Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, C10922–C10925, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/C10922/2010/© Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

10, C10922–C10925, 2010

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Where do winds come from? A new theory on how water vapor condensation influences atmospheric pressure and dynamics" by A. M. Makarieva et al.

A. M. Makarieva et al.

ammakarieva@gmail.com

Received and published: 10 December 2010

Condensation rate and hydrostatic equilibrium of moist air

In our paper (hereafter M10) we provided an expression for condensation rate S (Eq. (34) in M10). This equation expresses condensation rate as the difference between (a) the total change of vapor density with height and (b) the density change caused by adiabatic expansion. Here we explore the physical meaning of this expression from a different perspective. We shall show that Eq. (34) corresponds to the statement that condensation rate S is linear over the amount of N_v of vapor (i.e., con-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



C10922

densable gas) in the atmosphere. Using this relationship as an assumption, we shall show that S (34) follows directly from the condition that the vertical distribution of moist air remains in equilibrium.

Using Eqs. (32), (33) and $\partial N_v/\partial x=0$ of M10 we obtain:

$$u\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial x} = (S_d - S)\frac{1}{\gamma_d},\tag{1}$$

where

$$S_d \equiv w \left(\frac{\partial N_v}{\partial z} - \gamma_d \frac{\partial N_d}{\partial z} \right), \quad \gamma_d \equiv \frac{N_v}{N_d}. \tag{2}$$

The magnitude of condensation rate S in (1) remains unknown. Note that under terrestrial conditions $1/\gamma_d\gg 1$. In a dry atmosphere, where condensation rate is zero, the distribution of air would be in equilibrium in both vertical and horizontal dimensions, such that $\partial N_d/\partial x\to 0$ at $N_v\to 0$.

We write the condition that moist air with molar density N is in equilibrium in the vertical dimension as:

$$-\frac{\partial N}{\partial z} = kN, \quad N = N_v + N_d. \tag{3}$$

Here k has the units of inverse height. Hydrostatic equilibrium corresponds to $k=(Mg/R-\partial T/\partial z)/T$. (But note that Equation (3) can be applied to describe physical equilibria of different nature. For example, in a vertically isothermal atmosphere in the absence of gravity k=0.)

Condensation causes the distribution of vapor N_v to deviate from the equilibrium distribution. The condition that moist air as a whole must nevertheless remain in equilibrium causes dry air N_d to also deviate from the equilibrium in the opposite direction to the vapor:

$$-\frac{\partial N_v}{\partial z} = (k + k_v)N_v, \quad -\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial z} = (k + k_d)N_d, \tag{4}$$

ACPD

10, C10922–C10925, 2010

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



C10923

$$k_v N_v + k_d N_d = 0, (5)$$

$$k_v \equiv -\frac{1}{N_v} \frac{\partial N_v}{\partial z} - k, \ k_d \equiv -\frac{1}{N_d} \frac{\partial N_d}{\partial z} - k.$$
 (6)

The value of k_v describes the intensity of the mass sink. In the case of water vapor $k_v > 0$ is caused by a steep vertical temperature gradient that causes vapor to condense¹. (However, the same formalism can be used to describe a mass sink of any nature, e.g., produced by a chemical reaction occurring in the atmosphere.)

Putting (4) into (1) using (5) we obtain:

$$u\frac{\partial N_d}{\partial x} = -wk_v N_d \left(1 + \frac{N_v}{N_d} + \frac{S}{wk_v N_v} \right). \tag{7}$$

Given our assumption that S is linear over N_v and that in the absence of condensation dry air should be in equilibrium, $\partial N_d/\partial x \to 0$ at $N_v \to 0$, then Eq. (7) is satisfied only when

$$S = -wk_v N_v, (8)$$

which is Equation (34) of M10. So in this formulation condensation rate S is a linear function of three independent variables: vertical velocity w, local amount of vapor N_v and deviation k_v of vapor from the equilibrium distribution (k_v can be characterized as the "condensability strength" of atmospheric vapor). Note an interesting relationship: with S given by (8) and $\gamma \equiv N_v/N$ we have $S_d - S \equiv S\gamma_d \equiv S_d\gamma$.

The physical meaning of the equilibrium condition (3) is that in the presence of condensation one can specify the vertical distribution of N such that it does not depend on the distribution of the condensable gas (vapor) N_v , $\partial k/\partial k_v=0$. Demanding dry air to be in equilibrium, the same chain of arguments, i.e., assuming that S is linear over N_v , yields $S=S_d$ and $\partial N_d/\partial z=\partial N/\partial z=0$. As discussed in Section 4.2 of M10, in such

ACPD

10, C10922–C10925, 2010

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



¹Makarieva, A. M. and Gorshkov, V. G.: Biotic pump of atmospheric moisture as driver of the hydrological cycle on land, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 1013–1033, 2007, Section 3.

a case the non-equilibrium pressure gradient caused by condensation will be located not in the horizontal, but in the vertical dimension.

Note that in Eq. (1) any small difference of the order of γ_d between S and S_d is multiplied by a large magnitude $1/\gamma_d\gg 1$ and thus has a profound influence on the magnitude of the horizontal gradient $\partial N_d/\partial z$. The lack of theoretical approaches to account for condensation rate S in the current meteorological literature lead to flawed results. This is well illustrated in modelling studies designed to investigate the precipitation mass sink – as we shall detail in the following comment².

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 24015, 2010.

C10925

ACPD

10, C10922–C10925, 2010

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



²Reply to Dr. Gavin Schmidt on Bryan & Rotunno (2009), http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/24015/2010/acpd-10-24015-2010-discussion.html