Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 17029–17072, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/17029/2010/ doi:10.5194/acpd-10-17029-2010 © Author(s) 2010. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

## HO<sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA: impact of isoprene and $NO_{x}$

D. Stone<sup>1,2</sup>, M. J. Evans<sup>1</sup>, R. Commane<sup>2,\*</sup>, T. Ingham<sup>2,3</sup>, C. F. A. Floquet<sup>2,\*\*</sup>, J. B. McQuaid<sup>1</sup>, D. M. Brookes<sup>4</sup>, P. S. Monks<sup>4</sup>, R. Purvis<sup>5,6</sup>, J. Hamilton<sup>6</sup>, J. Hopkins<sup>6,7</sup>, J. Lee<sup>6,7</sup>, A. C. Lewis<sup>6,7</sup>, D. Stewart<sup>8</sup>, J. Murphy<sup>8</sup>, G. Mills<sup>8</sup>, D. Oram<sup>8</sup>, C. E. Reeves<sup>8</sup>, and D. E. Heard<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth & Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK <sup>2</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK <sup>3</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK <sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK <sup>5</sup>Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurement, Cranfield University, Cranfield, UK <sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, UK <sup>7</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of York, York, UK <sup>8</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK



Introduction

References

**Figures** 

\* now at: School of Engineering & Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA \*\* now at: National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

Received: 24 June 2010 - Accepted: 29 June 2010 - Published: 12 July 2010

Correspondence to: M. J. Evans (m.j.evans@leeds.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

)iscussion Pa	<b>ACPD</b> 10, 17029–17072, 2010		
ner   Discussio	HO <sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA D. Stone et al.		
Title Page			
) P.r	Abstract	Introduction	
_	Conclusions	References	
	Tables	Figures	
	14	►I.	
ADer	•	•	
_	Back	Close	
Discu	Full Screen / Esc		
ssion	Printer-friendly Version		
Par	Interactive Discussion		
Pr	(@	•	

BY

#### Abstract

OH and HO<sub>2</sub> aircraft measurements made over West Africa during the AMMA field campaign in summer 2006 have been investigated using a box model constrained to observations of long-lived species and physical parameters. "Good" agreement was

- <sup>5</sup> found for HO<sub>2</sub> (modelled to observed gradient of 1.23±0.11). However, the model significantly overpredicts OH concentrations. The reasons for this are not clear, but may reflect instrumental instabilities affecting the OH measurements. Within the model HO<sub>x</sub> concentrations in West Africa are controlled by relatively simple photochemistry, with production dominated by ozone photolysis and reaction of O(<sup>1</sup>D) with water vapour, and
- <sup>10</sup> loss processes dominated by  $HO_2 + HO_2$  and  $HO_2 + RO_2$ . Isoprene chemistry was found to influence forested regions. In contrast to several recent field studies in very low  $NO_x$ and high isoprene environments we do not observe any dependence of model success for  $HO_2$  on isoprene and attribute this to efficient recycling of  $HO_x$  through  $RO_2 + NO$  reactions under the moderate  $NO_x$  concentrations (5–300 ppt NO in the boundary layer)
- encountered during AMMA. This suggests that some of the problems with understanding the impact of isoprene on atmospheric composition may be limited to the extreme low range of NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations.

#### 1 Introduction

Tropospheric oxidation is responsible for the removal of many species from the at-<sup>20</sup> mosphere which would otherwise build up to dangerous concentrations. This is predominantly achieved through reaction with the hydroxyl radical (OH). For most of the troposphere, OH is produced through the short wavelength solar photolysis of  $O_3$  in the presence of water vapour:

$$O_3 + h\nu(\lambda < 340 \text{ nm}) \longrightarrow O(^1\text{D}) + O_2$$

<sup>25</sup> 
$$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \longrightarrow 2 OH$$



(R1)

(R2)

OH radicals have a short lifetime in the atmosphere. They react rapidly with CO to produce hydroperoxy radicals (HO<sub>2</sub>), which can regenerate OH by reaction with O<sub>3</sub> or NO:

$$CO + OH (+M) \longrightarrow HO_2 + CO_2 (+M)$$
 (R3)

$$HO_2 + O_3 \longrightarrow OH + 2O_2$$
(R4)

 $HO_2 + NO \longrightarrow OH + NO_2$ 

This interconversion of OH and  $HO_2$  can also occur through reactions of OH with VOCs (shown for a general hydrocarbon (RH) in reactions R5–R8) through the production of organic peroxy radicals (RO<sub>2</sub>) and their subsequent reaction with NO:

0	$OH + RH \longrightarrow R + H_2O$	(F
	$R + O_2 + M \longrightarrow RO_2 + M$	(F
	$RO_2 + NO \longrightarrow RO + NO_2$	(F

 $RO + O_2 \longrightarrow R'CHO + HO_2$ 

Chain termination occurs through peroxy-peroxy reactions, the reaction between OH and NO<sub>2</sub> and heterogenous uptake of HO<sub>2</sub> (R10–R13) (Jaegle et al., 2000; Monks, 2004; Olson et al., 2004):

 $HO_2 + HO_2 (+M) \longrightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2 (+M)$ (R10)

 $HO_2 + RO_2 \longrightarrow ROOH + O_2$ 

 $OH + NO_2 \longrightarrow HNO_3$ 

HO<sub>2</sub> + aerosol  $\rightarrow$  products

Discussion Paper ACPD 10, 17029–17072, 2010 HO<sub>v</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA (R5) **Discussion** Paper D. Stone et al. **Title Page** R6) Introduction Abstract **R**7) Conclusions References Discussion Paper **R**8) **Tables Figures** (R9) Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc (R11) **Printer-friendly Version** (R12) Interactive Discussion (R13)

As a result of the rapid interconversion between OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, the two species are often considered together as  $HO_{x}$  ( $[HO_{x}]=[OH]+[HO_{2}]$ ). Owing to the intense radiation and high humidity which enhances primary production, the tropics are thought to possess the highest concentrations of OH, and are thus of central importance for the removal of many long lived gases such as  $CH_4$  (Bloss et al., 2005).

Observations of HO<sub>v</sub> in tropical regions have been made on a number of previous field campaigns. The Pacific Exploratory Mission (PEM) Tropics-B campaign (Tan et al., 2001) made aircraft measurements of OH and  $HO_2$  over the tropical South Pacific Ocean. Using a photochemical box model (Olson et al., 2001), found OH to be overpredicted at low altitudes and underpredicted at higher altitudes, with a mean modelled to measured ratio of 0.86. Simulations of HO<sub>2</sub> gave a mean modelled to measured ratio of 1.03.

The Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment B (INTEX-B) aircraft campaign over the North Pacific and western coast of North America made measurements of OH

- and HO<sub>2</sub> (Mao et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2009). Model calculations overpredicted the OH concentrations (Mao et al., 2009), particularly at altitudes less than 2 km, but found the overprediction to correlate with HCHO concentrations, indicating missing reactive VOCs in the model (Mao et al., 2009). Modelled HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were generally found to agree with observations (Mao et al., 2009).
- While HO<sub>x</sub> observations in marine tropical environments have generally been ex-20 plained by modelling studies, fewer observations have been made in tropical forest regions to validate model predictions. Until recently, OH concentrations in forested regions have been expected to remain low as a result of high emissions of biogenic compounds such as isoprene ( $C_5H_8$ ) which react rapidly with OH (Wang et al., 1998; Lawrence et al., 1999; Lelieveld et al., 2002).

25

10

The INTEX-A campaign (Ren et al., 2008) was conducted over continental North America and the western Atlantic Ocean, covering a range of environments and chemical regimes. Model calculations for INTEX-A (Ren et al., 2008) show good agreement for OH in the continental planetary boundary at low isoprene concentrations (< 0.1 ppb),



but display significant deviations from the observations when isoprene concentrations are high (upto and greater than 2 ppb), leading to the suggestion that there may be additional unknown  $HO_x$  sources in forested regions.

- Measurements made during the GABRIEL project in Amazonia (Martinez et al., 2010) also found much higher OH concentrations over tropical forests than predicted by model simulations (Lelieveld et al., 2008). Such results led to the proposal for a novel mechanism for OH recycling in isoprene oxidation, in which the OH initiated oxidation of isoprene results in reformation of OH through subsequent reactions of HO<sub>2</sub> with RO<sub>2</sub> species, leading to marked improvements in the model simulations (Lelieveld et al., 2008; Kubistin et al., 2008; Butler et al., 2008). It was proposed that recycling of OH
- in such reactions is masked in regions with high  $NO_x$  due to competition for reaction with  $HO_2$  between  $RO_2$  and NO, and is only apparent in regions with a combination of low  $NO_x$  and high VOC concentrations such as Amazonia, where the mean NO concentration in the forest boundary layer during GABRIEL was (10±10) ppt (Lelieveld et al., 2008).

Hofzumahaus et al. (2009) were also unable to explain OH measurements made in the Pearl River Delta, China, with OH concentrations in the afternoon 3 to 5 times higher than expected by the model. Measurements of HO<sub>2</sub>, however, were at most only approximately 1.3 times higher than expected, and similarly to OH only showed significant deviation from the model in the afternoon. While VOC concentrations in the Pearl River Delta were relatively high, with mean isoprene concentrations between 1 and 2 ppb, the NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations were significantly higher (mean noontime NO~200 ppt) compared to those observed during GABRIEL.

Our current understanding of HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry in regions with relatively low NO<sub>x</sub> and high biogenic emissions thus appears weak. It has been proposed that recycling of HO<sub>x</sub> occurs under such regimes through reactions of RO<sub>2</sub> with HO<sub>2</sub> and other RO<sub>2</sub> radicals (Lelieveld et al., 2008). This provides an additional source of HO<sub>x</sub> that is masked in high NO<sub>x</sub> environments owing to the prevalence of HO<sub>2</sub>+NO and RO<sub>2</sub>+NO reactions (Lelieveld et al., 2008; Kubistin et al., 2008; Butler et al., 2008; Hofzumahaus



et al., 2009). Several theoretical (Peeters et al., 2009; Da Silva et al., 2010) and laboratory studies (Hasson et al., 2004; Le Crane et al., 2006; Jenkin et al., 2007; Jenkin et al., 2008; Dillon and Crowley, 2008; Paulot et al., 2009) have now proposed mechanisms for additional HO<sub>x</sub> sources, largely based on RO<sub>2</sub> radical chemistry, yet no definitive conclusions have been reached.

Measurements made during AMMA provide the first opportunity to investigate the processes controlling  $HO_x$  over West Africa, and can be used to assess the significance of recent findings in  $HO_x$  chemistry for this region.

In this paper we examine aircraft observations of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> made in tropical West Africa (Commane et al., 2010) using a constrained box model in order to investigate the processes controlling the oxidising capacity in this region. We describe observations made over West Africa in Sect. 2, outline a HO<sub>x</sub> modelling framework based on constrained box modelling in Sect. 3, and describe the comparison between the model and measured OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in Sects. 4 and 5, respectively. We also investigate the processes controlling the modelled HO<sub>x</sub>, and compare the dominant processes influencing HO<sub>x</sub> over forest and Sahel regions in Sects. 5 and 6. Model sensitivity is discussed in Sect. 7 and conclusions are drawn in Sect. 8.

#### 2 AMMA

The African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analyses (AMMA) field campaign was a large
international programme to look at a range of environmental issues in the West African region between 2005 and 2007 (Redelsperger et al., 2006; Lebel et al., 2010; Reeves et al., 2010; Mari et al., 2010). During the period between 17 July to 17 August 2006 the UK FAAM BAe146 research aircraft was stationed in Niamey, Niger, and undertook 21 flights from that base. The geographical extent of the flights is shown in Fig. 1.
The aircraft flew in a range of environments, from the deserts in the north, through the Sahel, to the rainforests and ocean in the south. Air was also measured from the cities of Niamey and Lagos (Hopkins et al., 2009). The aircraft was equipped to



measure a number of atmospheric constituents (Reeves et al., 2010). Notably, this mission represents the first  $HO_x$  measurements made over West Africa (Commane et al., 2010).

A range of papers have been written about the observations collected thus far (Redelsperger et al., 2006; Stewart et al., 2008; Capes et al., 2009; Hopkins et al., 2009; Lebel et al., 2010) and only brief descriptions of the measurement techniques are given here.

Ozone was measured using a TECO 49C UV absorption detector. Carbon monoxide measurements were made using an Aero Laser AL5002 Fast Carbon Monoxide Monitor (Gerbig et al., 1999). Non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxygenated volatile organic compounds (oVOCs) were measured by a combination of Proton TRansfer Mass Spectrometry (PTRMS) (Murphy et al., 2010) and Gas Chromatography (GC) with a flame ionisation detector (Hopkins et al., 2006; Hopkins et al., 2009).

For several NMHC/VOC species (acetone, isoprene and MVK/MACR) observations are available from both PTRMS and GC instruments, with measurements by PTRMS made approximately every 15 s, while those by GC were made approximately every 15 min throughout the flight. The two instruments were in good agreement with each other for the species in common (acetone, isoprene and MVK/MACR) (Hopkins et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2010).

 $NO_x$  measurements were made by a chemiluminescence TECO 42C analyser with a heated molybdenum filament to enable conversion of  $NO_2$  to NO. Additional  $NO_x$ measurements were also made using a  $NO_{xy}$  instrument on ten flights towards the end of the campaign (Brough et al., 2003; Stewart et al., 2008). The  $NO_{xy}$  instrument measures the chemiluminescence from the reaction between NO and  $O_3$ , and converts  $NO_2$  to NO by photolysis. The detection limits for the  $NO_{xy}$  instrument are 3 ppt for NO and 15 ppt for  $NO_2$  at an averaging time of 10 s, while those for the TECO  $NO_x$  analyser are 2 ppb for 30 s measurements and 50 ppt for both species at an averaging time of 120 s. While it has been shown that the total  $NO_x$  measured by the two techniques



are well correlated above 1 ppb (Stewart et al., 2008), the TECO measurements are systematically higher than those obtained using the  $NO_{xy}$  instrument, and the individual NO and  $NO_2$  measurements comprising the total  $NO_x$  signals do not correlate well between the two instruments. Measurements from the  $NO_{xy}$  instrument have been  $_5$  used in this work.

Measurements of formaldehyde were made using fluorescence techniques (Cardenas et al., 2000). Peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN) was measured using gas chromatography with a two channel chromatograph coupled to a pair of electron capture detectors (Whalley et al., 2004). Carbon based adsorbent permeation tubes, with analysis using gas chromatography and detection using time of flight mass spectrometry (GC-TOFMS), were used on a number of flights during the campaign to measure monoterpene concentrations (Saxton et al., 2007; Capes et al., 2009). However, the time resolution of monoterpene measurements was low, and measurements were not made on all flights.

10

<sup>15</sup> Total aerosol surface area was calculated using Mie Theory and scattering coefficients determined by a three wavelength integrating nephelometer (Anderson and Ogren, 1998; McNaughton et al., 2009).

Photolysis rates of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $j(NO_2)$ ) and O<sub>3</sub> ( $j(O^1D)$ ) were measured by fixed bandwidth radiometry by the University of Leicester with 2×2 $\pi$ -sr filter radiometers (Edwards and Marka 2002). Each at al. 2009)

- <sup>20</sup> Monks, 2003; Bohn et al., 2008). For several flights the upwelling component to the  $j(O^1D)$  signal has been estimated from the  $j(NO_2)$  signal owing to instrumental problems during the campaign (Brookes, 2009). As a result of the approximations made in estimation of the total  $j(O^1D)$ , including those made during calibration to account for the non-linearity of  $j(O^1D)$  with total ozone column, temperature and solar zenith angle,
- there may be a systematic error in the  $j(O^1D)$ , requiring a potential reduction by 30% (Brookes, 2009). Sensitivity of the model to this potential systematic error is discussed in Sect. 7.

The focus of this paper is on the OH and  $HO_2$  observations. The AMMA campaign represents the first  $HO_x$  field measurements obtained with the Leeds aircraft FAGE



instrument. The instrument is described in detail by Commane et al. (2010) where an overview of the FAGE observations made during AMMA is also given. The measurements were made by Fluorescence Assay with Gas Expansion (FAGE) using low pressure Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF) to detect the on-resonance fluorescence

- of OH at 308 nm (Heard and Pilling, 2003; Commane et al., 2010). A fluorescence cell with two sequential lasing axes was used to monitor OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, with HO<sub>2</sub> converted to OH by its reaction with NO, enabling simultaneous detection of OH and HO<sub>2</sub>. Calibrations were performed before and after the campaign using water photolysis at 185 nm to produce a known amount of HO<sub>x</sub>. The lamp flux was determined by NO acti nometry (Commane et al., 2010). Uncertainties in the measurements resulting from
  - the calibration procedure are estimated at 23% (1 $\sigma$ ).

The median limit of detection (LOD) for OH during AMMA was  $7.1 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (1 $\sigma$ ) for a 60 s averaging period, close to ambient OH concentrations in the troposphere, resulting in many of the OH observations reported being close to or below the limit of

- detection and subject to large uncertainties. The detection limit is considerably higher than normally experienced for operation of the ground-based Leeds FAGE instrument (Smith et al., 2006), partly due to a lower precision caused by instabilities of the instrument when operated on the aircraft. Some of this instability was caused by temperature fluctuations inside the aircraft which resulted in changes of the timing between the laser
- <sup>20</sup> pulse and the fluorescence detection (Commane et al., 2010). The poorer precision had the greatest impact on OH measurements as the signal and background signals are similar to one another. The median LOD for HO<sub>2</sub> was  $7.5 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (1 $\sigma$ ), two orders of magnitude less than ambient HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, resulting in very few measurements being below the LOD, in contrast to OH. Hence the HO<sub>2</sub> measurements
- <sup>25</sup> were much less sensitive to any instabilities in the timing of the fluorescence detection.



#### 3 Model approach

In order to interpret the observations a box model is used. Model calculations were made using the Dynamically Simple Model of Atmospheric Chemical Complexity (DS-MACC) (Emmerson and Evans, 2009). DSMACC is a flexible zero-dimensional box 5 model using the Kinetic Pre-Processor (KPP) (Sandu et al., 2006).

Simulations presented here used an observationally constrained version, with the chemistry scheme generated by the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) (Saunders et al., 2003; Jenkin et al., 2003). The MCM contains near explicit degradation schemes for 135 volatile organic compounds in the troposphere, resulting in over 5600 species and 13 500 reactions and representing a detailed and comprehensive chem-

- species and 13 500 reactions and representing a detailed and comprehensive chemistry scheme for tropospheric composition modelling. Rate coefficients for inorganic reactions and three body reactions were updated to recent recommendations (Atkinson et al., 2004; Sander et al., 2006). For these simulations the chemistry of the degradation of ethane, propane, *iso*-butane, *n*-butane, ethene, propene, acetylene, isoprene,
- methanol, ethanol, acetone, methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) and methacrolein (MACR) is used. For all species a physical first order removal reaction was also included. This could be considered as a continuous deposition processes (such as dry deposition or a continuous wet deposition). The default value of the first order rate coefficient for the loss process is 1×10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, giving a lifetime of approxi mately 1 day. Model results were not found to be strongly dependent on the rate of the
  - deposition process. Sensitivity to this is described in Sect. 7.

Loss of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> onto aerosol surfaces was represented in the model by inclusion of a first-order process, using the uptake coefficients  $\gamma = 1.0$  for OH and  $\gamma = 0.1$  for HO<sub>2</sub> (Ravishankara et al., 1997; Thornton et al., 2008; Whalley et al., 2010).

The model follows the approach of Olson et al. (2004, 2006). For each observation of OH or  $HO_2$  the objective is to calculate an expected value from the other parameters measured on board the aircraft and the chemical scheme used. All aircraft parameters are merged onto a 60 s timebase for expediency. For each observed time point, those



species which have not been observed are set initially to zero. Those species which have been observed are set initially to the observed value and kept constant. The model is integrated forward in time with diurnally varying photolysis rates until a diurnal steady state is reached. Diurnal steady state is reached when the daily mean fractional change in the concentration of each unobserved species and the daily mean fractional change in the sum of all species concentrations between a day and the previous day is less than 0.01.

$$\frac{1}{N_{\rm S} \cdot N_{\rm T}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm S}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\rm T}} \frac{[{\rm X}_i]^{n,j} - [{\rm X}_i]^{n-1,j}}{[{\rm X}_i]^{n,j}} \le 0.01$$

where  $N_{\rm S}$  is the number of species being integrated forwards,  $N_{\rm T}$  is the number of integration time steps in a day,  $[X_i]^{n,j}$  is the mixing ratio of species *i*, during the  $j_{\rm th}$  time step on day *n*. Once a diurnal steady state solution has been found the model outputs the concentration of all species so they can be compared to observation.

Thus unobserved species are considered to be at their diurnal steady state concentration. The impact of this approximation on  $HO_x$  is considered in Sects. 5 and 6, where the processes controlling  $HO_x$  in the model are examined.

The model uses the TUV radiation model (v4.2) to calculate diurnally varying photolysis rates for clear sky conditions. An ozone column of 260 Dobson units was assumed (TOMS satellite data, http://toms.gsfc.nasa.gov/ozone/ozone\_v8.html). Instead of performing the radiation calculation for each integration time point, the calculation was

- <sup>20</sup> performed for solar zenith angles between 0° and 90° in 5° steps. As the integration occurred and time incremented forwards the solar zenith angle was calculated and the photolysis rates found from spline fitting. Correction factors (due to cloud, albedo etc.) for  $j(O^1D)$  and  $j(NO_2)$  are calculated from the ratio of the observed and simulated photolysis rates, with the correction factor for  $j(NO_2)$  being applied to all photolysis re-
- actions other than  $O_3 \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2$ . These photolysis correction factors are calculated for each observation point and applied for the integration forwards to diurnal steady state.

(1)

The concentrations of oxides of nitrogen are treated differently to other species. Over an individual 24 period in the model the concentration of total NO<sub>x</sub> (defined as  $NO+NO_2+NO_3+2N_2O_5+HONO+HNO_4$ ) is kept constant. The concentration of an individual NO<sub>x</sub> species varies due to changes in photolysis rates etc. At the end of each 5 24 h period the calculated concentration of one individual NO<sub>x</sub> species (typically either NO or NO<sub>2</sub>) is compared to the equivalent measured concentration and the concentration of all NO<sub>x</sub> species is fractionally increased or decreased so that the measured and the modelled concentrations of the observed species match. As the model simulation runs forwards and a diurnal steady state is reached the fractional change in NO<sub>v</sub> becomes increasingly smaller.

To be modelled, time points needed to have observations of OH or HO<sub>2</sub> together with the physical state (latitude, longitude, pressure, temperature and water vapour) and observations of CO, O<sub>3</sub>, NO,  $j(O^{1}D)$  and  $j(NO_{2})$ . Since the TECO NO<sub>x</sub> instrument is known to be the less sensitive instrument, with possible interferences from NO<sub>7</sub> in the NO<sub>2</sub> measurement (Stewart et al., 2008), the NO<sub>xv</sub> NO<sub>x</sub> measure-15 ments are considered to be the more reliable. Model input for  $NO_x$  has therefore been restricted to the NO<sub>xv</sub> measurements. Concentrations of methane and hydrogen were kept constant at values of 1770 ppm (SCIAMACHY Satellite Data, http: //www.iup.uni-bremen.de/sciamachy/NIR\_NADIR\_WFM\_DOAS/) and 550 ppm (Ehhalt

10

- and Roher, 2009; Novelli et al., 1999), respectively. Where ethane, propane, iso-20 butane, n-butane, ethene, propene, acetylene, methanol, ethanol, acetone, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN), methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), isoprene, methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) and methacrolein (MACR) were available they were also included. PTRMS measurements have been used where available due to the greater
- time resolution of the technique compared to GC measurements. Monoterpenes have 25 not been included in standard model runs since measurements were not made on all flights and time resolution of the measurements is not as great as those for PTRMS or GC measurements. Model sensitivity to monoterpenes is discussed separately in Sect. 7.



In order to maximise the number of points in the model, for data points where measurements of VOCs were not available, parameterised alkane/alkene concentrations as a linear function of the CO concentration were used. Table 1 shows the fitting parameters used in this procedure, with typical fits given in Fig. 2. A summary of inputs to the model is given in Table 2.

Data from flight B228 have not been included in the model due to large differences between the observed photolysis rates and those calculated by TUV for a number of data points on this flight. The mean ratio of observed  $j(O^1D)$  to TUV calculations was (0.83±0.28) for all flights excluding B228, while there were a significant number of data points during B228 with  $j(O^1D)$  ratios exceeding 1.5.

#### 4 Observations and general model performance for OH

Figure 3 shows the comparison between the modelled and measured OH. Although there are some points where there is good model agreement, in general the model provides a systematic overestimate of OH for much of the atmosphere, with a best-fit
<sup>15</sup> gradient of 2.5. While some high OH concentrations were observed (Commane et al., 2010), the highest observed concentrations have not been included in this study due to the lack of supporting measurements. This model overestimate is not consistent with previous studies (Ren et al., 2008; Lelieveld et al., 2008; Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). However, as discussed above, and described in detail by Commane et al. (2010), in<sup>20</sup> strumental instabilities led to an increased instrumental limit of detection for OH, and

lower confidence in the measurements.

10

In order to reduce the modelled OH to levels similar to the observed values it is necessary to increase the CO concentration by an order of magnitude, or reduce the concentrations of  $O_3$ ,  $H_2O$  vapour or NO by an order of magnitude. Such changes

to the input parameters are greater than could be reasonable based on the expected observational errors and detection limits for these species, and adversely affect the model agreement observed for  $HO_2$  (Sect. 4.2), suggesting that the discrepancy be-



tween modelled and observed OH may result from experimental difficulties associated with the measurement of OH. Given this result, it has not been possible to investigate the OH chemistry using the AMMA dataset and we concentrate on understanding the  $HO_2$  observations, where the experimental signals are much larger and well above the detection limits.

#### 5 Observations and general model performance for HO<sub>2</sub>

Figure 4 shows the scatterplot of modelled to observed HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The best fit line between the model and the observations is given by  $[HO_2]_{mod} = (1.23 \pm 0.11)[HO_2]_{obs} + (3.85 \pm 3.06) \times 10^7$  with a correlation coefficient squared of 0.75. This is just within the calibration uncertainty of the measurements (approximately 23% at the 1 $\sigma$  level) (Commane et al., 2010). The model was thus capable of reproducing much of the trends and variability in the observed HO<sub>2</sub>, although there is in general an overestimation of HO<sub>2</sub>.

While model performance could be considered good it is not altogether surprising. Assuming simple photochemistry, most of the HO<sub>2</sub> production should be due to the reaction between O(<sup>1</sup>D) (produced by O<sub>3</sub> photolysis) and H<sub>2</sub>O vapour, and most of the HO<sub>x</sub> loss should be due to the HO<sub>2</sub> self-reaction. Thus at steady state the HO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $[HO_2]_{ss}$ , can be determined by a consideration of the rates of reactions below, and is thus given by Eq. (2).

$$_{20} O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \longrightarrow 2 OH$$
 (R14)

$$O(^{1}D) + N_{2} \longrightarrow +O(^{3}P) + N_{2}$$

$$O(^{1}D) + O_{2} \longrightarrow +O(^{3}P) + O_{2}$$

$$\mathrm{HO}_{2} + \mathrm{HO}_{2} \, (+\mathrm{M}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2} + \mathrm{O}_{2} \, (+\mathrm{M})$$

Discussion Paper ACPD 10, 17029-17072, 2010 HO<sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA Discussion Paper D. Stone et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(R15)

(R16)

(R17)

$$[HO_2]_{ss} = \sqrt{\frac{2j(O^1D)[O_3]F_{OH}}{2k_{(HO_2+HO_2)}}}$$

where  $F_{OH}$  represents the fraction of O(<sup>1</sup>D) reacting with H<sub>2</sub>O to form 2 OH rather than being quenched (Eq. 3).

$$F_{\rm OH} = \frac{k_{\rm (H_2O+O^1D)}[\rm H_2O]}{k_{\rm (H_2O+O^1D)}[\rm H_2O] + k_{\rm (N_2+O^1D)}[\rm N_2] + k_{\rm (O_2+O^1D)}[\rm O_2]}$$
(3)

Using this simple relationship on the dataset gives the calculated steady state HO<sub>2</sub> shown in Fig. 5. The best fit line between the calculated steady state HO<sub>2</sub> and the observations is given by [HO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>ss</sub>=(1.73±0.16)[HO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>obs</sub>-(0.62±4.33)×10<sup>7</sup> with a correlation coefficient squared of 0.74, showing that much of the photochemistry determining HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during AMMA was indeed dominated by relatively simple processes and that almost all the variability in HO<sub>2</sub> is driven by photolysis rates and concentrations of water and ozone. There are, however, significant HO<sub>2</sub> sinks missing from the steady state model. In the full DSMACC model run the additional sinks are due to HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> reactions.

#### 5.1 Impact of isoprene

<sup>15</sup> The model agreement for HO<sub>2</sub> during AMMA (Fig. 4) appears somewhat contradictory to recent studies discussed in the introduction which imply a significant lack of understanding of HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry in forested areas with high isoprene and low NO<sub>x</sub> levels (Ren et al., 2008; Lelieveld et al., 2008).

Figure 6 shows the model success (Eq. 4) as a function of isoprene concentration for this study. Although there is some indication of model underestimation at high isoprene (ratio of observed to modelled  $HO_2$  of ~1.5), the underestimation is small compared to that observed during GABRIEL where a ratio observed to modelled  $HO_2$ 



(2)

of approximately 7 was observed in the boundary layer in the afternoon (Kubistin et al., 2008).

# $\frac{[HO_2]_{obs}}{[HO_2]_{mod}}$

In order to further assess the processes controlling HO<sub>2</sub>, and the effects of iso-<sup>5</sup> prene, we have selected a single typical time point from the dataset corresponding to a measurement taken at 13:53 GMT on day 229 in the forest boundary layer (latitude 10.13° N, longitude 2.69° E, pressure 951.5 hPa) and conducted sensitivity tests to the isoprene and NO concentrations. The data point has a relatively high concentration of isoprene (1184 ppt compared to the mean of 294 ppt and standard deviation 333 ppt over the forest boundary layer) and a relatively low NO concentration of 51 ppt (compared to the mean of 59 ppt and standard deviation 30 ppt over the forest boundary layer). Figure 4 shows the data point in red on the HO<sub>2</sub> model to observation scatterplot. Concentrations of measured species for this point are listed in Table 3.

Figure 7 shows the instantaneous  $RO_x$  ([ $RO_x$ ]=[OH]+[ $HO_2$ ]+[ $RO_2$ ]+[ $RO_2$ ]+[ $RO_2$ ]), HO<sub>x</sub> and

HO<sub>2</sub> budgets calculated for this point. Production of radicals (Fig. 7a) is dominated by ozone photolysis, followed by photolysis of formaldehyde (HCHO) and methylglyoxal (MGLYOX). The model is constrained with observations of both O<sub>3</sub> and HCHO. Both formaldehyde and methylglyoxal are oxidation products of isoprene, as are several of the principal species photolysed to produce RO<sub>x</sub>. Radical loss is dominated by peroxide formation from HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+HO<sub>2</sub>, with reaction of HO<sub>2</sub> and isoprenyl peroxy radicals (ISOPO<sub>2</sub>, of which there are four isomers in the MCM) constituting the major radical loss process.

Diagnosing the HO<sub>x</sub> budget (Fig. 7b) shows that while OH+C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+ISOPO<sub>2</sub> are dominant HO<sub>x</sub> sinks, there is significant production of HO<sub>x</sub> from decomposition of isoprenyl alkoxy radicals (ISOPO), which not only produce HO<sub>2</sub> directly but also produce aldehyde species (HCHO, HC4ACHO and HC4CCHO (as named in the MCM)) which photolyse to generate further HO<sub>2</sub>. There is also significant production of HO<sub>x</sub>

### Discussion Paper ACPD 10, 17029-17072, 2010 HO<sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA **Discussion** Paper D. Stone et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(4)

from  $CH_3O$ , produced mainly by reaction of NO with  $CH_3O_2$ , which is derived from oxidation of both methane and isoprene.

If there is to be a net sink of  $HO_x$  resulting from OH+isoprene then isoprenyl peroxy radicals (ISOPO<sub>2</sub>) must react predominantly with  $HO_2$  to produce peroxides. How- $\circ$  ever, at the NO<sub>x</sub> levels encountered over the forest boundary layer during AMMA ([NO]=(59±30) ppt) the reactions of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> with NO compete efficiently (70%) with those of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> with HO<sub>2</sub>, providing a means of generating ISOPO radicals and ultimately HO<sub>2</sub> through subsequent chemistry, thereby helping to conserve the total HO<sub>x</sub> radical budget. A generalised chemical scheme for these processes is depicted in Fig. 8.

We therefore conclude that at the NO<sub>x</sub> and isoprene concentrations experienced in this location during AMMA isoprene has little impact on HO<sub>x</sub> as the NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are high enough to minimise peroxide formation and to increase the production of HO<sub>x</sub> source species such as HCHO and other aldehydes. Thus isoprene cycling provides a "null" cycle for HO<sub>x</sub> at these isoprene and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations. We now investigate the chemical range for which this "null" cycle is valid.

#### 5.2 Sensitivity of HO<sub>2</sub> to changes in isoprene at different isoprene and NO concentrations

Figure 9 shows the results of a sensitivity study, using the single point described above, to investigate the combined effects of isoprene and  $NO_x$  on the modelled  $HO_2$  in which the isoprene and  $NO_x$  inputs to the model have been varied while maintaining all other species at their observed levels (Table 3). The plot shows the ratio of the modelled  $HO_2$  for a base run in which isoprene and  $NO_x$  were varied, compared to an analogous model run in which the isoprene concentrations were multiplied by a factor of ten, thus showing the effects of increasing isoprene concentration under different isoprene and  $NO_x$  regimes. We split the chemical space into four regimes dependent on the NO

 $NO_x$  regimes. We split the chemical space into four regimes dependent on the  $NO_x$  concentration, and discuss each in turn below.

Impact of isoprene at 50 ppt>NO>10 ppt

15



Figure 9 allows identification of a number of regimes affecting the modelled HO<sub>2</sub>. At low NO<sub>x</sub> levels (NO<50 ppt), loss of HO<sub>2</sub> is dominated by HO<sub>2</sub>+HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+RO<sub>2</sub>, particularly ISOPO<sub>2</sub>, and HO<sub>2</sub> is sensitive to changes in isoprene concentration. Increasing the isoprene concentration at such low NO<sub>x</sub> levels leads to decreased mod-<sup>5</sup> elled HO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Impact of isoprene at 500 ppt>NO>50 ppt

As the NO<sub>x</sub> level increases, loss of HO<sub>2</sub> becomes dominated by HO<sub>2</sub>+NO and the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> becomes insensitive to isoprene. Although there is some peroxide formation at higher NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations, leading to loss of HO<sub>x</sub>, the HO<sub>x</sub> loss is offset by direct HO<sub>2</sub> production from RO, formed by RO<sub>2</sub>+NO, and RO-generated HCHO which photolyses to produce 2 HO<sub>2</sub> radicals.

 $I_{\text{model}}$  is produce 2 HO<sub>2</sub> fadicals

10

Impact of isoprene at NO>500 ppt

However, as the NO<sub>x</sub> level is increased further (NO>500 ppt) the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> becomes sensitive to the isoprene input once more as the relative importance of HO<sub>2</sub>

- <sup>15</sup> production from alkoxy radicals such as CH<sub>3</sub>O and ISOPO increases due to increased production of these species from RO<sub>2</sub>+NO reactions, and the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> increases if isoprene is raised at high NO<sub>x</sub> levels. Production of HO<sub>2</sub> from alkoxy radicals also results in co-production of HCHO, which increases the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> further. *Impact of isoprene at NO<10 ppt*
- At low isoprene levels (<10 ppt), HO<sub>2</sub> production and loss is dominated by OH+CO and HO<sub>2</sub>+HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> is relatively unaffected by isoprene. As the isoprene concentration increases, HO<sub>2</sub> loss becomes dominated by reaction with ISOPO<sub>2</sub> radicals, and the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> is reduced on increasing isoprene. At higher isoprene concentrations and low NO<sub>x</sub>, however, production of HCHO from
- $_{25}$  C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub>+O<sub>3</sub> reactions becomes important, and the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> is actually increased on increasing the isoprene input due to increased production from HCHO photolysis. At such high isoprene concentrations the modelled HO<sub>2</sub> is relatively insensitive to the NO<sub>x</sub> input.

Overall, within the MCM concentrations of  $HO_2$  in the atmosphere are a complex



function of the isoprene and NO concentrations, and efficient production of  $HO_x$  species through isoprene and isoprene derived peroxy and alkoxy radicals can be achieved through formation of  $HO_2$  and HCHO, with subsequent photolysis, from ISOPO radicals generated by reaction of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> with NO.

#### $_{\rm 5}$ 5.3 Influence of isoprene and NO<sub>x</sub> on HO<sub>2</sub> during AMMA

During AMMA the mean isoprene concentration observed in the forest boundary layer was  $(294\pm333)$  ppt, with a maximum of 1231.80 ppt, while the mean NO concentration was  $(59\pm30)$  ppt. These concentrations are marked on Fig. 9, and compare to  $(2000\pm760)$  ppt isoprene and  $(20\pm20)$  ppt NO for the GABRIEL campaign (Lelieveld et al., 2008), also marked on Fig. 9.

Data recorded during AMMA corresponds to a regime in which HO<sub>2</sub> chemistry is relatively insensitive to variations in isoprene and is dominated by NO<sub>x</sub> due to efficient conversion of ISOPO<sub>2</sub> back to HO<sub>2</sub>. This contrasts to the GABRIEL situation where NO concentrations are significantly lower and isoprene concentrations are significantly

<sup>15</sup> higher. Under these conditions, peroxide production will be a significant sink for HO<sub>x</sub> in the model. Many of the suggested explanations for the problems simulating HO<sub>x</sub> rely upon the fate of the isoprenyl peroxy radicals when they do not react with NO (Lelieveld et al., 2008; Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Paulot et al., 2009; Peeters et al., 2009; Da Silva et al., 2010). Under the AMMA conditions, mis-representation of this chemistry
<sup>20</sup> should not lead to significant failures to simulate HO<sub>x</sub> and given our observations of HO<sub>2</sub> this seems to be the case.

#### 6 Sources and sinks of $HO_2$ and $HO_x$

10

25

Although forested impacted boundary layer formed an important aspect of AMMA the aircraft campaign investigated air with very different characteristics, from the forest to the Sahel and from the surface to the mid-troposphere. We now examine the chemistry



controlling HO<sub>x</sub> concentrations in these different geographical regions. We split the atmosphere into regions above rainforest (7.3° N to 13.2° N) and Sahel (>13.75° N) and examine the chemistry responsible for determining HO<sub>x</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in each region.

<sup>5</sup> Figures 7 and 10 show the RO<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> budgets for typical data points representative of the forest and Sahel boundary layers, respectively. The data points are shown in Fig. 4. The data point over the forest is that described previously in Sect. 5, and refers to a data point taken at 13:53 h on day 229 in the forest boundary layer (latitude 10.13° N, longitude 2.69° E, pressure 951.5 hPa). The data point over the Sahel
 <sup>10</sup> was taken at 14:01 GMT on day 223 in the Sahel boundary layer (latitude 14.48° N, longitude 4.35° E, pressure 961.3 hPa). Concentrations of measured species for these two points are listed in Table 3.

The main source of HO<sub>x</sub> in both forest and Sahel boundary layers is ozone photolysis followed by the reaction of  $O(^{1}D)+H_{2}O$ . This accounts for over 42% of the total HO<sub>x</sub> production over the forest and 61% over the Sahel. In both regions this is followed by

- <sup>15</sup> production over the forest and 61% over the Sahel. In both regions this is followed by reaction of CH<sub>3</sub>O, produced mainly by CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+NO, with O<sub>2</sub>. Over the Sahel, these two processes account for approximately 90% of the total HO<sub>x</sub> production, with the remaining 10% predominantly from photolysis of HCHO and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Over the forest region, O<sub>3</sub> photolysis and CH<sub>3</sub>O+O<sub>2</sub> account for only approximately 50% of the total HO<sub>x</sub> pro-
- <sup>20</sup> duction, with contributions from numerous species, but with notable contributions from ISOPO radicals and photolysis of HCHO.

Loss processes for HO<sub>x</sub> over the forest are dominated by  $C_5H_8+OH$ , producing ISOPO<sub>2</sub> radicals, with a large number of reactions involving VOCs and their oxidation products contributing to the total HO<sub>x</sub> loss. In contrast, loss processes over the Sahel are dominated by the HO<sub>2</sub> self-reaction, CH<sub>4</sub>+OH and OH+HO<sub>2</sub> with considerably

fewer contributions from other reactions.

25

Figures 7c and 10c show the modelled  $HO_2$  budgets for the typical forest and Sahel points. Over the Sahel, the most significant reactions for  $HO_2$  production are OH+CO and  $CH_3O+O_2$ . Over the forest, however, total production of  $HO_2$  from all four ISOPO

Discussion ACPD 10, 17029–17072, 2010 Paper HO<sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA Discussion D. Stone et al. Paper **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

radical isomers combined is of greater importance than either OH+CO or  $CH_3O+O_2$  alone, and HO<sub>2</sub> production from ISOPBO alone is of equal importance as OH+CO or  $CH_3O+O_2$ . In addition, production of HO<sub>2</sub> from HCHO photolysis is twice as important over the forest than over the Sahel.

- <sup>5</sup> Loss of HO<sub>2</sub> is dominated by NO+HO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>+HO<sub>2</sub> in both regions, but while these reactions account for approximately 85% of the total HO<sub>2</sub> over the Sahel they account for only 62% over the forest. The high biogenic emissions over the forest lead to a greater dominance of HO<sub>2</sub> loss through reaction with RO<sub>2</sub>, particularly those derived from isoprene.
- <sup>10</sup> In general, reactions of HO<sub>2</sub> with RO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in peroxide formation, are of greater importance over the forest than the Sahel, indicating the difference in VOC emissions between the two regions.

#### 7 Model sensitivity

All models make various assumptions and approximations. The impact of some of these on the conclusions described earlier (Sects. 4 and 5) are analysed here.

#### 8 Influence of photolysis rates

Instrumental problems with the  $j(O^{1}D)$  downward facing radiometer led to the total  $j(O^{1}D)$  being estimated from measurements of the upward pointing  $j(O^{1}D)$  and the total  $j(NO_{2})$  for several flights during the campaign, and may result in some uncertainty <sup>20</sup> in the photolysis input to the model. Assumptions made in the calibration procedure to account for the non-linearity of the  $j(O^{1}D)$  calibration factors for total ozone column, temperature and solar zenith angle, may have also introduced some systematic error to the  $j(O^{1}D)$ , requiring a potential reduction in the  $j(O^{1}D)$  used in the base model run by 30% (Brookes, 2009).



A reduction in  $j(O^1D)$  by 30% changes the gradient of modelled to observed HO<sub>2</sub> from (1.23±0.11) to (1.05±0.09). This may provide some explanation for the slight overestimation of HO<sub>2</sub> in the model and illustrates the need for stringent and well characterised calibration procedures for constraints in the model.

#### **5 8.1 Influence of monoterpenes**

Monoterpene emissions are a significant source of carbon to the atmosphere (Adams et al., 2001). During AMMA monoterpene concentrations were measured on several flights (Capes et al., 2009), but measurements were sporadic and with relatively low time resolution. Average concentrations of  $\alpha$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -pinene, camphene and limonene were included in the model as the mean concentration for the flight for every time point on each flight on which they were measured, with a maximum total monoterpene concentration of 130 ppt. The monoterpenes were treated as  $\alpha$ -pinene (i.e.  $[\alpha$ -pinene]= $[\alpha$ -pinene]+ $[\beta$ -pinene]+[camphene]+[limonene]) due to the availability of a full  $\alpha$ -pinene degradation scheme in the MCM. However, little difference on the model results was observed with the gradient of the modelled to observed HO<sub>2</sub> changing from (1.23±0.11) to (1.18±0.10). Thus we conclude that not having monoterpene observations does not significantly impact the simulations performed here or the con-

clusions reached.

#### 8.2 Impact of deposition timescale

<sup>20</sup> Removal of all species is included in the model to represent physical loss of species through deposition. The default unimolecular rate coefficient used to describe this deposition process in the model is  $1 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , corresponding to a lifetime approximately of 24 h. During the sensitivity analysis the rate coefficient was varied between  $2.78 \times 10^4$ and  $2.31 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , corresponding to lifetimes between 1 h and 5 days. Modelled HO<sub>2</sub> <sup>25</sup> was not significantly affected by the changes to the deposition rate, with the gradient of the modelled to observed HO<sub>2</sub> changing from (1.10±0.10) for a lifetime of 1 h to



 $(1.23\pm0.11)$  for a lifetime of approximately 24 h to  $(1.25\pm0.11)$  for a lifetime of 5 days. Thus we conclude that our model simulations are not significantly influenced by this choice as most of the HO<sub>x</sub> sources and sinks in the model are observed, or are short lived and thus unaffected by deposition.

#### 5 9 Conclusions

10

Aircraft measurements of OH and  $HO_2$  made during the AMMA campaign in 2006 have been compared to model calculations using the Dynamically Simple Model of Atmospheric Chemical Complexity (DSMACC). The model makes a systematic overestimation of OH, most likely reflecting instrumental issues with OH detection during the campaign, and this work has focussed on understanding the HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Modelled HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were found to agree with observations within the calibration uncertainties of the HO<sub>2</sub> measurement and uncertainties associated with the photolysis rate input to the model.

 $HO_x$  budget analyses indicate that  $HO_x$  concentrations in West Africa are controlled <sup>15</sup> by relatively simple photochemistry, with  $HO_x$  production generally dominated by ozone production followed by reaction of  $O(^1D)$  with water, while  $HO_x$  removal processes are dominated by  $HO_2+HO_2$  and  $HO_2+RO_2$ . Isoprene chemistry was found to influence the  $HO_x$  budget over forested regions.

Despite recent evidence indicating misrepresentation of HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry in high iso-<sup>20</sup> prene and low NO<sub>x</sub> environments we observed no dependence of model success on isoprene concentration, and conclude that under the conditions encountered during the AMMA campaign NO<sub>x</sub> levels were sufficiently high to enable efficient recycling of HO<sub>x</sub> species through RO<sub>2</sub>+NO reactions.

Acknowledgements. Based on a French initiative, AMMA was built by an international scientific group and is currently funded by a large number of agencies, especially from France, the UK, the US and Africa. This work was funded by the EU and by the UK Natural Environment



Discussion Paper **ACPD** 10, 17029–17072, 2010 HO<sub>v</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA **Discussion Paper** D. Stone et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Research Council through the AMMA-UK Consortium grant and the National Centre for Atmospheric Science.

#### References

5

- Anderson, T. L. and Ogren, J. A.: Determining aerosol radiative properties using the TSI 3563 integrating nephelometer, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 29, 57–69, 1998.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I gas phase reactions of O<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1461–1738, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.
- Bloss, W., Evans, M., Lee, J., Sommariva, R., Heard, D., and Pilling, M.: The oxidative capacity of the troposphere: Coupling of field measurements of OH and a global chemistry transport model, Faraday Discuss., 130, 425–436, 2005
  - Bohn, B., Corlett, G. K., Gillmann, M., Sanghavi, S., Stange, G., Tensing, E., Vrekoussis, M., Bloss, W. J., Clapp, L. J., Kortner, M., Dorn, H.-P., Monks, P. S., Platt, U., Plass-Dülmer,
- <sup>15</sup> C., Mihalopoulos, N., Heard, D. E., Clemitshaw, K. C., Meixner, F. X., Prevot, A. S. H., and Schmitt, R.: Photolysis frequency measurement techniques: results of a comparison within the ACCENT project, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5373–5391, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5373-2008, 2008.

Brookes, D.: Characterisation of a PERCA instrument and measurements of peroxy radicals during the West African Monsoon 2006, PhD thesis, University of Leicester, UK, 2009.

- during the West African Monsoon 2006, PhD thesis, University of Leicester, UK, 2009.
   Brough, N., Reeves, C. E., Penkett, S. A., Stewart, D. J., Dewey, K., Kent, J., Barjat, H., Monks, P. S., Ziereis, H., Stock, P., Huntrieser, H., and Schlager, H.: Intercomparison of aircraft instruments on board the C-130 and Falcon 20 over southern Germany during EXPORT 2000, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 2127–2138, doi:10.5194/acp-3-2127-2003, 2003.
- <sup>25</sup> Butler, T. M., Taraborrelli, D., Brühl, C., Fischer, H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Williams, J., Lawrence, M. G., and Lelieveld, J.: Improved simulation of isoprene oxidation chemistry with the ECHAM5/MESSy chemistry-climate model: lessons from the GABRIEL airborne field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4529–4546, doi:10.5194/acp-8-4529-2008, 2008. Capes, G., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C. E., McQuaid, J. B., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J. R., Crosier,

J., Williams, P. I., and Coe, H.: Secondary organic aerosol from biogenic VOCs over West

Africa during AMMA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3841–3850, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3841-2009, 2009.

- Cardenas, L. M., Brassington, D. J., Allan, B. J., Coe, H., Alicke, B., Platt, U., Wilson, K. M., Plane, J. M. C., and Penkett, S. A.: Intercomparison of formaldehyde measurements in clean
- and polluted atmospheres, J. Atmos. Chem., 37, 53–80, 2000.

25

30

Commane, R., Floquet, C. F. A., Ingham, T., Stone, D., Evans, M. J., and Heard, D. E.: Observations of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals over West Africa, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 7265–7322, doi:10.5194/acpd-10-7265-2010, 2010.

Da Silva, G., Graham, C., and Wang, Z. F.: Unimolecular  $\beta$ -hydroperoxy radical decomposition

- with OH recycling in the photochemical oxidation of isoprene, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 250–256, 2010.
  - Dillon, T. J. and Crowley, J. N.: Direct detection of OH formation in the reactions of HO<sub>2</sub> with CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sub>2</sub> and other substituted peroxy radicals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4877–4889, doi:10.5194/acp-8-4877-2008, 2008.
- Edwards, G. D. and Monks, P. S.: Performance of a single-monochromator diode array spectroradiometer for the determination of actinic flux and atmospheric photolysis frequencies, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D16), 8546, doi:10.1029/2002JD002844, 2003.

Ehhalt, D. H. and Rohrer, F.: The tropospheric cycle of H<sub>2</sub>: a critical review, Tellus B, 61, 500–535, 2009.

- Emmerson, K. M. and Evans, M. J.: Comparison of tropospheric gas-phase chemistry schemes for use within global models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1831–1845, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1831-2009, 2009.
  - Gerbig, C., Schmitgen, S., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., Dewey, K., and Haaks, D.: An improved fast-response vacuum UV resonance fluorescence CO instrument, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 1699–1704, 1999.
  - Hasson, A. S., Tyndall, G. S., and Orlando, J. J.: A product yield study of the reaction of HO<sub>2</sub> radicals with ethyl peroxy ( $C_2H_5O_2$ ), acetyl peroxy ( $CH_3C(O)O_2$ ), and acetonyl peroxy ( $CH_3C(O)CH_2O_2$ ) radicals, J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 5979–5989, 2004.

Heard, D. E. and Pilling, M. J.: Measurement of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the troposphere, Chem. Reviews, 103, 5163–5198, 2003

Hofzumahaus, A., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C. C., Fuchs, H., Holland, F., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Lou, S., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., and Zhang, Y.: Amplified trace gas removal in the troposphere, Science, 324, 1702–1704, 2009.



17055

2009. Jaegle, L., Jacob, D. J., Brune, W. H., Faloona, I., Tan, D., Heikes, B. G., Kondo, Y., Sachse, G. W., Anderson, B., Gregory, G. L., Singh, H. B., Pueschel, R., Ferry, G.,

Hopkins, J. R., Lewis, A. C., and Read, K. A.: A two-column method for long-term monitoring of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) and oxygenated volatile organic compounds

Hopkins, J. R., Evans, M. J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., H Marsham, J., McQuaid, J. B., Parker,

D. J., Stewart, D. J., Reeves, C. E., and Purvis, R. M.: Direct estimates of emissions from

the megacity of Lagos, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8471-8477, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8471-2009,

(oVOCs), J. Environ. Monitor., 5, 8-13, 2003.

- Blake, D. R., and Shetter, R. E.: Photochemistry of HO, in the uppoer troposphere at north-10 ern midlatitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D3), 3877-3892, 2000.
  - Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., Wagner, V., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part B): tropospheric degradation of aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 181-193, doi:10.5194/acp-3-181-2003, 2003.
- 15

20

5

- Jenkin, M. E., Hurley, M. D., and Wallington, T. J.: Investigation of the radical product channel of the CH<sub>2</sub>C(O)O<sub>2</sub>+HO<sub>2</sub> reaction in the gas phase, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 9, 3149–3162, 2007.
- Jenkin, M. E., Hurley, M. D., and Wallington, T. J.: Investigation of the radical product channel of the CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+HO<sub>2</sub> reaction in the gas phase, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 10, 4274-4280, 2008.
- Kubistin, D., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Rudolf, M., Sander, R., Bozem, H., Eerdekens, G., Fischer, H., Gurk, C., Klpfel, T., Knigstedt, R., Parchatka, U., Schiller, C. L., Stickler, A., Taraborrelli, D., Williams, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Hydroxyl radicals in the tropical troposphere
- over the Suriname rainforest: comparison of measurements with the box model MECCA, 25 Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 8, 15239–15289, doi:10.5194/acpd-8-15239-2008, 2008.
  - Lawrence, M. G., Crutzen, P. J., Rasch, P. J., Eaton, B. E., and Mahowald, N. M.: A model for studies of tropospheric photochemistry: Description, global distributions, and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D21), 26245-26277, 1999.
- <sup>30</sup> Lebel, T., Parker, D. J., Flamant, C., Bourles, B., Marticorena, B., Mougin, E., Peugeot, C., Diedhiou, A., Haywood, J. M., Ngamini, J. B., Polcher, J., Redelsperger, J. L., and Thorncroft, C. D.: The AMMA field campaigns: Multiscale and multidisciplinary observations in the West African region, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 136(S1), 8–33, doi:10.1002/gi.486, 2009.



Le Crane, J. P., Rayez, M. T., Rayez, J. C., and Villenave, E.: A reinvestigation of the kinetics and the mechanism of the  $CH_3C(O)O_2+HO_2$  reaction using both experimental and theoreti-

Lelieveld, J., Peters, W., Dentener, F. J., and Krol, M. C.: Stability of tropospheric hydroxyl

Lelieveld, J., Butler, T. M., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Fischer, H., Ganzeveld, L., Harder, H.,

McNaughton, C. S., Clarke, A. D., Kapustin, V., Shinozuka, Y., Howell, S. G., Anderson, B.

Mao, J., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Fried, A., Huev, L. G., Cohen,

R. C., Heikes, B., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., Sachse, G. W., Diskin, G. S., Hall, S. R., and

Shetter, R. E.: Airborne measurement of OH reactivity during INTEX-B, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,

lon, C., Fierli, F., Homan, C., Lewis, A., Murphy, J., Saunois, M., Thouret, V., and Williams, J.:

Atmospheric composition of West Africa: highlights from the AMMA program, Atmos. Sci.

airborne measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3759-3773, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3759-

Monks, P. S.: Gas-phase radical chemistry in the troposphere, Chem. Soc. Rev., 34(5), 376-

Mari, C., Reeves, C. E., Law, K. S., Andres-Hernandez, L., Barret, B., Bouarar, I., Cairo, F., De-

Martinez, M., Harder, H., Kubistin, D., Rudolf, M., Bozem, H., Eerdekens, G., Fischer, H., Klpfel, T., Gurk, C., Knigstedt, R., Parchatka, U., Schiller, C. L., Stickler, A., Williams, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Hydroxyl radicals in the tropical troposphere over the Suriname rainforest:

Lawrence, M. G., Martinez, M., Taraborrelli, D., and Williams J.: Atmospheric oxidation ca-

E., Winstead, E., Dibb, J., Scheuer, E., Cohen, R. C., Wooldridge, P., Perring, A., Huey, L.

G., Kim, S., Jimenez, J. L., Dunlea, E. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., Weinheimer, A. J., and Flocke, F.: Observations of heterogeneous reactions between Asian pollution and mineral dust over the Eastern North Pacific during INTEX-B, Atmos. Chem.

chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D23), 4715, doi:10.1029/2002JD002272, 2002.

cal approaches, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 8, 2163-2171, 2006.

pacity sustained by a tropical forest, Nature, 452, 737–740, 2008.

Phys., 9, 8283-8308, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8283-2009, 2009,

9, 163-173, doi:10.5194/acp-9-163-2009, 2009.

Lett., accepted, 2010.

2010, 2010.

395, 2005.

5

10

15

20

25

Murphy, J. G., Oram, D. E., and Reeves, C. E.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds 30 over West Africa, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5281-5294, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5281-2010, 2010.

Novelli, P. C., Lang, P. M., Masarie, K. A., Hurst, D. F., Myers, R., and Elkins, J. W.: Molecular

Discussion ACPD 10, 17029–17072, 2010 Paper HO<sub>v</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA **Discussion** Paper D. Stone et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Discussion Paper **Tables Figures** 14 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

hydrogen in the troposphere: Global distribution and budget, J. Geophys. Res., D23, 30427–30444, 1999.

- Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Davis, D. D., Chen, G., Avery, M., Barrick, J. D. W., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., Sandholm, S. T., Tan, D., Brune, W. H., Faloona, I. C., Heikes, B. G., Shet-
- ter, R. E., Lefer, B. L., Singh, H. B., Talbot, R. W., and Blake, D. R.: Seasonal differences in the photochemistry of the South Pacific: A comparison of observations and model results from PEM-Tropics A and B, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 32749–32766, 2001.
  - Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Chen, G., Fried, A., Evans, M. J., Jordan, C. E., Sandholm, S. T., Davis, D. D., Anderson, B. E., Avery, M. A., Barrick, J. D., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H.,
- Eisele, F. L., Flocke, F., Harder, H, Jacob, D. J., Kondo, Y., Lefer, B. L., Martinez, M., Mauldin, R. L., Sachse, G. W., Shetter, R. E., Singh, H. N., Talbot, R. W., and Tan, D.: Testing fast photochemical theory during TRACE-P based on measurements of OH, HO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>O, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D15S10, doi:10.1029/2003JD004278, 2004.

Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Chen, G., Brune, W. H., Faloona, I. C., Tan, D., Harder, H., and Martinez, M.: A reevaluation of airborne HO<sub>v</sub> observations from NASA field campaigns, J.

- Geophys. Res., 111, D10301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006617, 2006
- Paulot, F., Crounse, J. D., Kjaergaard, H. G., Kurten, A., St. Clair, J. M., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Unexpected epoxide formation in the gas-phase photooxidation of isoprene, Science, 325, 730–733, 2009.
- Peeters, J., Nguyen, T. L., and Vereecken, L.: HO<sub>x</sub> radical regeneration in the oxidation of isoprene, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 28, 5935–5939, 2009.
  - Ravishankara, A. R.: Heterogeneous and multiphase chemistry in the troposphere, Science, 276, 1058–1065, 1997.

Redelsperger, J. L., Thorncroft, C. D., Diedhiou, A., Lebel, T., Parker, D. J., and Polcher, J.:

- <sup>25</sup> African monsoon multidisciplinary analysis: an international research project and field campaign, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 87, 1739–1746, 2006.
  - Reeves, C. E., Formenti, P., Afif, C., Ancellet, G., Attie, J.-L., Bechara, J., Borbon, A., Cairo, F., Coe, H., Crumeyrolle, S., Fierli, F., Flamant, C., Gomes, L., Hamburger, T., Lambert, C., Law, K. S., Mari, C., Matsuki, A., Methven, J., Mills, G. P., Minikin, A., Murphy, J. G.,
- Nielsen, J. K., Oram, D. E., Parker, D. J., Richter, A., Schlager, H., Schwarzenboeck, A., and Thouret, V.: Chemical and aerosol characterisation of the troposphere over West Africa during the monsoon period as part of AMMA, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 7115–7183, doi:10.5194/acpd-10-7115-2010, 2010.



- Ren, R., Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Long, R. B., Chen, Z., Chen, G., Avery, M. A., Sachse, G. W., Barrick, J. D., Diskin, G. S., Huey, L. G., Fried, A., Cohen, R. C., Heikes, B., Wennberg, P. O., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R., and Shetter, R. E.: HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry during INTEX-A 2004: Observation, model calculation, and comparison with previous studies, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05310, doi:10.1029/2007JD009166, 2008.
- studies, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05310, doi:10.1029/2007JD009166, 2008. Sander, S.P, Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Keller-Rudek, H., Wine, P., Ravishankara, A. R., Kolb, C. E., Molina, M. J., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Huie, R. E., and Orkin, V. L.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, evaluation number 15, JPL Publication 06–02, 2006.
- Sandu, A. and Sander, R.: Technical note: Simulating chemical systems in Fortran90 and Matlab with the Kinetic PreProcessor KPP-2.1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 187–195, doi:10.5194/acp-6-187-2006, 2006.

Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-

- aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161–180, doi:10.5194/acp-3-161-2003, 2003.
  - Saxton, J. E., Lewis, A. C., Kettlewell, J. H., Ozel, M. Z., Gogus, F., Boni, Y., Korogone, S. O. U., and Serça, D.: Isoprene and monoterpene measurements in a secondary forest in northern Benin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4095–4106, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4095-2007, 2007.
- <sup>20</sup> SCIAMACHY Satellite Data, http://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/sciamachy/NIR\_NADIR\_WFM\_ DOAS/, last access: 4 January 2010.
  - Smith, S. C., Lee, J. D., Bloss, W. J., Johnson, G. P., Ingham, T., and Heard, D. E.: Concentrations of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals during NAMBLEX: measurements and steady state analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1435–1453, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1435-2006, 2006.
- <sup>25</sup> Singh, H. B., Brune, W. H., Crawford, J. H., Flocke, F., and Jacob, D. J.: Chemistry and transport of pollution over the Gulf of Mexico and the Pacific: spring 2006 INTEX-B campaign overview and first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2301–2318, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2301-2009, 2009. Stewart, D. J., Taylor, C. M., Reeves, C. E., and McQuaid, J. B.: Biogenic nitrogen oxide
  - emissions from soils: impact on  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  and ozone over west Africa during AMMA (African
- Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis): observational study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2285–2297, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2285-2008, 2008.
  - Tan, D., Faloona, I., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W., Olson, J., Crawford, J., Avery, M., Sachse, G., Vay, S., Sandholm, S., Guan, H. W., Vaughn, T., Mastromarino, J., Heikes, B., Snow, J.,



Podolske, J., and Singh, H.: OH and HO<sub>2</sub> in the tropical Pacific: Results from PEM-Tropics B, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 32667–32681, 2001.

- Thornton, J. A., Jaegle, L., and McNeill, V. F.: Assessing known pathways for HO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous atmospheric aerosols: regional and global impacts on tropospheric oxidants, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05303, doi:10.1029/2007JD009236, 2008.
- Res., 113, D05303, doi:10.1029/2007JD009236, 2008.
   Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) Satellite Data, http://toms.gsfc.nasa.gov/ozone/ ozone\_v8.html, last access: 4 January 2010.
  - Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-hydrocarbon chemistry: origing of tropospheric ozone and effects of nonmethane hydrocarbons, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D9), 10757–10767, 1998.
- Whalley, L. K., Lewis, A. C., McQuaid, J. B., Purvis, R. M., Lee, J. D., Stemmler, K., Zellweger, C., and Ridgeon, P.: Two high-speed, portable GC systems designed for the measurement of non-methane hydrocarbons and PAN: results from the Jungfraujoch High Altitude Observatory, J. Environ. Monitor., 6, 234–241, 2004.

10

<sup>15</sup> Whalley, L. K., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Lee, J. D., Mahajan, A., Oetjen, H., Read, K. A., Kaaden, N., Carpenter, L. J., Lewis, A. C., Plane, J. M. C., Saltzman, E. S., Wiedensohler, A., and Heard, D. E.: The chemistry of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals in the boundary layer over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1555–1576, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1555-2010, 2010.



**Table 1.** Parameters used to fit hydrocarbon data as a function of the CO concentration. Hydrocarbon concentrations were used in ppt, while CO concentrations were in ppb. RMS refers to the root mean square difference between the observed and calculated values.

Hydrocarbon/ppt	Linear regression	r <sup>2</sup>	RMS/ppt
	·		
Ethane	(5.08×[CO]/ppb)+153.05	0.737	4.73
Propane	(0.91×[CO]/ppb)-40.43	0.631	1.09
<i>iso</i> -butane	(0.77×[CO]/ppb)-64.96	0.268	1.98
<i>n</i> -butane	(2.02×[CO]/ppb)-189.47	0.315	4.68
Ethene	(4.71×[CO]/ppb)-408.46	0.426	8.55
Propene	(1.02×[CO]/ppb)-88.98	0.362	2.10
Acetylene	(7.03×[CO]/ppb)-572.09	0.619	8.53

)iscussion Pa	ACPD 10, 17029–17072, 2010 HO <sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA D. Stone et al.		
per   Discussion			
ר Pap	Title Page		
er	Abstract	Introduction	
	Conclusions	References	
iscussi	Tables	Figures	
on Pi	14	►I	
aper	•	•	
_	Back	Close	
Discus	Full Screen / Esc		
sion	Printer-friendly Version		
Paper		Discussion	

Species	Median	Mean	Standard deviation	Range
O <sub>3</sub> /ppb	41.5	42.6	16.9	11.2 to 114.5
CO/ppb	94.4	103.9	35.5	64.0 to 312.6
H <sub>2</sub> O/ppm	10833.0	14 182.3	10386.3	565.9 to 30 091.0
NO/ppt	50.9	66.2	58.6	0.3 to 525.5
HCHO/ppt	300.9	384.9	399.3	0 to 3246.7
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> /ppt	628.9	674.6	176.3	403.2 to 1620.1
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /ppt	44.4	54.2	36.6	7.8 to 326.4
iso-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> /ppt	6.2	21.1	67.8	0 to 873.4
<i>n</i> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> /ppt	3.8	39.5	132.2	0 to 1607.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /ppt	26.8	101.8	216.4	0 to 1619.1
C₃H <sub>6</sub> /ppt	5.5	20.5	41.7	0 to 307.4
C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /ppt	23.1	104.0	239.1	0 to 2026.2
CH <sub>3</sub> OH/ppt	0	652.6	6372.9	0 to 101 230.0
CH <sub>3</sub> COCH <sub>3</sub> /ppt	951.2	896.6	307.2	0 to 2833.9
MEK/ppt	0	60.3	364.0	0 to 6483.2
MVK/ppt	4.3	56.7	115.7	0 to 623.3
MACR/ppt	1.9	25.5	52.0	0 to 280.0
PAN/ppt	8.0	49.8	91.1	0 to 633.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> /ppt	94.8	177.2	299.7	0 to 2618.6

Table 2. Summary of data inputs to the model. Chemical names are those used in the MCM.



**Table 3.** Model inputs for the single points over the forest and Sahel used to investigate the sensitivity of the modelled  $HO_2$  to isoprene and  $NO_x$  concentrations and to investigate the processes controlling the chemistry of the forest and Sahel. For investigation of the sensitivity of the modelled  $HO_2$  to isoprene and  $NO_x$  concentrations the data point over the forest was used, with isoprene and  $NO_x$  concentrations as shown in Fig. 9. Chemical names are those used in the MCM.

Parameter	Forest	Sahel
Latitude/deg	10.13	14.48
Longitude/deg	2.69	4.35
Pressure/hPa	951.5	961.3
Temperature/K	299.1	299.7
O <sub>3</sub> /ppb	20.6	40.3
CO/ppb	148.2	87.2
H <sub>2</sub> O/ppm	27 959	25797
<i>j</i> O <sup>1</sup> D/10 <sup>-5</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	3.5	3.4
$jNO_2/10^{-3} s^{-1}$	7.6	7.9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> /ppt	906.8	596.7
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /ppt	95.1	39.4
<i>iso</i> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> /ppt	48.7	19.0
<i>n</i> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> /ppt	10.9	0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /ppt	289.4	2.3
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> /ppt	61.8	0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> /ppt	469.4	40.9
C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /ppt	1183.8	0
NO/ppt	51.5	10.3





**Fig. 1.** Locations of the BAe146 aircraft during the AMMA campaign when OH or  $HO_2$  data are available, showing **(a)** the wider geographical area and **(b)** a close up of the region of interest.





**Fig. 2.** (a) Observed ethane concentrations as a function of CO,  $r^2=0.737$  and (b) observed *iso*-butane concentrations as a function of CO,  $r^2 = 0.268$ . The best fit lines are given in blue.

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**ACPD** 









**Fig. 4.** Comparison between modelled and observed HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The 1:1 line is shown by the solid red line, with dashed red lines indicating 50% limits on the 1:1 line. The best fit to the data is given in blue and is described by  $y=(1.23\pm0.11)x+(3.85\pm3.06)\times10^7$ , with  $r^2=0.75$ . The data point in red marks the single point referred to in Sect. 4.2.1 for which the sensitivity to isoprene and NO<sub>x</sub> was investigated and used to represent the midday forest boundary layer referred to in Sect. 4.3 and Fig. 7. The data point in blue marks the single point used to represent the midday Sahel boundary layer referred to in Sect. 4.3 and Fig. 10.





**Fig. 5.** Comparison between observed HO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and a simple steady state model. The 1:1 line is shown by the solid red line, with dashed red lines indicating 50% limits on the 1:1 line. The best fit to the data is given in blue and is described by  $y=(1.73\pm0.16)x+(0.62\pm4.33)\times10^7$ , with  $r^2=0.74$ .

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion** Paper



Fig. 6. Model success (ratio of observed  $HO_2$  to modelled  $HO_2$ ) as a function of isoprene concentration for the base model run,  $r^2 = 0.32$ .

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 7.** Processes controlling the instantaneous production and loss of **(a)**  $\text{RO}_x$  **(b)**  $\text{HO}_x$  and **(c)**  $\text{HO}_2$  for a typical data point in the forest boundary layer (shown in red in Fig. 4). Species names are as given in the MCM.





**Fig. 8.** Simplified schematic showing processes controlling radical budgets during AMMA. Bold lines indicate the dominant reactions in isoprene oxidation chemistry during AMMA.

	ACPD			
on Par	10, 17029–1	7072, 2010		
ner I Die	HO <sub>x</sub> observations over West Africa during AMMA D. Stone et al.			
	Title	Title Page		
Pr -	Abstract	Introduction		
_	Conclusions	References		
	Tables	Figures		
D		►I.		
aner	•	Þ		
-	Back	Close		
Discu	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc		
ssion	Printer-frien	Printer-friendly Version		
Dan	Interactive	Interactive Discussion		
Pr				



**Fig. 9.** Contour plot showing the fractional changes in modelled  $HO_2$  at different NO and isoprene concentrations compared to a model run in which the isoprene concentration has been increased by a factor of ten (the *y*-axis refers to the lower isoprene concentrations). The 1:1 ratio for the modelled  $HO_2$  concentrations is shown by the black line. Black circles indicate NO and isoprene concentrations encountered during AMMA, with the average conditions for AMMA shown by the blue diamond. The red diamond indicates the average NO and isoprene concentrations encountered during GABRIEL (Lelieveld et al., 2008). Model discrepancies observed during INTEX-A were found for isoprene >2 ppb, but the corresponding NO concentration is not given (Ren et al., 2008).





**Fig. 10.** Processes controlling the instantaneous production and loss of **(a)**  $RO_x$  **(b)**  $HO_x$  and **(c)**  $HO_2$  for a typical data point in the forest boundary layer (shown in blue in Fig. 4). Species names are as given in the MCM.

