## Quantifying pollution inflow and outflow over East Asia through coupling regional and global models

Meiyun Lin<sup>a,\*</sup>, Tracey Holloway<sup>a</sup>, Greg R. Carmichael<sup>b</sup>, Arlene M. Fiore<sup>c</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>Center for Sustainability and the Global Environment, Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>b</sup> Center for Global and Regional Environmental Research, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

<sup>c</sup> NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

Supplementary Figures

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussion

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of EGU.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Email address: mlin26@wisc.edu (Meiyun Lin).



Figure S1. Comparison of MOZART, WRF-Chem and CMAQ anthropogenic (including biomass burning) emissions of CO, NO<sub>x</sub> and ethene during March, in units of moles/km<sup>2</sup>/h. WRF-Chem and CMAQ emissions are provided on a grid with resolution of 36 km x 36 km; MOZART emissions are provided on a grid with resolution  $1.9^{\circ} \times 1.9^{\circ}$ .



Figure S2. Monthly mean isoprene concentrations in CMAQ and WRF-Chem for March 2001.





Figure S3. Comparison of MOZART and WRF-Chem simulated vertical profiles of CO and PAN zonal flux along 140°E. PAN flux is shown as contours from 0.0 to 7.5 by  $0.5 \times 10^{-9}$  moles cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.



(b)

Figure S3.



(c)

## Figure S3.



(d)

Figure S3.



(a) WRF-Chem with CBM-Z chemistry (mean=1.08ppbv)

Figure S4. WRF-Chem calculated European enhancement on surface ozone in East Asia averaged over 1-15 March.



Figure S5. WRF-Chem calculated vertical profiles of European CO (upper panel), NO<sub>2</sub> (middle panel) and O<sub>3</sub> (lower panel) over China (Mt. Hua) and Japan (Mt. Happo) during 1-14 March. The black line shows the boundary layer depth. The hatched area indicates local terrain height.