Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 13969–14011, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/13969/2010/ doi:10.5194/acpd-10-13969-2010 © Author(s) 2010. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Analysis of the chemical composition of organic aerosol at the Mt. Sonnblick observatory using a novel high mass resolution thermal-desorption proton-transfer-reaction mass-spectrometer (hr-TD-PTR-MS)

R. Holzinger¹, A. Kasper-Giebl², M. Staudinger³, G. Schauer³, and T. Röckmann¹

¹Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC, Utrecht, The Netherlands


²Vienna Univ. Technol, Inst Chem Technol, Vienna, Austria

³Reg Stelle Salzburg, Zent Anstalt Meteorol & Geodynam, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

Received: 29 April 2010 – Accepted: 25 May 2010 – Published: 7 June 2010

Correspondence to: R. Holzinger (r.holzinger@uu.nl)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.




Abstract

For the first time a high mass resolution thermal desorption proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer (hr-TD-PTR-MS) was deployed in the field to analyze the composition of the organic fraction of aerosols. We report on measurements from the remote

- Mt. Sonnblick observatory in the Austrian alps (3108 m a.s.l.) during a 7 week period in summer 2009. A total of 638 mass peaks in the range 18–392 Da were detected and quantified in aerosols. An empirical formula was tentatively attributed to 464 of these compounds by custom-made data analysis routines which consider compounds containing C, H, O, N, and S atoms. Most of the other (unidentified) compounds must
- ¹⁰ contain other elements most likely halogenated compounds. The mean total concentration of all detected compounds was 1.1 μ g m⁻³. Oxygenated hydrocarbons constitute the bulk of the aerosol mass (75%) followed by organic nitrogen compounds (9%), inorganic compounds (mostly NH₃, 8%), unidentified/halogenated (3.8%), hydrocarbons (2.7%), and organic sulfur compounds (0.8%). The measured O/C ratios are
- ¹⁵ lower than expected and suggest a significant effect from charring. A significant part of the organic nitrogen compounds is non volatile. Organic carbon concentrations measured with TD-PTR-MS were about 25% lower than measurements on high volume filter samples.

1 Introduction

Health issues and climate effects are the two fundamental reasons why carbonaceous aerosol pollution is of concern. Many organic species in aerosols are carcinogenic and/or the cause of respiratory illnesses (e.g. Bascom et al., 1996; Pöschl et al., 2005; Mauderly and Chow, 2008; Hallquist et al., 2009). Besides direct reflection of solar radiation, aerosol pollution strongly alters cloud properties and the processes leading
 to cloud formation (Charlson et al., 1992; Andreae and Crutzen, 1997). While sulfate aerosol and most of the organic aerosol (OA) is considered purely reflecting, black




carbon is a strong absorber of shortwave radiation and can alter the energy balance on micro scales (Jacobson, 2001; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). Under conditions of clouds formation, larger numbers of fine aerosol result in smaller cloud droplets and such altered clouds are less likely to precipitate which constitutes a major feedback

to the hydrological cycle (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Ramanathan et al., 2001; Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Of all these effects we have a sound qualitative understanding, however, for properly assessing the associate climate effects, better understanding of the sources of organic aerosols and their physicochemical processing is needed.

The source strength of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) has been estimated by scaling up aerosol yields from photo-oxidation of aerosol precursors. Such bottom up approaches yield SOA aerosol budgets of order 70 TgC per year (Kanakidou et al., 2005). This is in discrepancy to SOA budgets of 150–900 TgC per year that are obtained from top down approaches (Hallquist et al., 2009; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007). The lower SOA budgets are also in contrast to field measurements where the organic

- ¹⁵ aerosol fraction typically exceeds the sulfate fraction at sites that are not in direct vicinity of large sulfate sources (Jimenez et al., 2009). New conceptual frameworks that consider a close relationship between gas phase chemistry of semivolatile organic compounds and their partitioning into the aerosol phase have improved our understanding in the recent years (e.g. Donahue et al., 2006; Robinson et al., 2007; Jimenez
- et al., 2009). Many critical areas have been identified where better process-based understanding is still required. Important examples are better chemical speciation of OA, the physics and chemistry of particle nucleation, and aerosol cloud interactions (Hallquist et al., 2009).

Recently we presented a new analytical approach that combines the strengths of ²⁵ conventional PTR-MS technique with impactor particle sampling (Holzinger et al., 2010). The new technique has been shown to detect about ~80% of the produced aerosol mass that has been produced in smog chamber experiments from photooxidation of isoprenoids. The sensitivity of the instrument has been further improved and it now also features the high mass resolution capabilities of a time of flight mass



spectrometer. Here we present first results that have been obtained during a 7-week campaign of measurements at the Mt. Sonnblick observatory in the Austrian Alps. Computing the large amounts of experimental raw data is a practical challenge that needs to be met when evaluating data from the new instrument. Therefore a separate
 ⁵ section was dedicated to the explanation of the most important routines that were used to process the data.

2 Experimental

25


2.1 Site and Meteorological situation

The measurements took place at the Mt. Sonnblick observatory (12.95° E, 47.05° N, 3105 m a.s.l.) in the Austrian Alps in the period 10 July to 31 August 2009. Measurements at the Sonnblick observatory include meteorological parameters such as air temperature, humidity (relative humidity and dew point), wind speed and direction, precipitation (at the site and in the surrounding), shortwave radiation, ground temperature and permafrost in the vicinity of the summit.

¹⁵ The July measurement period was characterized by changing weather patterns with anticyclonic conditions with strong convection from lower levels from 10 to 16 July and a cold front passage from the west at the 18 July, which brought snow fall down to 1000 m in the vicinity of the observatory, a strong vertical mixing and an efficient washout for all aerosols. The general circulation changed to southwest in the follow-

²⁰ ing days and anticyclonic, warmer than average conditions with occasional showers prevailed till the end of the month.

In August 2009 temperatures remained above average (2.4 °C), with a higher number than usual clear weather days and significantly more than average (131%) sunshine hours. Dispersion conditions therefore were characterized by frequent nocturnal inversions between the adjacent valley and the observatory and strong convection during daytime with the advection of air masses from lower altitudes. The second




characteristic element was the absence of longer dry periods which would usually allow the accumulation of aerosols over larger air masses. The longest precipitation free periods were 5–7, 18–20, and 23–25 August.

Typical origins of pollution at the Sonnblick site are the industrial areas in Southern and Middle Germany, most parts of North-Eastern Europe and the northern parts of Italy around the Milan agglomeration. Local sources of pollution reach the Sonnblick site during convective mixing phases especially at daytime when the mixing height surpasses the observatory altitude.

2.2 Instrument description


- ¹⁰ The general setup of the instrument used in this study is similar to the one described by Holzinger et al. (2010). Briefly, humidified particles are collected on a Collection-Thermal-Desorption (CTD) cell from which aerosol compounds are thermally released by ramping the temperature up to 350 °C in steps of 50 °C. Proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) is used to detect organic and some inorganic aerosol species. Evaporating aerosol compounds are transported through heated transfer lines from the CTD cell to the PTR-MS detector using pure nitrogen as carrier gas. For a detailed description of the aerosol sampling system we refer to Williams et al. (2006), and for a general description of the TD-PTR-MS technique we refer to Holzinger et al.
- (2010). A schematic drawing of the instrument is given in Fig. 1. In the following we describe the key differences to the setup from Holzinger et al. (2010): most importantly, as detector of organic vapors we used the novel PTR-TOF-MS instrument (Jordan et al., 2009; Graus et al., 2010). The excellent mass resolution capability of this instrument ($m/\Delta m_{FWHM} \sim 6000$, Δm_{FWHM} is the full width at half maximum of the peak) allows identifying the signals by their empirical formula rather than by integer molecular weight
- numbers. This enables us to distinguish many different compounds that have the same nominal integer masses by the small mass defects of the order of mDa. Furthermore, the instrument is equipped with a second aerosol inlet system which has been used to increase the time resolution of the in-situ aerosol measurements. Possible alternative



applications of the second inlet include (i) the analysis of different size fractions (by using different pre-cutoffs in front of the inlet), (ii) measurement of ecosystem fluxes of aerosol compounds by disjunct eddy covariance, and (iii) analysis of the influence of other physicochemical pre-treatment on the composition of ambient organic aerosol

- thermodenuder, cloud processing, etc.). The third significant improvement is the capability to measure reliable field blanks for both aerosol and gas phase measurements. Gas phase field blanks were measured by providing excess zero air (i.e. ambient outside air cleaned using a Platinum catalyst operated at 350 °C) at the entrance of the gas phase inlet. Aerosol field blanks were measured by passing airstream through a Teflon
- ¹⁰ membrane filter (Zefluor 2.0 µm, Pall Corp.) during aerosol collection. The effective removal of particles was confirmed by test measurements with a condensation particle counter (TSI, WCPC Model 3785). This setup allowed a reliable determination of the instrumental background including the contamination of the CTD cell by semivolatile gas phase compounds. While particles are removed by the Teflon filter, gas phase
- compounds should not be affected and thus any contamination from semivolatile gas phase compounds on the aerosol measurement can be corrected for. Last but not least the performance of the instrument has been improved by increasing the aerosol collection flow from 0.9 to 9 liter per minute which results in increased sensitivity of a factor of 10.
- A potential issue is the lower operating temperatures of the drift tube (120 °C) and the transfer line from the sampling unit to the PTR-MS (180 °C). At these points vaporized aerosol compounds may re-condense with the effect that a fraction of aerosol compounds are not detected and background contamination is built up in the long term. However, both the regions are operated at low pressures of a few hPa which reduces the problem.

There are two basic operation modes of the aerosol inlets: the "load-mode" and the "analysis-mode". In the "load-mode" valves 3, 4, and 5 are open; a flow of 9 liter per minute passes the CTD cell (see Fig. 1), and aerosols are collected on a small spot in the cell. An aerosol blank can be prepared by passing the air stream through the filter



(valve 5 closed and valve 6 open). While one CTD cell is loaded the PTR-MS can either measure the gas phase or analyze the other CTD cell. In the "analysis-mode" valves 3 and 4 are closed and the CTD cell is directly connected to the PTR-MS via valves 1 and 2. Following a predefined temperature program the CTD cell is heated to the

final temperature. The small flow of N₂ carrier gas (~0.01 standard liters per minute, SLM) transports the vaporized aerosol compounds to the PTR-MS and maintains the pressure in the PTR-MS drift tube.

The PTR-TOF-MS was typically operated at a pressure of 2.6 hPa in the drift tube and an E/N value 100 Td. The calculation of aerosol concentrations and gas phase volume mixing ratios (VMR) has been described in Holzinger et al. (2010).

2.2.1 Field configuration of the instrument


10

At the Mt. Sonnblick observatory, the TD-PTR-MS system was located inside the building and the aerosols were sampled from the roof of the building via a 10 m long copper line (ID=6.5 mm). Coarse particles were removed by a $PM_{2.5}$ cyclone (10 Lpm, URG-

- ¹⁵ 2000-30EN, URG Corporation, Chapel Hill, USA). The gas phase inlet was located next to the aerosol inlet and consisted of a 10 m PEEK tubing (ID=1 mm). Lightning protection is a serious issue at this site and therefore it was not possible to heat the lines outside the protected building, furthermore the aerosol lines passes a short distance of 10 cm through a Teflon line (PFA, ID=6.5 cm) in order to electrically separate parts
- ²⁰ inside and outside the building. During the campaign several blackouts were caused by lightning. The essential parts of the system were protected with an uninterruptible power supply (UPS).

Figure 2 shows 24 h of PTR-MS-data for 3 compounds and also illustrates the sampling scheme that was used for the largest part of the campaign (starting from day

202, i.e. 22 July 2009): (i) 30 min measurement of gas phase background, (ii) 45 min measurement of gasphase, (iii) 30 min measurement of gas phase background, (iv) 45 min measurement of gasphase, (v) 43 min analysis inlet A, (vi) 4 min measurement of gas phase background, and (vii) 43 min analysis inlet B. Prior to analysis, aerosols



have been sampled for 150 and 165 min for inlet A and B, respectively. The actual flow through the CTD cells was measured with a mass flow meter (1479A series, MKS instruments) to accurately calculate the sampled air volume. The aerosol background and/or interference from gas phase compounds was followed closely by usually mea-


- ⁵ suring a field blank every second aerosol run. For aerosol analysis the CTD cell was stepwise heated to temperature levels of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 350 °C. Each temperature level was completed in 6 min (which roughly implies 2 min for ramping and a dwell time of 4 min) and a peak of aerosol compounds is associated with every temperature level (see insert in Fig. 2).
- During the whole campaign the instrument measured mass scans at a rate of 35 µs per scan corresponding to a mass range of 10–430 Da; data were saved at 5 s time resolution. The data analysis routines will be discussed in a separate section below. In the first days of the campaign (before 22 July 2009) a slightly different cycling was applied. The most important difference was that aerosol field blanks were measured not every second but every fourth aerosol run.

For the analysis presented in the "results and discussion" section we only use data from the aerosol inlet B. Prior to the field campaign at the Mt. Sonnblick observatory aerosol inlet A was intensively used during a test campaign and it turned out that it was not sufficiently cleaned before the Mt. Sonnblick measurements. As a result the field blanks for this inlet are high on many peaks. After blank correction, the results from

²⁰ blanks for this inlet are high on many peaks. After blank correction, the results from inlets A and B are in reasonable agreement but because of the known issues we focus on the analysis on data from aerosol inlet B which was clean and used for the first time during this campaign. Meanwhile we established a thorough cleaning protocol for the aerosol inlets.

25 2.3 Other measurements

Filter sampling was performed with a Digitel High Volume Sampler on quartz fiber filters (Pallflex, 150 mm). Sampling intervals were 166 h (close to 7 days) with sample change at approximately 08:30 local time (LT) in the morning of the first day of the sampling



interval. For the determination of the Total Carbon (TC) aliquots of the filters were combusted in a vertical furnace at 1000 °C in an oxygen stream with subsequent detection of the evolving carbon dioxide with a NDIR monitor (Maihak SIFOR 200). Analysis of Elemental Carbon (EC) is based on a method described by Cachier et al. (1989).


Aliquots of the filters are heated to 340 °C in an oxygen atmosphere for two hours to remove all organic material. The remaining carbon is defined as EC and is quantified as described above for TC. Organic Carbon (OC) is calculated as TC–EC. The exact details of the methods have been described by Jankowski et al. (2008).

3 Data processing

- The two key advantages of the time of flight (TOF) over a conventional quadrupole mass spectrometer are (i) the high mass resolution and (ii) that always full mass scan information is available without compromising sensitivity and time resolution. Ions were pulsed every 35 μs into the TOF region. At the end of the flight region incoming ions are scanned at a frequency of 5 GHz (i.e. 2 ns bins). To achieve a time resolution of 5 a 142860 initial mass analytic base added and stared as analytic.
- of 5 s, 142 860 initial mass spectra have been added and stored as one cycle into a data file. Every data file contained 900 such cycles, which is 75 min worth of data. Each cycle contains 175 499 data points (i.e. the signal in the individual 0.2 ns bins), thus the size of a single data file is ~160 million double-precision floating-point values; typically 50 MB in the compressed HDF5 format. In addition, engineering data such as
- ²⁰ pressures, flows, voltages, temperatures and valve positions, and a cumulative *sum-spectrum* of all cycles in a file are saved as well. A total of 1022 data files have been created during the campaign.

Data processing was done in IDL (Version 7.0.0, ITT Visual Information Solutions) and includes the following five fundamental routines which are described in the subsections below:

a) *Time to mass conversion*: cycle-to-cycle computation of the parameters for converting the time scale of 0.2 ns bins to an ion mass scale.




b) *Peak detection*: create a "peak list" for individual files.

5

- c) *Homogenization*: the derived mass for a detected ion may vary a few mDa from file to file due to statistical uncertainty and the limited mass resolution of the mass spectrometer. The homogenization routine creates a "*unified-peak-list*"; the overall mass accuracy of the detected ions is improved.
- d) *Integration and correction of overlapping signals:* peak margins are defined and the signal within the margins is summed up. Each peak is associated with a timeline of counts. If neighbouring peaks are not fully resolved their signals are corrected for contributions from the overlapping peaks.
- e) Mass scale re-calibration and peak identification: it was possible to re-calibrate the mass scale by exploiting the ion signals of homologous hydrocarbon series which were part of the instrumental background contamination. With this treatment a mass accuracy of ±3 mDa was achieved. Within this accuracy an empirical formula was attributed.
- Figure 3 illustrates data processing by showing a typical series of raw data (covering 75 min, i.e. 900 cycles) for a small section of the mass spectrum (168.5–173.5 Da). The color code in the figure represents the logarithm of the detected counts of the TOF mass spectrometer. The mass scale has been computed by the *time-to-mass* routine. The integration boundaries (black line start, brownish line end) for all peaks
 detected in the range (from the "*unified-peak-list*") are also shown in Fig. 3. The shown
- period represents a field blank analysis of the aerosol inlet A and a sample analysis of inlet B. Within the integration boundaries all detected counts are summed up and form the raw signal of a compound as shown in Fig. 2. From Fig. 3 it is obvious that the compounds are not fully separated (e.g. peaks around 169 Da, minutes 45-60).
- ²⁵ Therefore a correction procedure for overlapping signals is important.

Our goal was to create routines allowing for a full analysis of TOF mass scan data (as opposed to evaluating single peaks of interest) over longer periods of time at sufficient low computational cost so that the analysis can be done with common personal



computers. The routines reliably identified the major compounds (more than 1200) that were observed during the field campaign. 638 of these compounds are original aerosol compounds (Table 1 and supplemental information). Of the remaining compounds most of the signal is probably due to instrumental background. Almost cer-

tainly there are still additional low signal peaks which do not emerge from the tailing of 5 neighbouring larger peaks. A peak shape analysis and non linear least square fitting of overlapping signals similar to DeCarlo et al. (2006) or Müller et al. (2010) could resolve many yet unresolved peaks and is subject to future development of our evaluation tools package.

Mass scale calibration 3.1 10

1

20


The time an ion needs to traverse the TOF region follows the simple relation

$$t = t_0 + a\sqrt{m},\tag{1}$$

where t_0 and a are parameters that depend on the dimensions of the TOF mass spectrometer and time lags associated with the counting electronics. The relation is simple, however, due to thermal expansion of the instrument (and possibly other reasons) the 15 flight time of a single ion is subject to fractional variations and therefore the parameters (a, t_0) slightly change over time. The correct value of the parameters can be calculated by evaluating the arrival times (t_1, t_2) of two peaks with a known mass (m_1, m_2) :

$$t_{0} = \frac{t_{2}\sqrt{m_{1}} - t_{1}\sqrt{m_{2}}}{\sqrt{m_{1}} - \sqrt{m_{2}}}$$
$$a = \frac{t_{1} - t_{0}}{\sqrt{m_{1}}}$$

A practical requirement for peaks used for calibration are that they should (i) cover a wide mass range, (ii) always be present at relatively constant levels, and (iii) there should not be highly variable, adjacent signals. Therefore the natural choice is to



(2)

(3)

select a set of background peaks that are always present in the mass spectrum. During aerosol analysis most instrumental background peaks, however, interfered with compounds evaporating from the CTD cell but the signals at masses 29.998 and 286.973 Da proved to be an appropriate set for performing a mass scale calibration.


- ⁵ The signal at the high mass was low and therefore we evaluated the data by forming running means over 10 min periods (120 cycles), which should not introduce systematic errors because the drifting of the parameters *a* and t_0 (mostly due to thermal expansion of the instrument) is on slower timescales. After isolating the calibration peaks, we identified the center of the peak by non-linear-least-squares fitting to a Gauss-type
- ¹⁰ function including offset, a linear and a quadratic term. The parameters "*a*" and " t_0 " were thus calculated for each cycle of the dataset and were used to attach the correct mass scale to each measured cycle.

3.2 Peak detection

A peak search routine was applied to each data file. For the peak detection we used the *sum-spectrum* and the median of the corresponding mass scale calibration parameters (a, t_0) .

The peak search routine identified peaks by scanning through the derivative of the smoothed sum-spectrum (the smoothing window width was 5 data points). A potential peak was detected whenever the routine detected a point that jumped out of the local

- noise. A useful threshold was that such a point had to be larger than 8 times the 75% percentile of the previous 200 data points. After a potential peak had been detected, peak-center, start and the end of the peak were determined as follows: the position where the derivative stands out of the noise defines the start; the peak-center is where the derivative (after passing a maximum) crosses the zero-line and becomes nega-
- tive; the end of the peak is defined at the position where the derivative (after passing a minimum) is back at noise level. In order to exclude false peaks, originating for example from single spikes in the dataset, detected peaks were only added to the peak-list when the mass of the peak-center divided by the width (end-start) was in the range




13981

200-10 000. Typically 400-1000 peaks in the mass range 17-430 Da were detected in individual data files.

3.3 Homogenization of the individual peak lists – the unified-peak-list

Due to statistical errors, the limited mass resolution capacity of the TOF mass spectrometer, and due to limitations of the mass scale calibration routines, the mass that is calculated for individual compounds has an error. For our 75 min evaluation periods this error is typically a few mDa. To determine the mass of the compounds at the best possible accuracy we performed a statistical evaluation of the 1022 individual "Peak lists" that were created from the raw data collected during the campaign. The first step of this procedure was to sort the detected peaks of all files on a new mass scale consisting of 430 000 bins with a width of 1 mDa that covered the range 0–430 Da. If a peak of a peak list fell into a certain mDa-bin, the count of that bin was increased by 1. Theoretically, the maximum count number on one bin can thus be 1022, which would mean that in all files the peak is detected in the same mDa-bin; practically the highest


- ¹⁵ numbers were in the range 100–800. Examples of this treatment are shown in Fig. 4. Peaks caused by one particular compound show a sharp Gaussian-type distribution and the center of such a distribution represents the most accurate mass assignment of the peak. In a second step the "*unified-peak-list*" is created from the Gaussian-type count distributions on the new "1-mDa-bin" mass scale (see Fig. 4).
- Figure 5 depicts the 1210 mass-peaks of the "*unified-peak-list*" where the fractional deviation from the integer mass (y-axis) is plotted against the integer mass (x-axis). The pattern of rising lines clearly stands out and these peaks can unequivocally be attributed to pure hydrocarbons (see insert in Fig. 5). While subsequent analysis showed that most of these peaks were rather instrumental background than original aerosol approximate we evaluate their clear out identification for a recellibration of our mass.
- ²⁵ compounds, we exploited their clear-cut identification for a re-calibration of our mass scale (see Sect. 3.5).



3.4 Peak integration, calculation of concentration, accuracy, and correction of overlapping peaks

An analysis of a representative set of peaks showed that the peak shape was nearly Gaussian and that the mass resolution power (defined as ratio of mass and the full


- ⁵ width at half maximum, FWHM) of the TOF-MS was in the range 3500–5000 throughout the campaign, where lower resolutions were associated with masses below 100 Da and the higher values were typical for masses above this threshold. To calculate appropriate integration boundaries for the mass peaks we assumed Gaussian peaks and a mass resolution of 4000. The integration boundaries were then set at a distance
- ¹⁰ of $\pm 2\sigma$ from the center of the peak. In case the boundaries of neighbouring peaks were overlapping, we symmetrically decreased the overlapping area to zero. Herewith we avoided double counting of signal; however, overlapping peaks contributed to their neighbour-peaks and in extreme cases the entire signal could come from their overlapping neighbour(s). A correction procedure for this interference will be described below.
- ¹⁵ In the future a routine for non-linear least-squares fitting of overlapping peaks will provide a better solution because it also allows extracting hidden peaks. For the present analysis, all counts within the integration boundaries are summed up and form the signal (in counts) of the respective mass-peak. The concentration of aerosol compounds and volume mixing ratios of gas phase compounds were calculated from the count sig-
- ²⁰ nal of primary ions and product ions according to the method described in Holzinger et al. (2010). Briefly, gas phase mixing ratios and aerosol concentrations are calculated directly from (i) instrumental parameters such as voltages, flows, pressures, and the transmission efficiency of the PTR-TOF system, (ii) default reaction rate constants for exothermic proton transfer reactions, and (iii) the ratio of the signals of the respective
- ²⁵ mass peaks and the primary H_3O^+ ions. Figure 6 shows the fractional transmission efficiency (provided by Ionicon Inc.) that has been used for all calculations. The accuracy of the transmission efficiency should be better than ±25%. For exothermic proton transfer reactions the reaction rate constant is usually within the range $2-4 \times 10^{-9}$ cm³ s⁻¹



where lower values typically apply to lower molecular weight pure hydrocarbons and higher values apply to heavier oxygenated species. While Holzinger et al. (2010) used a default value of 2×10⁻⁹ cm³ s⁻¹ (recommended by lonicon Inc.) we think that a higher value of 3×10⁻⁹ cm³ s⁻¹ is more realistic for the burden of compounds detected in this study and consequently we used this value as a default for the reaction rate constant. Actually we think that the new (higher) default value of the reaction rate constant could still be too low given the high degree of oxygenated compounds that is encountered in aged aerosol. We are confident that the accuracy of the reaction rate constant is within a ±30% margin for the vast majority of the detected compounds. Using a higher reaction rate constant of 3×10⁻⁹ cm³ s⁻¹ (instead of 2×10⁻⁹ cm³ s⁻¹) straightforwardly results in lower calculated concentrations and mixing ratios (by a factor 2/3). The total accuracy of the data presented here should be better than ±60%.

In order to reduce the computational expense the correction of overlapping peaks was done on averaged data. For gas phase measurements we averaged junks of 180

- ¹⁵ cycles (which represents 15 min averages) and for aerosol measurements we averaged all data from a temperature level (72 cycles, 6 min). Assuming Gaussian peak shapes and a mass resolution of 4000 we calculated the fractional contribution of overlapping peaks to the signals of their neighbour(s). Mathematically, this represents a system of coupled linear equations which was solved using the IDL "LUSOL" function. Figure 7
- shows the results of the correction on overlapping peaks detected at nominal masses 169 and 172 Da. A general rule is that the peaks with a higher signal gain from peaks with a lower signal. In the case of masses 172.047 and 172.175 Da the corrected sample signal is no longer above the signal of the field blanks. Therefore these two peaks were not present in collected particles in the 5 day period shown in Fig. 7. All
- analysis presented in the sections below was done with the corrected dataset. The strength of the presented method is that it provides a robust and quick correction of overlapping signals. As to the weaknesses, the accuracy is limited because we do not perform a thorough peak shape and fitting analysis, which may result in both under- and overcorrection. Our choice of 4000 for the mass resolution is conservative




because mass peaks above 80-90 Da were typically better resolved than that (resolution ~5000). Therefore for most cases we overcorrected overlapping peaks, which may mean that our procedures failed to identify some low signal aerosol compounds if their neighbouring peaks exhibited a comparably large signal.

5 3.5 Mass scale re-calibration and peak identification

The mass spectra clearly showed homologous series of hydrocarbons (Fig. 5). We exploit their clear-cut identification to recalibrate the mass scale and improve the accuracy of measured m/z values of the detected peaks. Figure 8a shows the fractional mass difference between the detected peaks and the mass of the protonated pure hydrocarbon ion which is closest to the mass of the respective peak. For the hydrocarbon peaks the fractional mass difference is within $\pm 2 \text{ mDa}$ up to an m/z ratio of ~180 Da. For larger masses the deviation increases, at 400 Da typical values are -5 to -9 Da. Figure 8a also shows the spline function (bold black line) through the hydrocarbon peaks which was used to recalibrate the mass scale. Figure 8b shows that after recalibration all hydrocarbon peaks are within a band of ± 3 mDa throughout the whole mass scale. Thus we used a tolerance of ± 3 mDa for the attribution of empirical formulas to the measured peaks.

As first step for mass peak attribution we created a database of possible empirical formulas. We used the free "MF-finder" tool (Michal Krompiec and Luc Patiny, http://www.chemcalc.org) to calculate molecular formulas of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen, containing 0–35, 0–72, 0–8, and 0–3 atoms, respectively. The list was extended by adding ~1800 organic sulphur compounds from the NIST chemistry webbook (http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry). Our database comprises about 18000 molecular formulas, and the exact protonated masses of their two most abun-25 dant isotopologues. E.g. for benzene (C₆H₆) these are the masses of ¹²C₆⁻¹H₆⁻¹H⁺ (79.0542 Da), and ¹³C¹²C₅⁻¹H₆⁻¹H⁺ (80.0576 Da), respectively. 841 of the 1210 detected peaks could be attributed to an empirical formula within the tolerance level of ±3 mDa. For 302 peaks more than one empirical formula matched within the tolerance




margins. Two molecular formulas were found for 235 peaks; three for 59, and four for 8 peaks, respectively. In case of multiple peak attribution we considered oxygenated hydrocarbons to be the most likely candidate, followed by pure hydrocarbons, nitrogen compounds and sulphur compounds. This ranking is important to keep in mind

for interpreting the analysis of the C/O/N/S fractions of the aerosol burden below. For example, for mass 183.083 Da we found 4 empirical formulas, i.e. C₁₃H₁₀OH⁺, C₉H₁₁NO₃H⁺, C₄H₁₁N₃O₅H⁺, and C₁₀H₁₄OSH⁺. According to our ranking we attributed the signal at this mass completely to C₁₃H₁₀OH⁺. That means that we potentially underestimate the nitrogen and sulfur fraction of the total measured burden if
 mass 183.083 is actually one of the other species.

The peak identification presented in this section only relies on the measured mass. As described above this yielded ambiguous results for almost 40% of the peaks. In future versions of the evaluation routines we will aim at reducing the ambiguity by exploiting the natural abundance of isotopologues.

- In Fig. 5 the identified peaks are printed in blue (aerosol compounds) and black (other compounds). The peaks for which no empirical formula could be found often exhibit a relatively large mass defect (grey/cyan points, low on the *y*-axis in Fig. 5). Potentially this can mean that these compounds are highly oxygenated (>8 oxygen atoms) and therefore not included in our database. However, we do not think that
 we are missing a significant fraction of highly oxygenated compounds because only
- 20 we are missing a significant fraction of highly oxygenated compounds because only very few compounds were detected with more than 5 oxygen atoms. For compounds present in aerosols we found 16 species with 6–8 oxygen atoms and 402 species with 1–5 oxygen atoms, respectively. Therefore we think that a significant fraction of the detected compounds contains additional atoms – most likely fluorine, chlorine or other balogens.
- ²⁵ halogens.



4 Results and discussion

25


4.1 Compounds detected in aerosols/detection limit

The cycling of the instrument between gas inlet, aerosol inlet, background, and field blank measurements is illustrated in Fig. 2. Basically, every aerosol sample analysis
⁵ was followed by a field blank measurement. Because aerosol inlet A had contamination issues on many mass peaks only results from aerosol inlet B are discussed in this section. Aerosol B samples were collected 3 times per day: 04:20–07:06 LT, 12:20–15:06 LT, and 20:20–23:06 LT, respectively. Note that during the first days of the campaign (before day 202; i.e. 22 July 2009) different sampling times were used and field blanks were only measured every fourth aerosol run. In the course of the campaign 120 aerosol measurements were completed with aerosol inlet B and are further analysed. In order to extract the signal that can be attributed to aerosols we linearly interpolated and subtracted the signal of the two neighbouring field blank measurement.

- In this way we computed background corrected data series of all 1210 detected mass peaks. A compound was considered to be present in aerosols (referred to as aerosol compounds hereafter) when the signal was above the detection limit (i) for at least 40% of the measurements (i.e. 48 of the 120 aerosol analyses), and (ii) this holds for at least two extraction temperatures of the CTD cell. Figure 9 gives examples for the course of concentrations of 4 aerosol compounds ($C_4H_4O_3H^+$, $C_2H_4OH^+$, $C_3H_3NH^+$,
- and C₁₆H₂₂O₄H⁺) at 6 extraction temperatures (100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 350 °C). We omitted the first temperature level (50 °C) because only very few compounds had a significant positive signal at this level.

The determination of a realistic detection limit was not a straightforward task because basic counting statistics of the mass spectrometer detector were not the most important factor limiting the precision for the detection of aerosol compounds. Instead, the accu-

rate determination of the background (filed blanks) proved to be much more important. Typically we observed that the field blank signal co-varied with the aerosol signal (see data printed in red in Fig. 9, e.g. $C_2H_4OH^+$ at 200 and 250 °C). This is not surprising




because it is expected that air parcels with a high aerosol load also contain a large burden of semivolatile gas phase compounds. The semivolatile compounds will stick to the inner walls of the CTD-cell and contribute to the signal of the field blank. This contamination can cause a memory effect that continues into clean periods. Therefore

for very clean conditions field blank signals were sometimes even higher than the signal of the aerosol sample and negative aerosol signals are computed when subtracting the field blank signal. We exploited the information from these negative data points to calculate a realistic detection limit, which was computed according to

 $\text{DetLim}_{\text{mass,temp}} = \text{abs}(\text{median}(S_{<0})) + 3 * \text{stdev}(S_{<0}),$

- where DetLim_{mass,temp} is the detection limit of a compound at a certain temperature level of the CTD cell, and $S_{<0}$ is the subset of negative data of the series of 120 aerosol measurements; abs, median, and stdev are functions to calculate the absolute value, the median value, and the standard deviation, respectively. The calculated detection limits are displayed in Fig. 9 (black lines around zero) and represent realistic
- ¹⁵ margins. Our method failed for some cases were no (or too few) negative values were computed. In these cases no detection limit could be calculated but obviously these compounds were generally above the detection limit. Note that the detection limits calculated according to equation 4 are usually much higher than the statistical error from the counting statistics of the mass spectrometer.
- Applying the above outlined criteria we detected 638 compounds that are present in aerosols. A full list is provided in the supplemental information. Table 1 gives information on 50 compounds, i.e. the compounds with the highest (40 compounds) and lowest (10 compounds) mean concentration. The lowest detected concentrations were in the range of 10 pg m⁻³. The corresponding detection limits were typically 2–3 pg m⁻³. The second the range of 10 ng m⁻³ with typical detection limits below 1 ng m⁻³. The concentrations of the detected aerosol compounds span a range of 5 orders of magnitude.

The bulk mass of most compounds is released at the 150 °C and 200 °C temperature levels of the CTD cell – an example is $C_4H_4O_3H^+$ in Fig. 9. These are probably




(4)

semivolatile monomers which are evaporated as soon as their vapour pressure becomes sufficiently high. Other compounds such as $C_3H_4OH^+$ and $C_2H_4OH^+$ (shown in Fig. 9) are readily volatilized at all temperatures. These compounds are typically of relatively low molecular weight and therefore they are very unlikely to be present in aerosol as monomers. We hypothesize that they are fragments which are relatively 5 weekly bound components of oligomeric structures in the aerosol that progressively break free as the CTD temperature rises. Similar argumentation holds for low molecular weight compounds that are released predominantly at high temperatures (e.g. $C_3H_3NH^+$ in Fig. 9): due to their relatively low molecular weight they are probably not original aerosol compounds. Instead, they may be formed by thermal decomposition 10 or by pyrolytical processes. A puzzling behaviour is observed for $C_{16}H_{22}O_4H^+$ – the fourth compound shown in Fig. 9. While there is a significantly positive aerosol signal observed at CTD cell temperatures of 100 and 150 °C, the signal of the field blanks was higher than the aerosol sample signal for temperatures of 200°C and above. At this point we have no conclusive explanation for this. We hypothesise that semivolatile gas 15 phase compounds may be efficiently trapped on the residuals of previous aerosol analyses of which a major constituent is black carbon. During an aerosol sampling cycle

 this highly adsorbing surface gets quickly coated with wet aerosols and thus less contamination from semivolatile gas phase compounds is actually present. This behaviour
 could result in over-correction of the contamination from gas phase compounds and is therefore of potential concern.

4.2 Total measured organics and its elemental composition

The technique presented here produces a wealth of data and it will be the subject of future work to fully exploit this information to gain insight into production and processing of aerosols. While individual compounds can be further explored to identify unique tracers for certain sources and/or processes, the road to success is more likely the development of products that yield information on physicochemical processing or are comparable with other aerosol measurements. For laboratory produced secondary



organic aerosol Holzinger et al. (2010) have shown good agreement between the total aerosol mass as measured with TD-PTR-MS and a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS), respectively. Using the superior mass resolution capabilities of the time of flight mass filter, in addition to total aerosol organic mass we can compute the fractions of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur, respectively.


Of the 638 aerosol compounds detected, 15 have been attributed to inorganic species; among these protonated ammonia is the most significant species. With an average concentration of 90 ng m⁻³ it is the single most abundant species detected in aerosol. Most likely it originates from the thermal decomposition of ammonium sulfate and ammonium bisulfate. The reaction starts at temperatures of 100 °C which is consistent with the observed thermograms of protonated ammonium. The average concentration of all inorganic compounds was 93 ng m⁻³ which is ~8% of the total measured burden. Oxygenated hydrocarbons constitute the bulk of the detected aerosol mass

10

(75%) followed by organic nitrogen compounds (9%), inorganic compounds, unidenti fied/halogenated (3.8%), hydrocarbons (2.7%), and organic sulfur compounds (0.8%).
 Figure 10a shows the course of measured total organic compounds and the atomic ratio of oxygen to carbon atoms (O/C) and nitrogen to carbon atoms (N/C), respectively, together with some meteorological parameters.


During the campaign 6 extended episodes (marked by the red lines in Fig. 10a) have been identified which are separated by short periods of very low concentrations of organic aerosols that coincide with minimum temperatures. With exception of the first episode (day 190–198) (9–17 July), ramp structures with increasing aerosol concentrations and sharp drops towards the end of an episode are typically observed and can be clearly seen in the episodes around days 210 (29 July), 230 (18 August), and 238

(26 August). During the first episode we measured the highest aerosol concentrations and relatively low fractions of oxygen. Both consistent with the idea that episode 1 was strongly influenced from local fresh emissions that were convected to the site which is also confirmed by meteorological data. Vertical mixing at the period (day 190–198) was characterized by a temperature profile reaching lapse rate between the village of



Rauris (940 m a.s.l., population of \sim 3500) and Sonnblick (3105 m a.s.l.) on all of these days and weak winds from North, which favoured the advection of local air masses from the nearby valleys.

- Surprisingly, the oxygen to carbon ratio was low throughout the campaign (mean 0.38) and exhibited little variation. Almost for all measurements the O/C ratio was in the range 0.33–0.48 (5% and 95% percentiles). While the O/C ratio we compute is a relatively robust number indicating the O/C of the total detected ion signal, this number cannot be directly translated to the O/C ratio of organic aerosols for at least two reasons: Firstly, a typical fragmentation pattern during protonation of organic compounds is the ejection of a neutral H₂O fragment. Therefore the neutral fragments (which are not detected in the mass spectrometer) contain disproportionately more oxygen which is missing in the ion signal. To assess how much oxygen may be lost due to fragmentation in the chemical ionization chamber of the instrument we assumed
- that every ion that has been detected has lost one H_2O fragment, that is, we increased the number of oxygen atoms by one for each individual ionic species detected. O/C
- ratios calculated in this way certainly represent an overestimation and yielded a mean value of 0.56 which is still low for the O/C ratios in organic aerosols. Aitken et al. (2008) analysed O/C ratios over Mexico City and measured values close to 0.6 in the outflow air of the city and 0.7 were typical values for regional pollution. At a remote location
- ²⁰ such as the Sonnblick observatory we expect organic aerosol to be significantly more oxidized than in the highly populated area of Mexico City. The second mechanism that may remove oxygen from the aerosol fraction that is actually detected in the mass spectrometer is the removal of oxygen via pyrolytical processes (also known as charring). This process is probably much more relevant than biased fractionation during
- ionization in the PTR-MS. Charring produces CO and CO₂. Because carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide have proton affinities lower than water these compounds cannot be efficiently detected by the PTR-MS and thus oxygen is removed without being quantified. Note that the oxygen to form CO and CO₂ must come from aerosol oxygen because we used pure nitrogen as carrier gas to transfer evaporated compounds from



the CTD cell to the PTR-MS. Despite significant losses of oxygen, there is an inverse relationship between the measured oxygen fraction and the measured total organics: higher fractions of oxygen are associated with low organic aerosol concentrations and presumably more aged aerosol loads; and lower oxygen fractions were measured at times of higher concentrations and presumably less aged aerosol levels.

It is also worth exploring the measured N/C ratio which is in the range 1.2–3.2% (5% and 95% percentiles, see Fig. 10a). The total organic aerosol mass and the fraction of nitrogen are often strongly anti-correlated when neighbouring data points are considered (e.g. days 196–198 in Fig. 10a), however the correlation vanishes if all data are included. This may indicate that the organic nitrogen compounds potentially can be traced back to particular aerosol sources or a distinct physicochemical history.


- can be traced back to particular aerosol sources or a distinct physicochemical history. This is in contrast to the degree of oxygenation of aerosols which is believed to be primarily an effect of general aerosol ageing. In absolute numbers we detected a mean concentration of 17 ng N/m³ during the campaign which is ~2.1% of the organic carbon that was detected. It is interesting to note that this number matches reasonably well
- with the findings of Lin et al. (2010), who measured organic nitrogen at a rural forest site in the US and found an atomic N/C ratio of \sim 5% in PM_{2.5}.

4.3 Information from thermograms

10

Figure 10b shows average thermograms of the total mass of all measured organic
 compounds for 3 distinct periods marked in Fig. 10a. The period 1 features the highest aerosol concentrations and relatively low O/C ratios; period 3 features low aerosol concentrations and high O/C ratios, and period 2 features stable average conditions which are not extreme in that aspect. Maximum concentrations of 1097, 439, and 148 ng m⁻³ were measured at a CTD-cell temperature of 150 °C for periods 1, 2, and 3, respectively. For better comparison the data are normalized to their maximum con-

centration in Fig. 10b. Figure 10c shows the atomic O/C and N/C ratios as a function of CTD-cell temperature for the same three periods.



The thermograms shown in Fig. 10b are consistent with the criteria on which the periods have been selected. Organic aerosol compounds evaporate at the lower temperatures during period 1 than during the other periods. Low oxygen fractions were calculated and this period is a subset of episode 1 which was influenced by young local/regional aerosol pollution. In contrast during period 3 aerosol organics evaporate at higher temperatures, which is consistent with the higher oxygen fraction. The thermogram of the reference period 2 is between the more extreme cases of period 1 and 3.


Despite the difference in the oxygen fractions during the 3 periods, their relative courses as a function of CTD-cell temperature are very similar (Fig. 10c): the O/C ratio increases with increasing CTD-cell temperatures up to 200°C. Above, this threshold the fraction of oxygen is decreasing. The decreasing behaviour is surprising because less volatile compounds should contain more oxygen functional groups (Pankow and Asher, 2008) and we would expect an increasing line of the oxygen fraction throughout the displayed temperature range. Therefore we think that a significant fraction of the oxygen is lost at higher temperatures and this is actually consistent with the hypothesis

that we miss a significant fraction of the oxygen due to charring.

5

The fraction of nitrogen is increasing with increasing CTD cell temperature for all 3 periods. Many nitrogen containing compounds exhibit a similar behaviour as $C_3H_3NH^+$

- (shown in Fig. 9) which consequently results in the rising line of the nitrogen fraction in Fig. 10c. Rollins et al. (2009) performed chamber experiments of isoprene with NO₃ and observed high SOA production from alkyl nitrates which could also hold for other hydrocarbons that are oxidized in polluted NO₃ rich environments. While several of the identified species possibly can be attributed to alkyl nitrates, the increase
- ²⁵ of N/C at higher temperatures suggests that a significant fraction of the detected nitrogen does not originate from semivolatile compounds. This is not only suggested by the measured thermograms but also by the fact that many of the most abundant nitrogen compounds are not original monomeric aerosol species but are thermal decomposition products such as $C_3H_3NH^+$. Nevertheless, the bulk of organic nitrogen is



released at temperatures of 100–200 °C and semivolatile compounds may still be the most significant contribution to the burden of organic nitrogen compounds.


4.4 Comparison with OC from Hi-vol filter samples

The data of total organic carbon were averaged to match the periods of high volume filter sampling which provides an opportunity of inter-comparison of the TD-PTR-MS data with the OC analysis from filter samples which is a well established and commonly used technique. The results are shown in Table 2. The datasets are well correlated $(r^2=0.8)$ and the TD-PTR-MS data are on average ~25% lower than OC from the filter samples. Some of the variability is probably due to different sampling times. While the filters are continuously sampled over 24 h, the TD-PTR-MS samples only ~7 h per 10 day. At first glance the agreement looks good, however, given the known processes that reduce the detectable fraction of carbon it is striking that the agreement is within margins of 20-30%. The processes we consider are: (i) charring, which produces CO₂, i.e. carbon that cannot be detected with PTR-MS, (ii) the maximum temperature of the CTD-cell is not high enough to vaporize 100% of the organic carbon, and (iii) 15 fragmentation during ionization. It is not possible to quantify these loss processes at this point, however, preliminary crude estimates suggest that more than 50% of the OC could be lost. On the other hand positive and negative sampling artefacts have also been reported for filter samples (Subramanian et al., 2004). Especially for long sampling periods (>24 h) evaporative losses of semivolatile compounds could represent 20 a significant negative sampling effect.

5 Conclusions and outlook

25

We presented first results of data obtained with a high mass resolution TD-PTR-MS instrument at the remote Mt. Sonnblick observatory. A major challenge was to process the huge amount of data that were collected and special attention was given to the



description of the most important routines used for evaluation. We showed that a large fraction of organic aerosols can be directly measured and quantified with the new system thus gaining valuable insights into sources and processing of organic aerosol. The presented analytical approach is a young technique and there is ample potential for

- ⁵ improvement of both the physical instrument and data processing. As for improvements on the instrument, most important would be a higher operation temperature of the PTR-MS drift tube and the transfer lines. A promising prototype of a high temperature drift tube was recently presented by Mikoviny et al. (2010) and could improve the performance of the instrument. As for data analysis, the peak detection routines can be
- improved by non-linear least square modeling of overlapping peaks. Peak attribution can be improved by including organic halogenated compounds to the compound library, and erroneous attribution of empirical formulas to observed signals can be minimized by exploiting the natural abundances of isotopologues.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at:

15 http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/13969/2010/


20

acpd-10-13969-2010-supplement.pdf

Acknowledgement. This work has been funded by The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under the ALW-Middelgroot program (Grant 834.08.002). Insightful comments on this work have been provided by Neil Donahue. We gratefully acknowledge this input.

References

Aiken, A. C., DeCarlo, P. F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Elemental analysis of organic species with electron ionization high-resolution mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 79, 8350–8358, 2007.
Aiken, A. C., DeCarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H.,



25

Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 4478–4485, 2008.

- Andreae, M. O. and Crutzen, P. J.: Atmospheric aerosols: biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry, Science, 276, 1052–1058, 1997.
- Andreae, M. O. and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions Part 1: The nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, Earth-Sci. Rev., 89, 13–41, 2008.
- Bascom, R., Bromberg, P. A., Costa, D. A., Devlin, R., Dockery, D. W., Frampton, M. W., Lambert, W., Samet, J. M., Speizer, F. E., and Utell, M.: Health effects of outdoor air pollution, Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care, 153, 3–50, 1996.
- Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care, 153, 3–50, 1996.
 Cachier, H., Bremond, Marie Pierre, Buat-Menard, P.: Determination of atmospheric soot car-

5

- bon with a simple thermal method, Tellus, 41B, 379–390, 1989.
- Charlson, R. J., Schwartz, S. E., Hales, J. M., Cess, R. D., Coakley, J. A., Hansen, J. E., and Hofmann, D. J.: Climate forcing by anthropogenic aerosols, Science, 255, 423–430, 1992.
- ¹⁵ DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Anal. Chem., 78, 8281–8289, 2006.


Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning,

dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 2635–2643, 2006.

Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I.: Known and unknown organic constituents in the Earth's Atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1515–1521, 2007.

Graus, M., Müller, M., and Hansel, A.: High resolution PTR-TOF: quantification and for-

- ²⁵ mula confirmation of VOC in real time, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectr., 21(6), 1037–1044, doi:10.1016/j.jasms.2010.02.006, June 2010.
 - Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maen-
- haut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, Th. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155–5236, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009, 2009.




Hellen, H., Dommen, J., Metzger, A., Gascho, A., Duplissy, J., Tritscher, T., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Using proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry for online analysis of secondary organic aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 7347–7353, 2008.

Holzinger, R., Williams, J., Herrmann, F., Lelieveld, J., Donahue, N. M., and Rckmann, T.:

- Aerosol analysis using a Thermal-Desorption Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometer (TD-PTR-MS): a new approach to study processing of organic aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2257–2267, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2257-2010, 2010.
 - Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, Nature, 409, 695–697, 2001.
- Jankowski, N., Schmidl, C., Marr, I., Bauer, H., and Puxbaum, H.: Comparison of methods for the quantification of carbonate carbon in atmospheric PM₁₀ aerosol samples, Atmos. Environ., 42, 8055–8064, 2008.
 - Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M.,
- Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cot-
- trell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, Science, 326, 1525– 1529, 2009.
- Jordan, A., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Mark, L., Seehauser, H., Schottkowsky, R., Sulzer, P., and Mark, T. D.: A high resolution and high sensitivity proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS), Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 286, 122–128, 2009.

Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van

³⁰ Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.



Lin, M., Walker, J., Geron, C., and Khlystov, A.: Organic nitrogen in PM_{2.5} aerosol at a forest site in the Southeast US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2145–2157, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2145-2010, 2010.

Mikoviny, T., Kaser, L., and Wisthaler, A.: Development and characterization of a High-

- ⁵ Temperature Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometer (HT-PTR-MS), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 537–544, doi:10.5194/amt-3-537-2010, 2010.
 - Müller, M., Graus, M., Ruuskanen, T. M., Schnitzhofer, R., Bamberger, I., Kaser, L., Titzmann, T., Hörtnagl, L., Wohlfahrt, G., Karl, T., and Hansel, A.: First eddy covariance flux measurements by PTR-TOF, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 387–395, doi:10.5194/amt-3-387-2010, 2010.
 - Pankow, J. F. and Asher, W. E.: SIMPOL.1: a simple group contribution method for predicting vapor pressures and enthalpies of vaporization of multifunctional organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2773–2796, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2773-2008, 2008.

10


15

Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: composition, transformation, climate and health effects, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 44, 7520–7540, 2005.

Ramanathan, V. and Carmichael, G.: Global and regional climate changes due to black carbon, Nat. Geosci., 1, 221–227, 2008.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Atmosphere – aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle, Science, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.

- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259–1262, 2007.
 - Rollins, A. W., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Fry, J. L., Brauers, T., Brown, S. S., Dorn, H.-P., Dubé, W. P., Fuchs, H., Mensah, A., Mentel, T. F., Rohrer, F., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wooldridge, P. J.,
- ²⁵ and Cohen, R. C.: Isoprene oxidation by nitrate radical: alkyl nitrate and secondary organic aerosol yields, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6685–6703, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6685-2009, 2009.
 - Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation?, Science, 321, 1309–1313, 2008.
- Subramanian, R., Khlystov, A. Y., Cabada, J. C., and Robinson, A. L.: Positive and negative artifacts in particulate organic carbon measurements with denuded and undenuded sampler configurations, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 38, 27–48, 2004.



Williams, B., Goldstein, A., Kreisberg, N., and Hering, S.: An in-situ instrument for speciated organic composition of atmospheric aerosols: Thermal desorption aerosol GC/MS-FID (TAG), Aerosol Sci. Tech., 40, 627–638, 2006.

Zhao, J. and Zhang, R. Y.: Proton transfer reaction rate constants between hydronium ion

 $_{5}$ (H₃O(+)) and volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Environ., 38, 2177–2185, 2004.




Table 1. Basic information on the 40 most abundant and 10 least abundant aerosol compounds.A full list of all 638 detected compounds is given in the supplemental material.

Peak ID	Measured mass [Da]	Possible empirical formulas ^a	Temp largest signal	Mean concentration throughout the campaign [ng/m ³] ^b	LOD [ng/m ³]	Fraction of total burden (rank)
m18.0321	18.033	NH ₃ H ⁺ (-0.5)	150	90, (2.4, 79, 177)	n.c.	8.06% (1)
m43.0180	43.018	$C_2H_2OH^+$ (0.3)	150	7.0, (0.19, 5.8, 15.9)	0.365	0.63% (39)
m45.0336	45.034	C ₂ H ₄ OH ⁺ (0.2)	200	9.3, (0.53, 9.1, 17.9)	0.419	0.83% (27)
m47.0133	47.013	$CH_2O_2H^+$ (0.6)	150	10.4, (0.46, 10.5, 18.7)	0.124	0.94% (24)
m59.0496	59.049	C ₃ H ₆ OH ⁺ (0.1)	100	25, (0.9, 20, 58)	0.440	2.23% (5)
m59.9671	59.967		100	0.007, (0.000, 0.005, 0.017)	0.001	0.00065% (630)
m61.0291	61.029	$C_2H_4O_2H^+$ (0.3)	200	33, (1.6, 30, 65)	0.214	2.99% (3)
m64.0042	64.004	$HNO_{3}H^{+}$ (0.8)	150	0.007, (0.000, 0.004, 0.014)	0.002	0.00061% (631)
m70.0064	70.006		300	0.004, (0.000, 0.003, 0.009)	0.002	0.00035% (638)
m73.0296	73.029	$C_{3}H_{4}O_{2}H^{+}$ (0.5)	200	13.1, (1.22, 13.6, 23.9)	0.125	1.18% (17)
m77.9933	77.992	N ₂ O ₃ H [∓] (−2.7)	150	0.005, (0.000, 0.003, 0.011)	0.002	0.00042% (636)
m79.9998	79.999	HNO₄H ⁺ (1.1)	150	0.004, (0.000, 0.003, 0.009)	0.001	0.00039% (637)
m82.0060	82.005	- · · /	150	0.006, (0.000, 0.005, 0.014)	0.001	0.00057% (633)
m83.0503	83.049	$C_5 H_6 OH^+$ (0.2)	150	8.6, (0.27, 6.7, 16.9)	0.189	0.77% (33)
m85.0297	85.029	$C_4 H_4 O_2 H^+$ (0.3)	150	8.2, (0.33, 7.4, 16.3)	0.175	0.74% (35)
m87.0452	87.044	$C_{4}H_{6}O_{2}H^{+}(0.2)$	150	12.9, (0.42, 11.5, 25.4)	0.080	1.15% (19)
m87.9261	87.925	402 ()	150	0.007, (0.000, 0.005, 0.016)	0.002	0.00061% (632)
m87.9629	87.962		150	0.009, (0.000, 0.007, 0.016)	0.001	0.00077% (629)
m97.0297	97.029	$C_5 H_4 O_2 H^+$ (0.2)	250	13.4, (0.88, 13.7, 25.4)	0.193	1.20% (16)
m99.0091	99.008	$C_4 H_2 O_3 H^+$ (0.4), $H_3 N O_5 H^+$ (2.6)	200	20, (0.9, 20, 37)	0.626	1.83% (10)
m99.0449	99.044	$C_5 H_6 O_2 H^+$ (-0.2)	150	22, (0.7, 18, 45)	0.466	1.96% (7)
m101.025	101.024	$C_4 H_4 O_3 H^+$ (0.6)	150	36, (0.3, 32, 77)	0.125	3.23% (2)
m101.061	101.060	$C_5 H_8 O_2 H^+$ (0.2)	150	9.2, (0.12, 8.8, 19.7)	0.460	0.83% (28)
m104.933	104.932	002	150	0.005, (-0.001, 0.003, 0.011)	0.002	0.00043% (635)
m106.939	106.938		150	0.005, (0.000, 0.004, 0.010)	0.002	0.00044% (634)
m111.046	111.045	$C_6 H_6 O_2 H^+$ (0.9)	200	17.6, (0.54, 15.3, 38.0)	0.132	1.57% (12)
m113.026	113.025	$C_5H_4O_3H^+$ (1.7), $CH_5NO_5H^+$ (-2.4)	200	15.3, (0.71, 15.2, 29.3)	0.308	1.37% (14)
m113.060	113.059	$C_{6}H_{8}O_{2}H^{+}(-0.7)$	150	19.4, (0.38, 16.4, 38.8)	0.521	1.74% (11)
m115.040	115.039	$C_5 H_6 O_3 H^+ (0.0), C_8 H_3 N H^+ (1.8)$	150	13.6, (0.04, 12.1, 31.5)	0.132	1.22% (15)
m115.076	115.075	$C_{6}H_{10}O_{2}H^{+}(-0.4)$	150	8.9, (0.09, 7.9, 18.9)	0.099	0.80% (31)
m125.061	125.060	$C_7 H_8 O_2 H^+$ (0.4)	150	12.1, (0.31, 9.3, 25.2)	0.161	1.08% (20)
m127.041	127.040	$C_{6}H_{6}O_{3}H^{+}$ (1.1), $C_{2}H_{7}NO_{5}H^{+}$ (-2.9)	150	8.4, (0.06, 7.8, 17.0)	0.155	0.75% (34)
m127.076	127.075	$C_7 H_{10} O_2 H^+ (-0.2)$	200	20, (0.4, 17, 43)	0.492	1.83% (9)

ACPD 10, 13969-14011, 2010 **Composition of** organic aerosol at remote Mt. Sonnblick R. Holzinger et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Figures **Tables** 14 ►I. ◄ ► Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion $(\mathbf{\hat{n}})$

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper


Discussion Paper

Peak ID	Measured mass [Da]	Possible empirical formulas ^a	Temp largest signal	Mean concentration throughout the campaign [ng/m ³] ^b	LOD [ng/m ³]	Fraction of total burden (rank)
m129.056	129.055	$C_6H_8O_3H^+$ (0.5), $C_9H_5NH^+$ (2.3)	150	11.1, (0.10, 9.2, 24.3)	0.362	0.99% (23)
m139.041	139.040	$C_7H_6O_3H^+$ (1.3), $C_3H_7NO_5H^+$ (-2.8), $C_4H_{10}O_3SH^+$ (-2.0)	150	9.8, (0.39, 8.6, 19.3)	0.183	0.88% (25)
m139.075	139.074	$C_8H_{10}O_2H^+$ (-1.1), $C_3H_{10}N_2O_4H^+$ (3.0)	200	11.5, (0.35, 9.3, 27.4)	0.442	1.03% (21)
m141.056	141.055	$C_7 H_8 O_3 H^+$ (0.7), $C_{10} H_5 N H^+$ (2.5)	150	23, (0.4, 18, 46)	0.261	2.02% (6)
m141.090	141.089	$C_8 H_{12} O_2 H^+ (-1.7)$	150	7.3, (0.10, 5.4, 17.3)	0.074	0.65% (37)
m143.072	143.071	$C_7 H_{10} O_3 H^+$ (1.1), $C_{10} H_7 N H^+$ (2.9)	150	9.5, (0.07, 8.3, 21.0)	0.271	0.85% (26)
m149.026	149.025	C ₈ H ₄ O ₃ H ⁺ (2.2), C ₄ H ₅ NO ₅ H ⁺ (-1.9)	150	9.1, (-0.51, 8.7, 19.8)	0.049	0.82% (29)
m153.057	153.057	$C_8H_8O_3H^+$ (1.9), $C_4H_9NO_5H^+$ (-2.1), $C_5H_{12}O_3SH^+$ (-1.4)	150	6.9, (0.16, 5.6, 14.5)	0.137	0.62% (40)
m153.091	153.091	C ₉ H ₁₂ O ₂ H ⁺ (−0.5)	150	7.8, (0.03, 5.6, 18.1)	0.146	0.70% (36)
m155.072	155.072	$C_8 H_{10} O_3 H^+$ (1.3), $C_4 H_{11} N O_5 H^+$ (-2.8)	150	16.3, (0.09, 12.3, 37.7)	0.140	1.46% (13)
m157.051	157.051	C ₇ H ₈ O ₄ H ⁺ (1.1), C ₁₀ H ₅ NOH ⁺ (2.9)	150	21, (0.0, 16, 49)	0.164	1.86% (8)
m157.085	157.085	C ₈ H ₁₂ O ₃ H ⁺ (-1.3), C ₁₁ H ₉ NH ⁺ (0.5)	150	7.1, (0.05, 5.8, 16.5)	0.374	0.64% (38)
m169.085	169.085	$C_9H_{12}O_3H^+$ (-1.0), $C_{12}H_9NH^+$ (0.8), $C_4H_{12}N_2O_5H^+$ (3.0)	150	9.1, (0.05, 6.4, 21.3)	0.045	0.82% (30)
m171.067	171.067	C ₈ H ₁₀ O ₄ H ⁺ (1.7)	150	26, (0.0, 20, 58)	0.351	2.33% (4)
m181.100	181.100	$\begin{array}{l} C_{14}H_{12}H^{+} \ (-1.0), \\ C_{9}H_{12}N_{2}O_{2}H^{+} \ (3.0), \\ C_{5}H_{13}N_{3}O_{4}H^{+} \ (-1.1) \end{array}$	150	8.8, (0.16, 7.1, 20.6)	0.510	0.79% (32)
m183.083	183.083	$C_{13}H_{10}OH^+$ (2.8), $C_9H_{11}NO_3H^+$ (-1.3), $C_4H_{11}N_3O_5H^+$ (2.7), $C_{10}H_{14}OSH^+$ (-0.6)	150	12.9, (0.15, 7.9, 30.0)	0.138	1.16% (18)
m185.082	185.082	$C_9H_{12}O_4H^+$ (1.4)	150	11.1, (-0.02, 7.3, 26.6)	0.298	0.99% (22)

Table 1. Continued.

^a The difference between the measured mass and the exact ion mass in mDa is given in parenthesis.

^b The 0.1, 0.5, amd 0.9 percentiles are given in parenthesis.



Discussion Paper	ACPD 10, 13969–14011, 2010					
aper Discussion Paper	Composition of organic aerosol at remote Mt. Sonnblick R. Holzinger et al.					
1 Pap	Title Page					
θŕ	Abstract	Introduction				
	Conclusions	References				
Discussion Paper	Tables	Figures				
sion P	I4	۶I				
aper	•	Þ				
	Back	Close				
Discussion Paper	Full Scree					
on Pa	Interactive					
aper	BY					

Table 2. Results of an OC/EC analysis of filter samples and OC values derived from TD-PTR-MS. Units are ng m^{-3} .

		HiVol filter			TD-PTR-MS
Date (2009)	Day	TC	EC	OC	OC
13–20 Jul	193–199	1289	413	876	793
20–27 Jul	200–206	1038	375	664	628
3–10 Aug	214–220	585	216	368	307
10–17 Aug	221–227	716	293	422	261
17–24 Aug	228–234	1431	398	1033	743
24–31 Aug	235–241	1320	401	919	589





Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the setup. If not indicated otherwise, all areas marked in red were operated at high temperatures of 200 °C. Note that during this campaign the gas inlet was NOT heated outside the building.







Fig. 2. TD-PTR-MS data of 3 compounds over period of 24 h. Gas phase measurements are printed in black; when zero-air was supplied to the gas inlet the data are printed in grey (background measurement). Blue and green data indicate that aerosol inlet A and B was analysed, respectively. The lines just above the time axis indicate the times of aerosol sampling, the upper line for inlet A and the lower line for inlet B. Grey lines indicate that the sample flow was directed through the aerosol filter (field blank). The peaks associated with temperature levels during aerosol analysis are clearly visible in the insert.










Fig. 3. Image of raw TOF-MS data covering the signals of five nominal masses (169–173 Da) over a 75 min period starting on 11 August 2009 at 22:35. The data show measurements of an aerosol field blank from inlet "A" and aerosol sample from inlet "B". The color code scales with the logarithm of the counts detected by the mass spectrometer and covers approximately five orders of magnitude. Also shown as vertical lines are the integration boundaries for the peaks from the "unified-peak-list"; the low and high mass margins are printed in black and brown, respectively. Some brown lines are not visible because they are to close to the following black line. The arrow at the x-axis marks the interval of mass 169.085 Da the data of which are also included in the insert of Fig. 2.













Fig. 5. All 1210 mass peaks of the *unified-peak-list*. The hydrocarbons clearly stand out as straight line in the figure. The insert enlarges the C_{29} hydrocarbons. To the left and right of the C_{29} line are the C_{28} and the C_{30} lines, respectively. Oxygenated compounds are below the hydrocarbons on the graph because they are typically lighter ($m_{CH_4}-m_0=36.4$ mDa). Peaks printed in blue or cyan were significantly enhanced in aerosols. Peaks printed in blue and black were identified by their empirical formula; peaks printed in cyan and grey were only identified by their molecular weight.







Fig. 6. Fractional transmission efficiency of the PTR-TOF-MS system.







Fig. 7. Five day time series of compounds detected at nominal masses 169 and 172 (Aerosol inlet B). Data printed in grey represent field blank measurements; data printed in green are aerosol sample data. Solid lines are uncorrected data and the series with symbols have been corrected for the signal from overlapping neighbouring peaks. All four peaks detected at mass 169 are clearly enhanced in aerosols. At mass 172 only two compounds are present in aerosols (masses 172.069 and 172.140 Da). The signals detected at masses 172.047 and 172.175 were entirely attributed to their overlapping neighbours. After the correction their signals were no longer above the field blank measurements.








Fig. 8. Re-calibration of the mass scale. Panel **(a)** shows the difference between the detected mass and the best match with the mass of a pure hydrocarbon. The peaks printed in blue identified hydrocarbon peaks (also see Fig. 5); peaks printed in red and black (open cycles) were identified non-hydrocarbon peaks and unidentified peaks, respectively. The spline function through the points was used to re-calibrate the mass scale. Panel **(b)** shows the same for the re-calibrated mass scale. The accuracy of the detected masses is better than $\pm 3 \text{ mDa}$ (bold lines in panel b).








Fig. 9. Temporal evolution of the concentration of 4 aerosol compounds. The rows represent the concentration measured at CTD-cell temperatures of 100 °C (upper most panels) to 350 °C (bottom panels). Aerosol sample data are printed in green, and field blank data are printed in red. The aerosol signal (i.e. the difference between field blank and aerosol sample data) is printed in black. The grey datasets represent aerosol signals that are not significantly above the detection limit. The black lines around the zero-line represent the detection limit. See text for further discussion.




Fig. 10. Panel **A** shows the sum of all organic compounds, the atomic ratios O/C and N/C, and some meteorological data throughout the campaign. Panel **B** shows a thermogram of the total detected organic material for 3 selected periods which are marked in panel A. The data are normalized to the highest concentration the value (in ng m⁻³) of which is given in the legend. Panel **C** gives the thermogram information for the ratios O/C and N/C for the same three periods.

