

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “The vorticity budget of developing Typhoon Nuri (2008)” by D. J. Raymond and C. López Carrillo

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 30 August 2010

General Comment:

This paper examines the vorticity budget of developing Typhoon Nuri. Analysis of Doppler radar and dropsonde observations over a four day period provide a detailed picture of the evolution from an easterly wave to a typhoon. Interestingly, Nuri formed in a moderately sheared environment and its development was not accurately forecast. The circulation centers at low and mid levels were displaced by as much as 2-3 degrees during the early stages. The area of overlap between the regions exhibiting closed cyclonic circulations at these two levels is protected from environmental incursions, and was therefore argued to be the likely area in which the core of the developing cyclone spins up. This conclusion is consistent with the recent marsupial pouch theory developed by Dunkerton et al. (2009) and Montgomery et al. (2009). These results

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

are interesting and add to our knowledge of the kind of environments that can support tropical cyclone development.

Specific Comments:

1. Page 16592, line 2: The term in brackets in Eq. 3 is not the absolute vorticity, so this statement is incorrect.
2. Figure 2: the caption does not explain the figure adequately.
3. Figures 15 and 16: These figures show a significant increase in the mid level circulation between Nuri 1 and Nuri 2. The explanation given seems to be mainly vorticity stretching in VHT's, with tilting contributing at higher levels. Another possible explanation is that a large stratiform anvil develops from merger of VHT anvils aloft. Melting and evaporation at the base of the ice layer drives a mid level inflow that subsequently results in a spin up of the mid level circulation. Are you able to discount this possibility?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 16589, 2010.

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper