Laboratory simulation for the aqueous OH-oxidation of methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein: Significance to the in-cloud SOA production

X. Zhang, Z. M. Chen*, and Y. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control,

College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Supplementary material

Table S1. Mechanisms for the photooxidation of MACR and MVK in the box model.

Fig. S1. Direct photolysis of hydrogen peroxide (experimental and simulated data).

Fig. S2. MACR/MVK decay via UV-photolysis and OH-oxidation.

* *Correspondence to:* Z.M. Chen (zmchen@pku.edu.cn)

Table S1. Mechanisms for the photooxidation of MACR and MVK in the box model.

No	Reaction	Rate constant (M^{-1} s^{-1}) 298 K	Reference
1	$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2 \cdot OH$	2.2×10^{-5} (s^{-1}) [a]	Warneck, 1999
2	$H_2O_2 + \cdot OH \rightarrow HO_2 \cdot + H_2O$	2.7×10^{7}	Liao and Gurol, 1995
3	$HO_2 \cdot + H_2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2 + \cdot OH$	3.7	Liao and Gurol, 1995
4	$HO_2 \cdot + HO_2 \cdot \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	8.3×10^{5}	Liao and Gurol, 1995
5	$MACR + \cdot OH \rightarrow 0.5 * CH_2(OH)C \cdot (CH_3)CHO + 0.5 * CH_2C(OH)(CH_3)CHO$	1.5×10^{9} [b]	Gligorovski et al., 2009
6	$MVK + \cdot OH \rightarrow 0.7 * CH_2(OH)C \cdot HC(O)CH_3 + 0.3 * CH_2CH(OH)C(O)CH_3$	8.0×10^{8} [b]	Fitted
7	$CH_2(OH)C \cdot (CH_3)CHO + O_2 \rightarrow CH_2(OH)C(OO\cdot)(CH_3)CHO$	3.2×10^{9} [c]	Marchaj et al., 1991
8	$\cdot CH_2C(OH)(CH_3)CHO + O_2 \rightarrow \cdot OOCH_2C(OH)(CH_3)CHO$	1.8×10^{9} [c]	Marchaj et al., 1991
9	$CH_2(OH)C \cdot HC(O)CH_3 + O_2 \rightarrow CH_2(OH)C(OO\cdot)HC(O)CH_3$	3.2×10^{9} [c]	Marchaj et al., 1991
10	$\cdot CH_2CH(OH)C(O)CH_3 + O_2 \rightarrow \cdot OOCH_2CH(OH)C(O)CH_3$	1.8×10^{9} [c]	Marchaj et al., 1991

11	$2*CH_2(OH)C(OO\cdot)(CH_3)CHO \rightarrow O_2 + 0.8*CH_2(OH)C(O)CH_3 + 0.8*CHO + CH_3C(O)CHO + CH_2OH + 0.2*CH_2(OH)C(O)CHO + 0.2*CH_3$	4.0×10^{7} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
12	$2*OOCH_2C(OH)(CH_3)CHO \rightarrow 2OHCC(OH)(CH_3)CHO + H_2O_2$	2.0×10^{8} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
13	$2*OOCH_2C(OH)(CH_3)CHO \rightarrow OHCC(OH)(CH_3)CHO + CH_2(OH)C(OH)(CH_3)CHO + O_2$	2.0×10^{8} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
14	$2*OOCH_2C(OH)(CH_3)CHO \rightarrow 2*HCHO + 2*CH_3C\cdot(OH)CHO + O_2$	4.0×10^{7} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
15	$\cdot CHO + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + \cdot OH$	4.5×10^{9}	Hart et al., 1964
16	$2*\cdot CHO \rightarrow HCHO + HCOOH$	3.0×10^{8}	Hart et al., 1964
17	$CH_3C\cdot(OH)CHO + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(OO\cdot)(OH)CHO$	2.0×10^{9} [e]	von Sonntag, 1987
18	$2*CH_3C(OO\cdot)(OH)CHO \rightarrow 0.8*CH_3COOH + 0.8*\cdot CHO + 0.8*OHCCOOH + 0.8*\cdot CH_3 + 0.2*CH_3C(O)CHO + 0.2*\cdot OH$	1.0×10^{8} [f]	Glowa et al., 2000
19	$2*CH_2(OH)C(OO\cdot)HC(O)CH_3 \rightarrow 2*CH_2(OH)C(O)C(O)CH_3 + H_2O_2$	1.0×10^{8} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
20	$2*CH_2(OH)C(OO\cdot)HC(O)CH_3 \rightarrow CH_2(OH)C(O)C(O)CH_3 + CH_2(OH)CH(OH)C(O)CH_3 + O_2$	1.0×10^{8} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
21	$2*CH_2(OH)C(OO\cdot)HC(O)CH_3 \rightarrow O_2 + 0.6*\cdot CH_2OH + 0.6*CH_3C(O)CHO + 1.4*CH_2(OH)CHO + 1.4*CH_3CO\cdot$	8.0×10^{7} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
22	$2*\cdot OOCH_2CH(OH)C(O)CH_3 \rightarrow 2*OHCCH(OH)C(O)CH_3 + H_2O_2$	1.0×10^{8} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000

23	$2*\cdot OOCH_2CH(OH)C(O)CH_3 \rightarrow OHCCH(OH)C(O)CH_3 + CH_2(OH)CH(OH)C(O)CH_3 + O_2$	1.0×10^{8} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
24	$2*\cdot OOCH_2CH(OH)C(O)CH_3 \rightarrow 2*HCHO + 2*CH_3C(O)C\cdot H(OH) + O_2$	8.0×10^{7} [d]	Glowa et al., 2000
25	$CH_3CO\cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3CO_3\cdot$	5.0×10^{9}	Glowa et al., 2000
26	$2*CH_3CO_3\cdot \rightarrow O_2 + 2CO_2 + 2\cdot CH_3$	1.0×10^{7}	Glowa et al., 2000
27	$CH_3CO\cdot + \cdot OH \rightarrow CH_3COOH$	1.0×10^{9}	Glowa et al., 2000
28	$2*CH_3CO\cdot \rightarrow CH_3COCOCH_3$	1.0×10^{9}	Glowa et al., 2000
29	$CH_3CO_3\cdot + CH_3O_2\cdot \rightarrow O_2 + HCHO + CH_3COOH$	1.7×10^{8} [g]	Herrmann et al., 1999
30	$CH_2(OH)CHO + \cdot OH \rightarrow CH_2(OH)COOH + HO_2\cdot + H_2O$	5.0×10^{8}	Warneck, 2003
31	$CH_2(OH)COOH + \cdot OH \rightarrow \cdot CH(OH)COOH + H_2O$	5.4×10^{8}	Scholes and Willson, 1967
32	$\cdot CH(OH)COOH + O_2 \rightarrow \cdot OOCH(OH)COOH$	2.0×10^{9}	Herrmann et al., 2000
33	$\cdot OOCH(OH)COOH + H_2O \rightarrow CH(OH)_2COOH + HO_2\cdot$	52	Herrmann et al., 2000
34	$CH(OH)_2COOH + \cdot OH \rightarrow HOOCCOOH + HO_2\cdot + H_2O$	3.6×10^{8}	Ervens et al., 2003
35	$CH_2(OH)CHO + \cdot OH \rightarrow (OH)_2CHCH(OH)_2 + HO_2\cdot$	1.0×10^{9}	Warneck, 2003

36	$(OH)_2CHCH(OH)_2 + \cdot OH \rightarrow CHOCOOH + HO_2\cdot$	1.1×10^{9}	Buxton et al., 1988
37	$CH_3C(O)CH(OH)\cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH(OH)OO\cdot$	2.0×10^{9}	von Sonntag, 1987 Herrmann et al., 2000
38	$CH_3C(O)CH(OH)OO\cdot \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CHO + HO_2$	2.1×10^{2}	Bothe et al., 1978 Herrmann et al., 2000
39	$2*CH_3C(O)CH(OH)OO\cdot \rightarrow 2*CH_3C(O)COOH + H_2O_2$	3.5×10^{8}	Bothe et al., 1978 Herrmann et al., 2000
40	$CHOCOOH + \cdot OH \rightarrow HOOCCOOH + HO_2\cdot + H_2O$	1.2×10^{9}	Stefan and Bolton, 1999
41	$HCHO + H_2O \rightarrow CH_2(OH)_2$	0.18 (F) 5.1×10^{-3} (B)	Bell and Evans, 1966
42	$CH_2(OH)_2 + \cdot OH \rightarrow H_2O + HO_2\cdot + HCOOH$	1.0×10^{9}	Chin and Wine, 1994
43	$HCOOH \leftrightarrow HCOO^- + H^+$	8.9×10^{6} (F) 5.0×10^{10} (B)	Harned and Owen, 1958 Graedel and Weschler, 1981
44	$HCOOH + \cdot OH \rightarrow H_2O + HO_2\cdot + CO_2$	1.3×10^{8}	Chin and Wine, 1994
45	$HCOO^- + \cdot OH \rightarrow OH^- + HO_2\cdot + CO_2$	4.0×10^{9}	Buxton et al., 1988
46	$CH_3C(O)CHO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CH_3C(O)CH(OH)_2$	21.5 (F) 0.5 (B)	Betterton and Hoffmann, 1988
47	$CH_3C(O)CH(OH)_2 + OH \rightarrow CH_3C(O)C(OH)_2\cdot + H_2O$	1.1×10^{9}	Ervens et al., 2003

48	$CH_3C(O)C(OH)_2\cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)C(OH)_2OO\cdot$	2.0×10^{9}	von Sonntag, 1987
49	$CH_3C(O)C(OH)_2OO\cdot \rightarrow CH_3C(O)COOH + HO_2\cdot$	$1.0\times10^{7\ h}$	Buxton et al., 1988
50	$CH_3C(O)COOH + \cdot OH \rightarrow CH_2C(O)COOH + H_2O$	1.2×10^{8}	Ervens et al., 2003
51	$CH_3COOH \leftrightarrow CH_3COO^- + H^+$	8.8×10^{5} (F) 5.0×10^{10} (B)	Herrmann et al., 2000
52	$CH_3COOH + \cdot OH \leftrightarrow HOOCCOOH$	1.6×10^{7}	Stefan et al., 1996
53	$CH_3COO^- + \cdot OH \rightarrow HOOCCOO^-$	8.5×10^{7}	Stefan et al., 1996
54	$HOOCCOOH + \cdot OH \rightarrow 2 * CO_2 + H_2O + HO_2\cdot$	1.4×10^{6}	Buxton et al., 1988
55	$HOOCCOO^- + \cdot OH \rightarrow 2 * CO_2 + H_2O + O_2^-\cdot$	4.7×10^{7}	Buxton et al., 1988
56	$HOOCCOOH \leftrightarrow HOOCCOO^- + H^+$	3.2×10^{9} (F) 5.0×10^{10} (B)	Meyerstein, 1971
57	$CH_3\cdot + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3O_2\cdot$	4.1×10^{9}	Marchaj et al., 1991
58	$CH_3O_2\cdot + CH_3O_2\cdot \rightarrow CH_3OH + HCHO + O_2$	1.7×10^{8}	Herrmann et al., 1999
59	$\cdot CH_2OH + O_2 \rightarrow \cdot OOCH_2OH$	2.0×10^{9}	von Sonntag, 1987
60	$2 * \cdot OOCH_2OH \rightarrow CH_3OH + HCHO + O_2$	1.1×10^{9}	von Sonntag, 1987

a: Estimated according to the Warneck, 1999 parameterization;
b: The branching ratios were in analogy to those of gas-phase reactions. The rate constant was estimated in analogy to that of MACR;
c: Estimated in analogy to the addition O_2 to 1-C_4H_9 and 2-C_4H_9 radical;
d: Estimated in analogy to the methyl ethyl ketone peroxy radical reaction;
e: Estimated in analogy to isopropanol;
f: Estimated in analogy to the combination of $CH_3C(O_2)(OH)COCH_3$ radical;
g: Estimated in analogy to the combination of CH_3O_2 radical;
h: Estimated in analogy to glyoxal;

References:

Bell, R. P. and Evans, P. G.: Kinetics of the dehydration of methylene glycol in aqueous solution, Proc. R. Soc., 291, 297 – 323, 1966.

Betterton, E. A. and Hoffmann, M. R.: Henry's law constants of some environmentally important aldehydes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 22, 1415 – 1418, 1988.

Bothe, E., Schuchmann, M. N., Schulte-Frohlinde, D., and von Sonntag, C.: HO_2 elimination from a-hydroxalkyl-peroxyl radicals in aqueous solution. Photochem. Photobiol., 28, 639 – 644, 1978.

Buxton, G. V., Greenstock, C. L., Helman, W. P., and Ross, A. B.: Critical review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals, (OH, O^{-2}) in aqueous solution, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 17, 513 – 886, 1988.

Chin, M. and Wine, P. H.: A temperature-dependent competitive kinetics study of the aqueous phase reactions of OH radicals with formate, formic acid, acetate, acetic acid and hydrated formaldehyde, Aquatic and Surface Photochem., Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, 1994.

Ervens, B., Gligorovski, S., and Herrmann, H.: Temperature-dependent rate constants for hydroxyl radical reactions with organic compounds in aqueous solutions, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 1811 – 1824, 2003.

Gligorovski, S. and Herrmann, H.: Kinetics of reactions of OH with organic carbonyl compounds in aqueous solution, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 6, 4118 – 4126, 2009.

Glowa, G., Driver, P., and Wren, J. C.: Irradiation of MEK-II: A detailed kinetic model for the degradation under of 2-butanone in aerated aqueous solutions steady-state gamma-radiolysis conditions, Radiation Phys. Chem., 58, 49 – 68, 2000.

Graedel, T. E. and Weschler, C. J.: Chemistry within aqueous atmospheric aerosols and raindrops, Rev. Geophys. Space Phys., 19, 505 – 539, 1981.

Harned, H. S. and Owen, B. B.: The physical chemistry of electrolytic solutions, Reinhold, New York, 1958.

Hart, E. J., Thomas, J. K., and Gordon, S.: A review of the radiation chemistry of single-carbon compounds and some reactions of the hydrated electron in aqueous solution, Radiat. Res. Suppl. 4, 74 – 88, 1964.

Herrmann, H., Reese, A., Ervens, B., Wicktor, F., and Zellner, R.: Laboratory and modeling studies of tropospheric multiphase conversions involving some C_1 and C_2 peroxyl radicals, Phys. Chem. Earth 24, 287 – 290, 1999.

Herrmann, H., Ervens, B., Jacob, H. W., Wolke, R., Nowacki, P., and Zellner, R.: APRAM2.3: A chemical aqueous phase radical mechanism for tropospheric chemistry, J. Atmos. Chem., 36, 231 – 330, 2000.

Liao, C. H. and Gurol, M. D.: Chemical oxidation by photolytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide, Environ. Sci. Technol., 29, 3007 – 3014, 1995.

Marchaj, A., Kelley, D. G., Bakac, A., and Espenson, J. H.: Kinetics of the reactions between alkyl radicals and molecular oxygen in aqueous solution, J. Phys. Chem., 95, 4440 – 4441, 1991.

Meyerstein, D.: Trivalent Copper I — A pulse radiolytic study of the properties of the aquocomplex, Inorg. Chem., 10, 638 – 41, 1971.

Scholes, G. and Willson, R. L.: γ-Radiolysis of aqueous thymine solutions: determination of relative reaction rates of OH radicals, Trans. Faraday Soc., 63, 2983 – 2994, 1967.

Stefan, M. I., Hoy, A. R., and Bolton, J. R.: Kinetics and mechanism of the degradation and mineralization of acetone in dilute aqueous solution sensitized by the UV photolysis of hydrogen peroxide, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30, 2382 – 2390, 1996.

Stefan, M. I. and Bolton, J. R.: Reinvestigation of the acetone degradation mechanism in dilute aqueous solution by the UV/H_2O_2 process, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 870 – 873, 1999.

von Sonntag, C.: The chemical basis of radiation biology, Taylor & Francis, 1987.

Warneck, P.: The relative importance of various pathways for the oxidation of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide in sunlit continental fair weather clouds, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1, 5471 – 5483, 1999.

Warneck, P.: In-cloud chemistry opens pathway to the formation of oxalic acid in the marine atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 37, 2423 – 2427, 2003.



Fig. S1. Direct photolysis of hydrogen peroxide (experimental and simulated data).



Fig. S2. MACR/MVK decay via UV-photolysis and OH-oxidation.