

Interactive comment on “Airborne observations of the Eyjafjalla volcano ash cloud over Europe during air space closure in April and May 2010” by U. Schumann et al.

F. Schneider

fsn@grimm-aerosol.com

Received and published: 19 November 2010

The description of the Grimm Sky-OPC is not always correct.

The optical cell and the data processing of the Grimm Sky-OPC is identical with the Grimm aerosol spectrometer 1.109 (e.g. Heim et al. 2008). The difference is the external vacuum pump for sample air flow and the flow control by critical orifices both for sample volume flow and for rinsing air volume flow, to be independent on ambient pressure.

The Sky-OPC instrument is not a Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe as listed in line 8 on page 22141. The Sky-OPC is a Optical Particle Counter or laser aerosol

C10065

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



spectrometer or Light scattering aerosol spectrometer, according to the definition of BS ISO 21501-1 "Determination of particle size distribution - Single particle light interaction methods - Light scattering aerosol spectrometer". I do not mind which definition is used, but please nothing with Forward Scattering.

Page 22141, line 6 suggestion for change: Optical Particle Counter (OPC) Grimm SKY-OPC model 1.129, Passive Cavity Aerosol Spectrometer Probe (PCASP), and Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe (FSSP) of type PMS PCASP-100X, and PMS FSSP-300 (Weinzierl et al., 2009), see Table 1.

I also would like to mention, that the Sky-OPC detects particle in the size range $0,25\mu\text{m} < D < 32\mu\text{m}$. Therefore the laser diode is operated in a so called multiplex mode. Means the laser power is switched between high power and low power. During high power operation 16 size channels from $0,25\mu\text{m}$ to $2,5\mu\text{m}$ are measured for 1 second. Then during low power operation another 16 size channels are measured from $2,5\mu\text{m}$ up to $32\mu\text{m}$ for 5 seconds. After 6 seconds the dataset for 31 channels is stored (15 channels $0,25\mu\text{m}$ to $2,0\mu\text{m}$ ONE MEAN value at $2,5\mu\text{m}$ and 15 channels $3\mu\text{m}$ to $32\mu\text{m}$). To measure faster than 6 seconds one can switch the multiplex mode off and operate the Sky-OPC in the high or low laser mode continuously with 1 Hz.

Especially for the volcano aerosol the size range $>2,5\mu\text{m}$, which can be detected by the Sky-OPC is of importance. Unfortunately the DLR Falcon is not equipped with a sampling inlet for a reproducible and correct sampling of such particle sizes. I would appreciate if the reader of the paper can be informed about these two problems: 1. the bad time resolution of the Grimm Sky-OPC for the total size range up to $32\mu\text{m}$ and 2. the not existing sampling inlet for such big particles of even particle $>2\mu\text{m}$. Last can be seen in particular in the two $\text{dN}/\text{dlog}(D_p)$ figures left and right in figure 7 page 22201. In the left figure (Leipzig, 19 April) 19 size channels are plotted, $0,25 < D < 1,3\mu\text{m}$ looking fine and $1,6\mu\text{m} < D < 4\mu\text{m}$ with strong effects of particle losses in the sampling inlet. In the right figure (North Atlantic 2 May) 21 size channels are plotted, $0,25 < D < 1,3\mu\text{m}$ looking fine and $1,6\mu\text{m} < D < 6,5\mu\text{m}$ again with strong effects of particle losses in the

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

sampling inlet.

Page 22201, Figure 7 caption text: suggestion for change:

....SKY-OPC data as green triangles....

Page 22191, Table 1 suggestion for change:

31-channel Optical Particle Counter Total and non-volatile size distribution ($0.25 \mu\text{m} <$ (Grimm OPC 1.129) operated with 16- $D < 2.5 \mu\text{m}$) channels in 1 Hz

Best regards

Heim Michael, Benjamin J. Mullins, Heinz Umhauer, and Gerhard Kasper: Performance evaluation of three optical particle counters with an efficient “multimodal” calibration method *AerosolScience*39, (2008) 1019-1031

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/C10065/2010/acpd-10-C10065-2010-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 10, 22131, 2010.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper