

***Interactive comment on “Atmospheric three-dimensional inverse modeling of regional industrial emissions and global oceanic uptake of carbon tetrachloride” by X. Xiao et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 15 July 2010

Recommendation – Manuscript is acceptable with only minor revisions.

The authors do a good job showing how the industrial emissions of CCl<sub>4</sub> have not decreased as much as industry data suggest. Instead the rapid decline is due to the fact that the sink strengths exceed the emissions. They also show a shift in the distribution of the industrial emissions. The shift shows relatively higher emissions from South and Southeast Asia.

The MATCH model and Kalman filter are good. The data used to generate the initial emissions distributions and to constrain the atmospheric mixing ratios are high quality. The approach is sound and well documented in the paper. I can't really find anything

C5346

wrong with this paper.

Some specific comments:

p. 12227 line 4 – correct the typo in CCl<sub>4</sub> where a number 1 was typed instead of a letter l.

Figure 6. The offsets are confusing, and I don't really think they are necessary. The graphs should still be readable with all values plotted properly on the y-axis. An alternative might be to use two plots for each of those graphs with offsets. The axes could then be correct for the values shown.

Figure 9. I realize that the authors specifically state that the net loss is shown as a positive value to distinguish it from the ocean sink, but it is still confusing to look at. If there is some other way to show this, I think it would be better.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 12225, 2010.

C5347