Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, C12273–C12277, 2011 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/C12273/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

10, C12273–C12277, 2011

> Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Atmospheric homogeneous nucleation of H₂SO₄ and H₂O" *by* D. R. Benson et al.

F. Khosrawi

farah@misu.su.se

Received and published: 12 January 2011

Benson et al. present laboratory measurements of the binary homogeneous nucleation of H_2SO_4 and H_2O which are quite important for understanding the binary homogeneous nucleation of H_2SO_4 and H_2O in the atmosphere. However, I am somewhat dissapointed about the present study. In their previous studies Benson et al. discussed measurements and showed very interesting results on the binary homogeneous nucleation, but here solely laboratory measurements are shown and the entire study is rather a technical than a scientific one.

First of all I am wondering why Benson et al. do not use any atmospheric measurements together with their laboratory measurements? Further, it is not clear what





the goal of this study is and what is new compared to previous studies, e.g. there has just a few months earlier a study on laboratory measurements of the nucleation of sulfuric acid and water in ACPD being published by Brus et al. (2010). It would be worth if Benson et al. would discuss what the differences between their study and the study by Brus et al. (2010) are.

Benson et al. state that their measurements of nucleation are made for atmospheric relevant conditions. However, the sulfuric acid concentrations used in this study are only representative for clean air. Air masses in the boundary layer are quite often polluted and thus polluted conditions should be also considered under atmospheric relevant conditions. Measurements have shown that in polluted air H_2SO_4 concentrations can reach up to 10^8 cm⁻³ (Weber et al., 2001, Berresheim et al., 2002, Bardouki et al., 2003; Weber et al., 2003, Mauldin et al., 2002).

Further, covering atmospheric relevant conditions would also mean to perform the laboratory measurements under different temperatures and humidities relevant for the atmosphere as it was done by e.g. Brus et al. (2010) and not just at one temperatures as it was done by Benson et al. This is rather a snapshot and only represents certain conditions in the atmosphere and not a set of atmospheric relevant conditions.

Some detailed comments on the manuscript text:

P29052, L2: As stated above clean air sulfuric acid concentrations and only one temperature do not represent all atmospheric relevant conditions. Different temperatures, relative humidities and higher sulfuric acid mixing ratios should be taken into account. As stated above measurements of H_2SO_4 show that concentrations can reach up to 10^8 cm⁻³. Further, the binary homogeneous nucleation is strongly dependent on temperature and humidity and different values applied for the measurement surely will change the results.

ACPD

10, C12273–C12277, 2011

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract in general: It should be more clearly pointed out what the goal of this study is what the differences concerning previous studies are and what is new in this study compared to previous studies.

P29052, L8: I am not convinced of this statement. I do not believe that only getting a higher slope in the nucleation rate vs. H_2SO_4 is an indicator for the need of ternary aerosol precursor to reduce the slope in the atmosphere. This should be discussed more clearly and probably compared with atmospheric measurements. How does you know that this slope difference is not caused by errors in the measurements?

Another general question: Dynamical effects in the boundary layer can also strongly affect the nucleation of sulfuric acid and water. Can such processes be treated in laboratory measurements? If yes, how is it done?

P29053, 15: That nucleation is a non-linear process has been known since at least a decade, thus the reference of Lee et al. (2003) is here not adequate or should, if cited, be cited with adding e.g. The non-linear behaviour goes back to publications by Easter and Peters (1994), Bigg et al. (1997) and Nilsson and Kulmala (1998). I not know sure which is the correct references, but this fact is known since that time the mentioned publications were published or even earlier than that.

P29063, 16: Binary homogeneous nucleation is not that often occurring in the boundary layer. As was stated by Weber et al. (1999) observations of particle formation can be well explained by the binary homogeneous nucleation of H_2SO_4 and H_2O above 4 km.

References:

Bardouki, H. et al. (2003): Gaseous (DMS, MSA, SO2, H2SO4 and DMSO) and particulate (sulfate and methanesulfonate) sulfur species over the northeastern coast of Crete, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 1871-1886.

Berresheim, H. et al. (2002): Gas-aerosol relationship of H2SO4, MSA, and OH: Observations in the coastal marine boundary layer at Mace Head, Ireland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8100, doi:10.1029/2000JD000229.

ACPD

10, C12273–C12277, 2011

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Bigg, E. K. (1997), A mechanism for the formation of new particles in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Res.*, 43, 129-137.

Brus, D. et al. (2010), Homogeneous nucleation of sulfuric acid and water at atmospherically relevant conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 10, 25959-25989.

Easter, R. C. and Peters, L. K. (1994), Binary homogeneous nucleation: Temperature and humidity fluctuations. nonlinearity, and aspects of new particle production in the atmosphere, *J. Appl. Met.*, 33, 775-784.

Mauldin, R. L. et al. (2003), Highlights of OH, H2SO4, and methane sulfonic acid measurements made aboard the NSAS P-3b during Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, D20, doi:10.1029/2003JD003410.

Nilsson, E. D. and M. Kulmala (1998), The potential for atmossheric mixing processes to enhance the binary nucleation rate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 1381-1389.

Weber, R. J. et al. (1999), New particle formation in the remote troposphere: A comparison of observations at at various sites, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 307-310.

Weber, R. J. et al. (2001), Nucleation in the equatorial Pacific during PEM-Tropics B: Enhanced boundary layer H2SO4 with no particle production, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, D23, 32,767-32,776.

Weber, R. J. et al. (2003), New particle formation in anthropogenic plumes advecting from Asia observed during TRACE-P, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, D21, 8814, doi:10.1029/2002JD003112.

ACPD

10, C12273–C12277, 2011

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 29051, 2010.

ACPD

10, C12273–C12277, 2011

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

