6995

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 6995–7036, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/6995/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# A linear CO chemistry parameterization in a chemistry-transport model: evaluation and application to data assimilation

M. Claeyman<sup>1,2</sup>, J.-L. Attié<sup>1,2</sup>, L. El Amraoui<sup>2</sup>, D. Cariolle<sup>3,4</sup>, V.-H. Peuch<sup>2</sup>, H. Teyssèdre<sup>2</sup>, B. Josse<sup>2</sup>, P. Ricaud<sup>1</sup>, S. Massart<sup>3</sup>, A. Piacentini<sup>3</sup>, J.-P. Cammas<sup>1</sup>, N. J. Livesey<sup>5</sup>, H. C. Pumphrey<sup>6</sup>, and D. P. Edwards<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Aérologie, Université de Toulouse, CNRS/INSU UMR 5560, Toulouse, France
 <sup>2</sup>CNRM-GAME, Météo-France and CNRS URA 1357, Toulouse, France
 <sup>3</sup>CERFACS, CNRS URA 1875, Toulouse, France
 <sup>4</sup>Météo-France, Toulouse, France
 <sup>5</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institude of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
 <sup>6</sup>University of Edimburgh, Edinburgh, UK
 <sup>7</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Received: 26 January 2010 - Accepted: 5 March 2010 - Published: 12 March 2010

Correspondence to: M. Claeyman (marine.claeyman@aero.obs-mip.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





#### Abstract

This paper presents an evaluation of a new linear parameterization valid for the troposphere and the stratosphere, based on a first order approximation of the carbon monoxide (CO) continuity equation. This linear scheme (hereinafter noted LINCO) has been

- <sup>5</sup> implemented in the 3-D Chemical Transport Model (CTM) MOCAGE of Météo-France. On the one hand, a one and a half years of LINCO simulation has been compared to output obtained from a detailed chemical scheme output. In spite of small differences, the seasonal and global CO distributions obtained by both schemes present similar general characteristics. The mean differences between both schemes remain small
- within about ±25 ppbv (part per billion by volume) in the troposphere and ±15 ppbv in the stratosphere. On the other hand, LINCO has been compared to diverse observations from satellite instruments covering the troposphere (Measurements Of Pollution In The Troposphere: MOPITT) and the stratosphere (Microwave Limb Sounder: MLS) and also from aircraft (Measurements of ozone and water vapour by Airbus in-
- <sup>15</sup> service aircraft: MOZAIC programme) mostly flying in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. A good agreement is generally found in the troposphere and the lower stratosphere. In the troposphere, the LINCO seasonal variations as well as the vertical and horizontal distributions are quite close to MOPITT CO observations. However, a bias of ~-40 ppbv is observed at 700 hPa between LINCO and MOPITT which is
- <sup>20</sup> probably caused by too low emission values. In the stratosphere, MLS and LINCO present similar large-scale patterns, except over the poles where the CO concentration is underestimated by the model. We suggest that the underestimation of CO at polar latitudes is not related to the linear scheme but is induced by a too rapid transport by the meridional circulation. In the UTLS (Upper Troposphere Lower Stratosphere),
- LINCO tends to slightly overestimate the MOZAIC aircraft observations, with general small biases less than 2%. LINCO is a simple parameterization compared to a detailed chemical scheme, allowing very fast calculations and thus making possible long reanalyses of MOPITT CO data. The computational cost just corresponds to the trans-

### ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 Evaluation of a linear **CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



port of an additional passive tracer. For this, we used a variational 3-D-FGAT (First Guess at Appropriate Time) method in conjunction with MOCAGE for a long run of one and a half years. The data assimilation greatly improves the vertical CO distribution in the troposphere from 700 to 350 hPa compared to independent MOZAIC profiles. At

- <sup>5</sup> 146 hPa, the assimilated CO 2-D distribution is improved compared to MLS observations by reducing the bias up to a factor of 2 in the tropics. At extratropical latitudes, the assimilated fields tend to underestimate the CO concentrations resulting from an excessive equator to pole circulation. This study confirms that the linear scheme is able to simulate reasonably well the CO distribution in the troposphere and in the lower stratosphere. Therefore, the low computing cost of the linear scheme opens new per-
- spectives to make free runs and CO data assimilation runs at high resolution and over periods of several years.

#### 1 Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) plays an important role in tropospheric chemistry and is one of the main pollutants in the atmosphere. It has also an important impact on the chemical 15 production of tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$  and thereby on climate change (e.g., Stevenson et al., 2006). Its main sink is the reaction with the hydroxyl radical (OH) (Thompson, 1992). The biomass burning of natural vegetation is a significant global source of CO especially with hot spots in Central and South Africa, in South America and in northern Australia, along with photochemistry production. Its lifetime of 1-2 months in the tro-20 posphere, and its important source emissions (industries, transport, biomass burning) make CO a good tracer of pollution which is indicative of incomplete combustion. It also enables the tracking of long-distance airmass transport (Stohl et al., 2002; Staudt et al., 2001). Various studies have been carried out to characterize transport over polluted continents such as South America (e.g., Pickering et al., 1996; Freitas et al., 25 2005), Asia (e.g., Li et al., 2005) or Africa (e.g., Sinha et al., 2004; Guan et al., 2008). Chemistry Transport Models (CTMs) at global scale are used for a better understand-

## ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear** CO parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ing of global atmospheric chemistry since they provide 4-D fields of chemical species. Several tens of species and hundreds of reactions are required to adequately model the chemical production and loss rates of the major active species. For example,  $O_3$ encounters different regimes in the troposphere and in the stratosphere. In the troposphere,  $O_3$  production consists of oxidation reactions between OH and some trace gas

<sup>5</sup> sphere, O<sub>3</sub> production consists of oxidation reactions between OH and some trace gas constituents in the presence of nitrogen oxide; whereas in the stratosphere, it is produced by a cycle initiated by photolysis of oxygen and destroyed by reactions involving nitrogen oxides, chlorine and bromine species.

Such complete schemes require a large amount of computing time which can put <sup>10</sup> limitations on the model resolutions or on the duration of the feasible simulations. That is why linear ozone parameterizations have been developed for upper tropospheric and stratospheric studies, where only major ingredients of the atmospheric chemistry are taken into account (temperature and ozone amount). For example, the scheme developed by Cariolle and Déqué (1986) computes the ozone chemistry trend around <sup>15</sup> a long state equilibrum defined by O<sub>3</sub> and temperature. This parameterization has been recently updated by Cariolle and Teyssèdre (2007) and is widely used in many models, such as the ARPEGE – Climat general circulation model (Déqué et al., 1994), and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF) model

(Andersson et al., 2003) for operational forecasts and the ERA40 reanalysis project
 (Oikonomou and O 'Neill, 2006). Several linear ozone parameterizations (e.g., McLinden et al., 2000; McCormack et al., 2004, 2006) were validated by Geer et al. (2007) using data assimilation in a stratosphere-troposphere model. Even if the computing capabilities have increased, linear parameterizations are useful. These parameterizations may avoid the impact of mis-specified or poorly-known chemical species. By

<sup>25</sup> construction, such schemes have no intrinsic trend and then are useful for simulations of several years (e.g., Hadjinicolaou et al., 2005) and data assimilation (e.g., Semane et al., 2007; Massart et al., 2009).

In addition to their representation of chemical processes, CTMs may present deficiencies due to approximations in dynamic processes and in emission inventory.

### 10, 6995–7036, 2010 Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization

ACPD





Chemical data assimilation can be used to overcome these deficiencies. It consists of providing consistent 4-D fields by combining in an optimal way observations and model fields (e.g., Lahoz et al., 2007; El Amraoui et al., 2004; Semane et al., 2009). These fields are well suited for the study of transport processes and budget analyses

- <sup>5</sup> in the troposphere (Pradier et al., 2006), in the stratosphere (El Amraoui et al., 2008b) or in the Upper Troposphere-Lower Stratosphere (UTLS) (Barret et al., 2008). Because chemical linear schemes produce minimal computing cost and relative good quality of simulated fields, it is then possible to perform data assimilation over periods of several years.
- <sup>10</sup> The purpose of this paper is to present a new linear parameterization of the CO chemical distribution for the troposphere and the stratosphere, which makes possible long run and data assimilation. The parameterization is based on a linearization of the CO tendencies around an equilibrium state, which has been derived from a 2-D photochemical model similarly to the approach used for ozone (Cariolle and Déqué,
- 15 1986). This parameterization is well suited for CO which has a relative simple chemistry. The CO linear scheme has been implemented into the Météo-France transport chemical model MOCAGE (Peuch et al., 1999). A free model simulation forced by the ARPEGE meteorological analyses has been performed. A comparison of the model CO outputs with various observational datasets is done for a one and half year period
- from December 2003 to July 2005. In the stratosphere, the model results are compared to the space-borne Microwave Limb Souder (MLS) observations. In the troposphere, comparisons are made using the space-borne MOPITT (Measurements Of Pollution In The Troposphere) observations and the in situ measurements from MOZAIC (Measurements of ozone and water vapour by Airbus in-service aircraft) programme. We
- <sup>25</sup> also compare the performances of the linear scheme to a detailed chemical scheme, RACMOBUS (Dufour et al., 2004). Besides, the CO linear scheme is used within the MOCAGE-PALM assimilation system (Massart et al., 2005) in order to assimilate the MOPITT CO data during the same period of study (from December 2003 to July 2005). Detailed comparisons of the CO analyses with independent MOZAIC and MLS CO

## ACPD 10, 6995-7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear** CO parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

observations are reported in order to validate the experiment.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe the CO linear parameterization, the CTM model, the data assimilation system employed as well as the different datasets used in this study. In Sect. 3, we discuss the results obtained for the validation of the free run with the linear CO chemical scheme. Section 4 presents and validates the analyses of one year of MOPITT CO data assimilation. Lastly, summary and conclusions are presented in Sect. 5.

#### 2 Model and data descriptions

#### 2.1 The linear carbon monoxide chemical scheme

<sup>10</sup> The new linear scheme for the computation of the CO chemical tendencies relies on a methodology similar to the approach developed by Cariolle and Déqué (1986) and updated by Cariolle and Teyssèdre (2007) for stratospheric ozone. The CO continuity equation is expanded into a Taylor series up to the first order around the local value of the CO mixing ratio  $r_{CO}$  and the temperature *T*:

<sup>15</sup> 
$$\partial r_{\rm CO} / \partial t = A_1 + A_2 (r_{\rm CO} - A_3) + A_4 (T - A_5)$$

where the  $A_i$  terms are monthly averages calculated using the 2-D photochemical model MOBIDIC (MOdèle BIDImensionnel de Chimie) (Cariolle et al., 2008):

 $A_1 = (P - L)$ : production minus loss rate of CO

 $A_2 = \partial (P - L) / \partial r_{CO}$ : zonal net variation of (*P*-*L*) due to  $r_{CO}$  variations

 $A_3 = \overline{r_{CO}}$ : CO zonal mixing ratio

20

 $A_4 = \partial (P - L) / \partial T$ : zonal net variation of (P-L) due to T variations

 $A_5 = T$ : zonal mean temperature

with P and L being the CO production and loss terms, respectively.



(1)

The partial derivatives  $A_2$  and  $A_4$  in Eq. (1) are obtained by perturbing the 2-D model fields by  $\pm 10\%$  for the CO mixing ratio and by  $\pm 10$  K for temperature, respectively. For each month, a set of zonal mean coefficients is obtained. To test the accuracy of the linearity of the system, we have applied perturbations (up to  $\pm 30\%$  for CO and  $\pm 20$  K for temperature), and have found very small deviations in the calculated  $A_i$ .

5

10

Figure 1a shows the  $A_3$  term for the month of January. It represents the zonal mean distribution of CO from the MOBIDIC model. This CO distribution is characterized by larger mixing ratios within the range of 100–130 ppbv (part per billion by volume) in the troposphere of Northern Hemisphere (NH) and in the lower tropical troposphere. Large vertical gradients are observed near the UTLS region with mixing ratios below 30 ppbv in the lower stratosphere. This CO distribution is comparable to current measurements (e.g., Edwards et al., 2003).

The *A*<sub>1</sub> term in Fig. 1b gives the chemical tendencies needed to balance those due to transport and surface emissions of CO. As expected, this term is negative and large at the equator in the lower troposphere in the presence of large biomass burning and anthropogenic emissions, and rapid vertical transport by the rising branch of the mean meridional circulation and by convection.

The photochemical relaxation time of CO, is given by  $\tau = -1/A_2$  (Fig. 1c). Since the CO lifetime is mainly controlled by its reaction with OH, the distribution of  $\tau$  is closely

- <sup>20</sup> linked to the OH concentrations. The lowest values of  $\tau$ , less than 30 days, are found in the equatorial lower troposphere, and in the middle stratosphere outside of the polar vortex (90° S–60° N). From the surface up to the tropopause, the CO relaxation time increases to reach a relative maximum of about 100 days at the equator. At summertime in southern latitudes (90° S–50° S)  $\tau$  increases to values up to one year in the UTLS.
- <sup>25</sup> At high latitudes in NH from 0 to 30 km,  $\tau$  tends to infinity and CO becomes an inert tracer. Note that for implementation within global models, the CO parameterization is complemented with surface emissions and deposition in a similar way to what is done when a detailed chemical scheme is used.

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization





#### 2.2 MOCAGE-PALM

MOCAGE (MOdèle de Chimie Atmospherique à Grande Echelle) is a threedimensional chemistry transport model for the troposphere and stratosphere (Peuch et al., 1999) which simulates interactions between dynamical, physical and chemical <sup>5</sup> processes. In the version used, hybrid ( $\sigma$ , *P*) vertical levels are used from the surface up to 5 hPa with a resolution of about 150 m in the lower troposphere and up to 800 m in the lower stratosphere. The version of MOCAGE used in this study has an horizontal resolution of 2°×2° over the globe and uses a semi-Lagrangian advection scheme (e.g., Josse et al., 2004) to transport the chemical species. Turbulent diffusion is calculated with the scheme of Louis (1979) and convective processes with the scheme of Bechtold et al. (2001). The meteorological analyses of Météo-France, ARPEGE (Courtier et al., 1991) were used to force the dynamics of the model every 6 h.

The linear scheme is compared to the detailed scheme of MOCAGE, RACMOBUS which is a combination of the stratospheric scheme REPROBUS (Lefèvre et al., 1994)

- and of the tropospheric scheme RACM (Stockwell et al., 1997). It includes 119 individual species with 89 prognostic variables and 372 chemical reactions. The simulations presented here use the emissions inventory from Dentener et al. (2005). For CO, emissions are given as a monthly mean for biomass burning and a yearly mean for others. MOCAGE is used for several applications: operational chemical weather forecasting
- in Météo-France (Dufour et al., 2004) and data assimilation research (e.g., Cathala et al., 2003; Pradier et al., 2006; Clark et al., 2007; Semane et al., 2007; El Amraoui et al., 2008a,b; Semane et al., 2009). A detailed validation of the model has been done using a large number of measurements during the Intercontinental Transport of Ozone and Precursors (ICARTT/ITOP) campaign (Bousserez et al., 2007). Its climate ver-
- sion has also been validated over several years by Teyssèdre et al. (2007). Recently, total columns of nitrous oxide as measured by the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) instrument aboard the MetOp-A platform have been compared to MOCAGE in order to assess the transport processes in the tropics (Ricaud et al., 2009).

### ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 Evaluation of a linear **CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

In addition, we used the assimilation system MOCAGE-PALM (Massart et al., 2005). The assimilation module is PALM (Buis et al., 2006) within which is implemented the 3-D-FGAT (First Guess at Appropriate Time) assimilation technique (Fisher and Andersson, 2001). This technique is a compromise between the 3-D-Var and the 4-D-Var. It has been validated during the assimilation of ENVISAT data project and has producted good quality results compared to independent data and many other assimilation systems (Geer et al., 2006). Details on the method and on the assimilation system can be found in Massart et al. (2005), Massart et al. (2007) and El Amraoui et al. (2010).

#### 2.3 The measurements

#### 10 2.3.1 MOPITT

The MOPITT (Measurements Of Pollution In The Troposphere) instrument is a nadir infrared correlation radiometer onboard the NASA Terra Satellite (Drummond and Mand. 1996). It has been monitoring CO from March 2000 to date. It provides global coverage in about 3 days. The pixel size is 22 km × 22 km and the vertical profiles are retrieved on 7 pressure levels (surface, 850, 700, 500, 350, 250 and 150 hPa). The maximum a 15 posteriori algorithm (Deeter et al., 2003) is used to retrieve CO from MOPITT measured radiances. It is a statistical combination of the measurement and the a priori information based on an optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000). The retrieved profiles are characterized by their averaging kernel matrix, which indicates the sensivity of the MOPITT measurements to the true CO profile. In this study, we select MOPITT CO 20 (Version 3) retrieved profiles with less than 40% a priori contamination to insure good quality of dataset validation (Emmons et al., 2009). The accuracy of MOPITT CO retrieved profiles is assumed to be less than 20 ppbv for all of the 7 levels according to Emmons et al. (2004).

## ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

#### 2.3.2 MLS

The Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) (Waters et al., 2006) on Aura spacecraft was launched on 15 July 2004 and placed into a near-polar Earth orbit at ~705 km with an inclination of 98° and an ascending mode at 13:45 h. It orbits the Earth around 14 times per day and provides dense spatial coverage for a limb sounder with daily 3500 profiles, between 82° N and 82° S. MLS observes thermal microwave emission from Earth's limb in five spectral regions from 118 GHz to 2.5 THz. The MLS CO measurements are made in the 240 GHz region. The optimal estimation method is used to retrieve CO profiles (Rodgers, 2000). The retrieval grid has 6 levels per pressure decade for altitude below 0.1 hPa and 3 levels per pressure decade above this. The MLS CO level 2 products used in this paper is produced by version 2.2 of the data processing algorithms. The vertical resolution of MLS CO retrieved profile is about 3–4 km in the stratosphere and the horizontal resolution is between 500 km for lower stratospheric levels and 300 km for upper stratospheric levels. Data are selected according to quality

flag criteria presented in Livesey et al. (2007). The noise generated by the galaxy and affecting the signal is eliminated according to Pumphrey et al. (2008). MLS CO data set was validated by Livesey et al. (2008) for the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere and by Pumphrey et al. (2007) for the stratosphere and the mesosphere where the accuracy was estimated to be 30 ppbv for pressure of 147 hPa and less.

#### 20 2.3.3 MOZAIC

25

The MOZAIC (Measurements of ozone and water vapour by Airbus in-service aircraft) programme (Marenco et al., 1998) was launched in January 1993. The project results from the collaboration of the aeronautics industry, airline carriers, and research laboratories. Measurements started in August 1994, with the installation of ozone and water vapor sensors aboard five commercial aircrafts. In 2001, the instrumentation was upgraded by installing CO sensors on all aircrafts. For the measurement of CO, the IR gas filter correlation technique is employed (Thermo Environmental Instruments,

#### **ACPD**

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization



Model 48CTL). This IR instrument provides excellent stability, which is important for continuous operation without frequent maintenance. The sensitivity of the instrument was improved by several modifications (Nédélec et al., 2003), achieving a precision of 5 ppbv or 5% for a 30 s response time. The majority of these flights are in NH and con-

- <sup>5</sup> nect Europe, North America and eastern Asia, but also include flights to South America and Africa. About 90% of the MOZAIC measurements are made at cruise altitude, between 9 and 12 km. The remaining measurements are performed during ascent and descent phases. A complete description of the MOZAIC programme may be found at http://mozaic.aero.obs-mip.fr/web/ and in the IGAC Newsletters (Cammas and Volz-
- <sup>10</sup> Thomas, 2007). For our study, we selected flights over Europe, North America and eastern Asia where most of the MOZAIC profiles are available.

#### 3 Evaluation of the linear CO chemical scheme

In order to evaluate the linear CO chemical scheme, two MOCAGE simulations have been made in the period between 1 December 2003 and 1 July 2005. The first one
 (hereinafter referred to as LINCO) used the linear CO parameterization and the second one used the detailed chemical scheme RACMOBUS. All the other model components are kept the same: in particular, they both used the same atmospheric forcing from ARPEGE analysis and the same emission inventory. The simulated field for 1 December 2003 has been obtained from a free run with RACMOBUS started from the October climatological initial field. Independent observations are used to evaluate LINCO: measurements from MOPITT in the troposphere, MLS in the stratosphere and MOZAIC in the UTLS.

#### 3.1 Comparison with the detailed chemical scheme RACMOBUS

In this section, we evaluate the effect of CO chemistry representation in the model (detailed or linearized), by comparing two simulations. Both RACMOBUS and LINCO use



the same model components (e.g., transport, atmospheric processes and emissions). The runs are called LINCO (linearized) and RACMOBUS (detailed). In Fig. 2, we present the temporal evolution of the CO zonal mean obtained from LINCO and RAC-MOBUS for the period from December 2003 to June 2005 at the pressure levels 850

- and 150 hPa, in the lowermost and uppermost troposphere respectively. Qualitatively, the two schemes behave similarly with differences between schemes of about ±20%, never exceeding ±40%. However, at the beginning of the period, LINCO concentrations tend to be higher than RACMOBUS CO concentrations whereas elsewhere, the opposite behavior is observed at both pressure levels: RACMOBUS CO concentrations
- <sup>10</sup> are higher. The differences between both schemes are lower in NH than in Southern Hemisphere (SH). Note that both schemes have two different approaches. On the one hand, RACMOBUS is a detailed chemical scheme for which CO is interdependent with other species, particularly the hydroxyl radical (OH). On the other hand, the CO concentration from LINCO is controlled by fixed zonal coefficients based on 2-D model outputs (see Sect. 2.1).

Figure 3 presents CO zonal means obtained from LINCO and RACMOBUS for two specific months (July 2004 and January 2005) representative of NH summer and winter, respectively. Both chemical schemes have similar patterns with small differences. The distribution of CO from both schemes reproduces the impact of African biomass
<sup>20</sup> burning emissions in the tropics. In the same way, the two schemes capture the mesospheric subsidence of CO within the stratospheric polar vortex (latitudes poleward of 60°) evidenced by high CO concentrations between 25 and 30 km. For both months, concentrations in LINCO are lower than those in RACMOBUS in the troposphere but the differences do not exceed ~25 ppbv (~10%). The maxima of the difference between both schemes in the troposphere, are located in the region with the intense convective activity. On the contrary, LINCO concentrations are higher in the stratosphere except between 70° S and 90° S in July 2004 and between 60° N and 90° N in

January 2005. In these regions, LINCO underestimates the CO concentration of the mesospheric descent compared to RACMOBUS. The absolute difference in the strato-

### ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



sphere is about ~15 ppbv (~200%). Note that CO concentrations are generally very low in RACMOBUS in the stratosphere compared to LINCO, which explains such high relative differences between both schemes in the stratosphere.

In the following sections, we evaluate the LINCO fields in comparison to independent data from satellites and in situ measurements.

#### 3.2 Comparison with satellite data in the troposphere

Figure 4 shows the time evolution of CO from December 2003 to June 2005 as obtained by LINCO, RACMOBUS and MOPITT measurements at 700 and 250 hPa in NH and SH. Note that modeled CO fields have been smoothed by MOPITT averaging kernels in order to take into account the vertical resolution and the a priori information used in the retrieval process of the V3 MOPITT product. At 700 hPa (Fig. 4c and d), the seasonal CO variations are fairly well represented by both chemical schemes showing a maximum in April in NH and a maximum in October in SH. April maximum in NH is due to the very weak sunshine during winter and correspondingly less destruction of CO by OH leading to the buildup of CO because of its long lifetime. In addition, in SH, this period corresponds to an intense biomass burning activity in South Africa and

- SH, this period corresponds to an intense biomass burning activity in South Africa and later on in Australia (Edwards et al., 2006). However, LINCO scheme presents lower concentrations than RACMOBUS and MOPITT in both hemispheres. This suggests a larger destruction of CO in LINCO than calculated by RACMOBUS and retrieved from
- MOPITT measurements. The bias between MOPITT and LINCO is about 30–40 ppbv in NH and 20–30 ppbv in SH. However, LINCO follows very well the variations seen in MOPITT CO retrievals with a constant bias. RACMOBUS scheme seems to have a better CO time evolution in SH than in NH where emissions patterns and variability as well as photochemistry are more complex. Even if the bias between RACMOBUS and
- MOPITT is very low in SH and at 250 hPa in NH, a negative bias between RACMOBUS and MOPITT is observed in the NH at 700 hPa which can reach 30 ppbv. The bias between measured and modeled CO fields also suggests that CO emissions used in the model are underestimated.



This latter point is highlighted by Figs. 5 and 6 which present a comparison, for the specific months of October 2004 and April 2005, between results from LINCO and MOPITT CO retrievals at 700 and 250 hPa, respectively. MOPITT CO at 700 hPa (Fig. 5) shows generally high concentrations linked to intense emissions in NH but also

- in regions of SH mostly affected by biomass burning such as South America, South Africa or Australia in October 2004. LINCO also underestimates the CO retrieved from MOPITT measurements in April 2005 reinforcing the idea of too much destruction of CO in the linear scheme combined with too low emissions used in CTMs as suggested by Shindell et al. (2006) or lately by Pison et al. (2009).
- Nevertheless, LINCO tends to accumulate CO over the Tibetan plateau especially in October 2004 (Fig. 5), which is located near populated regions with high emissions. Li et al. (2005) suggested that the boundary layer pollution, transported by Asian summer monsoon convection, is trapped by the Tibetan anticyclone. The model appears to overestimate this accumulation compared to MOPITT data. In Fig. 6, similar remarks
   as in Fig. 5 can be made, but a smaller bias is noticed between LINCO and MOPITT
- $\sim$  20% instead of ~-40% at 750 hPa.

#### 3.3 Comparison with satellite data in the stratosphere

In this section, we compare the LINCO simulation with MLS CO data, in order to evaluate the CO linear scheme in the lower stratosphere. CO fields from LINCO simulation

- are smoothed by a theoretical triangular averaging kernel with the full-width at halfmaximum equal to the MLS vertical resolution according to Pumphrey et al. (2007). This is made to represent the contribution of the range of layers of the atmosphere for which the satellite retrieval is sensitive. This contribution is important in the lower stratosphere where CO vertical gradients are strong. Figure 7 presents the CO monthly
- <sup>25</sup> zonal means for the month of October 2004 and March 2005 calculated for LINCO and MLS CO. The MLS pressure levels are selected from 146 to 14 hPa. For both months, the vertical and latitudinal gradients of CO in the UTLS for pressures larger than 70 hPa are well represented by the model compared to MLS CO with the same range of mix-



ing ratios except over the poles, where the LINCO mixing ratios are underestimated compared to the CO observed by MLS. This may be explained by a too rapid transport of the meridional circulation observed in ARPEGE analysis used in this study. This behaviour has already been reported by van Noije et al. (2004) concerning ERA-40 reanalyses. The mid–stratospheric air, where CO is less concentrated, is transported downward into the lower stratosphere too fast. It leads to smaller CO concentrations than the ones actually found by MLS in the lower stratosphere.

Above the altitude pressure of 20 hPa, an increase of CO is observed by MLS both for the South pole in October 2004 and for the North pole in March 2005. Jin et al.

- (2009) presents the mesospheric descent observed by MLS, ACE-FTS (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer onboard SCISAT-1 satellite), Odin/SMR (Sub-Millimeter Radiometer onboard Odin satellite) and simulated by the Canadian Middle Atmosphere Model at higher altitudes for the same period. Compared to this study, the maximum of CO shown here above 20 hPa only corresponds to the better part of the measurement of the same period. Description of the same period.
- bottom part of the mesospheric descent. The values are underestimated by MOCAGE probably because of the model top, namely located at 5 hPa and constrained by a zonal climatology. Consequently, MOCAGE leads to a mis-representation of the mesospheric descent.

#### 3.4 Comparison with MOZAIC aircraft data in the UTLS

5

- To evaluate the linear scheme in the UTLS region, we compared CO from MOZAIC aircraft data and model output interpolated on-line at flight times and locations. We averaged the observations where pressures are lower than 300 hPa into boxes of 2° × 2° in order to match the model resolution. In Fig. 8, the LINCO and the MOZAIC data are compared for the months of October 2004 and April 2005 which correspond to CO maxima in SH and in NH, respectively. In both cases, LINCO tends to overestimate
- the aircraft observations, with very low biases, less than 2%, but with a large standard deviation of ~27%. This indicates that LINCO does not present a global systematic bias but, as expected, is not able to represent the variability observed by aircraft in situ



measurements. In October 2004, the model represents well the spatial distribution of CO as observed by MOZAIC. Both observations and model show a meridional gradient between equator and high latitudes as aircraft fly in the lower stratosphere at midlatitudes (low CO amounts of ~50 ppbv) and in the troposphere at low latitudes (high

<sup>5</sup> CO amounts of ~80 ppbv). An overestimation of the LINCO concentration is observed over the Tibetan Plateau, where the model accumulates CO as described in Sect. 3.2. In April 2005, an increase of CO is observed by MOZAIC over polluted areas in the South-Center USA and over Asia. The model underestimates this increase of CO concentration in the higher troposphere as was concluded in Sect. 3.2 by comparison
 with MOPITT CO.

# 4 Evaluation of a one year of MOPITT CO data assimilation with the linear scheme

#### 4.1 Assimilation diagnostics

The linear scheme of CO is used here to demonstrate its great interest for data as-<sup>15</sup> similation over long periods of time due to its low computational cost. The assimilation experiment started on 1 December 2003 and ended on 1 July 2005. The initial 3-D field of atmospheric constituents is the same as for twin runs for LINCO and RAC-MOBUS discussed in Sect. 3. MOPITT data are averaged in boxes of 2°×2° to obtain super-observations directly assimilated into the used version of MOCAGE-PALM sys-

- tem. Moreover, in order to take into account of the vertical resolution of the MOPITT measurements, their averaging kernels as well as their a priori profiles are considered in the assimilation procedure. Note that the variance-covariance error matrices of MOPITT measurements are also taken into account during the assimilation process through the error covariance matrix of the observations. The analysed fields are stud-
- <sup>25</sup> ied for the period between July 2004 and July 2005. The period prior to 1 July 2004 is assumed to be perturbed by spinup effects, and thus is not considered in our analysis.



We check the consistency of the observations minus analyses (OMA) and observations minus forecast (OMF) in order to evaluate the quality of the CO assimilated fields. Figure 9 shows the two distributions of OMA and OMF for all of the 7 MOPITT levels and for the full period (July 2004–July 2005). Both distributions are nearly Gaussian <sup>5</sup> and therefore are assumed to have a Gaussian error. The mean of OMF values is close to zero (~0.7 ppbv) with a standard deviation of ~16.6 ppbv, which indicates a small bias between MOPITT observations and model forecast. The standard deviation and the mean value of OMA (with a correlation coefficient of 0.99) are smaller than that of OMF (with a correlation coefficient of 0.94) which shows that the analyses are closer

to the observations than the forecast. This again indicates that the assimilation system behaves properly.

#### 4.2 Evaluation of CO analyses

#### 4.2.1 Comparison in the troposphere with independent MOZAIC aircraft data

In this section, we use MOZAIC CO as independent data in order to evaluate MOPITT
 CO assimilated fields (hereinafter referred to MOPan) and the LINCO simulation (reference standard simulation) with the objective to determine the added-value of data assimilation. Table 1 presents correlation, bias and standard deviations between LINCO and MOZAIC CO, and between MOPan and MOZAIC CO. LINCO underestimates CO in the lower troposphere with a negative bias of -25% compared to MOZAIC at 700 hPa
 whereas MOPan reduces this bias to a positive value of 8.6%. These results are con-

- sistent with the bias of +5% obtained by Emmons et al. (2009) directly considering MOPITT and MOZAIC in 2004 over coincident profiles. In this study, we consider all MOZAIC profiles and not only MOPITT coincident profiles as done by Emmons et al. (2009) which may lead to a slightly different bias (+8% instead of +5%). As presented
- $_{25}\,$  in Sect. 3.4, the model tends to increase the CO concentrations in the UTLS region. This may explain the differences between a  $\sim\!16\%$  bias found between MOZAIC data and MOPan at 250 hPa and a  $\sim\!2\%$  bias between MOZAIC and MOPITT CO data found



by Emmons et al. (2009) for the same period.

In order to go a step further in our analysis, CO averaged profiles are presented over 3 regions of the globe: North America and North Atlantic Ocean (140–30° W, 15–70° N), Europe (20° W–40° E, 15–75° N) and Asia (60–160° E, 5–50° N) and for the different seasons: NH summer, autumn, winter and spring 2004–2005. In Fig. 10, MOZAIC CO profiles are plotted on 8 isobars at 950, 850, 750, 650, 550, 450, 350 and 250 hPa, averaged within the 8 layers: surface – 900 hPa, 900–800 hPa, 800–700 hPa, 700–600 hPa, 600–500 hPa, 500–400 hPa, 400–300 hPa and 300–220 hPa, respectively. For all cases, except over Asia during NH summer, LINCO underestimates CO concentration compared to MOZAIC CO with a negative bias of ~40 ppbv at 850 hPa, decreasing with altitude until 250 hPa where the negative bias is very low (~10 ppbv). The assimilation of MOPITT CO data improves greatly the vertical distribution of CO which shows MOPan profiles closer to the MOZAIC independent data than free run LINCO for pressures between 750 to 350 hPa. However, MOPan overes-

- timates CO in October-November-December 2004 and January-February-March 2005 over Europe and North America compared to MOZAIC at 850 hPa, in which MOPITT CO data are not assumed to be the most accurate pressure levels according to Emmons et al. (2004). In the lower troposphere and over Asia, LINCO slightly overestimates CO in summer (July-August-September) but widely underestimates CO during
- the other seasons. This is probably due to a mis-specified fossil fuel burning in the inventory emissions of the model over Asia as suggested by Shindell et al. (2006). The data assimilation corrects this discrepancy by decreasing CO concentration in summer and increasing CO elsewhere. Moreover, the annual added CO mass in the troposphere by the MOPITT CO assimilation (via the assimilation increment) is estimated to
- 680 Tg. This corresponds to ~68% of the total mass introduced during one year by the emission inventory.

### ACPD 10, 6995-7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



#### 4.2.2 Comparison in the UTLS with MLS

MOZAIC data are only available up to 190 hPa, therefore we used independent MLS data at 147 hPa which is nearly an overlapped level with MOPITT at 150 hPa to evaluate MOPan at higher altitude. We applied the same theorical triangular averaging kernel as described in Sect. 3.3. In Fig. 11, we compare MLS CO to LINCO and MOPan simulated CO interpolated at MLS locations at 146 hPa. For October 2004, the results calculated with LINCO are different from the corresponding MLS CO fields. Over South America, CO fields from LINCO are quite similar to MLS observations. Conversely, over Africa, there is an underestimation of CO concentration compared to

- <sup>10</sup> MLS data probably inducing the stronger underestimation over South East Asia due to horizontal and vertical transport deficiencies and also to too much CO destruction and too low emissions over Africa in the linear scheme. In the same way, for April 2005, the same behavior is observed as for October 2004, with strong underestimation of CO mainly over Africa. For both months, MOPITT CO data assimilation corrects these un-
- derestimates by increasing CO concentration over Africa and South East Asia. MOPan improves the quality of the CO distribution compared to MLS into the tropics by reducing the bias between the model and the observations from –15% without assimilation to 5% and increasing the correlation from 0.65 without assimilation to 0.75 with assimilation. At extratropical latitudes the bias between MLS and MOPan is higher (–50%)
- <sup>20</sup> because MOPITT data are more scattered and therefore the low model values due to the too rapid meridional circulation (as described in Sect. 3.3) are predominant. Moreover the MLS data are assumed to have an uncertainty of ±30% according to Livesey et al. (2008), which may also contribute to this high difference between MOPITT CO analyses and MLS CO at high latitudes.

### ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 Evaluation of a linear **CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ►T. Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



#### 5 Summary and conclusions

In this study, we have presented a new linear parameterization for CO (LINCO) which can be used both in the troposphere and in the stratosphere. In order to evaluate this linear chemical scheme, we have implemented the parameterization into the MOCAGE

- <sup>5</sup> CTM. In a first part, the results of LINCO have been compared to the detailed chemical scheme of MOCAGE, RACMOBUS. LINCO results have also been compared to several CO measurements from satellite instruments (MOPITT in the troposphere and MLS in the stratosphere) and from in situ aircraft data (MOZAIC) in the UTLS. In a second part, we have assimilated MOPITT CO with LINCO over one and a half years
- <sup>10</sup> and compared analyses to independent observations (MOZAIC and MLS) to evaluate the quality of the results. This evaluation was done to demonstrate the interest of the LINCO low computation cost which allows data assimilation over long periods of time.

The CO distributions of both the linear and the detailed chemical schemes behave qualitatively similarly. The linear scheme has smaller CO concentrations in the tropo-

- sphere and larger CO concentrations in the stratosphere compared to RACMOBUS. We deduced that these differences are mainly due in the troposphere to a too high destruction of CO concentrations by LINCO. However differences between the two chemical schemes remain quite small (less than 30 ppbv). The comparisons between model results and MOPITT CO data globally show a good agreement. The model is able to
- <sup>20</sup> capture the main spatial patterns of CO and to represent the seasonal CO variations with a maximum in NH in April and a maximum in SH in October as observed in MO-PITT data. A negative bias of LINCO in the troposphere is observed, predominant in the NH with a maximum near the surface (30–40 ppbv at 850 hPa) and a decrease with altitude (20 ppbv at 250 hPa). In the lower and middle stratosphere, LINCO very well
- simulates the CO concentration distributions except at the poles where CO concentrations are underestimated. We suggest that this deficiency in LINCO is related to a too rapid downward transport of CO poor air from middle stratosphere to the lower stratosphere at the poles in the model. In the UTLS, we obtained a very good agreement

### ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 Evaluation of a linear **CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Þ١ Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



between LINCO results and MOZAIC CO observations with a small bias of +2% but with a large variability of  $\pm 27\%$ .

The MOPITT CO analyses generally show a good agreement with the MOZAIC observations and reduce the negative bias in the troposphere compared to the model
without assimilation and MOZAIC (from ~-20% without assimilation to ~+8% with assimilation). At 250 hPa, the bias between MOPITT CO analyses and MOZAIC is larger than the bias between MOPITT CO and MOZAIC CO obtained by Emmons et al. (2009) probably because of the model transport deficiency. We compared MOZAIC CO profiles with LINCO profiles over North America, Europe and Asia for different seasons.
In each case, the assimilation greatly improves the CO vertical distribution from 700 to 350 hPa. The annual added CO mass in the troposphere by the MOPITT CO assimilation is estimated to 680 Tg, which corresponds to ~68% of the total mass introduced by the emission inventory during one year. MOPITT CO analyses also present a good

agreement with MLS data at 146 hPa. However, at extratropical latitudes, MOPITT CO analyses underestimate CO concentration compared to MLS CO (~-50%), because of the too rapid downward transport of the meridional circulation.

Finally, we show that the linear parameterization for CO, introduced in a CTM, is able to represent reasonably well the main CO distribution in the troposphere and the lower stratosphere. The main advantage of such chemical scheme is its low computing cost (only one tracer) which makes possible simulation and data assimilation for long

20 cost (only one tracer) when makes possible simulation and data assimilation for long periods. It is now possible to assimilate data at higher global resolution (for example 0.5° instead of 2° actually used) to use the full horizontal resolution of current nadir satellite-borne instruments.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the Centre National de Recherches Scien tifiques (CNRS), Astrium-EADS and the Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM) of Météo-France. The authors acknowledge for the strong support of the European Commission, Airbus, and the Airlines (Lufthansa, Austrian, Air France) who carry free of charge the MOZAIC equipment and perform the maintenance since 1994. MOZAIC is presently funded by INSU-CNRS (France), Météo-France and Forschungszentrum (FZJ, Julich, Germany). The
 MOZAIC data based is supported by ETHER (CNES and INSU-CNRS). Work at the Jet Propul-

### ACPD 10, 6995–7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear** CO parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures** Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

sion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, was done under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. ETHER (ADOMOCA programme) and the Région Midi-Pyrénées are also acknowledged.



The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

#### References

10

15

Andersson, E., Beljaars, A., Bidlot, J., Miller, M., Simmons, A., and Thepaut, J. N.: A major new cycle of the IFS: cycle 25R4, in: ECMWF Newsletter 97, ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading, Berkshire RG2, 9AX, UK, 2003. 6998

Barret, B., Ricaud, P., Mari, C., Attié, J.-L., Bousserez, N., Josse, B., Le Flochmoën, E., Livesey, N. J., Massart, S., Peuch, V.-H., Piacentini, A., Sauvage, B., Thouret, V., and Cammas, J.-P.: Transport pathways of CO in the African upper troposphere during the monsoon season: a study based upon the assimilation of spaceborne observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3231–3246, 2008,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/3231/2008/. 6999

Bechtold, P., Bazile, E., Guichard, F., Mascart, P., and Richard, E.: A mass-flux convection scheme for regional and global models, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 127, 869–886, 2001. 7002
Bousserez, N., Attié, J.-L., Peuch, V.-H., Michou, M., Pfister, G., Edwards, D., Emmons, L.,

Mari, C., Barret, B., Arnold, S. R., Heckel, A., Richter, A., Schlager, H., Lewis, A., Avery, M., Sachse, G., Browell, E. V., and Hair, J. W.: Evaluation of the MOCAGE chemistry transport model during the ICARTT/ITOP experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S42, doi:10.1029/ 2006JD007595, 2007. 7002

Buis, S., Piacentini, A., and Déclat, D.: PALM: a computational framework for assembling high-

#### **ACPD**

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization





performance computing applications, Concurrency Computat.: Pract. Exper., 18, 231–245, 2006. 7003

Cammas, J.-P. and Volz-Thomas, A.: The MOZAIC program (1994–2007), International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) Newsletter, Issue N. 37, November 2007, 2007. 7005

- <sup>5</sup> Cariolle, D. and Déqué, M.: Southern hemisphere medium-scale waves and total ozone disturbances in a spectral general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 10825–10846, 1986. 6998, 6999, 7000
  - Cariolle, D. and Teyssèdre, H.: A revised linear ozone photochemistry parameterization for use in transport and general circulation models: multi-annual simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 10 7, 2183–2196, 2007,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2183/2007/. 6998, 7000

- Cariolle, D., Evans, M. J., Chipperfield, M. P., Butkovskaya, N., Kukui, A., and Le Bras, G.: Impact of the new HNO<sub>3</sub>-forming channel of the HO<sub>2</sub>+NO reaction on tropospheric HNO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub> and ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4061–4068, 2008,
- 15 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/4061/2008/. 7000
  - Cathala, M. L., Pailleux, J., and Peuch, V.-H.: Improving chemical simulations of the upper troposphere lower stratosphere with sequential assimilation of MOZAIC data, Tellus, 55B, 1–10, 2003. 7002

Clark, H. L., Cathala, M.-L., Teyssèssdre, H., Cammas, J.-P., and Peuch, V.-H.: Cross-

- tropopause fluxes of ozone unsing assimilation of MOZAIC observations in a global CTM, Tellus, 59B, 39–49, 2007. 7002
  - Courtier, P., Freydier, C., Geleyn, J., Rabier, F., and Rochas, M.: The ARPEGE project at Météo France, in: Atmospheric Models, vol. 2, Workshop on Numerical Methods, Reading, UK, 193–231, 1991. 7002
- Deeter, M., Emmons, L., Francis, G., Edwards, D., Gille, J., Warner, J., Khattatov, B., Ziskin, D., Lamarque, J., Ho, S. P., Yudin, V., Attié, J.-L., Packman, D., Chen, J., Mao, D., and Drummond, J.: Operational carbon monoxide retrieval algorithm and selected results for the MOPITT instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4399, doi:10.1029/2002JD003186, 2003. 7003 Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Cofala, J., Mechler, R., Amann, M., Bergamaschi, P., Raes, F.,
- and Derwent, R.: The impact of air pollutant and methane emission controls on tropospheric ozone and radiative forcing: CTM calculations for the period 1990–2030, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1731–1755, 2005,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1731/2005/. 7002

ACPD				
10, 6995–	10, 6995–7036, 2010			
<b>Evaluation of a linear</b> <b>CO parameterization</b> M. Claeyman et al.				
Title	Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	۶I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

Déqué, M., Dreveton, C., Braun, A., and Cariolle, D.: The ARPEGE/IFS atmosphere model: a contribution to the French community climate modelling, Clim. Dynam., 10, 249–266, 1994. 6998

Drummond, J. R. and Mand, G. S.: Evaluation of operational radiances for the Measurement of

<sup>5</sup> Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) instrument CO thermal-band channels, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D03308, doi:10.1029/2003JD003970, 1996. 7003

Dufour, A., Amodei, M., Ancellet, G., and Peuch, V.-H.: Observed and modelled "chemical weather" during ESCOMPTE, Atmos. Res., 74, 161–189, 2004. 6999, 7002

Edwards, D. P., Lamarque, J.-F., Attié, J.-L., Emmons, L. K., Richter, A., Cammas, J.-P., Gille,

- J. C., Francis, G. L., Deeter, M. N., Warner, J., Ziskin, D. C., Lyjak, L. V., Drummond, J. R., and Burrows, J. P.: Tropospheric ozone over the tropical Atlantic: A satellite perspective, J. Geophys. Res., 108, D84237, doi:10.1029/2002JD002927, 2003. 7001
  - Edwards, D. P., Petron, G., Novelli, P. C., Emmons, L. K., Gille, J. C., and Drummond, J. R.: Southern Hemisphere carbon monoxide interannual variability observed by
- <sup>15</sup> Terra/Measurement of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT), J. Geophys. Res., 111, D16303, doi:10.1029/2006JD007079, 2006. 7007
  - El Amraoui, L., Ricaud, P., Urban, J., Théodore, B., Hauchecorne, A., Lautié, N., de La Noë J, J., Guirlet, M., Flochmën, E. L., Murtagh, D., Dupuy, E., Frisk, U., and dÁndon, O.: Assimilation of Odin/SMR O<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O measurements in a three-dimensional chemistry transport model,
- Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 109, D22304, doi:10.1029/2004JD004796, 2004. 6999
   El Amraoui, L., Peuch, V.-H., Ricaud, P., Massart, S., Semane, N., Teyssèdre, H., Cariolle, D., and Karcher, F.: Ozone loss in the 2002–2003 Arctic vortex deduced from the assimilation of Odin/SMR O3 and N2O measurements: N2O as a dynamical tracer, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 134, 217–228, 2008a. 7002
- El Amraoui, L., Semane, N., Peuch, V.-H., and Santee, L.: Investigation of dynamical processes in the polar stratospheric vortex during the unusually cold winter 2004/2005, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L03803, doi:10.1029/2007GL031251, 2008b. 6999, 7002
  - El Amraoui, L., Attié, J.-L., Semane, N., Claeyman, M., Peuch, V.-H., Warner, J., Ricaud, P., Cammas, J.-P., Piacentini, A., Josse, B., Cariolle, D., Massart, S., and Bencherif, H.:
- Midlatitude stratosphere troposphere exchange as diagnosed by MLS O<sub>3</sub> and MOPITT CO assimilated fields, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2175–2194, 2010, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/2175/2010/. 7003

Emmons, L. K., Deeter, M. N., Gille, J. C., Edwards, D. P., Attié, J.-L., Warner, J., Ziskin, D.,

ACPD				
10, 6995–7036, 2010				
Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization				
M. Claeyman et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I	I4 >1			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Deinten friendlic Verein				
Printer-triendly version				
Interactive Discussion				



Francis, G., Khattatov, B., Yudin, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Ho, S. P., Mao, D., Chen, J. S., Drummond, J., Novelli, P., Sachse, G., Coffey, M. T., Hannigan, J. W., Gerbig, C., Kawakami, S., Kondo, Y., Takegawa, N., Schlager, H., Baehr, J., and Ziereis, H.: Validation of Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) CO retrievals with aircraft in situ profiles, J.

- Geophys. Res., 109, D03309, doi:10.1029/2003JD004101, 2004. 7003, 7012
   Emmons, L. K., Edwards, D. P., Deeter, M. N., Gille, J. C., Campos, T., Nédélec, P., Novelli, P., and Sachse, G.: Measurements of Pollution In The Troposphere (MOPITT) validation through 2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1795–1803, 2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/1795/2009/. 7003, 7011, 7012, 7015
- Fisher, M. and Andersson, E.: Developments in 4D-Var and Kalman filtering, in: Technical Memorandum Research Department, vol. 347, ECMWF, Reading, UK, 2001. 7003 Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Dias, M. A. F. S., Dias, P. L. S., Chatfield, R., Prins, E., Axtaxo, P.,
  - and Recuero, F. S.: Monitoring the transport of bimoass burning emissions in South America, Environ. Fluid. Mech., 5, 135–167, doi:10.1007/s1065200502437, 2005. 6997
- <sup>15</sup> Geer, A. J., Lahoz, W. A., Bekki, S., Bormann, N., Errera, Q., Eskes, H. J., Fonteyn, D., Jackson, D. R., Juckes, M. N., Massart, S., Peuch, V.-H., Rharmili, S., and Segers, A.: The ASSET intercomparison of ozone analyses: method and first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5445– 5474, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5445/2006/. 7003

Geer, A. J., Lahoz, W. A., Jackson, D. R., Cariolle, D., and McCormack, J. P.: Evaluation of linear ozone photochemistry parametrizations in a stratosphere-troposphere data assimilation system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 939–959, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/939/2007/. 6998

Guan, H., Chatfield, R. B., Freitas, S. R., Bergstrom, R. W., and Longo, K. M.: Modeling the

effect of plume-rise on the transport of carbon monoxide over Africa with NCAR CAM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6801–6812, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/6801/2008/. 6997

Hadjinicolaou, P., Pyle, J. A., and Harris, N. R. P.: The recent turnaround in stratospheric ozone over northern middle latitudes: A dynamical modeling perspective, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32,

- <sup>30</sup> L12821, doi:10.1029/2005GL022476, 2005. 6998
  - Jin, J. J., Semeniuk, K., Beagley, S. R., Fomichev, V. I., Jonsson, A. I., McConnell, J. C., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Manney, G. L., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., Walker, K. A., Barret, B., Ricaud, P., and Dupuy, E.: Comparison of CMAM simulations of carbon monoxide (CO),

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization





nitrous oxide (N2O), and methane (CH4) with observations from Odin/SMR, ACE-FTS, and Aura/MLS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3233–3252, 2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/3233/2009/. 7009

Josse, B., Simon, P., and Peuch, V.-H.: Radon global simulation with the multiscale chemistry trasnport model MOCAGE, Tellus, 56, 339–356, 2004. 7002

 trasnport model MOCAGE, Tellus, 56, 339–356, 2004. 7002
 Lahoz, W. A., Errera, Q., Swinbank, R., and Fonteyn, D.: Data assimilation of stratospheric constituents: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5745–5773, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5745/2007/. 6999

Lefèvre, F., Brasseur, G. P., Folkins, I., Smith, A. K., and Simon, P.: Chemistry of the 1991–1992

stratospheric winter: three dimensional model simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 8183– 8195, 1994. 7002

Li, Q., Jiang, J. H., Wu, D. L., Read, W. G., Livesey, N. J., Waters, J. W., Zhang, Y., Wang, B., Filipiak, M. J., Davis, C. P., Turquety, S., Wu, S., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., and Jacob, D. J.: Convective outflow of South Asian pollution: A global CTM simulation compared with EOS

- <sup>15</sup> MLS observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L14826, doi:10.1029/2005GL022762, 2005. 6997, 7008
  - Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Lambert, A. L., Cofield, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Froidevaux, L., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Jiang, J. H., Jiang, Y. B., Knosp, B. W., Kovalenko, L. J., Pickett, H. M., Pumphrey, H. C., Santee, M. L., Schwartz, M. J., Stek, P. C., Wagner, P. A., Waters, J. W.,
- and Wu, D. L.: EOS MLS version 2.2 Level 2 data quality and description document, *Tech. Rep.* JPL D-33509, Jet Propul. Lab., Pasadena, Calif., 2007. 7004
  - Livesey, N. J., Filipiak, M. J., Froidevaux, L., Read, W. G., Lamber, A., Santee, M. L., Jiang, J. H., Pumphrey, H. C., Waters, J. W., Cofield, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., ad B. J. Drouin, W. H. D., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Jiang, Y. B., Ksnop, B. W., Li, Q. B., Perun, V. S., Schwartz,
- M. J., Snyder, W. V., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., Wagner, P. A., Avery, M., Browell, E. V., Cammas, J.-P., Christensen, L. E., Diskin, G. S., Gao, R.-S., Jost, H.-J., Loewenstein, M., Lopez, J. D., Nédélec, P., Osterman, G. B., Sachse, G. W., and Webster, C. R.: Validation of Aura Microwave Limb Sounder O<sub>3</sub> and CO observations in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D15S02, doi:10.1029/2008JD008805, 2008. 7004, 7013
  - Louis, J.-F.: Parametric model of vertical eddy fluxes in the atmosphere, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 17, 187–202, 1979. 7002

Marenco, A., Thouret, V., Nédélec, P., Smit, H., Helten, M., Kley, D., Karcher, F., Simon, P., Law,

ACPD				
10, 6995–7036, 2010				
<b>Evaluation of a linear</b> <b>CO parameterization</b> M. Claeyman et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	۶I			
•	<b>F</b>			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				



K., Pyle, J., Poschmann, G., von Wrede, R., Hume, C., and Cook, T.: Measurement of ozone and water vapour by Airbus in-service aircraft: the MOZAIC airborne program, An overview, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 25631–25642, 1998. 7004

Massart, S., Cariolle, D., and Peuch, V.-H.: Towards an improvement of the atmospheric ozone

- distribution and variability by assimilation of satellite data, C. R. Geosci., 337, 1305–1310, 2005. 6999, 7003
  - Massart, S., Piacentini, A., Cariolle, D., El Amraoui, L., and Semane, N.: Assessment of the quality of the ozone measurements from the Odin/SMR instrument using data assimilation, Can. J. Phys., 85, 1209–1223, 2007. 7003
- Massart, S., Clerbaux, C., Cariolle, D., Piacentini, A., Turquety, S., and Hadji-Lazaro, J.: First steps towards the assimilation of IASI ozone data into the MOCAGE-PALM system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5073–5091, 2009,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/5073/2009/. 6998

McCormack, J. P., Eckermann, S. D., Coy, L., Allen, D. R., Kim, Y.-J., Hogan, T., Lawrence, B.,

<sup>15</sup> Stephens, A., Browell, E. V., Burris, J., McGee, T., and Trepte, C. R.: NOGAPS-ALPHA model simulations of stratospheric ozone during the SOLVE2 campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 2401–2423, 2004,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/2401/2004/. 6998

- McCormack, J. P., Eckermann, S. D., Siskind, D. E., and McGee, T. J.: CHEM2D-OPP: A new linearized gas-phase ozone photochemistry parameterization for high-altitude NWP and climate models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4943-4972, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4943/2006/. 6998
  - McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S. C., Hannegan, B., Wlld, O., Prather, M. J., and Sundet, J.: Stratospheric ozone in 3-D models: A simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, J. Geophys.
- <sup>25</sup> Res., 105, 14653–14665, 2000. 6998
  - Nédélec, P., Cammas, J.-P., Thouret, V., Athier, G., Cousin, J.-M., Legrand, C., Abonnel, C., Lecoeur, F., Cayez, G., and Marizy, C.: An improved infrared carbon monoxide analyser for routine measurements aboard commercial Airbus aircraft: technical validation and first scientific results of the MOZAIC III programme, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1551–1564, 2003, http://www.stwas.et/0/1551/0000/
- 30 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/1551/2003/. 7005
- Oikonomou, E. K. and O 'Neill, A.: Evaluation of ozone and water vapor fields from the ECMWF reanalysis ERA40 during 1991–1999 in comparison with UARS satellite and MOZAIC aircraft observations, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D14109, doi:10.1029/2004JD005341, 2006. 6998

**ACPD** 

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization





- Peuch, V.-H., Amodei, M., Barthet, T., Cathala, M. L., Michou, M., and Simon, P.: MOCAGE, MOdéle de Chimie Atmosphérique à Grande Echelle, in: Proceedings of Météo France: Workshop on atmospheric modelling, Toulouse, France, 33–36, 1999. 6999, 7002
- Pickering, K., Thompson, A., Y., W., Tao, W., Namara, D., Kirchhof, J., Sachse, G., Bradshaw,
- J., Gregory, G., and Blaki, D.: Convective transport of biomass burning emissions over Brazil during TRACEA, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 23993–24012, 1996. 6997
  - Pison, I., Bousquet, P., Chevallier, F., Szopa, S., and Hauglustaine, D.: Multi-species inversion of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO and H<sub>2</sub> emissions from surface measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5281– 5297, 2009,
- 10 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/5281/2009/. 7008
- Pradier, S., Attié, J.-L., Chong, M., Escobar, J., Peuch, V.-H., Lamarque, J.-F., Khattatov, B., and Edwards, D.: Evaluation of 2001 springtime CO transport over West Africa using MOPITT CO measurements assimilated in a global chemistry transport model, Tellus, 58B, 163–176, 2006. 6999, 7002
- <sup>15</sup> Pumphrey, H., Filipiak, M., Livesey, N., Schwartz, M., Boone, C., Walker, K., Bernath, P., Ricaud, P., Barret, B., Clerbaux, C., Jarnot, R., Manney, G., and Waters, J.: Validation of middle–atmosphere carbon monxide retrievals from the Microwave Limb Sounder on Aura, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24S38, doi:10.1029/2007JD008723, 2007. 7004, 7008 Pumphrey, H. C., Cofield, R. E., Filipiak, M. J., and Livesey, N. J.: An all-sky survey at 230 GHz
- by MLS on Aura, Adv. Space Res., 43(3), 342–348, 2008. 7004
   Ricaud, P., Attié, J.-L., Teyssèdre, H., El Amraoui, L., Peuch, V.-H., Matricardi, M., and Schluessel, P.: Equatorial total column of nitrous oxide as measured by IASI on MetOp-A: implications for transport processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3947–3956, 2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/3947/2009/. 7002
- <sup>25</sup> Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice, vol. 2 of *Series on Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics*, World Scientific, 2000. 7003, 7004
   Semane, N., Peuch, V.-H., Amraoui, L. E., Bencherif, H., Massart, S., Cariolle, D., Attié, J.-L., and Abidab, R.: An observed and analysed stratospheric ozone intrusion over the high Canadian Arctic UTLS region during the summer of 2003, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 133, 171–178, 2007, 6998, 7002
  - Semane, N., Peuch, V.-H., Pradier, S., Desroziers, G., El Amraoui, L., Brousseau, P., Massart, S., Chapnik, B., and Peuch, A.: On the extraction of wind information from the assimilation of ozone profiles in MétéoFrance 4-D-Var operational NWP suite, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9,

ACPD					
10, 6995–7036, 2010					
Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization					
M. Claeyman et al.					
Title F	Page				
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				





4855-4867, 2009,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/4855/2009/. 6999, 7002

- Shindell, D., Faluvegi, G., Stevenson, D., Krol, M., Emmons, L., Lamargue, J.-F., Petron, G., Dentener, F., Ellingsen, K., Schultz, M., Wild, O., Amann, M., Atherton, C., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, W., Derwent, R., Doherty, R., Drevet, J., Eskes, H., Fiore, 5 A., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D., Horowitz, L., Isaksen, I., Lawrence, M., Montanaro, V., Muller, J.-F., Pitari, G., Prather, M., Pyle, J., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J., Sanderson, M., Savage, N., Strahan, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Unger, N., van Noije, T., and Zeng, G.: Multimodel simulations of carbon monoxide: Comparison with observations and projected near-future changes, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D19306, doi:10.1029/2006JD007100, 2006. 7008, 7012 10 Sinha, P., Jaegle, L., Hobbs, P. V., and Liang, Q.: Transport of biomass burning emissions from Southern Africa, J. Geophys. Res., 106, D20204, doi:10.1029/2004JD005044, 2004. 6997 Staudt, A. C., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Bachiochi, D., Krishnamurti, T. N., and Sachse, G. W.: Continental sources, transoceanic transport, and interhemispheric exchange of carbon monoxide over the Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 32571-32590, 2001. 6997 15 Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T. P. C., Wild, O., Zeng, G., Amann, M., Atherton, C. S., Bell, N., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G., Doherty, R. M., Drevet, J., Eskes, H. J., Fiore, A. M., Gauss,
- M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Horowitz, L. W., Isaksen, I. S. A., Krol, M. C., Lamarque, J.-F.,
  Lawrence, M. G., Montanaro, V., Müller, J.-F. M., Pitari, G., Prather, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Rast,
  S., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M. G., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D. T., Strahan, S. E., Sudo,
  K., and Szopa, S.: Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near-future tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D08301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006338, 2006. 6997
  Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner, F., Khun, M., and Seefeld, S.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modelling, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 25847–25879, 1997. 7002
  - Stohl, A., Eckhardt, S., Forster, C., James, P., and Spichtinger, N.: On the pathways and timescales of intercontinental air pollution transport, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4684, doi:10. 1026/2001JD001396, 2002. 6997

Teyssèdre, H., Michou, M., Clark, H. L., Josse, B., Karcher, F., Olivié, D., Peuch, V.-H., Saint-

Martin, D., Cariolle, D., Attié, J.-L., Nédélec, P., Ricaud, P., Thouret, V., van der A, R. J., Volz-Thomas, A., and Chéroux, F.: A new tropospheric and stratospheric Chemistry and Transport Model MOCAGE-Climat for multi-year studies: evaluation of the present-day climatology and sensitivity to surface processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5815–5860, 2007,

ACPD				
10, 6995–7036, 2010				
<b>Evaluation of a linear</b> <b>CO parameterization</b> M. Claeyman et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I4	I4 PI			
•	Þ			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5815/2007/. 7002

Thompson, A.: The Oxidizing Capacity of the Earth's Atmosphere: Probale Past and Future Changes, Science, 256, 1157–1165, 1992. 6997

van Noije, T. P. C., Segers, A. J., and van Velthoven, P. F. J.: Implications of the enhanced

- <sup>5</sup> Brewer-Dobson circulation in European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts reanalysis ERA-40 for the stratosphere-troposphere exchange of ozone in global chemistry transport models, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D19308, doi:0.1029/2004JD004586, 2004. 7009
  - Waters, J. W., Froidevaux, L., Harwood, R. S., Jarnot, R. F., Pickett, H. M., Read, W. G., Siegel, P. H., Cofield, R. E., Filipiak, M. J., Flower, D. A., Holden, J. R., Lau, G. K. K., Livesey, N. J.,
- Manney, G. L., Pumphrey, H. C., Santee, M. L., Wu, D. L., Cuddy, D. T., Lay, R. R., Loo, M. S., Perun, V. S., Schwartz, M. J., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., Boyles, M. A., Chandra, K. M., Chavez, M. C., Chen, G. S., Chudasama, B. V., Dodge, R., Fuller, R. A., Girard, M. A., Jiang, J. H., Jiang, Y. B., Knosp, B. W., LaBelle, R. C., Lam, J. C., Lee, K. A., Miller, D., Oswald, J. E., Patel, N. C., Pukala, D. M., Quintero, O., Scaff, D. M., Snyder, W. V., Tope, M. C.,
   Wagner, P. A., and Walch, M. J.: The Earth Observing System Microwave Limb Sounder (EOS MLS) on the Aura satellite, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 44(5), 1075–7092, 2006. 7004

ACPD				
10, 6995–7036, 2010				
<b>Evaluation of a linear</b> <b>CO parameterization</b> M. Claeyman et al.				
Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	۶I			
•	Þ			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

#### ACPD

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization

M. Claeyman et al.





**Table 1.** Mean correlation bias and standard deviation in % between MOZAIC aircraft CO data set and the LINCO run and between the MOPITT CO assimilated fields at 700 (775–600), 500 (600–425) 350 (425–260) and 250 (260–240) hPa.

	MOZAIC-LINCO		MOZAIC-MOPAN	
Pressure (hPa)	Corr	Bias %	Corr	Bias %
250	0.69	-0.1±26.8	0.69	16.2±31.9
350	0.54	-8.8±23.3	0.62	10.8±26.2
500	0.31	-21.0±17.2	0.50	7.2±18.3
700	0.26	-25.5±25.8	0.42	8.6±24.6



**Fig. 1. (a)** Background CO distribution in parts per billion by volume (ppbv), **(b)** net photochemical rate (ppbv/days) and **(c)** photochemical relaxation time (days) as a function of altitude and latitude for the month of January.

#### **ACPD**

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization









**ACPD** 

10, 6995–7036, 2010

**Fig. 2.** LINCO (left) and RACMOBUS (right) zonal mean of CO in parts per billion by volume(ppbv) from December 2003 to June 2005 at 150 hPa (top) and 850 hPa (middle). Corresponding relative differences (LINCO-RACMOBUS)/RACMOBUS-100 in % are plotted at bottom at 150 (left) and 850 hPa (right).



**Fig. 3.** Zonal monthly mean of CO from LINCO and RACMOBUS in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) and difference (LINCO-RACMOBUS) (ppbv) on July 2004 (top) and January 2005 (bottom). The isolines correspond to the relative difference of LINCO and RACMOBUS (LINCO-RACMOBUS)/LINCO-100 in %.

### ACPD

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization







**Fig. 4.** CO fields in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) calculated by LINCO (black lines) and by RACMOBUS (red lines) and retrieved from MOPITT measurements (green lines) for NH **(a)** (left) and SH **(b)** (right) at 250 hPa (upper panel) and at 700 hPa **(c)** and **(d)** (lower panel). Note that modeled CO fields have been smoothed by MOPITT averaging kernels.

### **ACPD** 10, 6995-7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** ► Back Full Screen / Esc



**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 5.** CO fields in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) at 700 hPa calculated by LINCO smoothed by MOPITT averaging kernels (left), MOPITT CO (ppbv) (middle) and corresponding relative differences (%) (Model-Obs)/Obs-100 in October 2004 (upper panels) and April 2005 (lower panels).

### ACPD

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization





Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for 250 hPa.

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization







**Fig. 7.** Zonal monthly mean of CO from LINCO (left) and MLS (middle) in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) and corresponding relative differences (%) (right) for October 2004 (upper panel) and March 2005 (lower panel). Pressure levels are selected from 146 to 14 hPa. Note that LINCO mixing ratios have been smoothed by triangular MLS averaging kernels.

### **ACPD** 10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization



#### LINCO (ppbv) MOZAIC CO (ppbv) REL. DIFF. (%) 142.3 142.3 44.1 38.2 32.4 26.5 20.6 14.7 8.8 127.1 127.1 119.4 119.4 111.8 111.8 104.1 96.5 88.8 104.1 Oct. 2004 96.5 88.8 2.9 81.2 81.2 -2.9 73.5 -8.8 65.9 65.9 -14.7 58.2 58.2 -20.6 50.6 42.9 35.3 -26.5 50.6 -32.4 -38.2 -44.1 -50.0 42.8 27.6 20.0 100 100 -10D -100 100 -100 142.3 142.3 134.7 44.1 38.2 32.4 26.5 20.6 14.7 134.7 127.1 127.1 119.4 119.4 111.8 111.8 184.1 104.1 Apr. 2005 96.5 88.8 96.5 8.8 88.8 2.9 81.2 -2.9 81.2 73.5 65.9 -8.8 -14.7 65.9 58.2 58.2 -20.6 50.6 50.6 -26.5 42.9 -32.4 -38.2 -44.1 -50.0 42.8 35.3 -100 0 100 -100 0 100 -100 0 100

**Fig. 8.** CO fields from LINCO (left) and measured by MOZAIC aircraft (center) in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) and corresponding relative differences (%) (right) (Model-Obs)/Obs-100 in October 2004 (upper panel) and April 2005 (lower panel) for pressures between 300 and 180 hPa.

### ACPD

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization





OMA,OMF (ppbv)

**Fig. 9.** Histograms of Observations Minus Analyses (OMA: solid lines) and Observations Minus Forecast (OMF:dashed lines) for the 7 MOPITT levels (surface, 850, 700, 500, 350, 250 and 150 hPa) and from July 2004 to July 2005.

### **ACPD** 10, 6995–7036, 2010 **Evaluation of a linear CO** parameterization M. Claeyman et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables** .∎. Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion







**Fig. 10.** Mean profiles of CO in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) from LINCO (green lines), MOZAIC (black lines) and MOPITT CO assimilated fields (red lines) in NH summer (JAS) autumn (OND) winter (JFM) and spring (AMJ) over North America (right), Europe (center) and Asia (right).

#### LINCO MLS CO Assimilated MOPITT CO 188.3 176.5 188.3 176.5 188.3 176.5 164.7 164.7 164.7 153.0 153.0 153.0 141.2 129.4 117.7 105.9 141.2 141.2 129.4 129.4 Oct. 2004 117.7 117.7 105.9 105.9 94.1 94.1 94.1 82.4 82.4 82.4 70.6 70.6 70.8 58.8 47.1 35.3 23.5 58.8 47.1 35.3 58.8 47.1 35.3 23.5 11.8 11.8 -100 0 100 -100 0 100 0 100 -100 200.1 188.3 176.5 164.7 153.0 188.3 176.5 164.7 153.0 18B.3 176.5 164.7 153.0 141.2 141.2 141.2 129.4 129.4 129.4 Apr. 2005 117.7 105.9 105.9 105.9 94.1 94.1 94.1 82.4 82.4 82.4 70.6 70.6 70.6 58.8 58.8 58.8 47.1 47.1 35.3 23.5 35.3 23.5 11.8 35.3 23.5 -100 0 100 -100 100 100 0 -100 0

**Fig. 11.** CO fields calculated by LINCO (left), assimilated MOPITT CO (center) and MLS CO (right) in parts per billion by volume (ppbv) in October 2004 (upper panel) and April 2005 (lower panel) at 146 hPa. Note that LINCO and assimilated MOPITT CO have been smoothed by triangular MLS averaging kernels.

### ACPD

10, 6995–7036, 2010

# Evaluation of a linear CO parameterization

