-lake difference.

13 Of special note is the difference in the ozone vertical profile below the inversion layer
14 between the lake and land before sunrise. While ozone mixing ratios are almost constant over
15 the lake in this layer (about 25 ppbv, Fig. 8b), over the adjacent land (Fig. 8c) the observed
16 mixing ratios are much lower near the surface (17 ppbv at 47 m) but rapidly increase (34 ppbv
17 at 73 m) with altitude. These vertical changes result from the different thermal structure over
18 the two surfaces during the early morning hours. The surface inversion over land suppresses
19 any vertical mixing and allows much of the ozone near the ground to be titrated by nitrogen
20 oxides emissions or be deposited to the surface.

21 Subsequent tethersonde launches in that morning show that ozone levels are rapidly
22 increasing after sunrise at the lowest surface level from 0 to 16 ppbv between 06:00 to 07:34
23 (Figs. 9b to 9e), while decreasing at the 50 m a.g.l. level from 33 to 20 ppbv. These changes
24 correspond to changes in the temperature profile with a breakup of the surface inversion
25 occurring between 06:51 and 07:34 EDT (marked P3 and P4 in Figs. 9d and 9e, respectively).
26 More evidence of this process is found in the morning change rates in surface ozone at the
27 monitoring sites over lake and over land. While differences of ~10 ppbv in surface ozone
28 levels between lake (Buoy) and land (Wheatley) are observed in the early morning hours
29 (07:00 EDT, Fig. 6d), ozone change rates in the morning are faster over land than over the
30 lake, so that by 09:00 EDT both sites reach 35 ppbv of ozone. The early morning change rate
31 in surface ozone over land is thus shown to be the result of vertical mixing with an ozone-rich
32 layer at a higher altitude.

1 An interesting feature observed at Ridgetown is a decrease in ozone mixing ratios in a
2 shallow layer above the surface. This layer starts at 250 m a.g.l. (06:09 EDT) and descends to
3 78 m a.g.l. by 07:32 EDT (Figs. 9b and 9e, respectively). A similar feature observed over the
4 Chatham-Kent airport at 200 m a.g.l. by the Twin Otter at 07:16 EDT (Fig. 8j and marked P9
5 in Fig. 7a), and the NNW wind over the region suggest the presence of a fan-shaped NO_x
6 plume, possibly from the Lambton power plant south of Sarnia, ON (Fig. 1). Cases of NO₂
7 aloft over Ridgetown are discussed by Halla et al. (2010).

8 A second Twin Otter flight made later on July 7th from 13:30 to 16:20 EDT examined the
9 vertical cross section over Lake St. Clair up to 2600 m a.g.l. A moderate westerly flow
10 dominated the region in the late morning (also observed at Harrow, Fig. 6a), transporting
11 ozone and its precursors from the Detroit region over Lake St. Clair. By noon a stationary
12 Lake St. Clair lake-breeze front is established over the southern and western shores of the lake
13 and stalls for several hours until evening (Fig. 5d), while the regional flow changes to WSW
14 (Fig. 6a). A plan view of the flight (Fig. 10a) and a time series (Fig. 11a) show an increase in
15 in ozone mixing ratios from about 55 ppbv to 65 ppbv as the aircraft crosses the shore at the
16 southern side of the lake, both at 500 m and 700 m a.g.l. at 14:40 and 14:59 EDT,
17 respectively. The stationary Lake St. Clair lake-breeze front located on the shore at that time
18 marks the boundary between two air masses. On the northeastern side of the lake, high ozone
19 values extend some 20 km from the lake at 300 m, 500 m, and 740 m altitudes a.g.l. (Fig.
20 10b). At the 1400 m level, high ozone is more limited in its horizontal extent and does not
21 reach beyond the lake. The vertical extent of the high ozone values reaches 1900 m a.g.l.,
22 where a subsidence inversion layer is encountered, and ozone mixing ratios decrease at a
23 steady rate (Fig. 11). These measurements suggest the presence of a dome-shaped high ozone
24 region over Lake St. Clair at noon, that is shifted and stretched at lower levels to the
25 northeast, with peak values of about 85 ppbv at the lake surface. Other model simulations
26 (Makar et al, 2010b) examine the formation mechanism of this feature in detail: the coupling
27 of emissions from Detroit, the city's heat island circulation with the Lake St. Clair subsidence
28 flow, and possible recirculation of pollutants over Lake St. Clair.

29 **3.3.4 Nocturnal ozone oscillations**

30 Nighttime oscillations in ozone mixing ratios were observed at several monitoring sites during
31 the BAQS-Met campaign. These were characterized by amplitudes of 20-40 ppbv and time
32 periods of 15-40 minutes, changing from site to site and continuing for several hours. The

1 oscillations occurred at multiple sites and different nights (e.g., June 24-25th, June 29-30th and
2 July 4-5th), but had the highest amplitude and longest duration on the night of July 6-7th.
3 Figure 12 shows meteorological measurements (wind speed and direction, temperature,
4 dewpoint temperature and relative humidity) as well as ozone at four ground sites in SW
5 Ontario where oscillations were observed on the night of July 6-7th (sites locations are
6 indicated in Fig. 1): Bear Creek (mostly between 02:00-03:00 EDT, Fig. 12a); Lighthouse
7 Cove (between 21:00-01:30 EDT, Fig. 12b); Leamington (between 21:00-01:00 EDT, Fig.
8 12c); and Wheatley (between 21:00-04:00 EDT, Fig. 12d). The oscillations occurred during
9 periods with calm winds at the surface ($0-3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, red line in top panels in Fig. 12) and are
10 associated with oscillations in temperature and relative humidity (black and blue lines,
11 respectively, in bottom panels of Fig. 12), so that peaks in ozone correspond to peaks in
12 temperature and lows in relative humidity. Dewpoint temperature (shown in green in Fig. 12)
13 shows a different behavior at different times and at different locations with respect to the
14 oscillations in ozone and temperature. Looking at the Leamington site (Fig. 12c), dewpoint is
15 correlated with temperature and ozone at some times (e.g., 23:15) and anti-correlated at other
16 times (e.g., 03:00-03:15). At the other two sites where dewpoint was measured it shows no
17 change corresponding to the change in temperature (e.g., Lighthouse Cove between 23:00 and
18 02:00) or very little change but good correlation (e.g., Wheatley between 01:00-04:00). Note
19 also that Fig. 6 shows ozone traces for this same night at two other sites, Harrow and the Lake
20 Erie Buoy, with some evidence of oscillations at Harrow.

21 Increases in nighttime ozone levels have been identified in other studies at different locations,
22 and explained by nocturnal low-level jets (e.g., Corsmeier et al., 1997), intermittent
23 turbulence (Salmond and McKendry, 2002), and stratospheric intrusions (e.g., Mavrakis et al.,
24 2010). However, these studies observed either a secondary nighttime maximum or an abrupt
25 increase in ozone mixing ratios, not oscillatory behavior. The difference in observations
26 between the BAQS-Met study described here and previous studies could be the result of
27 different physical mechanisms producing high nocturnal ozone, or in part simply due to the
28 finer temporal resolution of the ozone measurements taken during BAQS-Met, of 1 minute
29 (e.g. at Bear Creek) and 5 minutes (at Leamington, Lighthouse Cove and Wheatley) and of 1
30 minute for meteorological parameters in all sites. In spite of the strong amplitude of the
31 observed oscillations (e.g., 40 ppbv drop in 25 minutes at Wheatley), they would not
32 necessarily appear in hourly averaged ozone data that is typically recorded by air quality
33 monitoring networks because of the smaller time periods of 15-40 minutes.

1 One possible explanation for the oscillations is intermittent turbulence causing a vertical
2 mixing of air from the ozone-rich residual layer aloft with the nocturnal boundary layer near
3 the surface. As discussed in the previous section, vertical stratification of ozone occurs after
4 sunset over land with low ozone (due to NO titration and deposition) and cooler air near the
5 surface and higher ozone and warmer air in a residual layer just above it. This vertical
6 structure can be seen in the vertical profiles taken by the aircraft in the early morning over
7 land between Leamington and Wheatley (Fig. 8c, location marked as P2 in Fig. 7), showing a
8 surface inversion layer up to 200 m a.g.l. and by the tether sonde launch at Ridgely at 06:00
9 EDT (Fig. 9b) showing a surface inversion layer up to 100 m a.g.l. Such a vertical structure is
10 probably due to radiative cooling of the surface under the anti-cyclonic conditions on that
11 night.

12 Given such a vertical stratification, turbulence and injection of air from aloft could then result
13 in the observed oscillations at the surface. Indeed, ozone measurements of the aircraft over
14 the lake at 05:00-05:20 EDT at about 180 m a.g.l. (Fig. 7a) show high mixing ratios of 60-80
15 ppbv, matching the values measured at Wheatley during the oscillations. Given the westerly
16 synoptic flow during that night described in Stage 9 (see Section 3.3.2), it is likely that the
17 same ozone-rich air mass was present over SW Ontario at night, and was the source of the
18 high ozone measured at the surface. Salmond and McKendry (2005) describe five possible
19 causes for turbulence in the stable nocturnal boundary layer, including shear as a result of
20 friction near the ground; low-level jets; mesoscale winds due to, e.g., land/sea breeze;
21 breaking gravity waves; and density currents. While shear near the surface and the land/lake
22 breeze are unlikely given the very low horizontal surface winds measured on that night, all
23 other three causes could explain the observed oscillations.

24 Stronger winds in the residual layer aloft could cause a wind shear between the two layers,
25 resulting in a nocturnal low level jets as described by Reitebuch et al. (2000) and Corsmeier et
26 al. (1997). GEM/AURAMS model results support the low-level jet explanation, showing
27 high wind speeds just above the surface on several occasions during that night. Figure 13
28 shows plan views at the surface (Figs. 13a, b) and cross sections of wind speeds above SW
29 Ontario (Figs. 13c, d) at two times, 22:00 and 03:20 EDT. The model predicts high wind
30 speeds just above the surface either as extensions of stronger winds above 1000 m a.g.l. at
31 22:00 EDT (Fig. 13c, marked i and j) or isolated from the stronger winds aloft such as at
32 03:20 EDT in Fig. 13d (marked k, l and m). Strong gradients in wind speed from the surface

1 to 100 m a.g.l. are predicted at these locations, with speeds increasing from 2 m s⁻¹ near the
2 surface to 6 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 13c, near i and j) and even 8 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 13d, near k, l and m).
3 Furthermore, the heterogeneity in the horizontal gradients of the wind speed predicted by the
4 model near the surface (e.g., near point D in Figs. 13a, b) and just above the surface at the
5 same location (e.g., Figs. 13c, d at 200 m a.g.l.) would result in higher potential for the
6 vertical mixing to occur over certain locations.

7 It is also possible that differential radiative cooling of the surface would cause parcels of
8 warmer air near the surface to ascend and develop gravity waves, thus causing turbulence and
9 forcing air from higher levels to reach the surface, where it is observed as warmer and more
10 ozone-rich air. The caveats with this explanation are that the rising warm air is likely to be
11 replaced laterally by cooler air and that the surface layer would have to be very shallow to
12 allow air from aloft to reach the ground in such a mechanism.

13 Lastly, given the movements of the retreating Lake Erie breeze front and the advancing Lake
14 Huron breeze front through the region on that night, as described in Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2,
15 it is possible that these fronts generate shear-induced instabilities ahead and behind them that
16 might result in periodic turbulence. Such Kelvin-Helmholtz billows induced by the front's
17 density current could be a possible mechanism that injects air from higher elevations to the
18 surface, as described by Sun et al. (2002). However, as with the first explanation, this
19 mechanism would also result in short bursts of stronger winds measured at the surface, which
20 are not observed in the measurements, but conceivably the shear-induced vertical mixing
21 could occur upwind of the station and then horizontal advection could transport the ozone-
22 enriched air over the station. In fact, if a number of billows overturned and collapsed, a
23 number of near-surface horizontal "bands" of alternating ozone-rich and ozone-poor air could
24 be created that could cause concentration oscillations at a fixed site if they were then advected
25 over the site in succession.

26 Apart from intermittent turbulence, two other explanations can be suggested for this behavior.
27 First, assuming that the oscillations are related to the Lake Huron breeze front described
28 above in Stage 9, it is possible that this nighttime front has a similar motion to that noted by
29 Drobinski et al (2007), with oscillatory behavior in its advance, and a buildup of pollutants
30 (NO_x, resulting in ozone titration at night), just behind the front. However, the observations
31 at SW Ontario occurred during the night, making it less likely for the lake-breeze front to
32 propagate by this mechanism. But some apparent oscillations might in fact be step changes

1 associated with a nighttime frontal passage, such as the drops in ozone at Lighthouse Cove
2 (Fig. 12b) and Bear Creek (Fig. 12c) at about 21:15 EDT due to the passage of the Lake
3 Huron breeze front. And second, given the similarity in ozone levels measured over Lake
4 Erie at the buoy and ozone peaks at the proximate Wheatley site (1.2 km from the lake), it is
5 not unreasonable to assume lateral penetrations of ozone-rich lake air to the adjacent land.
6 However, such penetrations would be expected to be accompanied by changing surface
7 winds, which are very weak during the first part of the night at Wheatley although there are
8 oscillations in wind direction from a southerly to a northerly direction and back (Fig. 12d,
9 between 22:00-01:00 EDT).

10 If correct, the intermittent turbulence explanation also suggests heterogeneity in ozone levels
11 in the residual layer, with 45 ppbv at Lighthouse Cove, 40 ppbv at Leamington, and 70 ppbv
12 at Wheatley (Fig. 12). However, as none of the above conceptual models given for the
13 observed oscillations is adequate and explains them in a completely satisfactory way, further
14 study is needed to find their cause, extent, and impact on surface ozone and on regional ozone
15 budget. Any explanation, however, should explain the fairly frequent occurrence of these
16 oscillations (on at least four nights during BAQS-Met).

18 **4 Conclusions**

19 Average ozone mixing ratio from the entire BAQS-Met intensive campaign period (June 20 to
20 July 10, 2007), both from ground-level observations and from AURAMS model output,
21 support previous findings that near-surface ozone levels are higher over the southern Great
22 Lakes than over the surrounding land. During the night and early morning, average ozone
23 mixing ratios over the lake are ~15 ppbv higher than over rural sites and ~25 ppbv higher than
24 over urban sites. Vertical profiles taken over land and over the lakes, as well as differences in
25 the average diurnal change rates of ozone over lake, rural, and urban surfaces and model
26 simulations suggest that the higher ozone levels observed over the larger lakes are limited to a
27 shallow layer of about 200 m depth. Differences in NO_x emissions/titration and ozone
28 deposition velocities between land and water have a significant impact on ozone
29 concentration contrasts between the two surfaces at night, and result in the higher ozone
30 levels observed over water in the early morning. As soon as vertical mixing begins in the
31 morning, ozone levels over land increase rapidly, and match the lake levels by 10:00 a.m.
32 local time, so that in late morning until just after noon very little difference is observed. Just

1 after noon, however, ozone levels over the lake are higher again by about 5 and 15 ppbv
2 compared to rural and urban sites, respectively, as seen in both observations and model
3 results.

4 Model simulations over the region show great spatial heterogeneity in ozone levels over the
5 lakes, particularly over Lake Erie with higher levels over the southwestern end of the lake.
6 Some of this heterogeneity is due to multiple emission sources in the region that are
7 concentrated around southwestern Lake Erie, such as large urban centers (e.g., Windsor,
8 Detroit, Toledo, Cleveland). Another important cause of the heterogeneity in ozone over
9 Lake Erie as well as the land areas in the region is the complex meteorology. Land and lake
10 breezes from the different lakes, which form on the majority of days, interact with the larger-
11 scale synoptic flow, as demonstrated for the July 6-7th 2007 case study. These complex flows
12 transport ozone and its precursors over the region, including from emission sources to the
13 lakes. The pollutants that are stored in the stable atmosphere over the lakes at night
14 experience limited fresh emissions and little removal by dry deposition. Comparison of
15 modeled and measured ozone diurnal changes over the lake at night indicates that the model
16 simulates these processes reasonably well, with no evidence that it is over-predicting
17 nocturnal ozone levels over the lake. When the lake breeze starts blowing towards the land in
18 the morning, a significant increase in ozone may then be observed over land. Values as high
19 as 90 ppbv were observed during BAQS-Met over extreme southwestern Ontario.

20 When primary air pollutants that are ozone precursors (e.g., CO, NO_x) also accumulate within
21 shallow layers and in distinct pockets over the lakes at night (e.g., northwest Lake Erie),
22 enhanced ozone formation occurs on the next day. This process can lead to local emissions
23 having a greater local impact (i.e., within 50 km) on surface ozone mixing ratios. The case
24 study presented for July 6-7th, 2007, shows that these situations, with enhanced levels of
25 locally-emitted precursors, are distinct from other cases of higher ozone over the lake when
26 the accumulation of ozone has occurred over multiple days so that the available precursors
27 have been depleted and the mixing ratio in ozone ceases to increase. The analysis also
28 illustrates how the combination of multiple terrain-forced mesoscale circulations and a
29 heterogeneous distribution of pollutant emissions results in a patchwork of chemical air
30 masses even within a single synoptic-scale meteorological air mass.

31 Considerable differences in temperature and ozone levels are observed in the vertical over
32 land and over water in the early morning hours. While a surface inversion over land causes

low ozone levels close to the surface (particularly over urban areas where mixing ratios can approach 0 ppbv), there is a rapid increase to regional levels above the inversion layer. In contrast, over the lake, ozone mixing ratios are fairly constant below the inversion up to 200 m a.g.l. but then rapidly increase to regional levels above the inversion layer. These differences in the vertical profile result in higher ozone levels over the lake at the lowest layers near the surface, but lower just below the inversion layer.

The mean ozone mixing ratios of the AURAMS model simulation for the entire study period show an ozone reservoir layer at night over Lake Erie, extending to the land over Cleveland, which is centered between 600-1000 m a.g.l. However, by early morning the vertical differences in ozone between over land and over lake are limited to a shallow layer of about 200 m a.g.l., suggesting that nighttime lake-land differences in surface ozone observed in this and previous studies have a limited effect on the overall ozone budget during the day. A different pattern is predicted by the model for Lake St. Clair, with mean vertical wind ascending over Detroit-Windsor and descending over Lake St. Clair during the day. The vertical circulation transports ozone and its precursors up to 1500 m a.g.l., resulting in high ozone levels in the entire column.

The high temporal resolution of the measurements examined in this study allowed the observation of nocturnal oscillations in ozone. These were recorded on several nights, and covered a relatively large spatial domain. Possible explanations for this phenomenon include intermittent turbulence that injects ozone-rich air from higher levels to the surface, the dynamics related to a lake-front progression, and lateral on-shore intrusions of ozone-rich Lake Erie air. If these oscillations are indeed the result of intermittent turbulence and injection of ozone from the residual layer aloft, they also suggest spatial heterogeneity in ozone levels in that layer. Further study is needed to establish the mechanism causing this behavior and determine the impact of such oscillations on ground-level ozone and the regional ozone budget, examining their spatial extent and frequency.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge with thanks the many BAQS-Met data providers, including the Ontario Ministry of the Environment for the measurements conducted at Ridgetown and on Pelee Island, the researchers from the University of Toronto and York University for the measurements at Harrow and Ridgeotwn, the Owen Sound Transportation Company for use

1 of their MV *Jiimaan* ferry and the National Research Council of Canada for the instrumented
2 Twin Otter aircraft. Special thanks are due to Frank Froude for the tethersonde data, Andrew
3 Sheppard for the IADN buoy data, Tomasz Stapf for operating the mesonet sites, Kurt Anlauf
4 and Jason O'Brien for their effort with the Twin Otter O₃ and NO₂ measurements and
5 Sangeeta Sharma for assistance with measurements from the MV *Jiimaan*. Finally, Ilan Levy
6 gratefully acknowledges support by a post-doctoral fellowship from the Environment and
7 Health Fund, Jerusalem, Israel.

References

- Alper-Siman Tov, D., Peleg, M., Matveev, V., Mahrer, Y., Seter, I. and Luria, M.: Recirculation of polluted air masses over the East Mediterranean coast, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31(10), 1441-1448, 1997.
- Alpert, P. and Getenio, B.: One-Level Diagnostic Modeling of Mesoscale Surface Winds in Complex Terrain .Part 1. Comparison with 3-dimensional modeling in Israel, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 116(10), 2025-2046, 1988.
- Anlauf, K., Lusi, M., Wiebe, H. and Stevens, R.: High ozone concentrations measured in the vicinity of Toronto, Canada, *Atmos. Environ.* (1967), 9(12), 1137-1139, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(75)90193-6, 1975.
- Banta, R. M., Seniff, C. J., Nielsen-Gammon, J., Darby, L. S., Ryerson, T. B., Alvarez, R. J., Sandberg, S. R., Williams, E. J. and Trainer, M.: A bad air day in Houston, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 86(5), 657-669, 2005.
- Brook, J. R., Strawbridge, K. B., Snyder, B. J., Boudries, H., Worsnop, D., Sharma, S., Anlauf, K., Lu, G. and Hayden, K.: Towards an understanding of the fine particle variations in the LfV: integration of chemical, physical and meteorological observations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38(34), 5775-5788, 2004.
- Capps, S. L., Hu, Y. and Russell, A. G.: Assessing near-field and downwind impacts of reactivity-based substitutions, *J Air & Waste Manag. Assoc.*, 60(3), 316-327, 2010.
- Cheng, W.: Ozone distribution in coastal central Taiwan under sea-breeze conditions, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36(21), 3445-3459, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00307-2, 2002.
- Cho, S., Makar, P., Lee, W., Herage, T., Liggio, J., Li, S., Wiens, B. and Graham, L.: Evaluation of a unified regional air-quality modeling system (AURAMS) using PrAIRie2005 field study data: The effects of emissions data accuracy on particle sulphate predictions, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43(11), 1864-1877, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.048, 2009.
- Cooper, O. R., Moody, J. L., Thornberry, T. D., Town, M. S. and Carroll, M. A.: PROPHET 1998 meteorological overview and air-mass classification, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 24289-24300, 2001.
- Corsmeier, U., Kalthoff, N., Kolle, O., Kotzian, M. and Fiedler, F.: Ozone concentration jump in the stable nocturnal boundary layer during a LLJ-event, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31(13), 1977-

1 1989, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00358-5, 1997.

2 Côté, J., Gravel, S., Méthot, A., Patoine, A., Roch, M. and Staniforth, A.: The operational
3 CMC-MRB global environmental multiscale (GEM) model. Part I: Design considerations and
4 formulation, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 126(6), 1373-1395, 1998.

5 Drobinski, P., Saïd, F., Ancellet, G., Arteta, J., Augustin, P., Bastin, S., Brut, A., Caccia, J. L.,
6 Campistron, B., Cautenet, S., Colette, A. et al.: Regional transport and dilution during high-
7 pollution episodes in southern France: Summary of findings from the Field Experiment to
8 Constraint Models of Atmospheric Pollution and Emissions Transport (ESCOMPTE), *J.*
9 *Geophys. Res.*, 112, 26 pp., doi:200710.1029/2006JD007494, 2007.

10 Dye, T. S., Roberts, P. T. and Korc, M. E.: Observations of Transport Processes for Ozone
11 and Ozone Precursors during the 1991 Lake Michigan Ozone Study, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 34(8),
12 1877-1889, 1995.

13 Fast, J. D. and Heilman, W. E.: The Effect of Lake Temperatures and Emissions on Ozone
14 Exposure in the Western Great Lakes region, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 42(9), 1197-1217, 2003.

15 Fast, J. D. and Heilman, W. E.: Simulated sensitivity of seasonal ozone exposure in the Great
16 Lakes region to changes in anthropogenic emissions in the presence of interannual variability,
17 *Atmos. Environ.*, 39(29), 5291-5306, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.05.032, 2005.

18 Gouw, J. D. and Warneke, C.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds in the earth's
19 atmosphere using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry, *Mass Spectrometry Reviews*,
20 26(2), 223-257, doi:10.1002/mas.20119, 2007.

21 Halla, J., Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Ng, A., Strawbridge, K., Firanski, B., Brook, J., Makar, P. A.
22 and McLaren, R.: Determination of Vertical Columns of NO₂ and Aerosol Optical Properties
23 in a Rural Setting Using MAX-DOAS, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, in preparation, 2010.

24 Hanna, S. R. and Chang, J. C.: Relations between meteorology and ozone in the Lake
25 Michigan region, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 34(3), 670-678, 1995.

26 Hastie, D. R., Narayan, J., Schiller, C., Niki, H., Shepson, P. B., Sills, D. M. L., Taylor, P. A.,
27 Moroz, W. J., Drummond, J. W., Reid, N., Taylor, R. et al.: Observational evidence for the
28 impact of the lake breeze circulation on ozone concentrations in Southern Ontario, *Atmos.*
29 *Environ.*, 33(2), 323-335, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00199-X, 1999.

30 Hayden, K., Sills, D. M. L., Li, S., Brook, J., Anlauf, K. G., O'Brien, J. and Sharma, S.: The

1 impact of lake breezes on trace gases and particles during the Border Air Quality and
2 Meteorology Study (BAQS-Met), Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., in preparation, 2010.

3 Kallos, G., Kassomenos, P. and Pielke, R. A.: Synoptic and Mesoscale Weather Conditions
4 During Air-Pollution Episodes in Athens, Greece, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 62(1-4),
5 163-184, 1993.

6 Kambezidis, H. D., Weidauer, D., Melas, D. and Ulbricht, M.: Air quality in the Athens basin
7 during sea breeze and non-sea breeze days using laser-remote-sensing technique, Atmos.
8 Environ., 32(12), 2173-2182, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00409-3, 1998.

9 Keeler, G. J., Spengler, J. D., Koutrakis, P., Allen, G. A., Raizenne, M. and Stern, B.:
10 Transported acid aerosols measured in southern Ontario, Atmos. Environ.. Part A. General
11 Topics, 24(12), 2935-2950, doi:10.1016/0960-1686(90)90474-2, 1990.

12 Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., Imre, D., Lee, Y., Nunnermacker, L. J., Springston, S. R.,
13 Weinstein-Lloyd, J. and Rudolph, J.: Ozone production rate and hydrocarbon reactivity in 5
14 urban areas: A cause of high ozone concentration in Houston, Geophysical Research Letters,
15 29, 105-1, 2002.

16 Lasry, F., Coll, I. and Buisson, E.: An insight into the formation of severe ozone episodes:
17 modeling the 21/03/01 event in the ESCOMPTE region, Atmospheric Research, 74(1-4), 191-
18 215, 2005.

19 Levy, I., Dayan, U. and Mahrer, Y.: A five-year study of coastal recirculation and its effect on
20 air pollutants over the East Mediterranean region, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmospheres, 113(D16),
21 doi:10.1029/2007JD009529, 2008a.

22 Levy, I., Dayan, U. and Mahrer, Y.: Studying coastal recirculation with a simplified analytical
23 land-sea breeze model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmospheres, 113(D3104),
24 doi:10.1029/2007JD008628, 2008b.

25 Liu, K., Wang, Z. and Hsiao, L.: A modeling of the sea breeze and its impacts on ozone
26 distribution in northern Taiwan, Environmental Modelling & Software, 17(1), 21-27,
27 doi:10.1016/S1364-8152(01)00049-4, 2002.

28 Lu, R. and Turco, R. P.: Air Pollutant Transport in a Coastal Environment .1. 2-Dimensional
29 Simulations of Sea-Breeze and Mountain Effects, J. Atmos. Sci., 51(15), 2285-2308, 1994.

30 Lu, R. and Turco, R. P.: Air Pollutant Transport in a Coastal Environment .2. 3-Dimensional

1 Simulations over Los-Angeles basin, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29(13), 1499-1518, 1995.

2 Lyons, W. A. and Cole, H. S.: Fumigation and Plume Trapping on the Shores of Lake
3 Michigan During Stable Onshore Flow, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 12(3), 494-510, 1973.

4 Lyons, W. A. and Cole, H. S.: Photochemical Oxidant Transport - Mesoscale Lake Breeze
5 and Synoptic-Scale Aspects, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 15(7), 733-743, 1976.

6 Makar, P., Moran, M., Zheng, Q., Cousineau, S., Sassi, M., Duhamel, A., Besner, M.,
7 Davignon, D., Crevier, L. and Bouchet, V.: Modelling the impacts of ammonia emissions
8 reductions on North American air quality, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(18), 7183-7212, 2009.

9 Makar, P. A., Gong, W., Mooney, C., Zhang, J., Davignon, D., Samaali, M., Moran, M. D.,
10 He, H., Tarasick, D. W., Sills, D. and Chen, J.: Dynamic adjustment of climatological ozone
11 boundary conditions for air-quality forecasts, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10(18), 8997-9015,
12 2010a.

13 Makar, P., Zhang, J., Gong, W. M., Stroud, C., Sills, D. M. L., Hayden, K., Brook, J. R.,
14 Levy, I., Mihele, C., Moran, M. and Tarasick, D.: Mass tracking for chemical analysis: the
15 causes of ozone formation in southern Ontario during BAQS-Met 2007, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*
16 (in review), 2010b.

17 Mavrakakis, A., Flocas, H. A., Mavromatidis, E., Kallos, G., Theoharatos, G. and Christides, A.:
18 A case of nighttime high ozone concentration over the greater Athens area, *Meteorol. Zeit.*,
19 19, 35-45, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2010/0428, 2010.

20 Millan, M. M., Mantilla, E., Salvador, R., Carratala, A., Sanz, M. J., Alonso, L., Gangoiti, G.
21 and Navazo, M.: Ozone cycles in the western Mediterranean basin: Interpretation of
22 monitoring data in complex coastal terrain, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 39(4), 487-508, 2000.

23 Mukammal, E. I.: Ozone as a cause of tobacco injury, *Agric. Meteor.*, 2(3), 145-165,
24 doi:10.1016/0002-1571(65)90016-6, 1965.

25 Murray, D., McWhirter, J., Wier, S. and Emmerson, S.: The Integrated Data Viewer: a web-
26 enabled application for scientific analysis and visualization, in *Preprints, 19th Intl Conf. on*
27 *IIPS for Meteorology, Oceanography and Hydrology.*, 2003.

28 Oh, I., Kim, Y., Lee, H. W. and Kim, C.: An observational and numerical study of the effects
29 of the late sea breeze on ozone distributions in the Busan metropolitan area, Korea, *Atmos.*
30 *Environ.*, 40(7), 1284-1298, 2006.

1 Ohashi, Y. and Kida, H.: Local circulations developed in the vicinity of both coastal and
 2 inland urban areas: A numerical study with a mesoscale atmospheric model, *J. Appl. Meteor.*,
 3 41(1), 30-45, 2002.

4 RDCT: (R Development Core Team). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
 5 Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [online] Available
 6 from: <http://www.R-project.org>, 2009.

7 Reitebuch, O., Strassburger, A., Emeis, S. and Kuttler, W.: Nocturnal secondary ozone
 8 concentration maxima analysed by sodar observations and surface measurements, *Atmos.*
 9 *Environ.*, 34(25), 4315-4329, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00185-0, 2000.

10 Roberts, J. M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Liu, S. C., Bollinger, M. J., Hahn, C., Albritton, D. L. and
 11 Sievers, R. E.: Measurements of aromatic hydrocarbon ratios and NO_x concentrations in the
 12 rural troposphere: Observation of air mass photochemical aging and NO_x removal, *Atmos.*
 13 *Environ.*, 18(11), 2421-2432, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(84)90012-X, 1984.

14 Salmond, J. A. and McKendry, I. G.: Secondary ozone maxima in a very stable nocturnal
 15 boundary layer: Observations from the Lower Fraser Valley, BC, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36(38),
 16 5771-5782, 2002.

17 Salmond, J. A. and McKendry, I. G.: A review of turbulence in the very stable nocturnal
 18 boundary layer and its implications for air quality, *Prog. Phys. Geog.*, 29(2), 171 -188,
 19 doi:[10.1191/0309133305pp442ra](https://doi.org/10.1191/0309133305pp442ra), 2005.

20 Sillman, S., Samson, P. J. and Masters, J. M.: Ozone Production in Urban Plumes
 21 Transported Over Water: Photochemical Model and Case Studies in the Northeastern and
 22 Midwestern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98(D7), PP. 12,687-12,699,
 23 doi:10.1029/93JD00159, 1993.

24 Sills, D. M. L., Brook, J., Taylor, P., Junhua, Z., Levy, I., Makar, P. and Hayden, K.: Lake
 25 Breezes in the Southern Great Lakes and Their Influence During BAQS-Met 2007, *Atmos.*
 26 *Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, in preparation, 2010.

27 Stroud, C., Makar, P., Moran, M., Gong, W. M., Gong, S., Zhang, J., Hayden, K., Mihele, C.,
 28 Brook, J., Abbatt, J. and Slowik, J.: Impact of model grid spacing on regional air quality
 29 predictions of organic aerosol, submitted to *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2010.

30 Sun, J., Burns, S. P., Lenschow, D. H., Banta, R., Newsom, R., Coulter, R., Frasier, S., Ince,

- 1 T., Nappo, C., Cuxart, J., Blumen, W. et al.: Intermittent Turbulence Associated with a
2 Density Current Passage in the Stable Boundary Layer, *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, 105(2), 199-
3 219, doi:[10.1023/A:1019969131774](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019969131774), 2002.
- 4 Vlasenko, A., Slowik, J. G., Bottenheim, J. W., Brickell, P. C., Chang, R. Y., Macdonald, A.
5 M., Shantz, N. C., Sjostedt, S. J., Wiebe, H. A., Leaitch, W. R. and Abbatt, J. P. D.:
6 Measurements of VOCs by proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry at a rural Ontario site:
7 Sources and correlation to aerosol composition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114(D21),
8 doi:10.1029/2009JD012025, 2009.
- 9 Zhang, J. and Rao, S. T.: The Role of Vertical Mixing in the Temporal Evolution of Ground-
10 Level Ozone Concentrations, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 38(12), 1674-1691, 1999.

1 Table 1. List of sites used for ozone analysis.

Name	Abbreviation	Type	Latitude	Longitude	Network
Palmyra	PAL	Rural	42°26.525'N	81°44.370'W	MESONet
Croton	CRO	Rural	42°36.571'N	82°04.812'W	MESONet
Sombra	SOM	Rural	42°41.780'N	82°25.781'W	MESONet
Paquette Corners	PAQ	Rural	42°11.717'N	82°57.922'W	MESONet
Leamington	LEA	Rural	42°04.121'N	82°36.826'W	MESONet
Wheatley	WHE	Rural	42°07.978'N	82°23.731'W	MESONet
Lighthouse Cove	LIG	Rural	42°17.499'N	82°31.343'W	MESONet
Essex	ESS	Rural	42°09.600'N	82°50.000'W	OME ¹
Ridgetown (Supersite)	XRG	Rural	42°27.200'N	81°53.268'W	OME
Harrow (Supersite)	HAR	Rural	42°01.978'N	82°53.603'W	EC ² , OME
Bear Creek (Supersite)	BEC	Rural	42°32.153'N	82°23.352'W	OME
Pelee Island	PEI	Lake	41°46.767'N	82°40.220'W	OME
Lake Erie Buoy	ECO	Lake	42°02.005'N	82°59.006'W	IADN ³
Windsor Downtown	WND	Urban	42°18.983'N	83°02.664'W	OME
Windsor West	WNW	Urban	42°17.567'N	83°04.400'W	OME
Allen Park	ALP	Urban	42°13.700'N	83°12.000'W	MI ⁴
Detroit	DET	Urban	42°18.250'N	83°06.000'W	MI
Oak Park	OAK	Urban	42°27.784'N	83°10.998'W	MI
Warren	WAR	Urban	42°30.800'N	83°00.000'W	MI

2 ¹ OME - Ontario Ministry of the Environment

3 ² EC – Environment Canada

4 ³ IADN - Integrated Atmospheric Deposition Network

5 ⁴ MI – Michigan State

Figure captions

Figure 1: Map of study region, indicating (left) model analysis sub-domain and the locations of chemistry supersites (BEC – Bear Creek, XRG – Ridgetown, HAR – Harrow), monitoring stations, buoy (ECO), ferry route, and main urban areas (gray shaded regions), and (right) the 2.5-km AURAMS model domain and larger setting. LSC marks Lake St. Clair; LH marks Lake Huron, and LO marks Lake Ontario.

Figure 2: (a) 2-hr running averages of surface ozone for the Buoy, Pelee Island, rural-site composite, urban-site composite, and the average of ferry measurements for trips scheduled on all weekdays; (b) running change rate between two consecutive hours of the measured data; (c) AURAMS diurnal averages at surface level over the 2.5-km grid sub-domain in Fig. 1 grouped by lakes, land and urban areas; (d) running change rates between two consecutive hours of the model data.

Figure 3: Mean AURAMS model output showing time-height cross-sections for the sub-domain shown in Fig. 2, sorted by surface type: (a) rural; (b) lake; and (c) urban. Differences are shown between (d) rural and lake, (e) rural and urban, and (f) urban and lake surface types, with the red frames in the middle panels marking a higher-resolution cross-section for midnight-noon up to 240 m a.g.l. shown in panels (g), (h) and (i), respectively.

Figure 4: Mean AURAMS model output showing (a) mean ground-level ozone, (b) mean ozone cross sections (ppbv), and (c) mean vertical velocity (m s^{-1}) for all 24 hours (a1, b1 & c1) and selected times (00:00, 06:00, 14:00 and 21:00 EDT, a2-5, b2-5 and c2-5, respectively), calculated for the entire 23 days of the intensive campaign (June 18 – July 10, 2007). Cross sections are along transect A-F marked in (a), with contour intervals of 2 ppbv for ozone and 0.01 m s^{-1} for vertical velocity.

Figure 5: Breeze-front locations on July 6th at (a) 12:00 EDT and (b) 20:00 EDT, and July 7th at (c) 00:00 EDT and (d) 14:00 EDT.

Figure 6: Measurements from July 6-7th including: (a) wind speed and direction at Harrow; (b) O₃, CO and SO₂ mixing ratios at Harrow; (c) NO, NO₂, NO_z and NO_y, as well as toluene-to-benzene ratio (TBR) at Harrow; and (d) O₃ at three additional sites (Wheatley, Leamington, and the Lake Erie buoy). Thick gray vertical lines mark the times of the first two lake-breeze frontal passages at Harrow, marked A1 and A2. Numbering (1-11) refers to the different stages detailed in the text.

Figure 7: Ozone (a) and NO₂ (b) measured at early morning hours (04:30-07:20 EDT) of July 7th by the Twin Otter aircraft. Notation P1-P9 refers to vertical profiles shown in Fig. 8.

Figure 8: (a): Twin Otter time series for July 7th showing O₃, NO₂, and elevation; (b-j): selected vertical profiles of O₃, NO₂, and temperature. Profile locations are indicated on Fig. 7.

Figure 9: (a): Time series for July 7th showing tethersonde elevation (black) and ozone mixing ratio (red) and ground-level ozone measured at the Ridgetown site (blue). (b-k): tethersonde vertical profiles of O₃ (red) and temperature (green) at Ridgetown. Profiles are numbered from P1 to P10.

Figure 10: (a) Plan view and (b) side view from southeast of the aircraft flight on July 7th 2007 between 13:40 and 16:20 EDT, with colors indicating ozone mixing ratios (ppbv). Times are related to the time series shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11: (a): Twin Otter time series of O₃, NO₂, and elevation; (b): vertical profiles of O₃, NO₂, and temperature over Lake St. Clair. Profile period is indicated as shaded area. Top notation refers to periods when the aircraft is located over, to the north, or to the south of Lake St. Clair (LSC).

Figure 12: Meteorological (wind speed (red) and direction (black), temperature (black), dewpoint temperature (green), and relative humidity (blue)) and ozone (red) measurements

1 during the night of July 6-7th, 2007 at four ground stations (a – Leamington, b – Lighthouse
2 Cove, c – Bear Creek and d – Wheatley).

3

4 Figure 13: Plan view at the surface (a, b) and vertical cross sections (c, d) of wind speed from
5 the AURAMS model on the night of July 6-7th 2007 at 22:00 EDT (a, c) and 03:20 EDT (b,
6 d).

7