Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 14013–14052, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/14013/2010/ doi:10.5194/acpd-10-14013-2010 © Author(s) 2010. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Emission and deposition of accumulation and coarse mode particles in the Amazon basin

L. Ahlm<sup>1</sup>, R. Krejci<sup>1</sup>, E. D. Nilsson<sup>1</sup>, E. M. Mårtensson<sup>1</sup>, M. Vogt<sup>1</sup>, and P. Artaxo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Environmental Science, Stockholm University, Sweden <sup>2</sup>Institute of Physics, University of São Paulo, Brazil

Received: 2 April 2010 - Accepted: 3 May 2010 - Published: 8 June 2010

Correspondence to: L. Ahlm (lars.ahlm@itm.su.se)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





# Abstract

5

Size-resolved vertical aerosol number fluxes of particles in the diameter range 0.25–2.5 µm were measured with the eddy covariance method from a 53 m high tower over the Amazon rain forest, 60 km NNW of Manaus, Brazil. This study focuses on data measured during the relatively clean wet season, but a shorter measurement period from the more polluted dry season is used as a comparison.

Size-resolved net particle fluxes of the five lowest size bins, representing 0.25–0.45  $\mu m$  in diameter, pointed downward in more or less all wind sectors in the wet season. This is an indication that the source of primary biogenic aerosol particles may

- <sup>10</sup> be small in this particle size range. In the diameter range 0.5–2.5 µm, vertical particle fluxes were highly dependent on wind direction. In wind sectors where anthropogenic influence was low, net emission fluxes dominated. However, in wind sectors associated with higher anthropogenic influence, net deposition fluxes dominated. The net emission fluxes were interpreted as primary biogenic aerosol emission, but deposition
- of anthropogenic particles seems to have masked this emission in wind sectors with higher anthropogenic influence. The emission fluxes were at maximum in the afternoon when the mixed layer is well developed, and these emissions were best correlated with horizontal wind speed by the equation

 $\log_{10} F = 0.47 \cdot U + 2.26$ 

<sup>20</sup> where *F* is the emission number flux of 0.5–2.5  $\mu$ m particles [m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>] and *U* is the horizontal wind speed [ms<sup>-1</sup>] at the top of the tower.

#### 1 Introduction

In the Amazon basin, organic components typically constitute 70 to 90% of the aerosol mass in both the fine and coarse mode (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Graham et al.,





2003a; Fuzzi et al., 2007). Biomass burning is known to be a large source of organic particles (Reid et al., 2005), thereby explaining the high organic fraction in the dry season. In the wet season, however, the high organic fraction must be attributed to biogenic sources (Guyon et al., 2003a, b), but there are still large uncertainties in

- the relative importance of different sources and production mechanisms of these biogenic aerosol particles. Measurements of mass concentrations of different aerosol compounds and their diurnal variations in the Amazon boundary layer have provided important knowledge of biogenic aerosol sources in the Amazon basin (e.g. Artaxo and Maenhaut, 1990; Artaxo and Hansson, 1995; Graham et al., 2003a, b, Chen et al.,
- 10 2009). However, diurnal variations in concentration of particularly those compounds that have their source at the surface are to a large extent driven by diurnal variations in boundary layer dynamics. Therefore it is necessary to measure vertical fluxes to gain more detailed knowledge of diurnal variations in surface emission and also for quantifying the source strength of individual components.
- <sup>15</sup> Vertical fluxes of different volatile organic compounds like isoprenes and monoterpenes have been measured over the Amazon rain forest in a number of studies (Zimmerman et al., 1988; Karl et al., 2007; Kuhn et al., 2007) with the primary goal of improving the knowledge of secondary organic aerosol production. However, fewer studies have focused on the source mechanisms behind primary biogenic aerosol
- emission, and extremely few studies have done so by measuring vertical fluxes of aerosol particles. Ahlm et al. (2009) investigated the surface-atmosphere exchange of particles with diameter larger than 10 nm over the Amazon rain forest in the wet season. In that study, it was found that deposition number fluxes dominated even in the cleanest prevailing conditions in the wet season. That was an indication that the source
- of primary biogenic aerosol emission, in terms of number concentrations, may be low when considering the total size range of particles. Also in the dry season, deposition fluxes dominated most of the time (Ahlm et al., 2010). However, upward fluxes often appeared in the morning and these fluxes may have been related to primary biogenic aerosol emission of particles that have been stored in the canopy throughout the night,





similarly to  $CO_2$  (Goulden et al., 2006; Araújo et al., 2008; Tóta et al., 2008). In this study, results from measurements of size-resolved fluxes of accumulation mode particles over the Amazon rain forest are presented. The measured particles have diameters between 0.25 and 2.5 µm. Particles larger than 0.25 µm only makes

- <sup>5</sup> up a minor fraction of the total aerosol number population in the Amazon boundary layer, but their size makes them efficient as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). The primary goal of this study is to investigate the number source of primary biogenic aerosol particles in different particle diameter intervals. Additionally, triggering mechanisms of the biogenic aerosol emission and diurnal variations in both flux and concentration are
- investigated. Most data to be presented have been measured during the wet season when biogenic sources are expected to dominate the aerosol population within the atmospheric boundary layer. Additionally, data from measurements during a shorter period in the dry season is used as a comparison to the wet season data. This Brazilian-Swedish project AMAFLUX (Amazonian Biosphere-Atmosphere Aerosol Fluxes in view of their petertial centrel of alcud properties and climate) was carried out as a part of the
- of their potential control of cloud properties and climate) was carried out as a part of the larger international project LBA (The Large Scale Biosphere Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia).

# 2 Method

# 2.1 Site description

- The measurements were carried out at the top of the 53 m high tower K34 at the rain forest site Reserva Biológica do Cuieiras (2°35.37′ S, 60° 06.92′ W), approximately 60 km NNW of Manaus, Brazil. The tower K34 is a research facility operated by INPA (The Brazilian National Institute for Research in Amazonia). The canopy height in the Cuieiras Reserve is between 30 and 35 m (Kruijt et al., 2000). Figure 1 shows the lo-
- <sup>25</sup> cation of the measurement site. South-easterly winds are associated with air transport from Manaus and thereby some anthropogenic influence. The centre of the research





station is located approximately 2 km NNW of K34. A diesel generator at the centre of the station provides the research station with electrical power. A more detailed description of the site can be found in Ahlm et al. (2009).

# 2.2 Eddy covariance measurements

- The eddy covariance method was used to measure the mean vertical turbulent aerosol number flux *N'w'*, where *N'* and *w'* represent fluctuations in aerosol number concentration and vertical wind speed from the temporal means of these parameters, and the cross bar represents a temporal mean of the product of the two fluctuations. *N'w'* was calculated over periods of 30 min. The fluctuations *N'* and *w'* were separated from the mean by linear de-trending, which also removes the influence of low frequency trends. The aerosol data were shifted in relation to the wind data to correct for the time lag in
- The aerosol data were shifted in relation to the wind data to correct for the time lag in the sampling line (calculated from the maximum correlation).

The eddy covariance method requires stationary conditions. In this study, the instationarity test by Foken and Wichura (1996) was applied to the particle fluxes in order

- to filter out fluxes measured in non-stationary conditions. The averaging period 30 min was divided into sub-periods of 5 min. If the difference between the flux calculated over 30 min and the mean of the covariances calculated over the 5 min intervals was larger than 60% (Järvi et al., 2009), the flux was rejected. In total, 22% of the particle fluxes were rejected.
- <sup>20</sup> Data collected when the friction velocity is lower than  $0.1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  have been rejected in figures that focus on the sign of the flux, in order to reduce the uncertainty of the flux. However,  $u_*$ -filtering may result in an overestimation of the average flux. Therefore,  $u_*$ -filtering has not been applied in figures with focus on the magnitude of the flux.

All aerosol data collected during rainfall have been excluded from the results in order

to simplify interpretation of the flux. Technical problems due to instrumental failure, computer software problems and electrical power breaks resulted in some loss of data. The removal of data due to rainfall together with data losses due to technical problems





resulted in a total data removal of 43% in the wet season period. In the short dry season period, the corresponding removal was only 14%.

# 2.3 Instrumentation

# 2.3.1 Flux measurements

- The 3-D wind components and temperature were measured with a Gill Windmaster Pro 1561 ultrasonic anemometer, and logged at 20 Hz. An Optical Particle Counter (OPC), model Grimm 1.109 with a time constant of 1 s, was used to measure the aerosol number concentration in 15 size classes from 0.25 μm to 2.5 μm at 1 Hz, using a separate 1/4-inch stainless steel 2.5 m long sampling line with a flow rate of 1.2 Lmin<sup>-1</sup> (laminar flow). The Grimm 1.109 has a response time of 1 s. The particle measurements were performed inside a weather housing that contained one OPC and a drying system. The
- performed inside a weather housing that contained one OPC and a drying system. The sampled air was dried by 1:1 diffusion of particle free air with zero humidity. This procedure minimizes the risk of unwanted losses of semivolatile compounds, compared to when the air is heated to dry it.
- <sup>15</sup> In this study, aerosol number concentration decreases rapidly with increasing particle size within the OPC size range. In the channels representing the larger diameters, average number concentration is lower than  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , which is the resolution of the Grimm 1.109. Therefore, size resolved fluxes have only been calculated for the first five OPC channels, where number concentrations are at least one order of magnitude higher than the resolution of the OPC. The first five channels represent a size interval of  $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$ , where  $D_p$  represents particle diameter. However, by summing up several of the size bins that contain larger particle in order to increase the number of particles, also fluxes of the larger particles could be calculated. These fluxes
- were calculated over the interval  $D_p = 0.5 2.5 \,\mu$ m, representing the nine highest OPC size bins. Additionally, particle fluxes covering the whole OPC size range have been calculated.





# 2.3.2 Additional measurements used during data analysis

Mass concentration of equivalent black carbon (BC<sub>e</sub>) was provided by São Paulo University using a Thermo Scientific Multi-Angle Absorption Photometer (MAAP), model 5012. This measurement derives the concentration of BC<sub>e</sub> from the determination of light absorption at a wavelength of 637 nm. The BC<sub>e</sub> measurements were performed

close to the centre of the research station, about 2 km from K34.

Additionally, mass concentrations of trace elements were provided by São Paulo University using particle-induced X ray emission analysis (PIXE). The sampling for the PIXE trace element analysis was also performed at the centre of the research station.

10

5

Meteorological parameters (rain amounts and photosynthetic active radiation) were measured at the K34 tower and provided by INPA. These were logged on a Campbell CR-10 (Campbell Scientific UK) data logger with a sampling interval of 30 s and stored as either 10 or 30 min averages.

#### 2.4 Flux corrections and errors

#### 15 2.4.1 Corrections

Particle fluxes measured with the eddy covariance method are underestimated due to the limited time response of the OPC and attenuation of turbulent fluctuations in the sampling line. The total time constant for both OPC and sampling line was estimated to 1.2 s by using transfer equations for damping of particle fluctuations in laminar flow
<sup>20</sup> (Lenschow and Raupach, 1991) and in a sensor (Horst et al., 1997). The aerosol fluxes in this study have been corrected for these fluctuation attenuations according to Horst at al. (1997). The median increase in flux due to this correction was 10% in the wet season. The 25 and 75 percentiles were 5 and 32%, respectively. The increase in flux in the dry season was also 10%, and the 25 and 75 percentiles were 6 and 41%.

<sup>25</sup> The aerosol fluxes and concentrations were also corrected for losses in the sampling line. The sampling line was very close to vertical so losses due to settling were





negligible. However, the inlet of the tubing was bent downwards to avoid rainwater to enter the sampling line. The sampling line was slightly bended also in two other points. For laminar flow, the inertial deposition of particles due to tube bends is given by the equation (Crane and Evans, 1977)

5 Bendloss = Stk  $\cdot \Phi$ 

10

25

where Stk is Stokes number and  $\Phi$  is the bend angle in radians. All size resolved concentrations and fluxes in this study have been corrected according to Eq. (1) for the three bends. The relative loss due to these bends were less than 0.1% for the first OPC channel (0.25< $D_p$  <0.28 µm) and 3.2% for the last OPC channel (2.0< $D_p$  <2.5 µm). The reason for the relatively small inertial loss is the laminar flow.

Losses due to diffusion were estimated by relations for diffusion in laminar flow in Hinds et al. (1999). The average diffusional loss was 0.4% for the first OPC channel and 0.07% for the last OPC channel. Since these values are small, the impact of diffusional losses within the sampling line has been neglected.

No Webb correction has been applied to the fluxes. Temperature fluctuations are dampened in a tubing of several meters (Rannik et al., 1997) and therefore the particle fluxes were not corrected with regard to sensible heat fluxes. Nor were the fluxes corrected with regard to latent heat fluxes, since the sampled air was dried before entering the OPC.

#### 20 2.4.2 Error treatment

The uncertainty in number concentration due to discrete counting was calculated from the equation (Hinds et al., 1999)

$$\varepsilon(N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where  $\varepsilon(N)$  is the relative error in number concentration for half-hourly averaged data and *n* is the number of counts per half hour. The median relative uncertainty in particle



(1)

(2)

concentration of the first OPC channel was 0.14% and 0.07% in the wet and dry season, respectively. The corresponding relative uncertainties for the last OPC channel, where the number of particles is much lower, were 2.3% and 1.8%.

The uncertainty in flux due to discrete counting can be expressed as

$$5 \quad \delta \overline{(N'w')} = \frac{\sigma_w \overline{N}}{\sqrt{\overline{N}Q\Delta t}}$$

where  $\sigma_w$  is the standard deviation of the vertical wind,  $\overline{N}$  is the aerosol number concentration averaged over the sampling period  $\Delta t$  and Q is the sampling volume flow rate through the particle counter (Fairall, 1984). Thus, the relative uncertainty  $\varepsilon$  in flux due to discrete counting becomes

10 
$$\varepsilon(\overline{N'w'}) = \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{Q\Delta t}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{N}} \cdot v_t}$$

where

$$v_t = -\frac{\overline{N'w'}}{N}$$

is the particle transfer velocity.

This means that the relative flux uncertainty in many cases becomes larger when the aerosol number concentration decreases. Figure 2 shows the median relative counting uncertainty in flux for the first five OPC channels. The wet season uncertainty (blue bars) are slightly higher than the dry season uncertainty (red bars). The flux uncertainty increases with increasing particle size, and thereby decreasing particle numbers, in both seasons. However, the median uncertainty in flux is lower than 50% for all the five size bins. The median counting error for fluxes calculated over the whole OPC size range was 17% in the wet season and 16% in the dry season. The flux of 0.5–2.5 µm

particles was only calculated for the wet season data and the flux was there associated with a median uncertainty of 41% due to discrete counting.

(3)

(4)

(5)



#### 3 Results and discussion

The wet season OPC measurements started at 11 March and lasted until 27 May 2008. The shorter dry season OPC measurements were performed between 1 and 12 August 2008.

<sup>5</sup> During the wet season period, there were two clear episodes with inflow of mineral dust, likely transported from the Sahara. The enhanced amounts of atmospheric mineral dust were observed as significantly higher concentrations of the trace elements Al, Fe and Mn from the PIXE analysis. However, the OPC measurements were not running during these two episodes due to technical problems. Influence of mineral dust is therefore expected to be low in the results to be shown in the following sections.

All fluxes, concentrations and meteorological parameters have been averaged over 30 min long intervals in the results that will be presented. Where it is stated that a figure represent median values, medians have been calculated of these 30 min-averaged data.

#### 15 3.1 Aerosol size distributions

Eearlier studies of the aerosol number size distribution over the Amazon rain forest have shown that the two dominating aerosol number modes are located at diameters of 60–90 nm and 130–190 nm, respectively (Zhou et al., 2002; Rissler et al., 2006). This means that it is only a small fraction of the total aerosol number population that is analyzed in this study, since the Grimm 1.109 OPC starts at 250 nm. The median aerosol number concentration within the OPC size range in this study was 33 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the wet season, and 122 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the dry season. This can be compared with the total aerosol number concentration measured with a condensational particle counter CPC ( $D_p > 10$  nm) that was 682 cm<sup>-3</sup> and 1513 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the wet season and dry season, respectively (Ahlm et al., 2010). Hence, the number of particles measured with the Grimm 1.109 in this study represents roughly 5% and 8% of the total number of particles in the wet and dry season, respectively. The fact that the OPC size range





represents a somewhat larger fraction of the total number of particles in the dry season is consistent with the observation of Rissler et al. (2006) of increasing geometrical diameter of the two modes with increasing influence from aged biomass burning aerosol in Balbina, located 125 km northeast of Manaus.

- <sup>5</sup> Figure 3 shows median size distributions in the wet and dry season of particle number (a and b), and volume (c) for each of the 15 OPC channels. Figure 3d shows vertical flux of particles in the first five OPC size bins. The rapid decrease in concentration with increasing particle size is obvious in Fig. 3a and b. In the volume distribution (Fig. 3c), the concentration increased with increasing diameter for  $D_p > 1 \,\mu$ m, and a coarse mode
- <sup>10</sup> appears. The ratio of the coarse mode volume to the total volume within the OPC size range is clearly larger in the wet season than in the dry season. This is consistent with that biomass burning is known to be a large source of primarily accumulation mode particles (Reid et al., 2005). The median vertical flux of the first five OPC size bins (Fig. 3d) points downward (negative sign) in both seasons. In these flux medians, half hours with friction velocities lower than 0.1 ms<sup>-1</sup> have been excluded to reduce the
- uncertainty in the eddy covariance flux. The flux is clearly larger in magnitude in the dry season than in the wet season. In general, the flux decreases in magnitude with increasing particle diameter and thereby decreasing number of particles.

#### 3.2 Diurnal cycles

#### 20 3.2.1 Total OPC size range

In this section, diurnal cycles of total concentration and flux of aerosol particles over the whole OPC size range  $(0.25 \,\mu\text{m} < D_p < 2.5 \,\mu\text{m})$  are investigated. Figure 4 shows median diurnal cycles of aerosol number concentration (a) and vertical flux (b) in the wet and dry season. The aerosol number concentrations within the OPC size range are relatively stable throughout the day, both in the wet and in the dry season (Fig. 4a)

are relatively stable throughout the day, both in the wet and in the dry season (Fig. 4a). However, while the dry season concentration seems to be at minimum in daytime, the wet season concentration instead seems to be slightly higher in daytime. There is also





a vague trend in Fig. 4 of slightly decreasing concentrations during the morning in the dry season, but increasing concentrations during the morning in the wet season. This is an indication that mixed layer growth and associated entrainment on average may have a diluting impact on the mixed layer particle concentration in the dry season,

<sup>5</sup> but the opposite impact in the wet season. The same behaviour was observed in the diurnal cycle of the total aerosol number concentration ( $D_p > 10$  nm) measured with a CPC (Ahlm et al., 2009).

Downward fluxes clearly dominate in the dry season and, as a matter of fact, also in the clean wet season (Fig. 4b). However, the downward flux is considerably larger in magnitude in the dry season. Note that these fluxes include the whole OPC size range, which are likely dominated by the lowest OPC channels, where number concentrations are highest (Fig. 3a–b). The net downward flux in the wet season implies that sources

of primary biogenic aerosol particle are small in comparison with the total dry deposition sink, when considering the whole OPC size range. However, when separately investigating the flux of the larger particles in the OPC size range in Sects. 3.3.2 and 3.4, it will be shown that the role of primary aerosol emission is more important for the larger particles.

The particle transfer velocity in the total OPC size range (Fig. 4c) has a very clear diurnal trend with maximum values of 4 mm s<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons in daytime but con-<sup>20</sup> siderably lower values at nighttime when turbulence is suppressed by the stable stratification. The nocturnal transfer velocities are slightly higher in the wet season than in the dry season, due to less stable stratification in the wet season as was discussed by Ahlm et al. (2010).

# 3.2.2 Size-resolved concentrations

10

Figure 5 shows median diurnal cycles of the aerosol number concentration for each of the 15 OPC size bins. For each size bin, all median concentrations are normalized with the maximum median concentration that occurred during the day within each size bin. Thus, the red colour, representing "1" in the colour bar, is the maximum concentration





during the day, and the blue colour, representing for instance "0.5" in the colour bar, means that the median concentration at this time of the day is 50% of the maximum median concentration during the day. Therefore, each square within Fig. 5 provides no information of the absolute concentration. The reason for normalizing in this way is to above the diversel variations of each size him and not only the lowest OPC abaptale

<sup>5</sup> to show the diurnal variations of each size bin and not only the lowest OPC channels where a very large majority of the particles are located. The median particle concentration of each size bin is shown in Table 1.

It is clear that the diurnal trends in aerosol number concentration observed in Fig. 4a, with increasing concentrations in the morning in the wet season but decreasing con-

- centrations at the same time in the dry season, are only present for the lower OPC size bins in Fig. 5. Hence, it seems that particles of different size have different diurnal trends. In the wet season (Fig. 5a), aerosol number concentrations of the five lowest size bins, representing diameters of 0.25–0.45 μm, are high between 09:00 and 12:00 LT. In contrast, particles with diameters of 0.5–2.0 μm have relatively low concentrations in the morning but maximum concentrations around 15:00 LT.
  - In the dry season (Fig. 5b), number concentrations of particles with diameters between 0.25  $\mu$ m and 0.65  $\mu$ m are decreasing when the mixed layer grows in the morning, similar to the diurnal trend of the total OPC size range in Fig. 4a. However, in the size bins representing larger particles, the concentrations increase at the same time.
- For the two largest channels ( $D_p = 1.6 2.5 \,\mu$ m), wet season concentrations are highest between 00:00 and 03:00 LT at night. It is not likely that this nocturnal concentration maximum is a result of any anthropogenic activity, because the maximum is not apparent in the lower OPC size bins. Since these particles are large, primary biogenic aerosol emission is the most likely explanation. The particle concentrations for these
- <sup>25</sup> two size bins start to increase already in the evening to reach maximum at night. Higher night time concentrations of coarse mode particles ( $2 < D_p < 10 \,\mu$ m) were observed by Graham et al. (2003a) at the rain forest site at Balbina. Elevated coarse mode aerosol concentrations at night have been observed in the Amazon also by Artaxo et al. (2002) and Guyon et al. (2003b). In the study by Graham et al. (2003a), the higher night time





concentrations could be directly linked to fungal spores. This is a likely explanation also for the elevated night time concentrations of  $1.6-2.5 \,\mu$ m particles in this study. Fungal spores are typically 2–20  $\mu$ m in diameter (Elbert et al., 2007).

However, it cannot be stated from this study whether primary aerosol emission of

<sup>5</sup> 1.6–2.5 μm particles is actually higher at night or if the elevated night time concentration is only a result of the variation in boundary layer depth between day and night. The nocturnal boundary layer in the Amazon basin is typically 80–180 m (Garstang et al., 1990), while the well developed mixed layer often reaches a depth of ~1000 m (Fisch et al., 2004). Therefore, the source of primary aerosol emission would not necessarily
 have to be stronger at night time just because the concentration maximum occurs at that time. However, as Graham et al. (2003a) pointed out, it is widely believed that the active discharge of several spore types is favoured by humid conditions, and the relative humidity frequently reaches 100% at night time over the Amazon rain forest.

#### 3.3 Pristine versus polluted conditions in the wet season

- Even though the wet season in the Cueiras Reserve represents one of the cleanest conditions that can be found on Earth, with aerosol concentrations close to those over remote oceans (Andreae et al., 2009), there is occasionally influence from anthropogenic sources. Figure 6a illustrates how the aerosol number concentration depends on wind direction for each of the 15 size bins in the wet season. The median con-
- <sup>20</sup> centrations within each size bin have been normalized with the maximum median concentration within the certain bin. The highest particle concentrations are found in the wind sector associated with wind directions between 150 and 180 degrees for all of the 15 size bins. This wind sector represents air transport from Manaus. Maximum  $BC_e$ concentrations at K34 (Fig. 6b) are associated with the same wind sector. Thus, the
- city Manaus clearly has an impact on the aerosol number population when the wind is blowing from that direction. The lowest concentrations are found in the wind sectors associated with wind directions between 240 and 360 degrees. These sectors include the direction that is associated with air transport from the research station (approximately





340 degrees). In fact, the minimum concentration is found at the specific direction to the diesel generator and the house at the research station (Fig. 1). Therefore, it seems that the influence of the research station on aerosol concentration at the top of K34 is negligible for particles within the OPC size range.

<sup>5</sup> In Sects. 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, differences in particle flux between pristine and anthropogenic conditions are investigated. This is done by analysing the aerosol flux dependence on wind direction and amount of BC<sub>e</sub> in the atmosphere. All focus is on the wet season data, since it is hard to find even close to pristine conditions in the dry season and because the amount of dry season data in this study is too small to reliably rep-<sup>10</sup> resent all wind sectors. In Sect. 3.3.1, fluxes of particles with  $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$  are investigated while Sect. 3.3.2 deals with fluxes of particles with  $D_p = 0.5 - 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

# 3.3.1 Flux dependence on wind direction and $BC_e$ for particles of $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$

Figure 7a illustrates how the flux of particles with  $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$  depends on wind <sup>15</sup> direction. Downward fluxes clearly dominate for all wind sectors in Fig. 7a. This is an indication that the downward fluxes are not only results of anthropogenic sources and that the local source of primary aerosol particles within the particle diameter range  $0.25-0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$  is small. The median transfer velocities vary between 1.5 and 4 mms<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 7b).

- Figure 7c shows how the particle flux varies with particle size and wind direction in the same particle diameter range. The colour in every square represents a ratio of the median flux within the certain size bin and wind sector to the absolute value of the largest negative median flux within the same size bin but for any wind sector. Hence, colours below zero in the colour bar (blue and green) represent downward fluxes, and colours above zero (red) represent upward fluxes. Downward (negative) particle fluxes
- 25 Colours above zero (red) represent upward nuxes. Downward (negative) particle nuxes dominate in all wind sectors for the three lowest OPC channels. For the fourth and fifth channel, upward fluxes are more frequent in the westerly sector but otherwise downward fluxes dominate also for these size bins.





The fact that the flux medians for all the five lowest OPC channels are somewhat less negative in the westerly sector, a sector that is associated with low anthropogenic influence (Fig. 6), leads to the question whether deposition of anthropogenic particles may mask a potential primary aerosol source. An efficient way to investigate this is
to explore whether the particle transfer velocity (Eq. 5) changes sign when going from more polluted conditions to extremely clean conditions. Figure 8 shows how the transfer velocity for particles within the diameter size range 0.25–0.45 µm depends on mass concentration of BC<sub>e</sub>. Positive transfer velocities represent downward fluxes according to Eq. (5). Clearly, the transfer velocities are positive even in the cleanest atmospheric conditions. This means that downward fluxes of particles with diameter between 0.25 and 0.45 µm dominate even in the absolute cleanest atmospheric conditions, indicating that the source of primary biogenic aerosol particles in this diameter range is small.

# 3.3.2 Dependence on wind direction and BC<sub>e</sub> for particles with $D_p = 0.5 - 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$

Figure 5a revealed that the OPC size bins representing particles with diameters between 0.5 and 2.5 µm have rather similar diurnal cycles of particle concentrations in the wet season, with a well defined maximum in concentration during the afternoon (at least for particles with  $D_p < 1.5$  µm). This afternoon peak in concentration is not apparent in the diurnal cycles of the smallest particles within the OPC size range.

Since the diurnal cycle of the total OPC size range flux (Fig. 4b) is likely dominated

- <sup>20</sup> by fluxes of smaller and thereby numerous particles, it is necessary to investigate the fluxes of the larger particles separately. However, as was stated earlier, it is not possible to calculate size-resolved fluxes of each size bin of the larger particles separately, since the numbers of particles in these bins are too low compared to the resolution of the OPC. Therefore, we have calculated fluxes over one single large diameter interval,
- $D_p = 0.5 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ , to increase the number of particles to at least an order of magnitude higher than the resolution of the OPC. However, the concentration decreases with increasing particle size also within this size interval. Approximately 50% of the numbers of particles in the diameter range 0.5–2.5  $\mu$ m are located between 0.5 and 0.65  $\mu$ m.



Figure 9 shows how the aerosol number flux of particles within this size interval varies with wind direction. A black curve showing the  $BC_e$  dependence on wind direction (from Fig. 6b) has been added to the figure. Interestingly, downward fluxes dominate in the southerly to north-easterly wind sector where concentrations of  $BC_e$ 

are higher, while upward fluxes dominate in most other wind sectors, where concentrations of BC<sub>e</sub> are lower. Hence, it seems that in wind sectors where the influence of anthropogenic sources is low, local primary aerosol emission dominates over the dry deposition sink. On the other hand, in wind sectors where the influence of anthropogenic sources is larger, it seems as deposition of anthropogenic particles masks the local source of primary aerosol particles.

The fact that local primary aerosol emission seems to be important in the 0.5–2.5 µm interval, in terms of number concentrations, is not a contradiction to the observations by Chen et al. (2009) who found that the aerosol mass of particles ( $D_p < 1 \mu m$ ) was dominated by secondary aerosol. The particle diameter interval 0.5–1.0 µm is in a range where the aerosol volume has very low values in the volume distribution in Fig. 3c. Therefore, the aerosol mass in this interval is a very small fraction of the submicron aerosol mass measured by Chen et al. (2009).

# 3.4 Diurnal cycles of fluxes of particles with diameters of 0.5-2.5 µm

Figure 10a shows the wet season median diurnal cycles of flux and concentration of <sup>20</sup> particles with  $D_p = 0.5 - 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  when all wind sectors are included. The diurnal cycle of the flux is somewhat dominated by deposition fluxes even though there is a peak in upward flux around 15:00 LT. However, when the wind sector 60–200 degrees (associated with higher anthropogenic influence in Fig. 9) is excluded, the diurnal cycle is clearly dominated by upward particle fluxes (Fig. 10b). These appear after sunrise at 06:00 LT and increase in magnitude throughout most of the day to reach a maximum also here around 15:00 LT. The fact that the largest upward fluxes appear in the afternoon means that the sign of the flux cannot be a result of entrainment. At 15:00 LT, the





likely a result of primary biogenic aerosol emission.

At the same time as the maximum upward flux appears, the particle concentration is at its maximum (Fig. 10b). Throughout the later part of the afternoon and early evening, the net emission flux decreases in parallel with decreasing particle concentrations.

- <sup>5</sup> Thus, an interesting question is whether the net emission number flux can explain the increase in aerosol number concentration during the day and the peak in concentration at 15:00 LT. By using values of the median net emission flux in Fig. 10b, it is possible to make a rough estimation of the expected increase in mixed layer particle concentration resulting from the emission flux. A source of ~4000 particles m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> would need
- <sup>10</sup> roughly seven hours to increase the particle number concentration by  $\sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  in an assumed 1000 m thick mixed layer. Here it has been assumed that the emitted particles are evenly distributed in this 1000 m deep layer. In reality, a particle source at the surface will build up a particle gradient that is largest close to the source but decrease with height within the surface layer. This means that the emission flux will have a
- <sup>15</sup> somewhat higher influence on the particle concentration at the top of K34 than higher up in the mixed layer. However, even when considering this, the increase in particle number concentration with ~ $0.5 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$  between 08:00 and 15:00 LT seems too high to be explained only by the observed emission flux. Hence, even though the emission fluxes contribute to the median number concentration increase during the day, it seems
- that some additional source mechanisms are necessary to explain the diurnal cycle of the particle number concentration within the 0.5–2.5 µm diameter range. One of these additional mechanisms could be in-cloud processing by Aitken or smaller accumulation mode particles (Zhou et al., 2002).

Figure 10c shows a comparison between the median diurnal cycle of the vertical flux of particles with  $D_p = 0.5 - 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  (from Fig. 10b) and the corresponding diurnal cycle for particles with  $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$ . In both these median diurnal cycles, the anthropogenic sector has been excluded. To exclude the anthropogenic sector does obviously not change the sign of the flux of 0.25–0.45  $\mu$ m particles. At night time, fluxes of particles within both diameter intervals are low. However, when turbulence increases



in the morning, upward fluxes of the 0.5–2.5  $\mu m$  population and downward fluxes of the 0.25–0.45  $\mu m$  population appear. The deposition flux of the 0.25–0.45  $\mu m$  population is driven by turbulence, and a parameterization of the deposition velocity for different particle diameters within the OPC size range will be provided in an upcoming paper. In the next section we instead will look a bit closer at the emission flux of the 0.5–2.5  $\mu m$ 

 $_{\rm 5}$  the next section we instead will look a bit closer at the emission flux of the 0.5–2.5  $\mu m$  particles.

# 3.5 Source mechanism of primary biogenic particles with diameter 0.5–2.5 $\mu m$

The median diurnal cycles of the horizontal wind speed and the friction velocity in the wet season (when the anthropogenic wind sector is excluded) can be seen in Fig. 11.

When comparing the diurnal cycles of these two parameters with the corresponding diurnal cycle of the flux of the 0.5–2.5 μm particles (Fig. 10b–c), it appears as the emission flux is more related to wind speed than friction velocity. Actually, both peaks in emission particle flux (at 11:00 and 15:00 LT) appear at exactly the same time as the peaks in wind speed, indicating that wind speed could be a key parameter for emission fluxes of 0.5–2.5 μm particles. The net emission flux of 0.5–2.5 μm particles increases with increasing wind speed in Fig. 12a. Linear regression of the logarithm of the emission flux versus horizontal wind speed yielded the following equaton:

 $\log_{10} F = 0.47 \cdot U + 2.26$ 

20

where *F* is the net emission flux of 0.5–2.5  $\mu$ m particles [m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>] and *U* is the horizontal wind speed [ms<sup>-1</sup>] at the top of K34. *R*<sup>2</sup> between Eq. (6) and the binned data (Fig. 12) is 0.98.

From this study it cannot be stated whether the wind is only a transport mechanism out of the canopy layer, or if the wind (and the turbulence it creates) has a direct impact on the actual emission from the specific source. Rainfall is one of the other potential triggering mechanisms of aerosol emission. Many fungal spore types have been

tial triggering mechanisms of aerosol emission. Many fungal spore types have been observed to increase in concentration during and after rainfall (Elbert at al., 2007). Even though particle fluxes measured during rainfall have been excluded in the data



(6)



presented in this study, rainfall could still have an impact on the data because of differences in wetness of the surface one half hour before and after the rainfall. One argument for that rainfall may be important for the observed emission fluxes in this study is that wet season rainfall on average was at maximum at 15:00 LT (Ahlm et al.,

- 5 2009) just like the emission flux. However, the period between 06:00 and 12:00 was the period with least occurrence of rainfall. This period included the first maximum in emission flux at 11:00 LT, and in this period the wind speed increases rather simultaneously with the increase in emission flux. This is an argument against rainfall being the main triggering mechanism for the observed daytime emission of 0.5–2.5 µm par-
- ticles. Actually, when including particle fluxes measured during rainfall and comparing the particle flux before and after rainfall, it turns out that the emission fluxes on average are slightly larger before rainfall than after. This is a second argument against rainfall being the driving mechanism of the observed primary aerosol emission in this study.

Another potential mechanism for generating particle emission is transpiration from
plants. Several biogenic related elements (e.g. K, P, S, Zn) in plants are present in the fluids circulating in the plants and can be released from the plant during transpiration (Nemeruyk, 1970). However, the latent heat flux is at maximum at 12:00 LT and was much lower at 15:00 LT (Ahlm et al., 2009) when the emission flux is at maximum. Therefore it is not likely that the observed emission is related to transpiration. Neither
solar radiation seems to directly generate the emission, since also the photosynthetic radiation is at maximum at noon, or even an hour before as a result of increasing cloudiness in the afternoon.

Interestingly, Gabey et al. (2010) observed that the aerosol number concentration of particles in the size range  $0.8 < D_p < 20 \,\mu\text{m}$  below the canopy at a rain forest site in

<sup>25</sup> Borneo, Malaysia, on average peaked at 15:00 LT. The concentration above the canopy, however, did not show the same behavior. The peak in concentration below the canopy in that study was thought to be a result of fungal spore release triggered by raised relative humidity during the afternoon. It cannot be excluded that a similar source mechanism contributes also to the observed emission of 0.5–2.5 µm particles in this



study in the Amazon rain forest. The relative humidity was during the wet season observed to be at minimum around noon followed by increasing relative humidity in the afternoon (Ahlm et al., 2010) when the emission flux is at maximum. However, since emission fluxes of  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu$ m particles are observed also before noon (Fig. 10b), when the relative humidity decreases (Ahlm et al., 2010), increasing relative humidity is at least not the only source mechanism present in this study.

Thus, the observed emission fluxes of particles in the diameter range 0.5–2.5 µm indicate a source of biogenic particles in the rain forest, but more specific source mechanisms cannot be defined from this study. However, the fact that the emission flux is correlated with horizontal wind speed makes it possible to describe the emission in models. Even though number concentrations in this diameter range are low, typically 1 particle cm<sup>-3</sup>, these particles could potentially play an important role as giant nuclei in warm clouds. Primary biogenic aerosol emission has also been observed to be an important source of ice nuclei in the Amazon basin (Prenni et al., 2009).

#### 15 4 Summary and conclusions

5

20

Size resolved aerosol number fluxes within the particle size range  $0.25-2.5 \,\mu m$  diameter were measured with the eddy covariance method from the top of a 53 m high tower over the Amazon rain forest in the Cuieiras Reserve, Brazil. The measurements included a longer period in the relatively clean wet season and a shorter period in the more polluted dry season.

The median aerosol number concentration within the OPC size range (0.25–2.5 μm diameter) in this study was 33 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the wet season and 122 cm<sup>-3</sup> in the dry season, which represents roughly 5% and 8% of the total number of particles in the wet and dry season, respectively. Aerosol number concentration within the two largest size bins, representing particle diameters between 1.6 and 2.5 μm, were at maximum at night in the wet season. This night time maximum was likely as a result of primary biogenic aerosol emission and may be related to fungal spores as has been observed



in previous studies in the Amazon basin.

The aerosol number flux of the total OPC size range on average pointed downward in both the wet season and dry season. The deposition flux, however, was considerably larger in the dry season, probably to a large extent due to the much higher number of particles in the dry season.

The investigation of the size resolved fluxes within the OPC size range showed that the sign of the vertical particle flux may differ for different particle sizes. For the five lowest OPC channels, representing particle diameters of  $0.25-0.45 \,\mu$ m, downward fluxes dominated in more or less all wind sectors. This is an indication that the source of primary biogenic aerosol particles may be low in this particle size interval. To be able to investigate the vertical flux also of the larger particles within the OPC size range, nine size bins were summed up to obtain a higher number of counts. In the resulting particle diameter interval,  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu$ m, the vertical number flux depended highly on wind direc-

tion. In wind sectors with higher anthropogenic influence, deposition fluxes dominated.
 In the cleaner wind sectors, however, emission fluxes dominated. The net emission fluxes within the clean sectors are likely explained by primary biogenic aerosol emission. The net deposition fluxes in wind sectors associated with higher anthropogenic influence are probably due to deposition of anthropogenic particles, masking the biogenic aerosol emission.

The net emission number flux of the  $0.5-2.5\,\mu$ m particles peaked at  $15:00\,LT$  in the afternoon and seemed to be best correlated with horizontal wind speed through the equation

 $\log_{10} F = 0.47 \cdot U + 2.26$ 

10

where *F* is the emission number flux of  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  particles  $[\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$  and *U* is the horizontal wind speed  $[\text{ms}^{-1}]$  at the top of K34.

Discussion Paper ACPD 10, 14013-14052, 2010 **Emission of** accumulation and coarse mode **Discussion** Paper particles L. Ahlm et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the National Institute for Research in the Amazon (INPA) and the LBA infrastructure team for all help and support during this campaign. Paulo Artaxo acknowledges financial support from the CNPq/MCT Millennium Institute Program and FAPESP. We thank Paulo Henrique Oliveira (in memoriam) for support during the whole sampling campaign.

5 pling campaign.

Swedish participation was provided by Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida/SAREC), the Swedish Research Council (VR) and from the 50th birthday of King Carl XVI Gustav Foundation for Science Technology and Environment. We also acknowledge Leif Bäcklin and Kai Rosman for technical assistance, and FORMAS and the Bert Bolin centre for Climate Research for financial support.

References

30

- Ahlm, L., Nilsson, E. D., Krejci, R., Mrtensson, E. M., Vogt, M., and Artaxo, P.: Aerosol number fluxes over the Amazon rain forest during the wet season, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9381– 9400, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9381-2009, 2009.
- <sup>15</sup> Ahlm, L., Nilsson, E. D., Krejci, R., Mrtensson, E. M., Vogt, M., and Artaxo, P.: A comparison of dry and wet season aerosol number fluxes over the Amazon rain forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3063–3079, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3063-2010, 2010.

Andreae, M. O. and Crutzen, P.J.: Atmospheric aerosols: Biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry, Science, 276, 1052–1058, 1997.

Andreae, M. O.: Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 543–556, doi:10.5194/acp-9-543-2009, 2009.

Araújo, A. C., Kruijt, B., Nobre, A. D., Dolman, A. J., Maarten, J. W., Moors, E.J., and Souza, J. S.: Nocturnal accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> underneath a tropical forest canopy along a topographical

gradient, Ecological Applications, 18(5), 1406–1419, 2008.

Artaxo, P. and Maenhaut, W.: Trace element concentrations and size distribution of biogenic aerosols from the Amazon basin during the wet season, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, B49, 366–371, 1990.

Artaxo, P. and Hansson H.C., Size distribution of biogenic aerosol-particles from the Amazon Basin, Atmos. Environ., 29, 393–402, 1995.





- Artaxo, P., Martins, J. V., Yamasoe, M. A., Procópio, A. S., Pauliquevis, T. M., Andreae, M. O., Guyon, P., Gatti, L. V., and Leal, A. M. C.: Physical and chemical properties of aerosols in the wet and dry season in Rondônia, Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D20), 8081, doi:10.1029/2001JD000666, 2002.
- <sup>5</sup> Chen, Q., Farmer, D. K., Schneider, J., Zorn, S. R., Heald, C. L., Karl, T. G., Guenther, A., Allan, J. D., Robinson, N., Coe, H., Kimmel, J. R., Pauliquevis, T., Borrmann, S., Pöschl, U., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Martin, S. T.: Mass spectral characterization of submicron biogenic organic particles in the Amazon basin, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L20806, doi:10.1029/2009GL039880, 2009.
- <sup>10</sup> Crane, R. I. and Evans, R. L.: Motion of particles in bends of circular pipes, J. Aerosol. Sci., 8, 161–170, 1977.
  - Elbert, W., Taylor, P. E., Andreae, M. O., and Pschl, U.: Contribution of fungi to primary biogenic aerosols in the atmosphere: wet and dry discharged spores, carbohydrates, and inorganic ions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4569–4588, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4569-2007, 2007.
- <sup>15</sup> Fairall, C. W.: Interpretation of eddy-correlation measurements of particulate deposition and aerosol flux, Atmos. Environ., 18, 1329–1337, 1984.
  - Fisch, G., Tota, J., Machado, L. A. T., Dias, M., Lyra, R. F. D., Nobre, C. A., Dolman, A. J. and Gash, J. H. C.: The convective boundary layer over pasture and forest in Amazonia, Theo. Appl. Clim., 78, 47–59, 2004.
- <sup>20</sup> Foken, T. and Wichura, B.: Tools for quality assessment of surface-based flux measurements, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 78, 83–105, 1996.
  - Fuzzi, S., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Emblico, L., Mircea, M., Andreae, M. O., Trebs, I., Hoffer, A., Guyon, P., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L. V., Lara, L. L., Pauliquevis, T., Maenhaut, W., Raes, N., Chi, X. G., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Soto-Garcia, L. L., Claeys, M., Kourtchev,
- I., Rissler, J., Swietlicki, E., Tagliavini, E., Schkolnik, G., Falkovich, A. H., Rudich, Y., Fisch, G., and Gatti, L. V.: Overview of the inorganic and organic composition of size segregated aerosol in Rondônia, Brazil, from the biomass-burning period to the onset of the wet season, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D01201, doi:10.1029/2005JD006741, 2007.

Gabey, A. M., Gallagher, M. W., Whitehead, J., Dorsey, J. R., Kaye, P. H., and Stanley, W.

R.: Measurements and comparison of primary biological aerosol above and below a tropical forest canopy using a dual channel fluorescence spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4453–4466, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4453-2010, 2010.

Garstang, M., Ulanski, S., Greco, S., Scala, J., Swap, R., Fitzjarrald, D., Browell, E., Shipman,





M., Connors, V., Harriss, R. and Talbot, R.: The Amazon Boundary-Layer Experiment (ABLE 2B): a meteorological perspective, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 71, 19–31, 1990.

- Goulden, M. L., Miller, S. D., and da Rocha, H. R.: Nocturnal cold air drainage and pooling in a tropical rain forest, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D08S04, doi:10.1029/2005JD006037, 2006.
- <sup>5</sup> Graham, B., Guyon, P., Maenhaut, W., Taylor, P. E., Ebert, M., Matthias-Maser, S., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Godoi, R., Artaxo, P., Meixner, F. X., Moura, M. A., Rocha, C. H., Grieken, R. V., Glovsky, M., Flagan, R. and Andreae, M. O.: Composition and diurnal variability of the natural Amazonian aerosol., J. Geophys. Res., 108(D24), 4765, doi:10.1029/2003JD004049, 2003a.
- <sup>10</sup> Graham, B., Guyon, P., Taylor, P. E., Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Glovsky, M. M., Flagan, R. C., and Andreae, M. O.: Organic compounds present in the natural Amazonian aerosol: Characterization by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4766, doi:10.1029/2003JD003990, 2003b.

Guyon, P., Graham, B., Beck, J., Boucher, O., Gerasopoulos, E., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Roberts,

- G. C., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Physical properties and concentration of aerosol particles over the Amazon tropical forest during background and biomass burning conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 951–967, doi:10.5194/acp-3-951-2003, 2003a.
  - Guyon, P., Graham, B., Roberts, G. C., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Maenhaut, W., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: In-canopy gradients, composition, sources, and optical properties of aerosol
- over the Amazon forest, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D18), 4591, doi:10.1029/2003JD003465, 2003b.
  - Hinds, W. C.: Aerosol Technology. Properties, Behavior, and Measurement of Airborne Particles, 2nd edition, John Wiley & sons, inc., 1999.
  - Horst, T. W.: A simple formula for attenuation of eddy fluxes measured with first-order response calculations, Boundary Layer Meterorol., 82, 219–233, 1997.

25

Järvi, L., Rannik, Ü., Mammarella, I., Sogachev, A., Aalto, P. P., Keronen, P., Siivola, E., Kulmala, M., and Vesala, T.: Annual particle flux observations over a heterogeneous urban area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7847–7856, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7847-2009, 2009.

Karl, T., Guenther, A., Yokelson, R. J., Greenberg, J., Potosnak, M., Blake, D. R., and Artaxo,

P.: The tropical forest and fire emissions experiment: Emission, chemistry, and transport of biogenic volatile organic compounds in the lower atmosphere over Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D18302, doi:10.1029/2007JD008539, 2007.

Kruijt, B., Malhi, Y., Lloyd, J., Nobre, A. D., Miranda, A. C., Pereira, M. G. P., Culf, A., and Grace,





J.: Turbulence above and within two Amazon rainforest canopies, Bounda. Lay. Meteorol., 94, 297–311, 2000.

- Kuhn, U., Andreae, M. O., Ammann, C., Arajo, A. C., Brancaleoni, E., Ciccioli, P., Dindorf, T., Frattoni, M., Gatti, L. V., Ganzeveld, L., Kruijt, B., Lelieveld, J., Lloyd, J., Meixner, F. X.,
- Nobre, A. D., Pschl, U., Spirig, C., Stefani, P., Thielmann, A., Valentini, R., and Kesselmeier, J.: Isoprene and monoterpene fluxes from Central Amazonian rainforest inferred from towerbased and airborne measurements, and implications on the atmospheric chemistry and the local carbon budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2855–2879, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2855-2007, 2007.
- <sup>10</sup> Lenshow, D. H. and Raupach, M. R.: The attenuation of fluctuations in scalar concentrations through sampling tubes, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 5259–5268, 1991.

Nemeruyk, G. E.: Migration of salts into the atmosphere during transpiration, Soviet Plant Physiol. 17, 560–566, 1970.

Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Heald, C. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Garland,

- R. M., Wollny, A. G., and Pöschl, U.: Relative roles of biogenic emissions and Saharan dust as ice nuclei in the Amazon basin. Nature Geoscience, 2, 402–405, doi:10.1038/ngeo517, 2009.
  - Rannik, Ü., Vesala, T. and Keskinen, R.: On the damping of temperature fluctuations in a circular tube relevant to eddy covariance measurement technique, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D11), 12789–12794, 1997.

20

30

Reid, J. S., Koppmann, R., Eck, T. F., and Eleuterio, D. P.: A review of biomass burning emissions part II: intensive physical properties of biomass burning particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 799–825, doi:10.5194/acp-5-799-2005, 2005.

Rissler, J., Vestin, A., Swietlicki, E., Fisch, G., Zhou, J., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Size

distribution and hygroscopic properties of aerosol particles from dry-season biomass burning in Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 471–491, doi:10.5194/acp-6-471-2006, 2006.

- Tóta, J., Fitzjarrald, D. R., Staebler, R. M., Sakai, R. K., Moraes, O. M. M., Acevedo, O. C., Wofsy, S. C., and Manzi, A. O.: Amazon rain forest subcanopy flow and the carbon budget: Santarém LBA-ECO site, J. Geophys. Res., 113, G00B02, doi:10.1029/2007JG000597, 2008.
- Zhou, J. C., Swietlicki, E., Hansson, H. C., and Artaxo, P.: Submicrometer aerosol particle size distribution and hygroscopic growth measured in the Amazon rain forest during the wet season, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D20), 8055, doi:10.1029/2000JD000203, 2002.





Zimmerman, P. R., Greenberg, J. P., and Westberg, C. E.: Measurements of atmospheric hydrocarbons and biogenic emission fluxes in the Amazon boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 1407–1416, 1988.





| Table 1. Median aerosol number concentration | n for each of the | 15 OPC size | bins in the wet and |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| dry season.                                  |                   |             |                     |

| Channel | Size bin<br>(μm) | Median wet season concentration (cm <sup>-3</sup> ) | Median dry season concentration (cm <sup>-3</sup> ) |
|---------|------------------|---|---|
| 1       | 0.25–0.28        | 13.8  | 52.5  |
| 2       | 0.28–0.30        | 8.7   | 33.4  |
| 3       | 0.30–0.35        | 5.1   | 19.4  |
| 4       | 0.35–0.40        | 2.3   | 8.6   |
| 5       | 0.40–0.45        | 0.93  | 3.2   |
| 6       | 0.45–0.50        | 0.32  | 0.97  |
| 7       | 0.50–0.58        | 0.30  | 0.86  |
| 8       | 0.58–0.65        | 0.21  | 0.68  |
| 9       | 0.65–0.70        | 0.10  | 0.33  |
| 10      | 0.70–0.80        | 0.11  | 0.35  |
| 11      | 0.8–1.0          | 0.07  | 0.21  |
| 12      | 1.0–1.3          | 0.07  | 0.20  |
| 13      | 1.3–1.6          | 0.04  | 0.12  |
| 14      | 1.6–2.0          | 0.06  | 0.15  |
| 15      | 2.0–2.5          | 0.05  | 0.09  |

| Discussion Pa    | <b>AC</b><br>10, 14013–1  | <b>PD</b><br>4052, 2010  |  |  |
|------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| per   Discussion | Emission of<br>accumulation and<br>coarse mode<br>particles<br>L. Ahlm et al. |                          |  |  |
| Paper            | Title Page  |                          |  |  |
| —                | Abstract  | Introduction             |  |  |
| Disci            | Conclusions   | References               |  |  |
| ussion           | Tables  | Figures                  |  |  |
| Pape             |   | ►I                       |  |  |
| er _             | •   | •                        |  |  |
|                  | Back  | Close                    |  |  |
| Discussi         | Full Screen / Esc   |                          |  |  |
| on P             | Printer-frien   | Printer-friendly Version |  |  |
| aper             | Interactive Discussion  |                          |  |  |
| —                |   | BY                       |  |  |



Fig. 1. Overview map of the measurement site in the Reserva Biológica do Cuieiras. The black arrows show the most common wind direction during March to May - wet season. The map over northern South America to the left is taken from Google Earth.



**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion









**Fig. 3.** Median size distribution in the wet season (blue) and in the dry season (red) of (a) numbers of particles with natural y-axis, (b) logarithmic y-axis, (c) particle volume, and (d) vertical flux of the first five OPC channels when data collected when the friction velocity is below  $0.1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . The vertical bars are 25 and 75 percentiles.







**Fig. 4.** Median diurnal cycles of aerosol number concentration **(a)**, aerosol number flux **(b)**, and transfer velocity **(c)** within the total OPC size range. Blue curves represent wet season data and red curves represent dry season data. Dashed lines are 25 and 75 percentiles.







**Fig. 5.** Median diurnal cycles of the particle concentration within all the 15 OPC size bins in 30 min time resolution. In each size bin, median values of the concentration for all time steps has been normalized with the maximum median concentration that occurred during the day within each size bin. **(a)** represents wet season and **(b)** represents dry season. Every half hour represents a median of at least 41 half hours in the wet season and at least 11 half hours in the dry season.











**Fig. 7. (a)** Median wet season aerosol number flux of particles with  $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$  within constant wind sector intervals with error bars representing 25 and 75 percentiles. **(b)** Median transfer velocities of particles with  $D_p = 0.25 - 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$ . **(c)** Median wet season size resolved number fluxes within constant wind sector intervals. All flux medians have been normalized with the absolute value of the minimum median flux (largest median downward flux) within the certain size bin. Particle fluxes obtained when the friction velocity is lower than 0.1 ms<sup>-1</sup> have been excluded. Every bin represents a median of at least 15 half hours.



Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

Close

Back

**Discussion** Paper











**Fig. 9.** Median values of vertical flux of particles with  $D_{\rho} = 0.5 - 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  within constant wind sector intervals. The error bars represent 25 and 75 percentiles. The black curve represents median BC<sub>e</sub> concentrations within the same wind sectors. Every bar is a median over at least 16 half hours. Particle fluxes obtained when the friction velocity is lower than 0.1 ms<sup>-1</sup> have been excluded.







**Fig. 10. (a)** Wet season median diurnal cycles of (a) particle concentration (blue) and flux (red) of  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu$ m particles with all wind sectors included, **(b)** particle concentration (blue) and flux (red) of  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu$ m particles with the wind sector 60–200 degrees excluded, and **(c)** flux of  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu$ m particles (solid line) and  $0.25-0.45 \,\mu$ m particles (dashed line). Every median is taken over a one hour time interval and represent at least 62 values in (a) and at least 14 values in (b and c).







**Fig. 11.** Median diurnal cycles of horizontal wind speed (solid line) and friction velocity (dashed line). Data collected when wind directions between 60 and 200 degrees prevail have been excluded.



**Discussion** Paper



**Fig. 12. (a)** Wet season median vertical flux of  $0.5-2.5 \,\mu$ m particles within constant wind speed intervals. The wind sector 60–200 degrees have been excluded. **(b)** Stars represent the median values from (a) and the curve is a log-linear fit to the median values. Every bar represents a median over at least 16 half hours.



