Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, C192–C193, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/C192/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Quantifying pollution inflow and outflow over East Asia through coupling regional and global models" by M. Lin et al.

w. lin

lwing08@gmail.com

Received and published: 20 February 2010

The overall contents are well presented. The author has demonstrated the advantages of using finer resolution models (i.e., WRF-CMAQ) on the issue of long-range transport studies. However, a few places may need some touch ups and improvements.

1) The title of the paper "Quantifying pollution inflow and outflow over East Asia through coupling regional and global models" may not represent well the contents of the paper. I realized that the author has coupled MOZARD with CMAQ (using MOZARD as the boundary conditions for CMAQ) to study the inflow and outflow over East Asia. However, the major focus of the paper have been on the advantages of WRF-CMAQ over

C192

MOZARD for the March episode along with the Asia outflow results from those simulations. Very little or near nothing on the discussion of the coupled model. The "coupling term" has seems mislead to the audience.

- 2) Pg. 129, line 15. The argument of "O3 production tends to be in the NOx-limited regime in MOZART" is wrong. The author has used the reason "Total emissions of NOx are 15% lower in MOZART, which leads to a relatively higher VOC/NOx ratio in the global model." Although NOx is 15% lower, however, that does not translated to "NOx limited regime in MOZART". According to the Table 1, the calculated VOC/NOx ratios in both MOZART and CMAQ are similar. It should be noted that most of East Asia studies have shown the East Asia is more VOC limited. Therefore, such writing is confusing. Unless, the author has more data or analyzes to support such argument. Otherwise, this writing is not justified.
- 3) Figure 7 at Pg. 150. It is unreasonable to use 20% perturbation with a factor of 5 to represent a full impact from Europe to East Asia since the nature of non-linearity in O3 chemistry. Some researchers (Wu et al. and Akimoto et al... Can't remember which year) have shown the O3 remained in linear relationship when 20% to 35% foreign reduction (i.e., NOx) is imposed. I believed that the linear relationship between O3 and NOx will not maintain when 100% of European emissions reduction is used. If the author want to continue to use the factor of 5 as the 100% of EU enhancement to East Asia, he/she should first demonstrate such technique is a good approximation or able o find some papers to support his/her argument. If the author can not prove that, this approximation should not be accepted since it is not scientifically sound. Therefore, this result could most likely be a wrong representation of the output result and may lead to over exaggerate the contribution of European enhancement to East Asia.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 109, 2010.