1	Anthropogenic and natural constituents in particulate
2	matter in the Netherlands
3	
4	E.P. Weijers ¹ , M. Schaap ² , L. Nguyen ³ , J. Matthijsen ⁴ , H.A.C. Denier van der
5	Gon ² , H.M. ten Brink ¹ , R. Hoogerbrugge ³
6	
7	[1] {Department of Air Quality and Climate Change, Energy research Centre of the Nether-
8	lands (ECN), Petten, the Netherlands }
9	[2] {TNO, Business unit Environment, Health and Safety, Utrecht, the Netherlands}
10	[3] {National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Neth-
11	erlands}
12	[4] {Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL), Bilthoven, the Netherlands}
13	Correspondence to: E.P. Weijers (weijers@ecn.nl)
14	

1 Abstract

To develop mitigation strategies for reducing concentrations of both PM2.5 (and PM10), the origin of particulate matter (PM) needs to be established. An intensive, one-year measurement campaign from August 2007 to August 2008 was carried out to determine the composition of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} at five locations in the Netherlands, aiming at reducing the uncertainties on the origin of PM. Generally, a considerable conformity in the chemical composition of PM_{2.5} (and PM₁₀) was observed. From all the constituents present in PM_{2.5}, the secondary inorganic aerosol is the most dominant (42-48%), followed by total carbonaceous matter (22-37%). Contributions due to sea salt (maximum 8%), mineral dust and metals (maximum 5%) are relatively low. For the first time, a detailed overview can be presented of the composition of the coarse fraction. Compared to the fine fraction, contributions of the sea salt, mineral dust and metals are now larger resulting in a more balanced distribution between the various constituents. Through mass closure a considerable part of the PM mass could be defined (PM2.5: 80-94%). The chemical distribution on days with high PM levels showed a distinct increase of nitrate as well as in the unaccounted mass not accounted for. Contributions of the remaining constituents remained equal or were lower (sea salt) when expressed in percentages. A correspondence between nitrate and the unknown mass was observed hinting at the presence of water on the filters. The contribution from natural sources in the Netherlands was estimated to be about 25% for PM_{10} and 20% for PM_{2.5}.

2 1. Introduction

3 Although air quality in Europe has improved substantially over the past decades, air pollution still poses a significant threat to human health (EEA, 2007). Health effects of air pollution are 4 dominated by particulate matter, both PM2.5 and PM10. Short-term exposure to PM has fre-5 quently been associated with increased human morbidity and mortality (e.g., Brunekreef and 6 7 Holgate, 2002). Effects of long-term exposure to PM are much more uncertain than the short-8 term effects, but are believed to have a much greater effect on health loss (Dockery et al., 9 1993; Pope et al., 1995). Recent estimates arrive at an average loss of life expectancy of about 6-12 months in the Netherlands (EEA, 2007). So far, there is no conclusive evidence 10 which component or components are most relevant for deteriorating human health, although 11 some suggestions have been made, e.g. soot (Hoek et al., 2002). Therefore, the European air 12 13 quality standards currently focus on PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. 14 At present, many countries in Europe, including the Netherlands, have problems adhering to the daily limit value for PM_{10} at hotspot locations. In the Directive (2008/50/EC) on ambient 15 air quality and cleaner air for Europe (EU, 2008) new air quality standards for fine particulate 16 matter (PM_{2.5}) have been established. An annual mean PM_{2.5} concentration of 25 µg/m³ has 17 18 been set as target value to be achieved in 2010 and as limit value to be adhered to by 2015. 19 The new directive introduces additional $PM_{2.5}$ objectives, that aim to a reduce human expo-20 sure to PM_{2.5}. The average exposure indicator (AEI) is a three-year averaged PM_{2.5} level 21 measured at urban background locations throughout European Member States. A reduction 22 target of 15% of the AEI between 2010 and 2020 for the Netherlands might be reached under 23 current legislation, whereas a reduction of 20% is probably not even reached with outlined 24 additional measures (Matthijsen et al., 2009). To develop mitigation strategies to meet these targets and to reduce the concentrations of both PM2.5 and PM10 in general one needs to es-25 tablish the origin of particulate matter. Of particular interest is the quantification of the an-26 27 thropogenic and natural fractions in PM as these provide an indication of the 'playing field' 28 of policy makers. 29 Important information on the origin of particulate matter can be obtained through chemical

analysis to establish its composition (Putaud et al., 2004, 2009; Viana et al., 2008; Sillanpää

31 et al., 2006; Salvador et al., 2007; Mazzei et al., 2008; Almeida et al., 2007). Many compo-

nents can be used as tracers for specific sources. For example, sodium is a tracer that is al-1 2 most exclusively associated with sea salt. However, one is usually not able to analyze the full composition and a significant portion of the particulate mass is therefore unknown. Using as-3 sumptions on the relationships between (a group of) tracers and major constituents one can 4 attribute the mass to these constituents, the so-called practical chemical mass bal-5 ance closure (CMB) approach (Harrison et al., 2003). In this way an estimate can be derived 6 7 for the major source contributions to PM. Though this approach CMB-significantly reduces the unknown mass a total mass closure is usually not attained. The (relative) magnitude of the 8 9 unexplained mass is an indicator for the uncertainty in the mass attribution. To independently 10 address such issues one can apply statistical techniques to the observation dataset to address the possible source categories for PM. Techniques such as positive matrix factorization 11 (PMF) can be used to search for groups of components with the same behaviour in time, 12 which are then assumed to derive from a single source or group of similar sources (Hopke et 13 14 al., 2001). Hence, a mass closure approach as well as PMF will give us independent insights 15 from an empirical point of view in the actual PM sources. Here, a mass closure approach is used to study the chemical composition of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ 16 17 filter samples that were collected in the Netherlands at five measurement sites for a period of one year. The study was executed within the framework of the Policy-Oriented Research 18 19 Program (BOP) and described in detail by Schaap et al. (2010). The general aim of this national program was to reduce knowledge gaps about composition, distribution and origin of 20 particulate matter in the Netherlands. This study established the chemical composition meas-21 22 ured at Dutch rural and urban locations. Properties of various constituents in fine and coarse matter, respective mass deficits and the changing composition on days with higher PM 23 24 masses are demonstrated. Also, the contribution of natural material in particulate matter and 25 political implications are discussed. The usefulness of the PMF approach is demonstrated elsewhere (Mooibroek et al., 2010; Schaap et al., 2010) who applied this technique on the 26 27 same data set.

- 28
- 29

30 2. Experimental methods

31 2.1 Monitoring locations

32 Five sites were selected for the monitoring of the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ composition (see Fig.1).

33 These include three rural background sites: Hellendoorn (~150 km from Rotterdam), Cabauw

(~50 km from Rotterdam) and Vredepeel (~100 km from Rotterdam). Cabauw represents the 1 2 rural background for the (industrialised) western part of the country whereas Hellendoorn and Vredepeel were selected to add information from the eastern and southern parts where agri-3 culture dominates. At Vredepeel intensive livestock activity exists in the direct surroundings. 4 5 Like to the rural sites, the urban background location Schiedam belongs to the Dutch National Air Quality Monitoring Network (NAQMN) maintained by RIVM. The kerbside (traf-6 7 fic) site in Rotterdam is part of the regional air quality network operated by the regional environmental protection agency (DCMR). Further characteristics of the locations are given in 8 9 Table 1.

10

11 2.2 Measurement strategy

12 The filter collection routine (PM10 and PM2.5) took place every second day between 15 August 2007 and 4 September 2008. Chemical analysis was done every fourth day. For each of 13 the five sites the following components in the filter samples were determined: sodium, chlo-14 15 ride, sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, elemental and organic carbon, elemental carbon, sodium and chloride, and the elemental composition and metals (and silicon). The filters were col-16 17 lected according to the reference method described in the European Standards (EN12341: 1998 and EN14907: 2005). The measurement instrument was the SEQ47/50 (Leckel GmbH, 18 Germany). In the BOP programme's experimental set-up this sequential low-volume system 19 (LVS) used a flow of 2.3 m³/h. Four of these reference samplers were placed at every loca-20 tion for a paired measurement of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. The sampling period per filter is 24 hours 21 and ran from midnight to midnight. All filters were protected during storage and transport. 22 The logistic and operational (QA/QC) procedures were those used in the NAQMN network. 23 Two types of filter media, quartz (QMA) and teflon (PTFE), were used. The teflon filters 24 25 were chosen to quantify the presence of silicon. The quartz fibre filter media is the material commonly used in gravimetric studies. They were cut into two equal parts for the SIA and 26 27 EC/OC analyses. 28

29 2.3 Chemical analyses

30 <u>The soluble fraction was extracted from the quartz filters by 7 ml deionized distilled water</u> 31 <u>and kept for 8 h in a sealed tube (10 ml).</u> Ammonium captured on the filters was <u>then</u> ana-

32 lysed on the AMFIA system (AMmonia Flow Injection Analyser, a flow injection system

1	built at ECN). The system is based on the selective diffusion of NH $^+$ at reduced pH by con	
1	built at ECIV). The system is based on the selective diffusion of N114 at reduced pit by con-	
2	ductivity. The detection level is 0.2 μg per filter. Sulphate and nitrate were measured in	
3	aqueous solutions with the Dionex IC25 ion chromatograph. This system combines a Ion	
4	Chromatography System with a conductivity detector and a high performance dual piston	
5	pump combined into one compact package. Samples were eluted through an ion exchange	
6	column using a dilute sodium hydroxide solution. The eluent is passed through self-	
7	regenerating suppressors that neutralise the eluent conductance, ensuring that electrochemical	
8	detectors (conductivity or pulsed amperometric) can detect the ions down to sub-ppm concen-	
9	trations. Detection level is 0.7 μg per filter.	
10	The analysis of carbon is based on thermography (Cachier-method). The filter-sample is	
11	heated in an oven resulting in the release of carbon. For quantitative determination of the	
12	amount of released carbon the evolved gases are oxidised to CO2 in a post-combustor oven.	
13	The discrimination of carbon in OC and EC is operationally defined. OC is the carbon re-	
14	leased during the 1st step (8 minutes at 340 C); the remainder gives an estimate for EC after	
15	combustion for 6 minutes at 750C. The analysis occurs in a Coulomat 702, manufactured by	
16	JUWE (Viersen, Germany). The total amount of carbon, TC, is directly proportional to the	
17	total amount of CO ₂ produced. The detection system, in principle, is an absolute method.	
18	Monthly calibrations with a standard were performed. Cleaning of the instrument occurred	
19	once a month (after a full month of operation). Several samples were in the oven for a longer	
20	period and also at a higher temperature to check for complete combustion and proper assess-	
21	ment of the EC. The lower detection limit of the method was around 5 μg per filter corre-	
22	sponding to a concentration of 0.1 μg m $^{-3}.$ An average experimental blank of 1.2 $\mu gOC/m^3$	
23	was found during the campaign (ten Brink et al., 2009) consisting of a filter blank of 0.5	
24	$\mu g/m3,$ and a field blank of 0.7 $\mu g/m^3$ (due to gas adsorption on the filter material). As the	
25	latter contribution ordinarily occurs in routine PM determination following the reference	
26	method, we only subtracted the filter blank from the measured OC values.	
27	A selection of 28 metals was analysed with Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry	Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt
28	(ICP-MS) after microwave digestion of the Teflon filters with a nitric acid/H2O2 mixture	
29	(Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, As, Ba, Se, Sr, Y, Mo, Cd,	
30	Sn, Li, Be) ICP MS was employed to analyse the elemental composition of the collected	Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt
31	PM ₄₀ and PM _{2.5} masses. In short, ICP MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry)	
32	is capable of determining a range of metal and non-metal concentrations with high sensitivity.	
33	It is based on combining an inductively coupled plasma to produce ions with a mass spec-	

trometer as a method of separating and detecting the ions._ICP-MS_ICP-MS_is the a-standard operational technique in NAQMN. To account for the non-complete dissolutionIn this study, we use the (summated) elemental mass primarily to estimate the contributions of suspended mineral dust and total metals._ of several metals (e.g. Si, Al) using this digestion method, average correction factors established in a comparison to digestion with HF were used (Schaap et al., 2010) . The sum of these elements (with the exception of sodium) is denoted by "total metals".

8

9

10 2.2 Data handling

For each site only filter samples were included with a complete characterization. Complete-11 ness was interpreted rather strict: if one of the components were missing the entire sample 12 was excluded. As a consequence, the number of samples at a site available for interpretation 13 14 differ from site to site varying from 26 (Cabauw) to 38 (Hellendoorn). The selected filter data 15 lie within the period January-August 2008. The composition of the coarse fraction was obtained by subtracting the concentrations in the PM_{2.5} sample from those in PM₁₀ followed by 16 17 the calculation of the averages and standard deviations. Next, the mass concentrations of all the components were summated and compared with the weighted mass to establish the "not-18 analysed" part (further denoted by 'NA'). The sum of the measured elements (without so-19 dium) is abbreviated as "total metals". 20

21 22

23 3. Results

24 3.1 Chemical composition

The average PM concentrations in our data set are 22.1 μ g/m³ (PM₁₀), 14.8 μ g/m³ (PM_{2.5}) and 7.3 μ g/m³ (PM_{10-2.5}) indicating that PM_{2.5} is around two-third of PM₁₀ which is common in the Netherlands. As expected, levels appear highest at the Rotterdam kerbside and lowest at rural Hellendoorn. The mass difference for PM₁₀ between these sites is considerable (near 9 μ g/m³) and originating from nearly equal increments of the fine and coarse mass (4-5 μ g/m³).

- 31 The average composition of the daily PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ filter samples is presented in Fig. 2 and
- 32 summarised in Table 2. An example of the compositional distribution can be seen in Fig. 3
- 33 (Schiedam). Fig. 2 directly shows that similarity exists in the chemistry of PM at the various

Met opmaak: Lettertype: Vet

locations. The largest contributions come from nitrate. The concentrations of the 'not-1 2 analysed' (NA) part in the various fractions is at least of similar level. The only exception is, not unexpectedly, observed at the kerbside location (Rotterdam) where comparable quantities 3 of carbon in the fine fraction and (summated) metals in the coarse fraction were found. This 4 5 is explained by the emissions from traffic passing nearby. At the other sites the next important component in PM2.5 (and PM10) is sulphate, followed by elemental carbon, and, in vari-6 7 ous order, organic carbon, ammonium, total metals, chloride and sodium. The major parts of the inorganic components, elementary and organic carbon, and NA are in the fine fraction. 8 9 Contributions of metals and sea-salt related components (sodium and chloride) mainly ar-10 rived from the coarser-sized particles. Notable is further the spatial similarity of the nitrate and ammonium levels with those of the not-analysed part at the five sites. In particular, this is 11 seen in the PM_{2.5} data and suggests a common origin. 12 At the two urban sites (Schiedam and Rotterdam) nitrate appeared as one of the more impor-13 14 tant components within PM10 with levels near or above 4 µg/m3. Considerable part of this was in the coarse fraction (1.5 and 1.8 µg/m3, respectively). A similar pattern was seen for 15 sulphate but with lower concentrations. Somewhat surprisingly, the highest nitrate, ammo-16 17 nium and sulphate concentrations occurred in the PM25 mass collected at Cabauw. These were even higher than at Vredepeel which is a site known to be influenced by livestock activ-18 19 ity. Although the rural location of Cabauw was less suspect in this respect, local emissions of 20 ammonia cannot be excluded and it would explain the elevated concentrations. As a conse-21 quence, the assumption that Cabauw could serve as a background site for the urban region 22 (containing the Rotterdam and Schiedam locations) is not confirmed. In addition, due to a technical malfunctioning in the winter period at least 7 measurement days at Cabauw were 23 missing. This explains the relatively low levels for sodium and chloride at this site. 24 The range of OC concentrations in PM_{10} is between 1.6 $\mu g/m^3$ (Hellendoorn, Cabauw) and 25 2.3 μ g/m³ (Rotterdam). A recent study by ten Brink et al. (2009) indicated that 70% of the 26

OC in the Netherlands is contemporary (due to emissions from biogenic material and wood combustion). The remainder would originate from fossil-fuel sources (i.e. diesel traffic emis-

sions). OC in the coarse fraction is highest at Vredepeel, Cabauw and Rotterdam (compared

30 to Hellendoorn). At the first two sites this is probably related to nearby biogenic emissions

31 nearby while at Rotterdam emissions are predominantly from vehicles.

3.2 Conversion formula used in the mass closure approach

Certain conversions were applied to the data set to identify the various constituents in PM.
This procedure contained estimations of associated oxygen of metals, sea salt (SS), sea-salt
sulphate (SS-sulphate), total carbonaceous matter (TCM) and mineral dust (MD). The outcome effectively reduces the 'not-analysed' part into a 'not-explained' or 'unknown' part in
the final mass closure. We shortly discuss here the impacts on the mass closure (summarised
in Table 3).

8 Elemental concentrations have been recalculated to their respective oxides to account for as-9 sociated oxygen (Schaap et al., 2010). The summated "oxidised" contribution appeared 1.4 to 10 1.5 higher than the elemental contribution. Its incorporation had the largest effect on the 11 coarse fraction: up to 1.0 μ g/m³ (Rotterdam). The change in PM_{2.5} was rather small (<0.4 12 μ g/m³). Consequently, the mass closure improved most for the coarse fraction (9%, on aver-13 age).

	-
14	The sea-salt aerosol is generated by sea spray processes when waves break on the sea shore-
15	and out at sea. Here, sodium is used as a tracer because it is not affected by depletion and
16	measurements are reliable. The presence of sea salt is then estimated by: $SS = 3.26$ *Na (con-
17	tribution of actually measured Cl is then neglected). The factor 3.26 follows from the compo-
18	sition of sea water (i.e., 1 l sea water contains 1 g material among which 0.308 g sodium).
19	The estimated SS contribution will differ from the actually measured sum of Na and Cl con-
20	centrations. The difference between this sum and the estimated SS contribution has to be dis-
21	counted from the NA fraction. The change in the not analysed part is calculated with respect
22	to the sum of Na and Cl (The where minor contributions of magnesium and other components
23	present in seawater were neglected actually lead to a very small double counting of these
24	components as they are part of the "metals" fraction.). The measured Na and Cl concentra-
25	tions are eliminated from the mass closure total after the SS constituent has been calculated.
26	Generally, the application of the sea-salt conversion has a similar effect as the incorporation
27	of oxidised elemental concentrations. Again the coarse fraction is affected most: the average
28	sea-salt contribution rose with 0.7 μ g/m ³ which corresponds with an improvement of 10% in
29	the mass closure. Double counting of sea-salt sulphate has been taken into account by sub-
30	traction of this contribution from the actually measured sulphate concentration. The sulphate
31	concentrations have been corrected for aThe sea-salt contribution which is estimated by
32	Na*(7.68/30.60) The ratio is determined by the molar weights of sulphate and sodium. The
33	sea salt contributions in relation to sulphate appear rather low ($< 0.3 \ \mu g/m^3$). The sea salt con-

Met opmaak: Spatiëring tussen Aziatische en Latijnse tekst niet aanpassen, Spatiëring tussen Aziatische tekst en en nummers niet aanpassen

Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt

Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt

Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt

1	tribution to the coarse fraction and the effect of Cl-depletion will be treated further in the		
2	<u>d</u> D iscussion.		
3	Carbonaceous constituents contain elements other than carbon that contribute to the mass of		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
4	PM (e.g., oxygen). The conversion from OC to organic material (OM) is even quite uncertain		Met opmaak: Uitvullen, Regelafstand:
5	in the US where many more monitoring data of OC/EC are available than in Europe. This is		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
6	the reason to take a minimalistic approach for a conversion factor via the parameter TC. The	\mathbb{N}	Times New Roman, 12 pt
7	use of total carbon in turn is due to the uncertain OC-EC split in the various chemical analy-	$\langle \rangle$	Times New Roman, 12 pt
8	sis approaches in use in Europe (ten Brink et al., 2004). These analysis procedures corres-	\mathbf{N}	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
9	pond well for total carbon, but yield very different EC/OC ratios.	\mathbb{N}	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
10	We follow here the approach of US-EPA (Frank, 2006) who compared total mass with sum-	(\parallel)	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
11	mated contributions of the chemical components analysed for a very large number of filters.	())	Times New Roman, 12 pt
12	Frank obtained an optimal mass closure with total carbonaceous matter (TCM) defined as 1.3	////	Times New Roman, 12 pt
13	times the amount of total carbon (TC). This is derived from measurements in the Speciation		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
14	Trends Network which contains by definition urban background stations. Therefore, an aver-		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
15	age factor of 1.3 seems a good compromise in the present study with three types of stations	$V \parallel$	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
16	(rural, urban background and kerbside). Using this approach the largest relative change is		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
17	calculated for $PM_{2.5}$ which is no surprise as TC is predominantly present in the fine fraction.	\mathbb{N}	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
18	The mass closure improved with some 7% (for PM2.5). The maximum change was observed	/	Times New Roman, 12 pt
19	at the kerbside site in Rotterdam $(+1.4 \mu g/m^3 \text{ for PM}_{2.5})_{\star}$	////	Times New Roman, 12 pt
20	Carbonaceous constituents in PM contain other elements than carbon contributing to the	()	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
21	mass of PM (e.g., oxygen). The conversion from OC to organic material (OM) is rather un-	$\langle \rangle$	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
22	certain: in literature the factor varies between 1.2 and 2 and it may well be possible that the		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
23	value decreases near emission sources and increases in aged air masses. US EPA includes		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
24	the associated elements in their approach by following Frank (2006) who compared total		Times New Roman, 12 pt Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard)
25	mass with summated contributions of components analysed for a very large number of filters.		Times New Roman, 12 pt
26	Frank calculated that total carbonaceous matter (TCM) defined by 1.5 times the amount of	1	Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
27	total carbon (IC) would have the best agreement. An additional reason to use total carbon	\sim	Met opmaak: Lettertype: Cursief
28	and not convert OC to OM is due to the uncertain OC EC split in the chemical analysis. Cur-	\backslash	Met opmaak: Lettertype: 11 pt, Cursief
29	rent analysis procedures correspond well for total carbon, but yield very different EC/OC ra-		Met opmaak: Lettertype: Cursief
30	tios (Ten Brink et al., 2009). Using the approach of Frank the largest relative change is cal-		
31	culated for PM _{2.5} which is no surprise as TC is predominantly present in the fine fraction.		
32	The mass closure improved with some 7%. The maximum change was observed at the kerb-		
33	side site in Rotterdam (+1.4 µg/m ³).		Met opmaak: Lettertype: Cursief, Engels (V.S.)

Mineral dust is defined here as fugitive wind-blown and mechanically resuspended soil dust 1 with a composition comparable to the earth's crust containing elements like Si, Al, Ca, K, Fe 2 and Ti. In our data set the correlation between Al and Si turned out to be very good ($r^2=0.96$). 3 4 This suggests that both originate from the same source and are present in similar ratios. The 5 method to estimate the contribution of MD here is by focusing on these two abundant elements according to MD = 0.49 * Si + (2.36*Si + 2.7*Al) (proposed by Denier van der Gon, 6 7 2009). All elemental weights were recalculated first to their respective oxide concentrations. 8 The calculated MD contribution is subtracted from the total elemental mass which leaves the final mass balance unaffected. The outcome is further denoted by 'metals'. 9

10 3.3 Mass closure

11 The resulting concentrations of the various constituents are given in Table 4. The resulting 12 mass closure is again given for the Schiedam site in Fig. 4. The dominant constituent in the PM_{2.5} filter samples is the secondary inorganic components (SIA). There is not much differ-13 ence between most measurement sites as concentrations range between 6 and 7 μ g/m3. A 14 maximum occurs at Cabauw (8.4 μ g/m³) due the enhanced levels of ammonium and nitrate 15 noted earlier. The second important contribution comes from total carbonaceous matter 16 17 (TCM) showing more variation. TCM in PM_{2.5} is higher at the urban sites (4.0-6.1 μ g/m³) than at the rural sites $(3.3-3.9 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3)$. The remaining constituents, i.e., sea salt (SS), mineral 18 19 dust (MD), and metals contribute considerably less with concentrations always below 1 20 μ g/m³. The unexplained part in the PM_{2.5} mass fraction tends to be higher at the rural sites with a maximum at Cabauw of $3.5 \,\mu g/m^3$. At the other sites the missing part was always less 21 than 2.5 μ g/m³. 22

23 The presence of the various constituents in the coarse fraction is more evenly distributed than

24 in the fine fraction. Relatively high contributions arise from sea salt ($\leq 2.7 \ \mu g/m^3$; maximum

- at Schiedam) and SIA ($\leq 2.3 \ \mu g/m^3$; higher levels at Rotterdam and Schiedam). The concen-
- trations of MD and the remaining metals are always less than 1.4 μ g/m³ (Cabauw) and 1.6
- 27 $\mu g/m^3$ (Rotterdam), respectively. Remarkable is rather high TC levels at Vredepeel (1.3
- 28 μ g/m3) and Cabauw (0.7 μ g/m3) compared to Rotterdam (1.2 μ g/m3) and Schiedam (0.7

 $29~\mu\text{g/m3})$ The reason for these enhanced TC levels in the coarse fraction is not clear.

- 30 To determine which of the constituents are the main contributors when high PM levels (e.g.,
- 31 on exceedence days) occur the data set has been partitioned into two subsets, containing the
- 32 data for days at which the PM_{10} (PM_{2.5}) concentrations were less or larger than 30 (25)
- $\mu g/m^3$. Not surprisingly, the absolute contributions of most constituents rise when PM in-

creases. The difference between the PM10 levels when averaged over the two sets is 20 1 $\mu g/m^3$. The largest changes in absolute concentrations are observed for SIA (+11 $\mu g/m^3$ in 2 PM_{10}) and the unknown part (+6 µg/m3) followed by smaller or negligible changes for TCM 3 (+3 μ g/m3), MD (+1.5 μ g/m3) and metals (<+0.5 μ g/m3). The only exception is sea salt 4 which decreases at higher PM levels (-1.8 µg/m3). The corresponding changes in chemical 5 distributions of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are summarised in Fig. 5. It can be seen that when expressed 6 7 in percentages both SIA and the unknown part become more dominant at days with more PM. Correspondingly, the relative contributions of the remaining constituents (MD, TCM and SS) 8 9 decrease at higher PM concentrations. 10 The two measurement sites in the Rotterdam urban area allow investigating which components are emitted by traffic by comparing measured concentrations at the kerb site (Rotter-11 dam) to those at the urban background site (Schiedam). The difference in PM_{10} between the 12 two sites appeared 3.5 μ g/m³ (based on 27 corresponding days) and can be attributed to car-13 bonaceous material (2.5 μ g/m³) and metals (1 μ g/m³). The carbonaceous material increment 14 is largely due to elementary carbon. The metals that are enhanced include copper, iron, bar-15 ium and molybdenum and result from tail-pipe emissions-(TCM), and brake and tire wear. 16 17 Surprisingly, there is no similar increment in the concentrations of mineral dust. This indi- cates implying that this is not an important source at Rotterdam resuspension is not a signifi-18

cant source <u>. As this</u> disagreesing with the outcomes from other studies in the Netherlands
 (e.g. Visser et al., 2001) and elsewhere (e.g., Amato et al., 2009) further investigations on this

issuethe origin of mineral dust and the role of traffic areis - necessary.

21

22

23 4. Discussion

24

25 4.1 Chemical composition

The data set gives a proper insight in the chemical characteristics of PM in the Netherlands. 26 27 Generally, a considerable similarity in the chemical composition of PM is observed at the five measurement sites. Always, secondary inorganic components are the dominant constitu-28 ent with contributions responsible for nearly half of the total mass (42-48% of PM2.5) with 29 30 nitrate as the most important component. The variation in SIA concentrations appears rather limited indicating that its behaviour is mainly driven by larger-scale meteorology and not by 31 local sources. Nearby emissions of ammonia may incidentally lead to locally high levels 32 (e.g., Cabauw). The next important contribution originates from total carbonaceous matter 33

1 showing more variation over the sites studied here (22-37%). TCM in $PM_{2.5}$ tends to be

2 higher in areas where more traffic prevails (Rotterdam, Schiedam). The remaining constitu-

ents, i.e., sea salt, mineral dust and metals are relatively unimportant as they contribute each
less than 8%.

5 The study allows the first detailed overview of the chemical composition of the coarse frac-

6 tion in the Netherlands. Compared to the fine fraction both SIA and TCM are less dominant

7 (around 29% and 25%, respectively) becoming comparable with mineral dust (<23%) and sea

8 salt (<30%). The remaining metals sum up to 16% (Rotterdam). It was further noted that at

9 the two urban sites considerable part of SIA (25%) was in the coarse fraction. It is assumed

10 that in the marine urban environment (Schiedam and Rotterdam are within 30 km of the sea-

11 shore) the coarse sea-salt particles act as reactive sinks for nitric and sulphuric acids. The im-

12 plications of these mechanisms will be discussed further below.

As anticipated, absolute contributions of most constituents rise when PM concentrations go up. However, this does not occur at the same rate for each constituent. The largest increase is

15 observed for SIA, most notably nitrate, and the missing mass part. By consequence, the

16 chemical distribution rearranges at days with higher PM concentrations: SIA and the un-

17 known part become more dominant, the contribution of mineral dust remains the same while

18 the relative importance of TCM and sea salt decrease. Such behaviour can be explained by

19 considering the atmospheric situation these days and the localisation of the major emissions.

20 The high concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} occur at days with stagnating conditions or slow

21 transport from south, south-east or east. As the emissions are diluted less due to the lower

22 wind speeds and removal is low, pollution starts to build up. During the winter period this ef-

23 fect is strengthened due to the shallow boundary layers. In view of the dominant transport di-

rection (continental) it is not surprising that the contribution of sea salt diminishes. In addi-

tion to unfavourable meteorological conditions, considerable emissions for SO2 and NOx

take place east of the Netherlands (industrial Ruhr area); for NH3 this is in the Southeast (a

27 centre of intensive livestock industry). Model studies also indicate high contributions of na-

tional sources to the concentrations of primary material and ammonium nitrate (Schaap and

29 Denier van der Gon, 2007). Also some special events contribute to a (small) number of ex-

30 ceedence or days with high concentrations. In the data set we have identified contributions

31 from desert dust, fireworks at New Year's Eve, and bonfires at Easter.

32

4.2 Mass closure and the unknown part

To correct for known underestimations a number of algorithms to estimate various constitu-1 ents has been applied. Most of these (oxide concentrations, sea salt, total carbon material) 2 improve the mass closure. In the case of PM_{2.5} the conversion from TC to TCM results in a 3 more complete mass closure in the PM2.5 samples at the urban sites (93-94%). The closure 4 appears less perfect at the rural sites (80, 83 and 90%) demonstrating the sensitivity on site 5 characteristics. In contrast to the fine fraction, the mass closure in the coarse fraction seems 6 7 better at the rural sites. The unexplained parts are now less than 4% of the total mass (which is in absolute mass units negligible) while in the urban environment this is 12 (Rotterdam) 8 and 16% (Schiedam) or, 1 and 1.5 μ g/m³, respectively. 9 A similarity has been noted between SIA in PM2.5 (more specific, nitrate and, to a lesser ex-10 tent, ammonium) and the unexplained mass. The largest mass deficit (measured at Cabauw) 11 coincides with the highest SIA concentration; at Schiedam minimum SIA concentrations and 12 mass deficit have been measured. To a lesser extent, similar behaviour is seen in the coarse 13 14 mass fraction. Here, SIA concentrations at the urban sites are (somewhat) higher and correspond with larger mass deficits. By consequence, the 'contribution' of the unexplained mass 15 16 is also important at days with higher PM concentrations since the presence of SIA (or more 17 specific nitrate) is the main reason for these augmented levels. A possible reason may be the amount of water attached to SIA. Hygroscopic salts on parti-18 19 cles, like ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulphate in the fine fraction, and sodium nitrate and sodium sulphate in the coarse fraction attract water and contributes to the PM mass 20 21 measurements. It can be estimated that the amount of water on PM_{10} due to the presence of 22 SIA is about 10% of the SIA mass which is 4-5% of the PM₁₀ mass concentration. Such a contribution is possible as it is lower than the total unexplained mass. However, although im-23 portant it can not be the sole contributor to the unexplained mass. 24 To correct for known underestimations we applied a number of algorithms to estimate vari-25 ous constituents. Most of these recalculations (oxide concentrations, sea salt, total carbon ma-26 27 terial) improve the mass closure. For example, in case of PM2.5 the conversion from TC to TCM results in a decrease in the unknown mass part of 68%. Not surprisingly, the more 28 complete mass closure in the PM25 samples was obtained at the urban sites (93 94%). The 29 closure appears less perfect at the rural sites (80, 83 and 90%) demonstrating the sensitivity 30 on site characteristics. As noted earlier, SIA do not largely differ in $PM_{2.5}$ (and PM_{10}) be 31 32 tween the locations. As the contributions of the remaining components are relatively minor in PM2.5, a larger contribution of TCM in PM2.5 at urban locations results in a smaller part of 33 34 PM that is unexplained.

In contrast to the fine fraction, the mass closure in the coarse fraction seems better at the rural 1 sites. Here, the unexplained parts are less than 4% of the total mass (which is in absolute 2 mass units negligible) while in the urban environment it is 12% (Rotterdam) and 16% 3 (Schiedam) or, in absolute value, 1 and 1.5 µg/m³, respectively. 4 A correlation is observed between the SIA presence in PM25 (more specific, nitrate and, to a 5 lesser extent, ammonium) and the amount of unexplained mass at the five sites. For example, 6 7 the largest mass deficit (measured at Cabauw) coincides with the highest SIA concentration; at Schiedam minimum SIA concentrations and mass deficit have been measured. To a lesser 8 extent, a similar behaviour is noted for the coarse mass fraction. Here, the SIA concentrations 9 at the urban sites are (somewhat) higher corresponding with larger mass deficits. By conse-10 quence, also the 'contribution' of the unexplained mass becomes more important at days with 11 12 higher PM concentrations since the presence of SIA (or more specific nitrate) is the main rea-13 son for these augmented levels. 14 A possible reason may be the amount of water attached to SIA. Water is a natural component 15 of particulate matter. Hygroscopic salts on particles, like ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulphate in the fine fraction, and sodium nitrate and sodium sulphate in the coarse fraction at 16 17 tract water. The water bound to particles contributes to the PM mass measurements. Ideally, this water is removed by pre heating of the air sample or by conditioning of the filters under 18 19 dry (50% RH) circumstances following the reference method (NEN12341). However, heating or drying only partly removes the particle bound water due to hysteresis (e.g. Speer et al., 20 2003). They report molar ratios between 0.5 and 1.0 for water on ammonium nitrate and am-21 22 monium sulphate particles at a RH of 50% and 75%, respectively. PM₁₀ reference measure 23 ments are to be performed at temperature of 20 °C and a relative humidity of 50%. With a molar ratio of 0.5 for water on ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulphate particles. As such, 24 we estimate the amount of water on PM₁₀ due to the presence of SIA is about 10% of the SIA 25 mass. This results in an estimate of 4 5% of the PM₁₀ mass concentration. Such a contribu-26 tion is possible as it is generally lower than the total unexplained mass. Concluding, water is 27 expected to be an important, though not the sole, contributor to the unexplained mass. 28 A similar water uptake may be associated with sea salt. However, as the contribution of sea 29 30 salt to the total mass is low, its water contribution is much less compared to that of SIA, at 31 least in the case of PM_{2.5}. Likewise the amount of water on organic carbon (OC) is unlikely to 32 play an important role. The contribution of hygroscopic OC to ambient PM levels in the Netherlands is much smaller than the contribution of SIA. Hygroscopic OC is a fraction of 33

1 the total OC amount $(-2 \mu g/m^3)$ and thus much lower than SIA levels $(-10 \mu g/m^3)$. More-2 over, the amount of water bound to a unit OC is less than on SIA (Speer et al., 2003).

3

4 4.3 Role of nitrate

5	According to the formation pathways ammonia gas reacts reversibly with HNO_3 to form its	
6	ammonium salt, NH_4NO_3 , which is semi-volatile and may release their gas phase precursors	
7	under conditions of low atmospheric $\rm NH_3$ levels or high temperature according to $\rm NH_3$ +	
8	$HNO_3 \leftrightarrow NH_4NO_3$. In marine and coastal atmospheres, nitric acid is also converted into par-	
9	ticulate nitrate (NaNO ₃) through the reaction with sea salt particles resulting in the release of	
10	HCl: NaCl + HNO3 \rightarrow NaNO3 + HCl. In contrast to NH ₄ NO ₃ , NaNO ₃ is a non-volatile com-	
11	pound under atmospheric conditions therefore, partitioning of nitric acid into the sea salt is	
12	irreversible. In the Netherlands most of the nitrate is found in the fine mode as ammonium	
13	nitrate. However, the coarse nitrate fraction is still a substantial part of the analysed coarse	
14	mode (Table 2). In particular, the coarse-to- PM_{10} ratio in the case of nitrate is near 40% at	
15	the urban sites (and some 26% at the rural sites).	
16	From this formation pathway the problem arises how to correctly assign the coarse mode ni-	
17	trate to the PM constituents SIA and SS, respectively. It is this formation pathway that poses	
18	a complication in the chemical mass closure approach. In the chemical mass balance sodium	
19	is used as a tracer of sea salt and multiply its concentration with a factor to obtain an estimate	
20	of fresh sea-salt aerosol, including all the chloride. Hence, when one would put both the sea	
21	salt estimate and the full coarse mode nitrate into the SS constituent, the sea saltwhen one	
22	would keep both the sea salt estimate and the full coarse mode nitrate in the chemical mass	
23	balance, the sea salt and nitrate contributions cannot simply be added as the nitrate replaces	
24	chloride. Consequently, one needs to make a correction in the chemical mass balance ap-	
25	proach for this double counting.	
26	In principle, there are two options to remove the double counting. The first is to replace (on	//
27	a molar basis) a part or all of the estimated chloride concentration in the SS constituent by the	
28	measured coarse nitrate concentration. In case the coarse nitrate exceeds the estimated chlo-	\geq
29	ride the remaining part of nitrate is kept in the SIA constituent. The first is to remove the lost	\sum
30	chloride mass from the sea salt estimate and to keep the coarse nitrate as it is. The second op-	\geq
31	tion is to keep the estimated SS constituent as it is and to discount from the SIA constituent	\nearrow
32	the coarse nitrate fraction that potentially may replace the sea salt chloride. The second op-	\nearrow
33	tion is to assign only the net mass addition to the coarse nitrate part. The first option reflects	
34	the substitution processes taking place on sea salt particles. The first option reflects the meas-	

Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt

Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt

Met opmaak: Regelafstand: 1,5 regel		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Spatiëring tussen Aziatische en Latijnse tekst niet aanpassen, Spatiëring tussen Aziatische tekst en en nummers niet aanpassen		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		
Met opmaak: Lettertype: 12 pt		

ured chemical composition of the particles. The second option reflects the sources and espe-1 2 cially the associated reduction potential. Though both choices are valid and defendable, it can be argued that the second option suits better if we are after the source apportionment. Reduc-3 tion of NOx emissions may cause a reduction of coarse mode nitrate, which is partly compen-4 sated by the fact that chloride is not lost anymore. Such a reduction would yield a net result 5 of ((NO3-CI)/NO3 = (62-35)/62 =) 27/62 times the nitrate reduction (where the number in-6 7 volved are molar weights of the respective components). Hence, the same factor could be used to scale back the coarse nitrate fraction in the chemical mass balance. The motivation 8 9 would be that when all anthropogenic sources would be non-existing one still has the sea salt 10 left that is given now in the mass distributions. Doing so, the coarse nitrate would, on average, be lower with some $0.6 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ which gives an increase in the NA part of the coarse frac-11 tion with some 4%. A similar reasoning may be valid for the anthropogenic sulphate in the 12 coarse fraction. Corrections like these are uncommon in current mass closure studies and 13 14 consequences will have to be explored in more detail in future.

15

16 4.4 Natural fraction

For policy makers the extent to which particulate matter can be influenced by measures is of importance. It is the anthropogenic part that could be targeted by abatement strategies. Here, a first-order approximation of the natural and anthropogenic contribution to PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ is developed.

Sea spray emissions are the most important natural source of primary sulphate. Applying the 21 sodium-to-sulphate ratio learns that roughly 5% of the particulate sulphate is marine. Though 22 23 sulphate may be emitted directly into the atmosphere, its major source is the oxidation of sulfur dioxide. In the Netherlands anthropogenic SO₂ emissions derive mostly from the combus-24 tion of sulfur containing fuels for power generation and international shipping. In Europe, 25 natural emissions for SO_2 include volcanoes but given location, height and distance to the 26 Netherlands their contribution is probably low (i.e., not more than 1 percent to ground level 27 28 sulphate). Contributions of other biogenic sources of SO_2 like oxidation of DMS, COS and H₂S are also of minor importance (Bates et al., 1992). Wildfire emissions of SO₂ are com-29 monly neglected in studies directed to wild land fire emissions. In short, the natural contribu-30 tion to sulphate in the Netherlands is estimated to be 5-10%. In the approximation 5% is used 31 (as a conservative estimate). 32

33 To estimate how much of the *nitrate* is natural NO_x emissions from soil, biomass burning and

34 lightning should be considered. Studies on NO emissions from soil report emissions between

59 and 190 Kton in the EU15 (Simpson et al., 1999; Friedrich et al., 2008). Relative to the 1 2 total inventoried emissions the central value is 4%. From these emissions about two third is attributed to agricultural soils and one third to forest soils. Soil NO_x emissions largely depend 3 on the nutrient input (through fertilization or atmospheric deposition) implying that the ab-4 5 ovementioned contribution is only partly natural. Lightning depends linearly on the amount of convective precipitation. In Europe corresponding emissions are estimated to be about 1% 6 7 (65 Kton) of the total inventoried emissions It mainly takes place between 1 and 5 km altitude and mostly in southern Europe (Meijer et al., 2001; Friedrich et al., 2008). The available 8 9 wild fires estimates range between 20-50 Kt (Friedrich et al., 2009; Hoelzemann et al., 2004) 10 and also occur mostly in countries around the Mediterranean. Given the short life time of NOx and the rather short transport distance of nitrate we assume the impact of lightning and 11 wild land fires can be negligible (<1%) in the Netherlands. In short, the natural fraction of 12 NO_{*} emissions and therewith nitrate is estimated to be 5% (absolute upper limit). In the ap-13 14 proximation, the natural fraction of NOx emissions and therewith nitrate is estimated to be 15 between 0 and 5%. 16 Ammonia is emitted from, most notably, animal manure, traffic and fertilizer application

(Olivier et al., 1998). Emissions in Europe are for the largest part (80-95 %) associated with agricultural activities (Van der Hoek, 1998). Recently, Erisman et al. (2009) estimated the natural emissions at about 10% of the total emission in Europe. This percentage includes contributions from wild animals and wetlands. A similar percentage is assumed for the Netherlands.

Elemental carbon is emitted from combustion processes and a pure primary component. The main source is the (incomplete) combustion of fossil fuels. Wild land fires are occasional sources of vast amounts of carbonaceous particles. Though wild land fires may seem natural, the vast majority of present day fires are due to human behavior. Hence, elemental carbon is almost exclusively anthropogenic. *Organic matter* is released from combustion processes, agricultural activities, as well as from

nature. Anthropogenic combustion particles (as well as small contribution of stable emissions) are inventoried. About half of the observed concentrations of OC (Schaap et al., 2004; Simpson et al., 2007) can be explained. Other primary sources include biological material (fungal spores and plant debris) may contribute up to $0.4 \ \mu g/m^3$ (about 6% of OM) at rural background sites in central Europe (Sanchez-Ochoa et al., 2008). Recently, ¹⁴C analysis suggested that some 70% (Ten Brink et al., 2010) of the OC mass in the Netherlands was of living material where biogenic SOA, agriculture and other landscaping activities may have con-

tributed. The complicating factor in the estimation of the natural part of OM is the uncertainty 1 related to its secondary component (oxidation of VOCs yields products with low vapor pres-2 sures that may condense on existing aerosol) as the major formation routes are not well 3 4 known. Similarly, the ratio of natural to anthropogenic SOA is under large scientific debate. Note that the oxidation pathways that yield SOA are largely influenced through hydroxyl and 5 ozone from anthropogenic emissions. Presently, it is postulated that biogenic sources are a 6 7 major contributor to atmospheric SOA (e.g. Simpson et al., 2007). Assuming that the unexplained OC using present day emission inventories is for the largest part SOA an upper limit 8 9 of ~50% of natural OM is obtained. As a substantial part of the SOA may very well be anthropogenic we postulate a second guess with half of the SOA as natural, leading to 25% of 10 natural OM. 11

Mineral dust usually originates from different sources, e.g., wind erosion of bare soils, agri-12 cultural land management, re-suspension of road dust, road wear, driving on unpaved roads, 13 14 handling of materials and building and construction activities. The contributions of these 15 sources are still poorly understood. Here, only wind erosion is relevant as natural source. 16 Globally the atmospheric input through wind erosion is dominated by a few areas exception-17 ally susceptible for wind erosion. Saharan dust is regularly transported to countries around the Mediterranean Sea. In central and northern Europe dust transport from the Sahara occurs 18 19 once or twice a year and is not considered very significant. During this study we could only 20 identify one day with a significant impact of Saharan dust to PM (contribution ~4% of the annual mean MD concentration). Korcz et al. (2009) and Schaap et al. (2009) show that wind 21 22 blown dust at the European continent is a much smaller source for dust than traffic resuspension and agricultural land management. More importantly, the wind blown dust source 23 24 strength from soils other than arable land is low. Hence, wind blown dust emissions are 25 strongly related to anthropogenic changes in surface vegetation cover and are regarded as mostly anthropogenic. Consequently, the total mineral dust concentration in air is expected to 26 be anthropogenic for a large part .- To be on safe side an upper limit of 20% of the mineral 27 dust is assumed to be of natural origin. In the approximation we use 10% as a conservative 28

- 29 <u>estimate for the natural contribution.</u>
- 30 Metals originate from a vast range of abrasion processes and metallurgy industry. Though
- 31 soils may contribute to metal concentrations they are in principle accounted for in the mineral
- 32 dust estimate. Here, all metals are assumed to be anthropogenic.
- 33 The natural fraction of the unknown mass is by definition unknown. As water partly explains
- the unknown fraction and most of the water appears associated with SIA it is likely that a

1 significant part of the unknown fraction can be considered to anthropogenic. We assume here

that the anthropogenic/natural ratio within the unknown mass fraction resembles that of theexplained mass.

4 The natural fraction in the Netherlands is then obtained by adding relative natural mass con-5 tributions per component. For instance, in Hellendoorn 11.5% of PM₁₀ is associated with sea salt adding to 11.5% of natural PM₁₀ (see Table 5). Note, that this fraction represents the 6 7 source attribution and therewith fresh sea salt as discussed in section 4.3 and would be lower when one reflects the chloride loss in this calculation. Nitrate contributes 21 % of which 0 or 8 9 5% is assumed to be natural resulting in a contribution of 0 or 1% natural PM_{10} for the low and high cases, respectively. Hence, nitrate contributes very little to the natural fraction. -10 Adding all contributions, the estimated natural fraction of PM_{10} is 245%. The low estimate 11 for carbonaceous particles yields a natural fraction of 1921%. Hence, around one quarter of 12 PM_{10} is estimated to be natural. Performing the same exercise for $PM_{2.5}$ yields estimates of 13 14 $1\underline{78}$ and $1\underline{34}\%$ for the two cases, respectively. Application of this methodology to all sites in 15 this campaign yields natural fractions of about one fourth for PM_{10} and one fifth for $PM_{2.5}$ (Fig. 6). In case of the low natural OM fraction the natural estimates are about 5% lower than 16 17 with the high case.

18 5. Conclusions

There is a considerable conformity in the chemical composition of PM over the Netherlands. 19 Most dominant is the secondary inorganic aerosol - the sum of sulphate, nitrate and ammo-20 nium – showing slightly higher levels at the rural sites. Carbon containing components are 21 22 generally the second largest contributor being enhanced in the traffic dominated urban area. 23 The most important natural constituent is sea salt, especially in the western part of the Neth-24 erlands near the North Sea. Contributions of mineral dust and metals appear relatively low. The distribution of species in PM10 resembles that of PM2.5 which is not surprising as the 25 mass of PM2.5 is about two thirds of the total PM10 mass. The chemical composition observed 26 in the coarse mass fraction appears more balanced: contributions of mineral dust and sea salt 27 28 are of comparable magnitude with those of SIA and TCM. 29 On days with high PM concentrations, all constituents, except sea salt, show higher concen-

30 trations. Sea salt maximises in marine air masses associated with clean air conditions. The ni-

31 trate and unknown contributions increase most on these days suggesting a relationship by

Opmerking [s1]: Hier moet nog wat over min/mac nitraat

1 means of the associated water content. The relative contribution of the remaining components

2 is mostly stable as function of PM concentration.

3 After analysing the filter samples on chemical content the sum of all the components ac-

4 counts on average for 70-80% of the total mass. Hence, a full analysis is not obtained, but ex-5 isting knowledge can be used to narrow down partially the remaining gap. For example, met-

5 isting knowledge can be used to narrow down partially the remaining gap. For example, met-6 als can be taken into account as their oxides and total carbonaceous matter (including non-C

7 atoms) is estimated based on the total carbon content. In addition, the contributions of min-

8 eral dust and sea salt were estimated. After this procedure, 80 to 94% of the total mass can be

9 explained. Uncertainties occurring in the mass closure are conversion factors for total carbo-

10 naceous matter and the displacement of chloride by nitrate in the coarse fraction.

11 Based on a literature review, it is concluded that the most important natural contributions ori-

12 ginate from sea salt (100%) and organic material (with an upper limit of 50%). Including the

13 natural parts of the remaining constituents, estimates for the entire natural contribution yield

14 25% for PM₁₀ and 20% for PM_{2.5}. This (fairly pragmatic) analysis illustrates that the majority

15 of PM in the Netherlands is of anthropogenic origin.

16 A major gap in knowledge remains the characterisation of the unknown mass and the role of

17 the water content of the filter. Another caveat is the chemical characterisation of the urban

18 increment between (with respect to rural background concentrations). The technical inability

19 in the differentiation between EC and OC influences results. For more traditional PM compo-

20 nents, like SIA and primary material, a modelling may identify source contributions at sector

21 level, and provide insight into national versus foreign sources. The origin of carbonaceous

22 matter remains one of the biggest challenges, as knowledge in this respect is still moderate.

2 Acknowledgements

This study is conducted under the auspices of the Netherlands Research Program on Particulate Matter (BOP), a national program on PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, funded by the Netherlands Ministry of Housing, Spatial planning and the Environment (VROM). The program is a framework of cooperation, involving four Dutch institutes: the Energy research Centre of the Netherlands (ECN), the Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL), the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), and TNO Built Environment and Geosciences.

10

1

1 References

2	Amato, F., Pandolfi, M., Escrig, A., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Pey, J., Perez, N., and Hopke,	Met opmaak: Lettertype:
3	P.K.: Quantifying road dust resuspension in urban environment by multilinear engine: A	
4	comparison with PMF2, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2770-2780, 2009.	
5		
6	Almeida, S.M., Pio, C.A., Freitas, M.C., Reis, M.A. and Trancoso, M.A.: Source apportion-	
7	ment of fine and coarse particulate matter in a sub-urban area at the Western European Coast.	
8	Atmos. Environ. 39, 3127-3138, 2005.	
9		
10	Bates, T. S., Lamb, B. K., Guenther, A., Dignon, J. and Stoiber, R. E.: Sulfur emissions to the	
11	atmosphere from natural sources. J. Atmos. Chem., 14, 315-337, 1992.	
12		
13	Brunekreef, B. and Holgate, S.T.: Air Pollution and Health, Lancet, 360, 1233-1244, 2002.	
14		
15	Denier van der Gon, H., Jozwicka, M., Hendriks, E., Gondwe, M. and Schaap, M.: Mineral	
16	dust as a constituent of particulate matter. PBL report 500099003, Bilthoven, the Nether-	
17	lands, 2010.	
18		
19	Dockery, D., Pope, C. A., Xu, X., Spengler, J., Ware, J., Fay, M., Ferris, B., and Speizer, F.:	
20	An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. New Engl. J. Med.	
21	329,1753-1759, 1993.	
22		
23	EU: Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on ambient air	
24	quality and cleaner air for Europe, 2008.	
25		
26	EEA: Air pollution in Europe 1990-2004, EEA report 2/2007, Copenhagen, 2007.	
27		
28	Erisman, J.W., Sutton, M.A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z. and Winiwarter, W.: How a century	
29	of ammonia synthesis changed the world, Nature Geoscience, 1, 636-639, 2009.	

1 Frank, N.H.: Retained nitrate, hydrated sulfates, and carbonaceous mass in Federal Reference

2 Method fine particulate matter for six eastern cities. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 56, 500-

- 3 511, 2006.
- 4

Friedrich, R. :Improving and applying methods for the calculation of natural and biogenic
emissions and assessment of impacts to the air quality. Final project activity report 2007.
http://natair.ier.uni-stuttgart.de/

8

Hoek, G., Meliefste, K., Cyrys, J., Lewné, M., Bellander, T., Brauer, M., Fischer, P., Gehring, U., Heinrich, J., van Vliet, P., Brunekreef, B.: Spatial variability of fine particle con-

hring, U., Heinrich, J., van Vliet, P., Brunekreef, B.: Spatial variability of fine particle concentrations in three European areas, Atmos. Environ. 36, 4077-4088, 2002.

Hoelzemann, J.; Schultz, M. G.; Brasseur, G. P.; Granier, C. and Simon, M.: Global Wildland
Fire Emission Model (GWEM): Evaluating the use of global area burnt satellite data, J. Geophys. Res. 109, D14S04, 2004.

18

Hopke, P. K., Liu, C. and Rubin, D. B.:Multiple imputation for multivariate data with missing and below-threshold measurements: time-series concentrations of pollutants in the arctic.

- 21 Biometrics 57, 22–33, 2001.
- 22

23	Korcz, M., Fudała, J. and Kliś, C.: Estimation of wind blown dust emissions in Europe and its
24	vicinity, Atmos. Environ. 43, 1410-1420, 2009.

25

29

Mazzei, F., D'Alessandro, A., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S., Prati, P., Valli, G. and Vecchi, R.):
Characterization of particulate matter sources in an urban environment, Sci. Total Environ.

32 41, 81-89, 2008.

⁹ Harrison, R.M., Jones, A.M., and Lawrence, R.G.: A pragmatic mass closure model for air10 borne particulate matter at urban background and roadside sites. Atmos. Environ. 37, 492711 4933, 2003.

Matthijsen, J., Jimmink, B.,De Leeuw, F. and Smeets, W.: Attainability of PM_{2.5} air quality standards, situation for the Netherlands in a European context. PBL report 500099015, Bilthoven, the Netherlands, 2009.

2 Meijer, E., van Velthoven, P., Brunner, D., Huntrieser, H. and Kelder, H.: Improvement and evaluation of the parameterization of nitrogen oxide production by lightning, Phys. Chem. 3 4 Earth, 26, 557-583, 2001. 5 Mooibroek, D., Schaap, M., Weijers, E.P. and Hoogerbrugge, R.: Source apportionment and 6 7 spatial variability of PM2.5 using measurements at five sites in the Netherlands, to be pub-8 lished. 9 Pope, C. A., Thun, M., Namboodiri, M., Dockery, D., Evans, J., Speizer, F., and Heath, C.: 10 Particulate air pollution as a predictor of mortality in a prospective study of U.S. adults. Am. 11 J. Resp. Crit. Care, 151, 669-674, 1995. 12 13 14 Putaud, J.-P, Raesa, F., Van Dingenen, R., Bruggemann, E., Facchini, M., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, 15 S., Gehrig, R., Hueglin, C., Laj, P., Lorbeer, G., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N., Muel-16 ler, K., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Torseth, K, Wie-17 densohler, A., 2004. A European aerosol phenomenology - 2: Chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background locations in Europe, Atmos. Envi-18 19 ron., 38, 2579-2595, 2004. 20 21 Putaud, J.-P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., H. Bauer, Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje, H., 22 Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H.C., Harrison, R.M., Herrmann, H., Hitzenberger, R., Hüglin, C., Jones, A.M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A., Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., 23 Löschau, G., Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen, J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., 24 Puxbaum, H., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Salma, I., Schwarz, J. Smolik, J. Schneider, G. 25 Spindler, H. ten Brink, Tursic, J. J., Viana, M., Wiedensohler, A., Raes, F.: A European 26 27 aerosol phenomenology - 3: Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside sites across Europe, Atmos. Environ. 44, 1308-1320, 2009. 28 29 Salvador, P., Artı, B., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Costoya, M.: Characterisation of local and 30 31 external contributions of atmospheric particulate matter at a background coastal site. Atmos.

32

Environ. 41, 1-17, 2007.

Schaap, M. and Denier van der Gon, H.A.C.: On the variability of Black Smoke and carbona-1 2 ceous aerosols in the Netherlands, Atmos. Environ., 41, 5908-5920, 2007. 3 4 Schaap, M., Manders, A.M.M., Hendriks, E.C.J., Cnossen, J.M., Segers, A.J.S., Denier van 5 der Gon, H.A.C., Jozwicka, M., Sauter, F.J., Velders, G.J.M., Matthijsen, J., Builtjes, P.J.H.: Regional modelling of particulate matter for the Netherlands, PBL Report 500099006, Bilt-6 hoven, the Netherlands, 2009. 7 8 9 Schaap, M., Spindler, G., Schulz, M., Acker, K., Maenhaut, W., Berner, A., Wieprecht, W., Streit, N., Mueller, K., Brüggemann, E., Putaud, J.-P., Puxbaum, H., Baltensperger, U.,ten 10 Brink H. M.: Artefacts in the sampling of nitrate studied in the "INTERCOMP" campaigns of 11 12 EUROTRAC-AEROSOL, Atmos. Environ. 38, 6487-6496, 2004. 13 Schaap, M., Weijers, E.P., Mooibroek, D., Nguyen, L. and Hoogerbrugge, R.: Composition 14 and origin of particulate matter in the Netherlands, PBL report 500099007, Bilthoven, the 15 Netherlands, 2010. 16 17 18 Sillanpää, M., Hillama, R., Saarikoski, S., Frey, A, Pennanen, A., Makkonen, U., Spolnik, Z., 19 Van Grieken, R., Braniš, M., Brunekreef, B., Chalbot, M.-C., Kuhlbusch, Th., Sunyer, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala M. and Salonen R. O.: Chemical composition and mass closure of 20 particulate matter at six urban sites in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 40, 212-223, 2006. 21 22 Simpson D., Winiwarter W., Börjesson G., Cinderby S., Ferreiro A., Guenther A., Hewitt N., 23 Janson R., Khalil M.A.K., Owen S., Pierce T., Puxbaum H., Shearer M., Skiba U., Stein-24 25 brecher R., Tarrason L. and Öquist, M.G.: Inventorying emissions from nature in Europe. J. Geophys. Res. 104, 8113-8152, 1999. 26 27 Simpson, D., Yttri, K.E., Klimont, Z., Kupiainen, K., Caseiro, A., Gelencsér, A., Pio, C.A., 28 29 Puxbaum, H., Legrand, M.: Modeling carbonaceous aerosol over Europe: Analysis of the CARBOSOL and EMEP EC/OC campaigns, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D23S14, 2007. 30 31 32 Speer, R.E., Edney, E.O., Kleindienst, T.E.: Impact of organic compounds on the concentrations of liquid water in ambient PM2.5, J. Aerosol Sci., 34, 63-77, 2003. 33

1		
2	Ten Brink, H.M., Weijers, E.P., Van Arkel, F. Th., De Jonge, D.: Carbon (EC/OC) concentra-	
3	tions as derived from routine PM measurements in the Netherlands', PBL Report 500099005,	
4	Bilthoven, the Netherlands, 2009.	
5		
6	Ten Brink, H.M., Weijers, E.P., Röckmann, T. and Dusek, U.: ¹⁴ C analysis of filter samples	
7	for source apportionment of PM in the Netherlands, ECN Report E10-005, 2010.	
8		 Met opmaak: Nederlands (standaard)
9	Ten Brink, H.M., Maenhaut, W., Hitzenberger, R., Gnauk, T., Spindler, G., Even, A., Chi, X.,	 Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Poman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
10	Bauer, H., Puxbaum, H., Putaud, JP., Tursic J. and Berner A.: INTERCOMP2000: the	Met opmaak: Engels (V.S.)
11	comparability of methods in use in Europe for measuring the carbon content of aerosol, At-	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt. Engels (V.S.)
12	mos. Environ., 38, 6507-6519, 2004.	Met opmaak: Engels (V.S.)
13	•	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
14		Met opmaak: Engels (V.S.)
15	Van der Hoek, K.W.: Estimating ammonia emission factors in Europe: Summary of the work	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
16	of the UNECE ammonia expert panel, Atmos. Environ., 32, 315-316, 1998.	Met opmaak: Engels (V.S.)
17		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
18	Viana, M., Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Querol, X, Alastuey, A., Harrison, R.M., Hopke, P.K., Wini-	Met opmaak: Engels (V.S.)
19	warter, W., Vallius, M., Szidat, S., Prévôt, A.S.H., Hueglin, C., Bloemen, H., Wåhlin, P.,	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
20	Vecchi, R., Miranda, A.I., Kasper-Giebl, A., Maenhaut W., and Hitzenberger, R.: Source ap-	Met opmaak: Engels (V.S.)
21	portionment of particulate matter in Europe: A review of methods and results, J. Aerosol Sci.	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
22	39, 827-849, 2008.	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
23		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
24 25	Visser et al., 2001 Visser, H., Buringh, E., van Breugel, P.B., 2001. Composition and origin of airborne particulate matter in The Netherlands. National Institute for Public Helath and the	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
26 27	Environment, RIVM. The Netherlands, Report 650010029. 104pp.	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
28 29	Weijers, E.P., Sahan, E., Brink, H.M. ten, Schaap, M., Matthijsen, J., Otjes, R.P., van Arkel,	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
30	F. van (2010): Contribution of secondary inorganic aerosols to PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ in the Neth-	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
31	erlands; measurement and modeling results. PBL report 500099006, Bilthoven, 2010.	Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Niet Vet
32		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt
33		Met opmaak: Lettertype: (Standaard) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Engels (V.S.)
54		

1 Table 1. Properties of the measurement locations

Location	Characteristics
Cabauw	Background location in the centre of the Netherlands
	Rural surrounding
	Some agricultural emissions in the direct surroundings
	Urban areas in 20-40 km distance
Hellendoorn	Background location in the east of the Netherlands
	Rural surrounding
	No known anthropogenic emissions nearby
Vredepeel	Background location in the southeast of the Netherlands
	Rural surrounding
	Intensive live stock (pigs) breeding nearby
Schiedam	Urban background location in the west of the Netherlands
	Part of Rotterdam urban agglomeration (appr. 600,000 in-
	habitants)
	Residential areas surrounded by motorways
	Close (<5 km) to industrial activity (refineries, oil-
	industry) and harbour
Rotterdam	Traffic location ('kerbside') in the west of the Netherlands
	Part of the Rotterdam agglomeration
	Less than 5 m from the kerb; traffic junction at 80 m_with
	traffic lights.
	Heavy traffic.

		Hellendoorn		Vredepe	el		Cabauw		Schiedam			Rotterdam
number of samples	38		35	-		26		33		41		
PM ₁₀	17,7 ±	6,4	21,2	± 7	,3	23,6 ±	11,9	21,8	± 9,6	26,3	±	11,6
NO3	3,6 ±	2,1	4,3	± 2	,2	5,4 ±	3,7	4,0	± 3,6	4,8	\pm	4,2
SO4	2,3 ±	1,0	2,5	± 1	,1	3,0 ±	1,4	3,1	± 1,6	3,0	\pm	1,6
NH4	1,2 ±	. 0,7	1,5	± 1	,1	2,0 ±	1,7	1,2	± 1,6	1,5	\pm	1,7
EC	1,7 ±	1,0	2,0	± 0	,9	2,1 ±	1,0	2,0	± 1,3	3,7	\pm	1,5
OC	1,6 ±	1,0	1,8	± 0	,7	1,6 ±	0,9	1,8	± 1,3	2,3	\pm	1,0
Cl	0,6 ±	. 0,9	1,1	± 1	,2	0,4 ±	0,4	1,2	± 1,3	0,9	\pm	0,9
Na	0,6 ±	. 0,6	0,9	± 0	,8	0,5 ±	0,4	1,1	± 0,8	0,9	\pm	0,6
total metals	1,4 ±	- 0,8	1,7	± 0	,7	2,0 ±	1,2	2,0	± 1,0	2,9	\pm	1,1
not-analysed	4,6 ±	3,5	5,5	± 2	,5	6,7 ±	4,5	5,3	± 2,5	6,4	\pm	3,3
PM _{2.5}	12,5 ±	5,4	14,5	± 6	,3	17,5 ±	11,0	13,0	± 7,6	16,4	±	10,0
NO3	2,6 ±	1,7	3,2	± 2	,3	4,1 ±	3,7	2,5	± 2,5	3,0	\pm	3,0
SO4	2,1 ±	. 0,9	2,2	± 1	,1	2,7 ±	1,4	2,5	± 1,3	2,4	\pm	1,1
NH4	1,3 ±	. 0,9	1,3	± 1	,0	1,6 ±	1,4	1,1	± 1,2	1,4	\pm	1,5
EC	1,5 ±	. 0,8	1,4	± 0	,7	1,7 ±	0,9	1,9	± 1,0	3,2	\pm	1,4
OC	1,4 ±	. 0,7	1,2	± 0	,6	1,3 ±	0,6	1,2	± 0,7	1,5	\pm	0,8
Cl	0,2 ±	. 0,2	0,3	± 0	,4	0,2 ±	0,1	0,2	± 0,2	0,2	\pm	0,2
Na	0,2 ±	. 0,2	0,3	± 0	,3	0,1 ±	0,1	0,3	± 0,2	0,3	\pm	0,3
total metals	0,7 ±	. 0,4	0,7	± 0	,2	0,8 ±	0,3	0,9	± 0,3	1,0	\pm	0,3
not-analysed	2,6 ±	2,0	3,8	± 2	,7	4,9 ±	5,7	2,4	± 2,3	3,3	\pm	3,6
PM _{10-2.5}	5,1 ±	2,1	6,8	± 2	,9	6,1 ±	2,5	8,8	± 3,6	9,9	±	3,4
NO3	1,0 ±	. 0,9	1,1	± 1	,0	1,3 ±	2,7	1,5	± 1,6	1,8	\pm	1,7
SO4	0,2 ±	0,4	0,3	± 0	,5	0,2 ±	1,1	0,6	± 0,8	0,6	\pm	1,0
NH4	-0,1 ±	. 0,6	0,2	± 0	,4	0,4 ±	1,0	0,1	± 0,6	0,1	\pm	0,5
EC	0,2 ±	. 0,9	0,6	± 0	,7	0,4 ±	0,3	0,2	± 0,9	0,4	\pm	0,6
OC	0,2 ±	. 0,8	0,7	± 0	,6	0,3 ±	0,4	0,5	± 1,0	0,8	\pm	0,6
Cl	0,4 ±	. 0,7	0,7	± 0	,9	0,3 ±	0,3	1,0	± 1,2	0,7	\pm	0,7
Na	0,4 ±	. 0,5	0,6	± 0	,5	0,4 ±	0,3	0,8	± 0,6	0,6	\pm	0,5
total metals	0,7 ±	0,6	0,9	± 0	,5	1,2 ±	0,9	1,2	± 0,8	1,9	\pm	0,9
not-analysed	2,1 ±	2,6	1,6	± 2	,5	1,7 ±	5,0	2,9	± 1,6	3,0	\pm	2,3

1 Table 2 Average concentrations with standard deviations of the main components as measured at the five sites

- 1 Table 3 Absolute and percentual change in mass concentriion after applying converson
- 2 formula

					PM ₁₀₋	Ĩ
	PM_{10}		PM _{2.5}		2.5	
	rural	urban	rural	urban	rural	urban
associated oxygen						
increase (µg/m3)	0,9	1,2	0,3	0,4	0,5	0,8
%-decrease of 'NA'	4%	5%	2%	3%	9%	8%
sea						
salt						
increase (µg/m3)	0,8	1,3	0,3	0,4	0,6	0,8
%-decrease of 'NA'	4%	5%	2%	3%	10%	9%
nss sulphate						
increase (µg/m3)	-0,2	-0,3	-0,1	-0,1	-0,1	-0,2
%-decrease of 'NA'	-1%	-1%	0%	0%	-2%	-2%
total carb. materi-						
al						
increase (µg/m3)	1,1	1,5	0,9	1,2	0,3	0,3
%-decrease of 'NA'	5%	6%	6%	8%	4%	3%

- 1 Table 4. Concentrations of the constituents as determined in the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ data set
- 2 for the five BOP-locations

-	-	-	Hellendoorn	-	-	Vredepeel	-	-	Cabauw	-	-	Schiedam	-	-	Rotterdam
number of sam-															
ples	38	-	-	35	-	-	26	-	-	33	-	-	41	-	-
PM ₄₀	17,7	ŧ	6,4	21,2	±	7,3	23,6	±	11,9	21,8	±	9,6	26,3	±	11,6
SIA	7,0	±	3,4	8,0	±	4,2	10,2	±	6,4	8,0	±	6,6	9,1	±	7,3
TCM	4 ,3	ŧ	2,4	5,0	ŧ	2,0	5,0	±	2,3	4,9	±	2,5	7,7	±	3,1
88	2,0	ŧ	2,0	3,0	ŧ	2,5	1,6	±	1,2	3,7	±	2,7	2,9	÷	2,1
MD	1,2	ŧ	1,4	1,2	ŧ	1,0	2,1	±	1,9	1,6	±	1,3	1,8	±	1,3
metals	0,8	ŧ	0,3	1,2	ŧ	0,4	1,0	±	0,4	1,4	±	0,5	2,4	±	0,8
unknown	2,3	ŧ	3,5	2,7	ŧ	2,0	3,7	±	4,2	2,1	±	2,7	2,3	±	2,8
PM _{2.5}	12,5	ŧ	5,4	14,5	ŧ	6,3	17,5	ŧ	11,0	13,0	ŧ	7,6	16,4	ŧ	10,0
SIA	6,0	ŧ	2,8	6,6	ŧ	4,1	8,4	ŧ	6,1	6,0	ŧ	4,6	6,8	ŧ	5,1
TCM	3,8	ŧ	1,9	3,3	ŧ	1,5	3,9	ŧ	2,0	4,0	ŧ	2,2	6,1	ŧ	2,7
88	0,6	ŧ	0,8	1,0	ŧ	0,9	0,5	ŧ	0,3	1,0	ŧ	0,8	0,9	ŧ	1,0
MD	0,5	ŧ	0,4	0,5	ŧ	0,3	0,7	ŧ	0,4	0,6	ŧ	0,5	0,6	ŧ	0,3
metals	0,4	ŧ	0,2	0,6	ŧ	0,1	0,5	ŧ	0,2	0,6	ŧ	0,2	0,8	ŧ	0,3
unknown	1,2	ŧ	1,7	2,5	ŧ	2,6	3,5	ŧ	5,5	0,7	ŧ	2,2	1,2	ŧ	3,5
PM _{10-2.5}	5,1	±	2,1	6,8	±	2,9	6,1	±	2,5	8,8	±	3,6	9,9	±	3,4
SIA	1,0	±	1,5	1,4	ŧ	1,6	1,8	ŧ	4,5	2,0	ŧ	2,8	2,3	ŧ	2,9
TCM	0,5	ŧ	2,0	1,7	ŧ	1,4	1,1	ŧ	0,9	0,9	ŧ	0,9	1,6	ŧ	1,1
88	1,4	ŧ	1,5	2,0	ŧ	1,7	1,2	ŧ	0,9	2,7	ŧ	2,0	2,0	ŧ	1,5
MD	0,7	ŧ	1,0	0,8	ŧ	0,7	1,4	ŧ	1,5	1,0	ŧ	1,2	1,2	ŧ	1,0
metals	0,4	ŧ	0,2	0,6	ŧ	0,3	0,5	ŧ	0,3	0,8	ŧ	0,4	1,6	ŧ	0,7
unknown	1,1	±	3,0	0,2	±	2,2	0,2	±	5,0	1,4	±	2,0	1,1	±	2,3

_		Hellendoorn	_	_	Vre	depeel	_	_	_	Cabauw	_	_	_	Schiedam	_	_	_	Rotterdam	_
number of samples	<u>38</u>		_	<u>35</u>	_		_	<u>26</u>	_	_	_	<u>33</u>	_	_	_	<u>41</u>	_		_
	conc	<u>sd</u>	<u>%</u>	conc		sd	<u>%</u>	conc		<u>sd</u>	<u>%</u>	conc		<u>sd</u>	<u>%</u>	conc		<u>sd</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>PM10</u>	<u>17,7</u> ±	<u>6,4</u>	_	<u>21,2</u>	±	<u>7,3</u>	-	<u>23,6</u>	±	<u>11,9</u>	_	<u>21,8</u>	±	<u>9,6</u>	_	<u>26,3</u>	±	<u>11,6</u>	-
<u>SIA</u>	<u>7,0</u> ±	<u>3,4</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>8,0</u>	±	<u>4,2</u>	<u>38</u>	10,2	±	<u>6,4</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>8,0</u>	±	<u>6,6</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>9,1</u>	±	<u>7,3</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>TCM</u>	<u>4,3</u> ±	<u>2,4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>5,0</u>	±	<u>2,0</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>5,0</u>	±	<u>2,3</u>	<u>24</u>	4,9	±	<u>2,5</u>	<u>26</u>	7,7	±	<u>3,1</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>SS</u>	<u>2,0</u> ±	<u>2,0</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>3,0</u>	±	<u>2,5</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>1,6</u>	±	<u>1,2</u>	<u>7</u>	3,7	±	<u>2,7</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>2,9</u>	±	<u>2,1</u>	<u>11</u>
MD	<u>1.2</u> ±	<u>1,4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1,2</u>	±	<u>1,0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2,1</u>	±	<u>1.9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>1,6</u>	±	<u>1,3</u>	7	<u>1,8</u>	±	<u>1,3</u>	<u>7</u>
metals	<u>0,8</u> ±	<u>0,3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1,2</u>	±	0,4	<u>6</u>	<u>1,0</u>	±	<u>0,4</u>	4	1,4	±	<u>0,5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2,4</u>	±	<u>0,8</u>	<u>9</u>
unknown	<u>2.3</u> ±	<u>3,5</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>2,7</u>	±	<u>2,0</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>3,7</u>	±	<u>4.2</u>	13	2,1	±	<u>2.7</u>	7	<u>2,3</u>	±	<u>2.8</u>	<u>6</u>
PM2.5	<u>12,5</u> ±	<u>5,4</u>	_	14,5	±	<u>6,3</u>	_	17,5	±	<u>11,0</u>	_	13,0	±	<u>7,6</u>	_	<u>16,4</u>	±	<u>10,0</u>	-
SIA	<u>6,0</u> ±	<u>2,8</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>6,6</u>	±	4,1	<u>46</u>	8,4	±	<u>6,1</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>6,0</u>	±	<u>4,6</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>6,8</u>	±	<u>5,1</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>TCM</u>	<u>3,8</u> ±	<u>1,9</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>3,3</u>	±	1,5	<u>28</u>	<u>3,9</u>	±	<u>2,0</u>	<u>26</u>	4,0	±	<u>2,2</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>6,1</u>	±	<u>2,7</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>SS</u>	<u>0,6</u> ±	<u>0,8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1,0</u>	±	<u>0,9</u>	7	0,5	±	<u>0,3</u>	<u>3</u>	1,0	±	<u>0,8</u>	8	<u>0,9</u>	±	<u>1,0</u>	<u>5</u>
MD	<u>0,5</u> ±	<u>0,4</u>	4	<u>0,5</u>	±	<u>0,3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0,7</u>	±	<u>0,4</u>	4	0,6	±	<u>0,5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0,6</u>	±	<u>0,3</u>	4
metals	<u>0,4</u> ±	<u>0,2</u>	4	<u>0,6</u>	±	0,1	4	0,5	±	<u>0,2</u>	<u>3</u>	0,6	±	<u>0,2</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0,8</u>	±	<u>0,3</u>	<u>5</u>
unknown	<u>1,2</u> ±	<u>1,7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2,5</u>	±	2,6	<u>13</u>	<u>3,5</u>	±	<u>5,5</u>	<u>16</u>	0,7	±	<u>2,2</u>	1	1,2	±	<u>3,5</u>	<u>3</u>
PM10-2.5	<u>5,1</u> ±	<u>2,1</u>		<u>6,8</u>	±	<u>2,9</u>		<u>6,1</u>	±	2,5	-	8,8	±	<u>3,6</u>		<u>9,9</u>	±	<u>3,4</u>	_
<u>SIA</u>	<u>1,0</u> ±	<u>1,5</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>1,4</u>	±	1,6	<u>21</u>	1,8	±	<u>4,5</u>	<u>29</u>	2,0	±	<u>2,8</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>2,3</u>	±	<u>2,9</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>TCM</u>	<u>0,5</u> ±	<u>2,0</u>	<u>9</u>	1,7	±	1,4	25	<u>1,1</u>	±	<u>0,9</u>	18	0,9	±	<u>0,9</u>	10	1,6	±	<u>1,1</u>	16
<u>SS</u>	<u>1,4</u> ±	<u>1,5</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>2,0</u>	±	1,7	<u>30</u>	1,2	±	<u>0,9</u>	<u>19</u>	2,7	±	<u>2,0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>2,0</u>	±	<u>1,5</u>	<u>21</u>
MD	<u>0,7</u> ±	<u>1,0</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0,8</u>	±	<u>0,7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>1,4</u>	±	<u>1,5</u>	<u>23</u>	1,0	±	<u>1,2</u>	11	1,2	±	<u>1,0</u>	<u>12</u>
metals	<u>0,4</u> ±	0,2	8	0,6	±	0,3	2	0,5	±	0,3	8	0,8	±	0,4	9	1,6	±	0,7	<u>16</u>
unknown	<u>1,1</u> ±	<u>3,0</u>	21	0,2	±	2,2	4	0,2	±	5,0	3	1,4	±	<u>2,0</u>	16	1,1	±	2,3	12

2 Table 5 Illustration of natural contribution (in %) for all components in the case of Hel-

3 lendoorn. For each component the relative contribution to $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} is listed as

4 well as the estimated natural contribution. The two columns on the right provide the re-

sulting natural contribution to PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ for each component for a high and low

6 estimate of the natural OC content. All numbers are percentages.

7

Comp	<i>PM</i> ₁₀	<i>PM</i> _{2.5}	Natural	Hellendoorn	Hellendoorn
	Contrib.	Contrib.	<u>%</u>	PM_{10}	PM2.5
				Low / high	Low / high
NO3	21	21	<u>0-</u> 5	<u>0-</u> 1	<u>0-</u> 1
SO4	13	17	5	1	1
NH4	7	10	10	0.5	1
MD	7	4	<u>1</u> 20	1 .5	<u>0.5</u> 4
SS	11.5	5	100	11.5	5
Metals	5	4	0	0	0
EC*1.3	12	15	0	0	0
OC*1.3	12	14	25 / 50	3 / 6	3.5 / 7
Sum known				1 <u>6.5</u> 8 / 2 <u>1</u> 2	1 <u>12.5</u> / 1 <u>5.5</u> 6
Unknown	13	10	#	2.5 / 3	1.5 / 1.5
Total				<u>19</u> 21 / 2 <u>4</u> 5	<u>1413</u> / 1 <u>87</u>

8 # Natural contribution is assumed to be the same as the total natural percentage of the explained

9 mass.

1	
2	
3	Figure 1. Localisation of the five BOP measurement sites in the Netherlands
4	
5	Figure 2. Absolute concentrations at the five sites
6	
7	Figure 3 Chemical distributions measured at the urban background site Schiedam
8	
9	Figure 4 Mass closures for the different fractions estimated at the urban background site
10	Schiedam
11	
12	Figure 5 Average chemical distributions in data subsets with high and low PM concen-
13	trations. Above: $PM_{10}>30 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM_{2.5}<=25 \ \mu g/m3$ versus $PM<=30 \ \mu g/m3$; below $PM>=30 $
14	sus $PM_{2.5}>25 \ \mu g/m3$. Averages over the five BOP stations.
15	
16	Figure 6 Natural and anthropogenic contributions to PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ as estimated from

17 the BOP data set.