

Interactive comment on “Sources of carbonaceous aerosol in the Amazon Basin” by S. Gilardoni et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 24 January 2011

General comments:

The overall goal of this manuscript is to obtain insights into properties and sources of carbonaceous aerosols in the Amazon region. A major concern, however, with the application of PMF to thermograms is that the number of variables is very limited and lower than, for example, in the case of IR and AMS data. This implies that detailed insights into sources of carbonaceous aerosols are very difficult to achieve; for example, biogenic and anthropogenic SOA cannot be differentiated. This reviewer already provided detailed comments on a previous version of this manuscript, which have been adequately addressed in the current version.

Additional specific comments:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Page 29926 – lines 19-21: The statement made about SOA is too simplistic and does not reflect the state of current knowledge: “SOA are formed by nucleation and condensation of oxidation products of VOCs, such as isoprene and terpenes, and they represent a significant fraction of fine aerosol mass.” Precursors for biogenic SOA in the Amazon region include terpenes, which give rise to SOA by nucleation and condensation of oxidation products. A major SOA precursor is isoprene; however, SOA from isoprene is formed through another mechanism, namely, heterogeneous reactions of volatile oxidation products (epoxydiols). See the recent article by Surratt et al. (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 6640-6645, 2010). SOA is definitely also from biomass burning origin, formed by oxidation of volatile compounds such as aromatic compounds emitted during the fires. See, for example, the article by Iinuma et al. (Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 8453-8459, 2010). In addition, there is evidence that biomass burning is an important source of dicarboxylic acids, and that low-molecular-weight dicarboxylic acids have mainly a secondary origin in biomass smoke (Kundu et al. ACP 10, 2209-2225, 2010).

Page 29944 – lines 18-19: The statement “Over the entire campaign 43% of fine carbon mass was due to biomass burning, 34% to SOA, and 23% to volatile species” is misleading. Considering that part of the SOA has a biomass burning origin and the volatile species have a possible link with smoldering emissions it is likely that the fine carbon mass that is due to biomass burning is much higher than 43%.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 29923, 2010.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)