Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, C13123–C13128, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/C13123/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Three-year observations of halocarbons at the Nepal Climate Observatory at Pyramid (NCO-P, 5079 m a.s.l.) on the Himalayan range" by M. Maione et al.

M. Maione et al.

michela.maione@uniurb.it

Received and published: 1 February 2011

Referee comment: Abstract The abstract is overall a summary of the conclusions but doesn't give information about speciiňAc results (i.e. trends, mean concentration values etc.) Quantitative results should be highlighted in this part of the paper.

Reply: We have modified the abstract adding more specific information ---

Referee comment 2.1. A detailed description of the sampling site is referred to Bonasoni et al. 2010 but in my opinion a sampling site overview should be included in this work. In particular details on the geographical position of the Observatory, possible polluting sources location, and the meteorological conditions of the site should be better

C13123

speciïňAed.

Reply: we modify the text accordingly to the referees' comment and add the reference Bollasina, M., Bertolani, L., Tartari, G.: Meteorological observations at high altitude in Khumbu Valley, Nepal Himalayas, 1994–1999, Bull. Glaciol. Res., 19, 1–11, 2002. -

Referee comment p.22343-line 8 "...over a period of about 10 min..." The sentence doesn't give information about the amount of air collected. What is the volume of the iňĆasks? What is the pressure reached inside the iňĆasks? Please specify. p.22343line18 ": : :improved accuracy: : :" What is the accuracy reached with the new detector? How much the accuracy has been improved compared with the old one?

Reply: we have revised the text accordingly to the referees' comments adding more details and a table reporting specific data on accuracy. -

Referee comment 3.1.1 Atmospheric baselines p.22347, line 3- There are no comments on ïňĄg.4. Please comment this ïňĄgure.

Reply: the discussion has been added ----

Referee comment: 3.1.3.Atmospheric trends p.22348, lines 9-10 ""the four-month data sub-set are denoted by an asterisk in Table 3." The asterisks and sub-sets are absent. p.22348, lines 11-12 "Compounds for which the confidence interval is well below the trend itself can be considered significant and are indicated in bold in the table, while italics denote: : :" Italics and bold characters are absent.

Reply: The italics and bold notation disappeared formatting the PDF document. -

Referee comment. 3.2 Methyl halides p.22350, line 7 ": : :time series reported in Fig. 7a and b" CH3CI data series is reported twice (in Figure 7b and in Fig.8). I suggest to delete Fig.7b and to report in Fig. 7a the CH3Br time series recorded at NCO-P compared with baseline data measured at other global station (as done for CH3Cl in Fig.8).

Reply: we have modified the figures following the referee's suggestion. -

Technical comments: have all been accepted and incorporated in the text —

The revised manuscript is attached as well as the revised figures (Figure 4, 6 and 7)

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/C13123/2011/acpd-10-C13123-2011supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 22339, 2010.









Fig. 2. Figure 6. Map of conditional probability of potential sources of anthropogenic halocarbons, based on observations at NCO-P observatory. The scale represents the fraction of polluted trajectories over

C13127



Fig. 3. Figure 7. CH3Br measured at NCO-P (all data) compared with baseline data at at MHD (blue), RPB (red) and CGO (green).