

Radicals in the marine boundary layer during NEAQS 2004: a model study of day-time and night-time sources and sinks

R. Sommariva^{1,2,*}, H. D. Osthoff^{1,2,**}, S. S. Brown¹, T. S. Bates³, T. Baynard^{1,2,***}, D. Coffman³, J. A. de Gouw^{1,2}, P. D. Goldan², W. C. Kuster¹, B. M. Lerner^{1,2}, H. Stark^{1,2}, C. Warneke^{1,2}, E. J. Williams^{1,2}, F. C. Fehsenfeld², A. R. Ravishankara¹, and M. Trainer¹

¹Earth System Research Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO, USA

²CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

³Pacific Marine Environment Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA, USA

^{*}now at: School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

** now at: Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

*** now at: Lockheed Martin Coherent Technologies, Longmont, CO, USA

Received: 10 July 2008 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 3 September 2008 Revised: 7 April 2009 – Accepted: 6 May 2009 – Published: 13 May 2009

Abstract. This paper describes a modelling study of several HO_x and NO_x species (OH, HO_2 , organic peroxy radicals, NO_3 and N_2O_5) in the marine boundary layer. A model based upon the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) was constrained to observations of chemical and physical parameters made onboard the NOAA ship R/V *Brown* as part of the New England Air Quality Study (NEAQS) in the summer of 2004. The model was used to calculate [OH] and to determine the composition of the peroxy radical pool. Modelled [NO₃] and [N₂O₅] were compared to in-situ measurements by Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy. The comparison showed that the model generally overestimated the measurements by 30–50%, on average.

The model results were analyzed with respect to several chemical and physical parameters, including uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ on fog droplets and on aerosol, dry deposition of NO₃ and N₂O₅, gas-phase hydrolysis of N₂O₅ and reactions of NO₃ with NMHCs and peroxy radicals. The results suggest that fog, when present, is an important sink for N₂O₅ via rapid heterogeneous uptake. The comparison between the model and the measurements were consistent with values of the heterogeneous uptake coefficient of N₂O₅ ($\gamma_{N_2O_5}$)>1×10⁻², independent of aerosol composition in this marine environment. The analysis of the different loss processes of the nitrate radical showed the important role of



Correspondence to: S. S. Brown (steven.s.brown@noaa.gov)

the organic peroxy radicals, which accounted for a significant fraction (median: 15%) of NO₃ gas-phase removal, particularly in the presence of high concentrations of dimethyl sulphide (DMS).

1 Introduction

Production and loss of radical species control the oxidation of tropospheric trace gases, such as CO, CH₄ and Non Methane Hydrocarbons (NMHCs). The sources of these radicals vary greatly within a diurnal cycle. OH, which is mostly derived from O_3 photolysis, is a dominant oxidant during day-time, while NO₃ is an important oxidant of certain species during the night. O₃ itself serves as an oxidant for some classes of compounds, such as alkenes and dialkenes, at all times of day. In marine environments, atomic chlorine (Cl) may also play a role, though its sources and production rates are less certain.

 NO_3 is formed by the reaction of ozone and nitrogen dioxide (Reaction R1), but is present in significant concentrations only during the night, since it reacts with NO and undergoes rapid photolysis (Wayne et al., 1991). NO_3 reacts with NO_2 in a thermal equilibrium process (R2) to form N_2O_5 (Wayne et al., 1991; Osthoff et al., 2007).

 $NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2 \tag{R1}$

$$NO_3 + NO_2 \rightleftharpoons N_2O_5$$
 (R2)



Fig. 1. Map of the Northeast of the United States showing the track of the R/V *Brown* during the NEAQS 2004 cruise (13 July–12 August).

 NO_3 reacts mainly with alkenes, aldehydes, some aromatics and dimethyl sulphide (Atkinson and Arey, 2003) to produce peroxy and nitro-peroxy radicals. The subsequent reactions of these peroxy radicals are mainly with HO_2 , RO_2 and NO_3 itself, since NO is generally absent at night (Platt et al., 1990; Allan et al., 2000; Atkinson and Arey, 2003).

Many aspects of night-time chemistry, such as the connection between the HO_x and NO_3 cycles, the interaction between the gas and the aerosol phases and the sinks for NO_3 and N_2O_5 , are still uncertain. The objective of this work was to use a detailed chemical box-model to test the current understanding of the chemical processes in the marine boundary layer at night, with particular attention to the loss processes of NO_3 and N_2O_5 .

This paper presents model calculations of the concentrations of OH and NO₃ from a ship-based field campaign (NEAQS 2004); the main focus of the work was on nighttime radical chemistry, principally NO₃ (and, by extension, N₂O₅), since there were in-situ measurements of these species that could be compared with the model results. This paper also presents calculations of HO₂ and organic peroxy radicals (RO₂) that provide estimates of the concentration of these radicals throughout the campaign.

The NEAQS (New England Air Quality Study) 2004 campaign took place in the Northeast United States during the summer of 2004. It was part of a larger international campaign (International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation, ICARTT), the aim of which was to study air quality in the northeastern US and the transport and evolution of pollutants across the North Atlantic. A complete overview of the campaign and of the measurements is presented in Fehsenfeld et al. (2006).

The focus of this work was on the cruise of the NOAA research vessel Ronald H. Brown (R/V *Brown*), between 13

July and 12 August 2004. The R/V *Brown* cruised throughout the Gulf of Maine, along the coasts of Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Maine and Nova Scotia and inside Boston harbor (Fig. 1). Both relatively unpolluted air masses from the North Atlantic and polluted air masses from the East coast of the United States and Canada were sampled, as well as biomass burning plumes that had been transported across the North American continent (Warneke et al., 2006).

Section 2 of this paper describes the model. In Sect. 3 the modelled concentrations of OH, HO₂ and RO₂ and the composition of the peroxy radical pool are described. In Sect. 4 the model results for NO₃ and N₂O₅ are compared with the measurements and the discrepancy is investigated. In Sect. 5 the model response to some key uncertainties of the NO₃ and N₂O₅ loss mechanisms are discussed. In Sect. 6 the gas-phase chemistry of NO₃ and its interaction with RO₂ under different conditions are investigated.

2 The MCM box-model

The model was built according to the procedure outlined in Carslaw et al. (1999); Sommariva et al. (2006) using a chemical mechanism taken from the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM, version 3.1). The MCM is an explicit chemical mechanism for tropospheric chemistry, which contains the detailed degradation schemes of 135 NMHCs, plus an inorganic chemistry mechanism taken from the IUPAC Gas Kinetics Data Evaluation (Atkinson et al., 2003). The mechanism can be downloaded at http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/ and the details of the MCM can be found in the protocol papers by Jenkin et al. (1997, 2003); Saunders et al. (2003).

In this work, a subset of the MCM containing 88 NMHCs plus CH_4 and CO was used. The dimethyl sulphide (DMS) oxidation mechanism is not included in the MCM, so the same DMS mechanism used in previous work (Carslaw et al., 1999, 2002; Sommariva et al., 2004, 2006) was added to the model. This DMS mechanism is based upon the works of Yin et al. (1990a,b); Koga and Tanaka (1993); Turnipseed et al. (1996) with many of the rate coefficients updated as in Jenkin et al. (1996).

In addition to the gas-phase mechanism, the model included uptake of gas-phase species on aerosol. The uptake of a gas molecule on a particle is described by Eq. (1) (Fuchs and Sutugin, 1970):

$$k_{\text{het}} = \frac{N\pi r^2 \bar{c}\gamma}{1 + \gamma \left[\frac{0.75 + 0.2983K_n}{K_n(K_n + 1)}\right]} \tag{1}$$

$$\simeq N\pi r^2 \bar{c}\gamma = \frac{A}{4}\bar{c}\gamma \tag{2}$$

where *N* is the particle number density, *r* is the particle radius (μ m), \bar{c} is the mean molecular speed of the gas (cm s⁻¹), γ is the uptake coefficient and $K_n = \frac{\lambda}{r}$ is the Knudsen number (λ is the mean free path of the gas in μ m). When the particle radius is much smaller than the mean free path of the

gas $(K_n \rightarrow \infty)$, Eq. (1) can be approximated to Eq. (2), where *A* is the total aerosol surface area density ($\mu m^2 cm^{-3}$). This approximation is valid when most of the aerosol surface area is in the sub-micron fraction, which was the case during the R/V *Brown* cruise (Quinn et al., 2006). Heterogeneous uptake of 34 gas-phase species was assumed to be irreversible and calculated using Eq. (2). For some species, a value of γ could not be found in the literature, so the mass accommodation coefficient (α), which takes into account only the accommodation component of the uptake process, was used instead (Carslaw et al., 1999, 2002; Sommariva et al., 2004, 2006).

The model also included dry deposition terms $(k=V_d/h)$, where V_d is the deposition velocity in cm s⁻¹ and h is the boundary layer height in cm) for O₃, NO₂, SO₂, HNO₃, hydroperoxides, organic nitrates and carbonyls, as in previous MCM models (Carslaw et al., 1999, 2002; Sommariva et al., 2004, 2006). The boundary layer height was set to a constant 100 m for the entire campaign, based on sonde measurements made onboard the R/V *Brown* (Angevine et al., 2006).

The model was constrained to the measured values of CO, CH₄, NO, NO₂, O₃, SO₂, H₂O, 88 NMHCs, j(O¹D), j(NO₂), j(NO₃), temperature, pressure, sun declination, latitude and longitude. The total aerosol surface area was calculated from the aerosol number-size distributions in the diameter range of 0.02–10 µ m measured at relative humidity (RH) of 60% and corrected with a calculated RH-dependent growth factor (Tang, 1997; Cruz and Pandis, 2000).

Methane, formaldehyde and molecular hydrogen were not measured on the R/V Brown during NEAOS 2004. Measurements of CH₄ taken at the University of New Hampshire Observing Station at Thompson Farm (near Durham, NH, close to the study area; Fig. 1) were used in the model. During the period of the campaign, the average [CH₄] measured at Thompson Farm was 1869.3±85.2 ppb. Formaldehyde was estimated using a relationship with measured acetaldehyde $(1.25 \times [CH_3CHO] + 0.46$, with CH₃CHO in ppb) based upon the measurements in a marine environment by Still et al. (2006). $[H_2]$ was set to a representative Northern Hemisphere concentration of 500 ppb (Heard et al., 2006). Most of the NMHCs were measured by Gas Chromatography coupled with Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS, Goldan et al., 2004) and some oxygenated compounds (such as acetic acid) by Proton Transfer Mass Spectrometry (PIT-MS, Warneke et al., 2005). Since the GC-MS could not resolve all the isomers of xylenes and ethyl-methyl-benzenes, the ratio between m-xylene and p-xylene and the ratio between 1ethyl-3-methyl-benzene and 1-ethyl-4-methyl-benzene were assumed to be 1:1. Test model runs showed that the assumptions and estimates on the concentrations of CH₄, H₂, HCHO, xylenes and ethyl-methyl-benzenes did not affect the concentrations of the species of interest (OH, HO₂, RO₂, NO_3 , N_2O_5) in a significant way. The largest impact was observed on day-time species and was due to methane and formaldehyde: under the conditions of NEAQS 2004, changing [CH₄] by $\pm 10\%$ resulted, on average, in a variation of <3% for OH and CH₃O₂, while changing [HCHO] by $\pm 10\%$ resulted in a variation of <2% for OH and <5% for HO₂.

The photolysis rates of HONO, HNO₃, H₂O₂, CH₃OOH, HCHO, CH₃CHO and CH₃COCH₃ were calculated using empirical correlations with other measured photolysis rates. Parameters for these specific photolysis rates were developed by comparing a linear combination of $j(NO_2)$ and $j(O^1D)$ to the photolysis rates calculated from measured actinic flux, literature absorption spectra and quantum yields, as most absorption spectra of photochemically important molecules are spectrally located between the regions where O₃ and NO₂ photolyze (H. Stark, personal communication).

The GC-MS sampled for 5 min every half an hour. All the other model constraints were averaged over 5 min every 30 min, so that all model inputs had the same frequency of the GC-MS data. Since radicals are short-lived, their concentrations are determined by the in-situ strengths of their sources and sinks rather than by transport. This assumption is valid for ground-based measurements and for platforms moving at slow speeds, such as a ship. Hence, the concentrations of radicals were calculated every 30 min from the measured variables and from the concentrations of the intermediate species, calculated at each step starting from their value on the previous step. The model was integrated using the FACSIMILE software package (http://www.mcpa-software. com/).

The model was used to calculate [OH], [HO₂] and organic peroxy radicals (RO₂), none of which were measured during the campaign, and to calculate [NO₃] and [N₂O₅], which were compared to the in-situ measurements by Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (CaRDS, Dubé et al., 2006; Osthoff et al., 2006). The model results and the explicit chemistry of the MCM were then used to study the response of modelled NO₃ and N₂O₅ to several key kinetic parameters and to analyze the sinks of NO₃ and N₂O₅, as described in the following sections.

3 Modelled concentrations of OH, HO₂ and RO₂

Since OH is the dominant day-time oxidant, an estimate of its concentration is critical to the interpretation of field measurements of other trace gases. Direct measurements of OH were not available from the set of instruments on the R/V *Brown* during NEAQS 2004. The concentrations of OH, together with the concentrations of HO₂ and RO₂, were calculated for the 26 days of the R/V *Brown* cruise using the MCM model. These calculations serve to provide estimates for hydroxyl and peroxy radical levels, but also as a comparison to another, simpler parametrization that has been used in previous studies to calculate ambient OH in the absence of measurements.



Fig. 2. Measured O_3 photolysis rates and NO_2 (top graphs) together with modelled and parametrized OH (bottom graphs) during the R/V *Brown* cruise.



Fig. 3. [OH] calculated with the Ehhalt parametrization vs. [OH] calculated with the MCM model. The black line is the fit and the red line is 1:1.

3.1 OH

Several approaches, besides the use of box-models, have been developed to estimate the concentration of OH in the absence of measurements. Carslaw et al. (2000) derived a steady-state solution for OH and HO₂ from a reduced boxmodel and similar steady-state methods have been used by other groups (see Savage et al., 2001, and references therein). A simpler approach is to use a linear relationship between [OH] and the solar zenith angle (Hanisco et al., 2001) or with $j(O^1D)$ (see Rohrer and Berresheim, 2006, and references therein). Ehhalt and Rohrer (2000) proposed a more sophisticated parametrization involving $j(O^1D)$, $j(NO_2)$ and $[NO_2]$, based on the measurements made during the POPCORN (Plant Emitted Compounds and OH Radicals in Northeastern Germany) 1994 campaign in a remote rural site in Germany:[2mm]

$$[OH] = aj(O^{1}D)^{\alpha}j(NO_{2})^{\beta}\frac{b[NO_{2}] + 1}{c[NO_{2}]^{2} + d[NO_{2}] + 1}$$
(3)

[2mm] where a, b, c, d, α and β are empirical parameters derived by fitting Eq. (3) to the observations. Although the Ehhalt parametrization was not intended to provide a calculation of OH valid for all environments, it has often been used as such because of its simplicity. For example, it has been used for data interpretation during previous studies (e.g. Warneke et al., 2004; Ambrose et al., 2007; Stark et al., 2007). Models based on the MCM in past studies (e.g. Carslaw et al., 1999; Sommariva et al., 2004, 2006) typically showed agreement with measurements in the MBL and have been able to reproduce measured [OH] to within 40%. A previous study with a similar model showed that constraining the model to measured acetaldehyde, methanol and acetone resulted in better agreement with measured OH (Sommariva et al., 2006). In this work, the "base" model was constrained to 9 additional oxygenated compounds (acetic acid, ethanol, i-propanol, propanal, butanal, pentanal, methyl ethyl ketone, methacrolein and methyl vinyl ketone), which should further improve the model performance. The estimated uncertainty of the model for OH is 30-40% (Sommariva et al., 2004).

The use of a detailed model constrained to the in-situ measurements of other atmospheric components should, in principle, give a more precise estimate of the OH concentration than an empirical parametrization (e.g. Ehhalt, Eq. 3), especially in environments with different conditions from those upon which the parametrization has been derived (e.g. the POPCORN campaign, in an environment that was comparatively unpolluted but rich in biogenic compounds). In the absence of measurements, it is not possible to definitively assess the accuracy of either the calculation or the parametrization. However, the comparison with the results of a detailed chemical model can give some measure of the parametrization is shown in Fig. 2 together with [OH] calculated by the MCM model. While occasionally lower than



Fig. 4. Modelled HO₂ and RO₂ during the R/V Brown cruise.

the modelled OH (such as on 22 July), parameterized OH was typically higher than modelled OH, by about 20–40% (Fig. 3).

3.2 HO₂ and RO₂

Modelled concentrations of peroxy radicals (HO₂ and RO₂) are shown in Fig. 4. The composition of the total peroxy radical pool (HO₂+RO₂) changed throughout the cruise and was different during the day and the night because of the different sources, formation and loss mechanisms of these radicals. The two most abundant peroxy radicals were typically HO₂ and CH₃O₂. Figure 5 shows the fraction of HO₂ and CH₃O₂ in the total peroxy radical pool (HO₂+RO₂). On average, during the day HO₂ accounted for about 50–70% and CH₃O₂ for about 20% of total peroxy radicals. At night, HO₂ accounted for only 10% or less of total peroxy radicals (Fig. 5), due to the faster decay of HO₂ in the absence of NO (the rate coefficient of HO₂+HO₂ is approximately 7 times larger than the rate coefficient of CH₃O₂+CH₃O₂).

The modelled organic peroxy radical (RO_2) pool was composed of 795 organic peroxy radicals and was complex and variable, depending on the concentrations of the different NMHCs precursors. The single most important component was always CH_3O_2 (Fig. 5). During the day, CH_3O_2 accounted for 30–60% of the organic peroxy radicals, while at night it accounted for 20–40%.

The most important formation pathways of CH_3O_2 during the day were the reactions of CH_4 with OH and of $CH_3C(O)O_2$ (mostly from acetaldehyde reaction with OH) with NO, which together typically accounted for about 80% of the total day-time production of CH_3O_2 . The relative importance of these two reactions was variable, with some days



Fig. 5. Diurnally averaged modelled fractions of HO₂, CH₃O₂ in total peroxy radicals (HO₂+RO₂) and of CH₃O₂, CH₃SCH₂O₂ in organic peroxy radicals (RO₂) during the R/V *Brown* cruise. The error bars are $1-\sigma$.

when either one contributed up to a factor of 2 more than the other and other days when the two reactions contributed almost equally to the formation of CH_3O_2 . Another major contribution was the decomposition of the methylsulphonyl radical ($CH_3\dot{S}O_2$), a product of DMS oxidation (Barnes et al.,



Fig. 6. Modelled ("base" model) and measured NO_3 concentrations (top graphs), modelled and calculated (with the steady-state expression, Eq. 4) NO_3 concentrations (bottom graphs) during the R/V *Brown* cruise.

2006), which could account for up to 10% of the total daytime CH_3O_2 production rate. During the night, this route was the single most important formation pathway for CH_3O_2 , and accounted for almost all of CH_3O_2 production on the nights with large DMS concentrations.

Besides CH_3O_2 , the composition of the RO_2 pool reflected the relative concentrations of anthropogenic or biogenic compounds. Since the measurements were taken in a marine environment, the products of the nocturnal oxidation of DMS, the most important of which was the $CH_3SCH_2O_2$ radical, were always significant contributors to the organic radical pool. During the day $CH_3SCH_2O_2$ was a minor component of the RO_2 pool, less than 5%, but during the night it often accounted for a large fraction of the organic peroxy radicals (20–60%, Fig. 5). The composition of the peroxy radical pool during some selected nights will be discussed in detailed in Sect. 6, in relation with the chemistry of the nitrate radical.



Fig. 7. Modelled vs. measured and calculated (with the steadystate expression, Eq. 4) vs. modelled NO_3 concentrations during the R/V *Brown* cruise. The black lines are the fits and the red lines are 1:1.

4 Modelled and measured concentrations of NO_3 and N_2O_5

In-situ measurements of NO₃ and N₂O₅ by Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (CaRDS) were taken onboard the R/V *Brown* (Osthoff et al., 2006, 2009). Measurements taken with a similar instrument during a previous campaign in the same area (NEAQS 2002) could be compared only to the results of a steady-state model, described further below (Aldener et al., 2006). Here, we compare the measurements of NO₃ and N₂O₅ to the results of the MCM model for all the 27 nights of the cruise.

4.1 Model-measurements comparison

The modelled and measured concentrations of NO₃ during the NEAQS 2004 R/V *Brown* cruise are shown in Fig. 6. The agreement between the model and the measurements was variable, although the model overestimated the measured concentrations of NO₃ and N₂O₅ by 30–50%, on average. Modelled and measured N₂O₅ showed the same level of agreement as NO₃. Although the model tended in general to reproduce the measured level of NO₃ on many nights (Fig. 6), the correlation between the model and the measurements was rather poor (r^2 =0.49). The scatter plot in Fig. 3.2 clearly shows that the ratio between the model and the measurement was not constant and was higher at lower concentrations of NO₃ ($<2\times10^8$ molecule cm⁻³). At higher concentrations, the ratio between the model and the measurements was closer to one, but the data were very scattered, indicating that the model did not always reproduce the variation in the observations.

While the reasons for the lack of correlation are not entirely clear, one potential issue is the vertical stratification within the shallow (100 m) nocturnal marine boundary layer. Under such conditions, vertical gradients and transport might play an important role (Jones et al., 2005), as demonstrated, for example, in the one-dimensional modelling work by (Geyer and Stutz, 2004). Such vertical gradients could lead to differences between the zero-dimensional MCM model and the measured NO3 and N2O5. The zero-dimensional model assumed that the air within this boundary layer was mixed on the time scale of the chemical transformation of the relevant species. We note only that zero-dimensional approaches have been successful in describing NO_x loss budgets and NO₃ and N₂O₅ sink budgets in previous analyses of field measurements from the same region (Brown et al., 2004; Aldener et al., 2006).

On some nights (e.g. 15, 18, 19, 20 July and 1, 4, 5, 6, 9 August) the measurements of NO3 were below the detection limit of the instrument $(2.6 \times 10^7 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3})$. The model, however, calculated concentration of NO3 up to an order of magnitude higher than the instrument's detection limit (Fig. 6). On many of these nights, fog was present. An estimate of the fog surface area was made using observations from the Aerosol Extinction Cavity Ring-Down Spectrometer (Baynard et al., 2007). The uptake rates of NO₃ and N_2O_5 on fog droplets were calculated with Eq. (1) assuming a monodisperse distribution of droplets with radius of 7.5 µm and using uptake coefficients (γ) of NO₃ and N₂O₅ on pure water droplets (0.0002 and 0.04, respectively Rudich et al., 1996; VanDoren et al., 1990). The model was then run with the additional constraint of uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ on fog ("fog" model in Fig. 8).

Figure 8 shows the impact of fog on modelled NO₃ on the night of 29 July. The "fog" model was able to reproduce the measurements, while the "base" model overestimated them by up to 80% (Fig. 8). The impact of fog on modelled [NO₃] was mostly driven by the uptake of N₂O₅ on the fog droplets. As already noted by Osthoff et al. (2006), the uptake of N₂O₅ on fog droplets was extremely rapid and, because of the difference in the uptake coefficients, about two orders of magnitude faster than the uptake of NO₃. The estimated fog surface area was up to $5 \times 10^5 \,\mu \,m^2 \,cm^{-3}$ and the effective pseudo first-order rate coefficient for the uptake of N₂O₅ on fog droplets (Eq. 1) was up to $1.5 \, s^{-1}$. While our estimate



Fig. 8. Modelled ("base" and "fog" model) and measured NO_3 on 29 July and fog surface area calculated assuming monodisperse droplets with a radius of 7.5 μ m.

of the fog surface area is quite uncertain, the uptake on fog droplets would still be much faster than all the other loss processes for N_2O_5 for even a factor of 2–3 change in the estimated fog surface area.

One potential reason for the discrepancy between the model and the measurements is that the modelling approach (Sect. 2) might not be suitable for species with relatively long lifetimes, such as NO₃ and N₂O₅. If the time bewteen two consecutive model inputs (30 min) was not enough to allow NO₃ and N₂O₅ to reach a steady-state, the model results would not be reliable. In order to investigate this issue, the concentrations of NO₃ and N₂O₅ calculated with the MCM model were compared to the concentrations calculated by assuming a steady-state with respect to the production and loss of the two species.

The steady-state expressions (Eq. 4, where k_{NO_3} and $k_{N_2O_5}$ are the effective pseudo first-order rate coefficients for the NO₃ and N₂O₅ sinks and K_{eq} is the equilibrium constant of Reaction R2) have been discussed previously in Brown et al. (2003a) and the details of the steady-state calculations made for the R/V *Brown* NEAQS cruise can be found in Osthoff et al. (2006). It is important to note that the steady-state calculations used only measured NMHCs to calculate the sinks of NO₃ (k_{NO_3}) and, therefore, deviations from the steady-state are expected when part of the NO₃ reactivity was due to second-generation oxidation products not measured on the R/V *Brown*.

$$[NO_3]_{ss} = \frac{k_3[NO_2][O_3]}{k_{NO_3} + k_{N_2O_5}K_{eq}[NO_2]}$$
(4)
$$[N_2O_5]_{ss} = K_{eq}[NO_2][NO_3]_{ss}$$

The steady-state approach has been widely used in previous studies to interpret the measurements of NO_3 and N_2O_5 (e.g. Platt et al., 1984; Allan et al., 1999, 2000; Brown et al., 2003b; Vrekoussis et al., 2004; Aldener et al., 2006) and the



Fig. 9. NO₃ model-measurements discrepancy as a function of selected physical and chemical parameters (ASA=Aerosol Surface Area). The aerosol NO₃⁻ measurements are from the Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (Quinn et al., 2006).

purpose of this comparison is to assess how it compares to a more complete chemical model. There are two key differences between the MCM model and the steady-state calculations. First, the MCM includes several thousand species, whose concentration is calculated by the model from their measured precursors. About a thousand of these species react with NO₃, but were not included in the pseudo first-order loss rate coefficient for NO₃ (k_{NO_2} in Eq. 4) because they were not measured. Second, the MCM model does not assume that NO₃ is in steady-state (see Sect. 2 for details on the model integration), and therefore it is not susceptible to the breakdown of the steady-state approximation, particularly in the period just after sunset when the system requires an induction period for NO3 and N2O5 levels to reach their steadystate values. Figure 6 shows that the steady-state calculations were consistently higher than the MCM model, typically by a factor between 1.3 and 1.5.

The correlation between the steady-state calculation and the MCM model was good (r^2 =0.92, Fig. 3.2), indicating that the two calculations only disagreed on the absolute values of NO₃ concentrations, likely related to missing terms in the steady-state expressions. The time to approach steady-state (calculated by a box model, Brown et al., 2003a; Aldener et al., 2006) for the average conditions of temperature, NO₂, O₃ and predicted sink rate coefficients of NO₃ and N_2O_5 based on NMHCs and aerosol measurements, respectively, was less than one hour. Thus, although the steady state calculation may not have included all of the possible reactions of NO_3 and may therefore have underestimated sinks, the approximation itself was likely valid for most of the night-time NEAQS 2004 data.

4.2 Model-measurements discrepancy

The discrepancy between the model and the measurements was investigated by plotting the (modelmeasurements)/measurements ratio vs. selected chemical and physical parameters. A selection of these plots for NO_3 is shown in Fig. 9. The data were filtered to exclude day-time and the periods with fog; measurements lower than twice the detection limit were also omitted.

The model-measurements discrepancy showed a weak inverse correlation with the toluene/benzene ratio, a measure of the photochemical age of the air mass, suggesting that the agreement between the model and the measurements is better in photochemically young air masses or in freshly emitted plumes (Fig. 9). Such air masses tend to have higher NO_x levels and thus faster production of NO₃ radicals (Reaction R1). This is also consistent with the weak negative correlation with acetaldehyde (not shown in Fig. 9), a product of photochemical NMHCs oxidation.

The most clearly identifiable trend was the positive correlation between the model-measurement discrepancy and DMS concentration (Fig. 9). The rate coefficient used in the model for the NO3+DMS reaction is consistent with the latest recommendation (Atkinson et al., 2003), which has an estimated uncertainty of 40%. The positive correlation might therefore indicate an incomplete understanding of the DMS oxidation mechanism by NO₃, particularly in the treatment of some of the night-time oxidation products. It must be noted that while the first steps of DMS oxidation are comparatively well known, there are still many uncertainties in the the distribution and the fate of the oxidation products (Barnes et al., 2006; Stark et al., 2007; Osthoff et al., 2009). The (model-measurements)/measurements ratio did not show a correlation with the concentrations of other primary NMHCs of anthropogenic (e.g. 1-butene) or biogenic (e.g. isoprene) origin (Fig. 9).

Besides gas-phase reactions, the most important removal pathway for the NO₃-N₂O₅ system is the uptake on aerosol, which depends on two parameters, the aerosol surface area and the uptake coefficient, $\gamma_{N_2O_5}$ (Eq. 2). There was no correlation between the model-measurement discrepancy and the total aerosol surface area (Fig. 9).

The value of the uptake coefficient of N₂O₅ on sub-micron aerosol, which constituted most of the aerosol surface area during NEAQS 2004 (Quinn et al., 2006), is known to depend on the aerosol composition and RH, although there is some discrepancy in the literature regarding the RH dependence (e.g. Hu and Abbatt, 1997; Kane et al., 2001; Hallquist et al., 2003; Thornton et al., 2003; Badger et al., 2006; Davis et al., 2008). However, in this work there was no clear relationship between the model-measurements discrepancy and relative humidity or aerosol composition, aside from a weak negative correlation with NO_3^- (Fig. 9). Although this correlation could indicate reduced N2O5 uptake at higher nitrate concentrations in aerosol (Mentel et al., 1999; Hallquist et al., 2003), it is difficult to interpret and is possibly correlated with other variables, such as the photochemical age of the air mass. Thus, the model-measurement comparison could not identify an obvious trend that could be related to the variability in the rate of N₂O₅ heterogeneous hydrolysis.

The sensitivity of the model results to the uptake coefficients of NO_3 and N_2O_5 will be discussed in more detail in the following section (Sect. 5).

5 Model sensitivity to selected NO₃ and N₂O₅ sinks

This section examines several key uncertainties in the loss processes for NO_3 and N_2O_5 , including the homogeneous and heterogeneous hydrolysis of N_2O_5 , the heterogeneous uptake of NO_3 on aerosol, the dry deposition of NO_3 and N_2O_5 to the ocean surface. The response of the model to these uncertainties was investigated by changing the model parameters and comparing the results with the "base" model.

5.1 N₂O₅ homogeneous and heterogeneous hydrolysis

Several laboratory experiments (see Atkinson et al., 2003, and references therein) have suggested that homogeneous gas-phase hydrolysis of N2O5 to HNO3 has a rate coefficient sufficient to make the process important in the atmosphere. The rate coefficient is small, but the reaction is parameterized as the sum of a first $(k_{[H_2O]}=2.5\times10^{-22} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and second $(k_{[H_2O]}=1.8\times10^{-39} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ order process in H_2O , whose ambient concentration is large, so the effective pseudo first-order rate coefficient is significant (Mentel et al., 1996; Wahner et al., 1998). Homogenous hydrolysis is included in the IUPAC Gas Kinetics Data Evaluation (Atkinson et al., 2003) and, as such, was part of the "base" model. Some previous studies (Aldener et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2006) have suggested that the kinetics of the homogeneous hydrolysis is inconsistent with field data, while others (e.g. Ambrose et al., 2007) have shown better agreement between measurements and calculations if homogeneous hydrolysis was included.

Except for the periods of the R/V *Brown* cruise with fog, uptake on sub-micron aerosol was always the most important loss processes of N₂O₅, with a loss rate between 1×10^5 and 5×10^6 molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹. The reaction rate of N₂O₅ homogeneous hydrolysis was, on average, about half that of the aerosol uptake, with the second order (in H₂O) component about 3–4 times larger than the first order component. Because it is impossible to distinguish between homogeneous and heterogeneous processes in the comparison between the measurements and the model, the impact of this process is assessed here only by comparisons between model runs using different parametrizations for each reaction. The results are illustrated in Fig. 10, which compares the test models with the "base" model.

When $k_{[H_2O]^2}$ was set to zero, modelled [N₂O₅] increased by 27% (not shown in Fig. 10) and when both $k_{[H_2O]}$ and $k_{[H_2O]^2}$ were set to zero, modelled [N₂O₅] increased by 38%, on average (Fig. 10a). In the presence of homogeneous hydrolysis, the model was less sensitive to changes in $\gamma_{N_2O_5}$. Increasing $\gamma_{N_2O_5}$ from the "base" value of 0.03 (Aldener et al., 2006) to 0.06 (Kane et al., 2001) and decreasing it to 0.004 (Badger et al., 2006), caused modelled [N₂O₅] to decrease by 31% and increase by 66%, respectively (Fig. 10b and c).

Since the "base" model, which included N₂O₅ homogeneous hydrolysis, generally overestimated the measurements, a value of $\gamma_{N_2O_5} < 0.03$ would increase the average discrepancy with the measurements (on the occasions when the model underestimated the measurements, a value of $\gamma_{N_2O_5} \simeq 0.004$ could result in a better agreement with the measurements). On the other hand, neglecting N₂O₅ homogeneous hydrolysis would cause a much larger overestimation of the measurements, unless it was compensated by a faster uptake on sub-micron aerosol, inconsistent with the



Fig. 10. Test models vs. "base" model ($[N_2O_5]$ in molecule cm⁻³). The black line is the fit and the red line is 1:1.



Fig. 11. Campaign averaged percent contributions to the total NO_3/N_2O_5 loss rate during the R/V *Brown* cruise.

laboratory studies which all suggest that $\gamma_{N_2O_5} < 0.06$ (see Thornton et al., 2003; Folkers et al., 2003; Anttila et al., 2006; Badger et al., 2006; McNeill et al., 2006; Park et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2008, and references therein).

The model response to variations in the value of $\gamma_{N_2O_5}$ suggests that this parameter was not responsible for the model-measurements discrepancy (see also Sect. 4.2) and that, under the conditions encountered during NEAQS 2004, the value of $\gamma_{N_2O_5}$ was, on average, $>1 \times 10^{-2}$. Values of

 $\gamma_{N_2O_5}$ of the order of 10^{-3} could in general be excluded, particularly if N_2O_5 homogeneous hydrolysis were to be neglected.

These conclusions are in broad accord with previous surface studies in marine environments, all of which found rapid losses of N_2O_5 and identified an important role for N_2O_5 hydrolysis in the budgets of NO_3 and N_2O_5 (e.g. Allan et al., 1999, 2000; Brown et al., 2004; Vrekoussis et al., 2004; Aldener et al., 2006; Ambrose et al., 2007). It must be noted, however, that the limited database of measurements taken above the nocturnal and/or marine boundary layers have shown greater variability in the N_2O_5 loss processes and longer lifetimes for NO_3 and N_2O_5 at higher altitudes (Allan et al., 2002; Stutz et al., 2004; Brown et al., 2006, 2007a,b).

5.2 NO₃ heterogeneous uptake, NO₃ and N₂O₅ dry deposition

The model was much less sensitive to the heterogeneous uptake of NO₃. The value of γ_{NO_3} was increased by a factor of 50 from the "base" value of 0.002 (Thomas et al., 1998) to 0.1 (Mak et al., 2007) to cover a range of possible values. This led to a decrease in modelled [N₂O₅] by only 20% in the presence (Fig. 10d) and a slight increase (5%) in the absence (Fig. 10e) of homogeneous hydrolysis. Therefore, even though the uptake coefficient for NO₃ may be poorly



Fig. 12. Position and track of the R/V *Brown* with average local wind direction during four nights of the NEAQS 2004 campaign (16 July, 2, 3 and 5 August). The pie charts show the average (03:00-06:00 a.m. GMT) percent contribution to NO₃ direct loss rate of different species during the four nights. The peroxy radicals contributions are highlighted in red.

characterized from the available laboratory data, it did not, at least in this study, have a large impact on the loss rates of NO₃ and N₂O₅. Under conditions with weak N₂O₅ losses, however, the importance of the heterogeneous uptake of NO₃ might be larger.

In the "base" model NO₃ and N₂O₅ did not undergo dry deposition. In a test model, both $V_{d(NO_3)}$ and $V_{d(N_2O_5)}$ were set to 1.0 cm s⁻¹, similar to the deposition velocity of HNO₃ found by Brown et al. (2004) in a shallow marine boundary layer. This resulted in a decrease of the calculated concentrations of N₂O₅ by only 6% (Fig. 10f), suggesting that this process was not very significant under the NEAQS 2004 conditions. Deposition to the surface of NO₃ and N₂O₅ was calculated using a constant boundary layer height of 100 m. While this is a reasonable approximation and consistent with the sonde data for most of the R/V *Brown* cruise (i.e. when the R/V *Brown* was in the open sea), it was not necessarily true when the ship was closer to the coast, where the boundary layer height could be more variable and more shallow

layers might be present (Angevine et al., 2006). In those cases, deposition to the surface of NO_3 and N_2O_5 might have played a more important role.

6 NO₃ chemistry and peroxy radical interactions

The explicit chemistry of the MCM allowed for a detailed analysis of the gas-phase losses of NO₃, with particular focus on the reactivity between NO₃ and organic peroxy radicals (RO₂), a significant uncertainty in the fate of NO₃. Using the results from the "base" model, the relative importance of the reactions that contributed to the destruction of NO₃ was analyzed in terms of the chemical composition of the sampled air masses. On average, during the entire cruise of the R/V *Brown*, N₂O₅ removal by heterogenous or homogeneous hydrolysis accounted respectively for ~24% and ~19% of the total loss rate of the NO₃-N₂O₅ system in the "base" model (Fig. 11). The following analysis will be restricted to direct loss of NO₃ only – i.e., not considering the indirect loss of this radical via hydrolysis of N₂O₅. About 57% of the total loss rate of the NO₃-N₂O₅ system was due to gas-phase reactions of NO₃, mostly with DMS and biogenic NMHCs (isoprene, α -pinene and β -pinene). Aldener et al. (2006) derived similar contributions from their observations in the same region during NEAQS 2002, except for the significant role (~12%, Fig. 11) played by the reactions of NO₃ with the organic peroxy radicals.

Four nights (16 July and 2, 3, 5 August) were selected to investigate NO₃ gas-phase reactivity in air masses of different chemical composition. Fog was absent during all of these nights. These case studies illustrate examples when nighttime chemistry was controlled by anthropogenic hydrocarbons (16 July), by a mixture of marine and biogenic emissions (2 August), by DMS (3 August) and by terrestrially emitted biogenic hydrocarbons (5 August). In the following discussion, times will be indicated in GMT (four hours later than local time) and some species will be indicated with the MCM codename rather than the chemical formula, for simplicity. A table with the corresponding structure can be found in the Appendix A.

6.1 16 July – anthropogenic NMHCs

During the night of 16 July the R/V *Brown* was off Cape Ann (MA), about 50 km Northeast of Boston (Fig. 12). The local wind direction was predominantly from the Southwest, i.e. the Boston area. During the first part of the night (before 06:00 a.m.) a mixture of isoprene, DMS and hydrocarbons of mostly anthropogenic origin (such as styrene and 2-methyl-1-butene) controlled the concentration of NO₃. While DMS was entrained into air masses transported over water, isoprene and the anthropogenic NMHCs were likely transported from the northern Boston area, which was less than 3 h upwind at the average local wind speed of 5 m s^{-1} (Fig. 12). The isoprene mixing ratio was almost 0.5 ppb before sunset and decreased rapidly throughout the night.

The modelled concentrations of RO₂ show that at the beginning of the night the nitro-peroxy radical formed by the reaction between isoprene and NO₃ (NISOPO2) was the major component of the RO2 pool, accounting for about one third of the total RO₂ concentration and twice as abundant as CH₃O₂ and CH₃SCH₂O₂ (Fig. 13). However, NISOPO2 concentration decreased quickly and accounted for only a small fraction of the organic peroxy radical concentration (<10%) after 03:00 a.m. By contrast, the peroxy radicals formed from the oxidation of anthropogenic NMHCs showed an increase after 03:00 a.m., related to measured increases of anthropogenic NMHCs, likely transported from the Boston urban area (Fig. 12). After 06:00 a.m. an influx of NO, possibly from local sources, caused the suppression of RO₂ (Fig. 13) and the destruction of NO₃, by NO₃+NO (>90% of the direct NO₃ destruction rate).

The average (03:00–06:00 a.m.) contribution to NO₃ loss rate is shown in percent in Fig. 12. In addition to isoprene and DMS, a consistent fraction of the NO₃ loss (~40%) was due to reactions with a large number of NMHCs, mostly of anthropogenic origin, each accounting for 5% or less of the direct NO₃ loss rate. Peroxy radicals accounted for a large fraction (approximately 40%) of the average direct NO₃ removal rate (Fig. 12). The most important contributors were CH₃O₂ and CH₃SCH₂O₂ (~6% and ~8% of the direct NO₃ loss, respectively), followed by the peroxy radicals derived from isoprene and anthropogenic NMHCs oxidation.

6.2 2 and 3 August: DMS

During the nights of 2 and 3 August, the R/V *Brown* was in the Gulf of Maine, more than 50 km from the coast. The local wind direction was from the Southwest on 2 August and from the South-Southwest on 3 August (Fig. 12). On both nights, the sampled air masses were rich in DMS, related to the relatively long transport over the sea. On 2 August, high concentrations of biogenic hydrocarbons (mostly isoprene: \sim 70 ppt, on average, between 03:00 and 06:00 a.m.) emitted from the Maine forests were also measured.

The modelled concentrations of RO_2 showed that on both nights the most abundant peroxy radicals were CH_3O_2 and $CH_3SCH_2O_2$, which together accounted for almost the entire RO_2 pool (Fig. 6.3 and 13). The peroxy radical *NISOPO2*, derived from the nocturnal oxidation of isoprene, contributed less than 10% to the total RO_2 concentration on the night of 2 August.

On 2 August, the main losses for NO₃ were DMS, isoprene and β -pinene (Fig. 12). The largest loss was the reaction with DMS, which accounted for almost half of the direct NO₃ loss rate. The related peroxy radicals, the most important of which was CH₃SCH₂O₂ (Fig. 12), accounted overall for ~13% of the direct NO₃ loss rate. This night showed that under certain conditions the reaction of NO₃ with some peroxy radicals, such as CH₃SCH₂O₂, can be nearly as rapid as the reaction with reactive NMHCs, such as isoprene.

On 3 August NO₃ was consumed almost entirely by the reaction with DMS and with the peroxy radical derived from DMS+NO₃ (CH₃SCH₂O₂, Fig. 12). CH₃SCH₂O₂ was the most important organic peroxy radical, followed by CH₃O₂ (Fig. 13). The two species accounted for ~9% and ~2% of the direct NO₃ removal rate, respectively. CH₃O₂ was also a product of DMS oxidation, via the decomposition of the CH₃SO₂ radical (see Sect. 3.2).

6.3 5 August: biogenic NMHCs

During the night of 5 August, the R/V *Brown* was off the coast of Maine, South of the Acadia National Park (Fig. 12). The sampled air masses were from the Northwest, according to the local wind direction, and were characterized by large concentrations of biogenic hydrocarbons emitted from

forested areas near the coast. The measured concentrations of isoprene, α -pinene and β -pinene increased during the first part of the night until approximately 06:00–07:00 a.m., then decreased as the ship moved away from the coast. Between 03:00 and 06:00 a.m., the average concentrations of isoprene, α -pinene and β -pinene were 360, 120 and 110 ppt, respectively. These high levels of biogenic compounds led to rapid NO₃ removal, as has been shown in a previous study in the same area (Warneke et al., 2004; Aldener et al., 2006).

The modelled concentrations of organic peroxy radicals (Fig. 13) show that the RO₂ pool consisted mainly of peroxy radicals from the reaction of NO₃ with β -pinene (*NBPINO2*), α -pinene (*NAPINO2*) and isoprene (*NISOPO2*). The most important was *NAPINO2* which accounted for about 40–50% of total RO₂. Concentrations of peroxy radicals increased through the night, peaking around 07:00 a.m., following variations in the concentrations of their precursors.

Figure 12 shows that isoprene, α -pinene and β -pinene accounted for the majority of the NO₃ destruction rate (~86%). The peroxy radicals derived from the reactions of these hydrocarbons with NO₃, together, accounted for 4–5% of the direct NO₃ loss.

The measured concentrations of α -pinene and β -pinene were very similar, but the relative importance of α -pinene as an NO₃ loss was much larger than that of β -pinene and also of that of isoprene, which was present at concentrations more than twice as large. The contribution of α -pinene to the RO₂ pool was also larger than the contributions of β -pinene and isoprene (Fig. 13). This was due to the rapid rate coefficient of α -pinene+NO₃ which is about 2.5 and 9 times larger than those of β -pinene+NO₃ and isoprene+NO₃, respectively (Atkinson and Arey, 2003).

6.4 Peroxy radicals as NO₃ sinks

The reaction rate analysis discussed in the previous sections highlighted the role of peroxy radicals as NO₃ losses. The interactions between organic peroxy radicals and NO₃ have been studied previously during several field campaigns (e.g. Mihelcic et al., 1993; Cantrell et al., 1997; Carslaw et al., 1997; Bey et al., 2001; Geyer et al., 2003; Platt et al., 2002; Salisbury et al., 2001), although most of these studies were concerned with the source of night-time peroxy radicals (i.e. from NO₃ vs. O₃ reactions with NMHCs) rather then with the role of RO₂ in the destruction of the nitrate radical. On three of the four nights analyzed in detail in this work (Fig. 12), the reaction between NO3 and CH3O2 accounted for 2-6% of the direct loss rate of NO₃, while other peroxy radicals, like CH₃SCH₂O₂, accounted for even a larger fraction of NO₃ destruction (8–13%). Depending on the chemical composition of the air mass, other peroxy radicals (e.g. of biogenic or anthropogenic origin) were significant NO3 sinks and, taken together, they could account for a large fraction of the NO₃ direct loss rate (e.g. on 16 July, Fig. 12).



Fig. 13. Modelled organic peroxy radicals (RO₂) during four nights of the R/V *Brown* cruise (16 July, 2, 3 and 5 August).



Fig. 14. Frequency distribution of the percent direct loss of NO₃ due to reaction with peroxy radicals during the R/V *Brown* cruise (days with fog excluded).

The actual impact of peroxy radicals as NO₃ sinks depends on a number of factors including the presence of local NO sources (only a few ppt of NO will make NO₃+NO competitive with NO₃+RO₂) and the reactivity of the primary NMHCs. According to the MCM model calculations, the reactions with other secondary products of NMHCs oxidation with NO₃ was less important, at least under the conditions encountered during NEAQS 2004. In air masses rich in highly reactive hydrocarbons, such as monoterpenes, the reaction with the hydrocarbons dominated over the reactivity with their correspondent RO₂ (e.g. on 5 August, Fig. 12).

The role of peroxy radicals as NO_3 sinks is illustrated in Fig. 14. The percent of the direct loss of NO_3 attributable to the reactions with RO_2 varied depending on the conditions, but the average and median contributions were 19% and 15%, respectively. Although large removal rates of NO_3 due to RO_2 reaction were rare (the 75th percentile in the distribution occurred at a 32% contribution to direct NO_3 loss), the comparatively high median contribution suggests that this process can be important. In only 25% of the data was the direct loss of NO_3 to RO_2 smaller than 5%.

There are several consequences to direct loss of NO_3 via reaction with RO_2 . Firstly, Aldener et al. (2006) speculated, in their study of the NEAQS 2002 campaign, that the discrepancies between the steady-state calculations and the measurements could be attributed to secondary chemistry between NO_3 and second generation reaction products. The analysis discussed here suggests that the peroxy radicals can account, at least in part, for the NO_3 losses missing from the steady-state calculations. Including these reactions in the steady-state analysis of field data could help to close the budget of NO_3 .

Secondly, the reactions between peroxy radicals and NO_3 can form OH, via the formation of alkoxy radicals and of HO_2 (Vaughan et al., 2006), thus contributing to the oxida-

tion of NMHCs at night. The total calculated formation rate of OH during the nights of the NEAQS 2004 cruise was on the order of $0.5-2.5 \times 10^5$ molecule⁻¹ cm³ s⁻¹. The formation pathways were variable, depending on the chemical conditions encountered. In air masses rich in biogenic NMHCs (e.g. on 5 August), the most important source of night-time OH was the ozonolysis of the monoterpenes. On most of the other nights analyzed here, the formation of OH was dominated by the reactions of HO₂ with O₃ and with NO₃. Alkoxy radicals (RO), formed by the reactions of RO₂ with NO₃, contributed to the formation of night-time OH, mainly via their reactions with O₂ to form HO₂. In the case of the CH₃SCH₂O₂ radical, the dominant pathway to form nighttime OH was via the formation of the methylsulphonyl radical, followed by decomposition to CH₃O₂ (Sect. 3.2).

Finally, the RO_2+NO_3 reactions decrease the removal of NO_x during the night. This process occurs via conversion of NO_2 to NO_3 (Reaction R1), followed by reaction with NMHCs to form HNO₃, which is typically lost to deposition. However, if a substantial fraction of NO_3 reforms NO_2 by reacting with a variety of peroxy radicals the efficiency of the night-time NO_x removal process is reduced. This would result in more NO_2 available for photolysis at sunrise, with significant impact on the formation of O_3 . Therefore, if the role of peroxy radicals in the direct loss of NO_3 is as large as 20%, these reactions could have a significant impact on the oxidative budget of the troposphere.

There are two major uncertainties in this analysis. One is in the kinetic parameters used in the Master Chemical Mechanism. The reaction mechanism and the rate coefficients of selected peroxy radicals (such as CH₃O₂, C₂H₅O₂, $C_5H_9O_2$, $C_6H_1IO_2$, $CH_3C(O)O_2$) with NO₃ have been measured in the laboratory (Platt et al., 1990; Canosa-Mas et al., 1996; Vaughan et al., 2006). However, the MCM contains nearly one thousand organic peroxy radicals and, for the large majority of these, there are no kinetic data available. Therefore, according to the MCM protocol (Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003), the same rate coefficient of $C_2H_5O_2$ (2.5×10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) is used for nonacyl RO2 and the same rate coefficient of CH3C(O)O2 $(4.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ is used for acyl RO₂. The rate coefficient for the CH₃SCH₂O₂+NO₃ reaction was estimated at 2.0×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ based on similarity with the alkyl peroxy radicals. While these estimates are in accord with the present understanding of the chemistry, additional laboratory experiments, particularly for sulphurcontaining RO₂ would improve the accuracy of the calculations and help to assess more precisely the role of peroxy radicals as NO3 sinks.

The other uncertainty is in the modelled concentration and speciation of RO₂. Since there were no measurements of peroxy radicals during the R/V *Brown* cruise, the reliability of the model in predicting peroxy radicals concentrations can only be estimated on the basis of previous model-measurements comparisons. Several studies have compared

measurements by PERCA (Peroxy Radical Chemical Amplifier, which measures HO₂+RO₂) with the calculations of models based upon the MCM (Carslaw et al., 1997, 1999, 2002; Platt et al., 2002; Geyer et al., 2003; Emmerson et al., 2007; Fleming et al., 2006; Sommariva et al., 2007). In most of these previous studies, the agreement between the model and the measurements was typically better than 30%. The models tended to overestimate HO₂ (as measured by LIF) during the day, suggesting that RO₂ might be underestimated. However, the agreement between modelled and measured HO₂ was within 30-40% during the night (Sommariva et al., 2007) giving more confidence in the model results of RO2 at night-time. Therefore, in the absence of peroxy radicals measurements with which the MCM model could be compared, it can reasonably be assumed that the model performance was at least similar to the previous models (i.e. within 30% of the actual concentration).

Assessing the reliability of the modelled speciation of the RO_2 pool is more difficult, because it depends on the treatment of peroxy radicals in the MCM, especially at low $[NO_x]$, and on the estimates introduced for the missing kinetic data (Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003). To our knowledge, there is no experimental information available that could help to determine how well an MCM-based model could reproduce the actual concentrations of the individual organic peroxy radicals.

7 Conclusions

During the NEAQS 2004 campaign the NOAA research vessel R/V *Brown* cruised off the coast of New England measuring, among other chemical and physical parameters, radical species and their precursors. A model was used to study the chemical processes in this marine environment under different conditions, with a particular focus on night-time chemistry. The model was based upon the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) and constrained to the measurements taken onboard the ship.

The model was used to calculate OH concentrations for the entire cruise of the R/V *Brown* in order to provide a reliable estimate of [OH] for the analysis of the field data (e.g. Osthoff et al., 2006, 2009). OH calculated by the model was compared to a parametrization (Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2000) previously used in tropospheric chemistry studies, which was found to likely overestimate [OH] on average by 20–40%, under the conditions encountered during the cruise. The model also calculated the concentrations of inorganic (HO₂) and organic (RO₂) peroxy radicals and determined the composition of the peroxy radical pool during the entire R/V *Brown* cruise.

NO₃ and N₂O₅ concentrations were calculated by the model for comparison with measurements made by Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (CaRDS). The model overestimated the measurements by 30–50%, on average. On some nights, better agreement could be obtained by including in the model the uptake of NO₃ and N₂O₅ on fog droplets, which was the dominant removal mechanism for NO₃ and N₂O₅, when it was present.

The discrepancy between modelled and measured $[NO_3]$ and $[N_2O_5]$ was studied as a function of different physical and chemical parameters as well as aerosol composition. The model generally performed better in photochemically young air masses and at lower [DMS], which suggests that part of the discrepancy might be related to uncertainties in the DMS mechanism. There was no clear correlation with aerosol composition, except for a weak dependence on nitrate content, which could also be related to the air mass age.

The model was tested to study the response of the chemical system to selected kinetic parameters, with particular focus on N₂O₅ reactivity. The model was run with and without homogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅ and with different values for the uptake coefficients and for the deposition rates of NO₃ and N₂O₅. The results of these tests suggest that the reactive uptake coefficient on aerosol (γ) was most likely >1×10⁻² under most of the conditions encountered during the NEAQS 2004 cruise and that, if N₂O₅ does not hydrolyze in the gas-phase, values of the order of 10⁻³ could be excluded.

The gas-phase chemistry of NO₃ was studied in detail during some selected nights of the R/V *Brown* cruise to determine the most important NO₃ losses under different chemical conditions. Some nights were dominated by NO₃+DMS chemistry and others showed clear influence of biogenic or anthropogenic compounds. Organic peroxy radicals were shown to be significant gas-phase losses for NO₃: the median contribution of the reactions between NO₃ and RO₂ to the direct NO₃ loss rate was 15% (the average was 19%) and in some cases, such as when DMS controlled NO₃ chemistry, these reactions were the second most important loss term for NO₃ after the parent hydrocarbon.

The uncertainties surrounding NO₃ and N₂O₅ losses, and in particular the homogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅ and the uptake coefficients of NO₃ and N₂O₅, have been highlighted in this work and call for more studies on the field and in the laboratory in order to gain a better understanding of nighttime processes and their impact on the ozone and nitrogen budgets. The potential importance of peroxy radicals as NO₃ sinks needs more investigation both in terms of determination of the rate coefficients and in terms of ability to measure individual peroxy radicals.

Appendix A

MCM nomenclature

 Table A1.
 MCM codenames and chemical structures of relevant species.



Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the crew of the NOAA R/V *Brown* for their help during the field campaign, D. T. Sueper for precious help with the analysis software and W. M. Angevine for useful discussions.

Edited by: J. Williams

References

- Aldener, M., Brown, S. S., Stark, H., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Reactivity and loss mechanisms of NO₃ and N₂O₅ in a polluted marine environment: results from in situ measurements during New England Air Quality Study 2002, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S73, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007252, 2006.
- Allan, B. J., Carslaw, N., Coe, H., Burgess, R. A., and Plane, J. M. C.: Observations of the nitrate radical in the marine boundary layer, J. Atmos. Chem., 33, 129–154, 1999.
- Allan, B. J., McFiggans, G., Plane, J. M. C., Coe, H., and Mc-Fadyen, G. G.: The nitrate radical in the remote marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 24191–24204, 2000.
- Allan, B. J., Plane, J. M. C., Coe, H., and Shillito, J.: Observations of NO₃ concentration profiles in the troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4588, doi:10.1029/2002JD002112, 2002.
- Ambrose, J. L., Mao, H., Mayne, H. R., Stutz, J., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Nighttime nitrate radical chemistry at Appledore Is-

land, Maine during the 2004 International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D21302, doi:10.1029/2007JD008756, 2007.

- Angevine, W. M., Hare, J. E., Fairall, C. W., Wolfe, D. E., Hill, R. J., Brewer, W. A., and White, A. B.: Structure and formation of the highly stable marine boundary layer over the Gulf of Maine, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S22, doi:10.1029/2006JD007465, 2006.
- Anttila, T., Kiendler-Schatt, A., Tillmann, R., and Mentel, T. F.: On the reactive uptake of gaseous compounds by organic-coated aqueous aerosols: theoretical analysis and application to the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅, J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 10435– 10443, 2006.
- Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, Chem. Rev., 103, 4605–4638, 2003.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Kerr, J. A., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Summary of evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry, Tech. rep., IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation for Atmospheric Chemistry, http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch. cam.ac.uk, 2003.
- Badger, C. L., Griffiths, P. T., George, I., Abbatt, J. P. D., and Cox, R. A.: Reactive uptake of N₂O₅ by aerosol particles containing mixtures of humic acid and ammonium sulfate, J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 6986–6994, 2006.
- Barnes, I., Hjorth, J., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl sulfoxide and their oxidation in the atmosphere, Chem. Rev., 106, 940–975, 2006.
- Baynard, T., Lovejoy, E. R., Pettersson, A., Brown, S. S., Lack, D., Osthoff, H., Massoli, P., Ciciora, S., Dubé, W. P., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Design and application of a pulsed cavity ringdown aerosol extinction spectrometer for field measurements, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 41, 447–462, 2007.
- Bey, I., Aumont, B., and Toupance, G.: A modeling study of the nighttime radical chemistry in the lower continental troposphere – 2. Origin and evolution of HO_x, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 9991– 10001, 2001.
- Brown, S. S., Stark, H., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Applicability of the steady state approximation to the interpretation of atmospheric observations of NO₃ and N₂O₅, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4539, doi:10.1029/2003JD003407, 2003a.
- Brown, S. S., Stark, H., Ryerson, T. B., Williams, E. J., Nicks, D. K., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Nitrogen oxides in the nocturnal boundary layer: simultaneous in situ measurements of NO₃, N₂O₅, NO₂, NO and O₃, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4299, doi:10.1029/2002JD002917, 2003b.
- Brown, S. S., Dibb, J. E., Stark, H., Aldener, M., Vozella, M., Whitlow, S., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Jakoubek, R., Middlebrook, A. M., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Angevine, W. M., Sueper, D. T., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Meagher, J. F., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Nighttime removal of NO_x in the summer marine boundary layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L07108, doi:10.1029/2004GL019412, 2004.
- Brown, S. S., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Peltier, R., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., Holloway, J. S., Dubé, W. P., Trainer, M., Meagher, J. F., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Variability in nocturnal nitrogen oxide processing and its role in regional air quality, Science, 311, 67–70, 2006.

- Brown, S. S., Dubé, W. P., Osthoff, H. D., Stutz, J., Ryerson, T. B., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Atlas, E., Neuman, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Angevine, W. M., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Vertical profiles in NO₃ and N₂O₅ measured from an aircraft: results from the NOAA P-3 and surface platforms during the New England Air Quality Study 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D22304, doi: 10.1029/2007JD008883, 2007a.
- Brown, S. S., Dubé, W. P., Osthoff, H. D., Wolfe, D. E., Angevine, W. M., and Ravishankara, A. R.: High resolution vertical distributions of NO₃ and N₂O₅ through the nocturnal boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 139–149, 2007b, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/139/2007/.
- Canosa-Mas, C. E., King, M. D., Lopez, R., Percival, C. J., Wayne, R. P., Shallcross, D. E., Pyle, J. A., and Daële, V.: Is the reaction between CH₃C(O)O₂ and NO₃ important in the night-time troposphere?, J. Chem. Soc. – Faraday Transactions, 92, 2211– 2222, doi:10.1039/FT9969202211, 1996.
- Cantrell, C. A., Shetter, R. E., Calvert, J. G., Eisele, F. L., and Tanner, D.: Some considerations of the origin of nighttime peroxy radicals observed in MLOPEX 2c, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 15899– 15913, 1997.
- Carslaw, N., Carpenter, L. J., Plane, J. M. C., Allan, B. J., Burgess, R. A., Clemitshaw, K. C., Coe, H., and Penkett, S. A.: Simultaneous observations of nitrate and peroxy radicals in the marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 18917–18933, 1997.
- Carslaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Lewis, A. C., McQuaid, J. B., Pilling, M. J., Monks, P. S., Bandy, B. J., and Penkett, S. A.: Modeling OH, HO₂, and RO₂ radicals in the marine boundary layer – 1. Model construction and comparison with field measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 30241–30255, 1999.
- Carslaw, N., Jacobs, P. J., and Pilling, M. J.: Understanding radical chemistry in the marine boundary layer, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth – Sol.-Terr. Planet. Sci., 25, 235–243, 2000.
- Carslaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Jacobs, P. J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., McQuaid, J. B., Pilling, M. J., Bauguitte, S., Penkett, S. A., Monks, P. S., and Salisbury, G.: Eastern Atlantic Spring Experiment 1997 (EASE97) – 2. Comparisons of model concentrations of OH, HO₂, and RO₂ with measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4190, doi:10129/2001JD001568, 2002.
- Cruz, C. N. and Pandis, S. N.: Deliquescence and hygroscopic growth of mixed inorganic-organic atmospheric aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 4313–4319, 2000.
- Davis, J. M., Bhave, P. V., and Foley, K. M.: Parameterization of N₂O₅ reaction probabilities on the surface of particles containing ammonium, sulfate, and nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5295– 5311, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/5295/2008/.
- Dubé, W. P., Brown, S. S., Osthoff, H. D., Nunley, M. R., Ciciora, S. J., Paris, M. W., McLaughlin, R. J., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Aircraft instrument for simultaneous, in situ measurement of NO₃ and N₂O₅ via pulsed cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Rev. Sci. Instr., 77, 034101, 2006.
- Ehhalt, D. H. and Rohrer, F.: Dependence of the OH concentration on solar UV, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 3565–3572, 2000.
- Emmerson, K. M., Carslaw, N., Carslaw, D. C., Lee, J. D., McFiggans, G., Bloss, W. J., Gravestock, T., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J., Ingham, T., Pilling, M. J., Smith, S. C., Jacob, M., and Monks, P. S.: Free radical modelling studies during the UK TORCH cam-

paign in summer 2003, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 167–181, 2007, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/167/2007/.

- Fehsenfeld, F. C., Ancellet, G., Bates, T. S., Goldstein, A. H., Hardesty, R. M., Honrath, R., Law, K. S., Lewis, A. C., Leaitch, R., McKeen, S., Meagher, J., Parrish, D. D., Pszenny, A. A. P., Russel, P. B., Schlager, H., Seinfeld, J., Talbot, R., and Zbinden, R.: International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation (ICARTT): North America to Europe – Overview of the 2004 summer field study, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007829, 2006.
- Fleming, Z. L., Monks, P. S., Rickard, A. R., Heard, D. E., Bloss, W. J., Seakins, P. W., Still, T. J., Sommariva, R., Pilling, M. J., Morgan, R., Green, T. J., Brough, N., Mills, G. P., Penkett, S. A., Lewis, A. C., Lee, J. D., Saiz-Lopez, A., and Plane, J. M. C.: Peroxy radical chemistry and the control of ozone photochemistry at Mace Head, Ireland during the summer of 2002, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2193–2214, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/2193/2006/.

- Folkers, M., Mentel, T. F., and Wahner, A.: Influence of an organic coating on the reactivity of aqueous aerosols probed by the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1644, doi:10.1029/2003GL017168, 2003.
- Fuchs, N. A. and Sutugin, A. G.: Highly dispersed aerosols, Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 1970.
- Geyer, A. and Stutz, J.: Vertical profiles of NO₃, N_2O_5 , O_3 and NO_x in the nocturnal boundary layer: 2. Model studies on the altitude dependence of composition and chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D12307, doi:10.1029/2003JD004211, 2004.
- Geyer, A., Bächmann, K., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Klüpfel, T., Pätz, A.-W., Perner, D., Mihelcic, D., Schäfer, H.-J., Volz-Thomas, A., and Platt, U.: Nighttime formation of peroxy radicals during the BERLIOZ campaign: observations and modeling studies, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8249, doi: 10.1029/2001JD000656, 2003.
- Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Williams, E., Murphy, P. C., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Meagher, J.: Nonmethane hydrocarbon and oxy hydrocarbon measurements during the 2002 New England Air Quality Study, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D21309, doi:10.1029/ 2003JD004455, 2004.
- Hallquist, M., Stewart, D. J., Stephenson, S. K., and Cox, R. A.: Hydrolysis of N_2O_5 on sub-micron sulfate aerosol, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 3453–3463, 2003.
- Hanisco, T. F., Lanzendorf, E. J., Wennberg, P. O., Perkins, K. K., Stimpfle, R. M., Voss, P. B., Anderson, J. G., Cohen, R. C., Fahey, D. W., Gao, R. S., Hintsa, E. J., Salawitch, R. J., Margitan, J. J., McElroy, C. T., and Midwinter, C.: Sources, sinks, and the distribution of OH in the lower stratosphere, J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 1543–1553, 2001.
- Heard, D. E., Read, K. A., Methven, J., Al-Haider, S., Bloss, W. J., Johnson, G. P., Pilling, M. J., Seakins, P. W., Smith, S. C., Sommariva, R., Stanton, J. C., Still, T. J., Ingham, T., Brooks, B., De Leeuw, G., Jackson, A. V., McQuaid, J. B., Morgan, R., Smith, M. H., Carpenter, L. J., Carslaw, N., Hamilton, J., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Purvis, R. M., Wevill, D. J., Brough, N., Green, T., Mills, G., Penkett, S. A., Plane, J. M. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Worton, D., Monks, P. S., Fleming, Z., Rickard, A. R., Alfarra, M. R., Allan, J. D., Bower, K., Coe, H., Cubison, M., Flynn, M., McFiggans, G., Gallagher, M., Norton, E. G., O'Dowd, C. D., Shillito, J., Topping, D., Vaughan, G., Williams,

P., Bitter, M., Ball, S. M., Jones, R. L., Povey, I. M., O'Doherty, S., Simmonds, P. G., Allen, A., Kinnersley, R. P., Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M., Harrison, R. M., Donovan, R. J., Heal, M. R., Jennings, S. G., Noone, C., and Spain, G.: The North Atlantic Marine Boundary Layer Experiment(NAMBLEX). Overview of the campaign held at Mace Head, Ireland, in summer 2002, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2241–2272, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/2241/2006/.

- Hu, J. H. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Reaction probabilities for N₂O₅ hydrolysis on sulfuric acid and ammonium sulfate aerosols at room temperature, J. Phys. Chem. A, 101, 871–878, 1997.
- Jenkin, M. E., Clement, C. F., and Ford, I. J.: Gas-to-particle conversion pathways, First Annual Report Met2a/1053/Project 2, AEA Technology, 1996.
- Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., and Pilling, M. J.: The tropospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds: a protocol for mechanism development, Atmos. Environ., 31, 81–104, 1997.

Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., Wagner, V., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part B): tropospheric degradation of aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 181–193, 2003, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/181/2003/.

Jones, R. L., Ball, S. M., and Shallcross, D. E.: Small scale structure in the atmosphere: implications for chemical composition and observational methods, Faraday Discuss., 130, 165–179, 2005.

Kane, S. M., Caloz, F., and Leu, M.-T.: Heterogeneous uptake of gaseous N₂O₅ by (NH₄)₂SO₄, NH₄HSO₄ and H₂SO₄ aerosols, J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 6465–6470, 2001.

Koga, S. and Tanaka, H.: Numerical study of the oxidation process of dimethylsulfide in the marine atmosphere, J. Atmos. Chem., 17, 201–228, 1993.

Mak, J., Gross, S., and Bertram, A. K.: Uptake of NO₃ on soot and pyrene surfaces, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L10804, doi:10.1029/ 2006GL029756, 2007.

McNeill, V. F., Patterson, J., Wolfe, G. M., and Thornton, J. A.: The effect of varying levels of surfactant on the reactive uptake of N_2O_5 to aqueous aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1635–1644, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1635/2006/.

Mentel, T. F., Bleilebens, D., and Wahner, A.: A study of nighttime nitrogen oxide oxidation in a large reaction chamber – The fate of NO₂, N₂O₅, HNO₃, and O₃ at different humidities, Atmos. Environ., 30, 4007–4020, 1996.

Mentel, T. F., Sohn, M., and Wahner, A.: Nitrate effect in the heterogeneous hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide on aqueous aerosols, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1, 5451–5457, 1999.

Mihelcic, D., Klemp, D., Müsgen, P., Pätz, H. W., and Volz-Thomas, A.: Simultaneous measurements of peroxy and nitrate radicals at Schauinsland, J. Atmos. Chem., 16, 313–335, 1993.

Osthoff, H. D., Sommariva, R., Baynard, T., Pettersson, A., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Roberts, J. M., Stark, H., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D., Ravishankara, A. R., and Brown, S. S.: Observation of daytime N₂O₅ in the marine boundary layer during New England Air Quality Study-Intercontinental Transport and Chemical Transformation 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S14, doi:10.1029/2006JD007593, 2006.

Osthoff, H. D., Pilling, M. J., Ravishankara, A. R., and Brown, S. S.: Temperature dependence of the NO₃ absorption cross-section above 298 K and determination of the equilibrium con-

stant for NO₃+NO₂ \leftrightarrow N₂O₅ at atmospherically relevant conditions, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 9, 5785–5793, 2007.

Osthoff, H. D., Bates, T. S., Johnson, J. E., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P., Sommariva, R., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Pettersson, A., Baynard, T., Meagher, J. F., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Ravishankara, A. R., and Brown, S. S.: Regional variation of the dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism in the summertime marine boundary layer in the Gulf of Maine, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D07301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010990, 2009.

Park, S.-C., Burden, D. K., and Nathanson, G. M.: The inhibition of N₂O₅ hydrolysis in sulfuric acid by 1-butanol and 1-hexanol surfactant coatings, J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 2921–2929, 2007.

Platt, U., LeBras, G., Poulet, G., Burrows, J. P., and Moortgat, G.: Peroxy-radicals from nighttime reaction of NO₃ with organic compounds, Nature, 348, 147–149, 1990.

Platt, U., Alicke, B., Dubois, R., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Martinez, M., Mihelcic, D., Klüpfel, T., Lohrmann, B., Pätz, W., Perner, D., Rohrer, F., Schäfer, J., and Stutz, J.: Free radicals and fast photochemistry during BERLIOZ, J. Atmos. Chem., 42, 359–394, 2002.

Platt, U. F., Winer, A. M., Biermann, H. W., Atkinson, R., and Pitts, J. N.: Measurement of nitrate radical concentrations in continental air, Environ. Sci. Technol., 18, 365–369, 1984.

Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D., Baynard, T., de Gouw, J. A., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Williams, E., Roberts, J. M., Lerner, B., Stohl, A., Pettersson, A., and Lovejoy, E. R.: Impacts of sources and aging on submicrometer aerosol properties in the marine boundary layer across the Gulf of Maine, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S36, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007582, 2006.

Rohrer, F. and Berresheim, H.: Strong correlation between levels of tropospheric hydroxyl radicals and solar ultraviolet radiation, Nature, 442, 184–187, 2006.

Rudich, Y., Talukdar, R. K., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Reactive uptake of NO₃ on pure water and ionic solutions, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 21 023–21 031, 1996.

Salisbury, G., Rickard, A. R., Monks, P. S., Allan, B. J., Bauguitte, S., Penkett, S. A., Carslaw, N., Lewis, A. C., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Jacobs, P. J., and Lee, J. D.: Production of peroxy radicals at night via reactions of ozone and the nitrate radical in the marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 12669–12687, 2001.

Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of nonaromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161–180, 2003, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/161/2003/.

Savage, N. H., Harrison, R. M., Monks, P. S., and Salisbury, G.: Steady-state modelling of hydroxyl radical concentrations at Mace Head during the EASE'97 campaign, May 1997, Atmos. Environ., 35, 515–524, 2001.

Sommariva, R., Haggerstone, A.-L., Carpenter, L. J., Carslaw, N., Creasey, D. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Pilling, M. J., and Zádor, J.: OH and HO₂ chemistry in clean marine air during SOAPEX-2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 839–856, 2004, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/839/2004/.

Sommariva, R., Bloss, W. J., Brough, N., Carslaw, N., Flynn, M., Haggerstone, A.-L., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., McFiggans, G., Monks, P. S., Penkett, S. A., Pilling, M. J., Plane, J. M. C., Read, K. A., Saiz-Lopez, A., Rickard, A. R., and Williams, P. I.: OH and HO₂ chemistry during NAMBLEX: roles of oxygenates, halogen oxides and heterogeneous uptake, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1135–1153, 2006, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1135/2006/.

Sommariva, R., Pilling, M. J., Bloss, W. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., Fleming, Z. L., Monks, P. S., Plane, J. M. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Ball, S. M., Bitter, M., Jones, R. L., Brough, N., Penkett, S. A., Hopkins, J. R., Lewis, A. C., and Read, K. A.: Night-time radical chemistry during the NAMBLEX campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 587–598, 2007,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/587/2007/.

- Stark, H., Brown, S. S., Goldan, P. D., Aldener, M., Kuster, W. C., Jakoubek, R., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Meagher, J., Bates, T. S., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Influence of nitrate radical on the oxidation of dimethyl sulfide in a polluted marine environment, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S10, doi:10.1029/2006JD007669, 2007.
- Still, T. J., Al-Haider, S., Seakins, P. W., Sommariva, R., Stanton, J. C., Mills, G., and Penkett, S. A.: Ambient formaldehyde measurements made at a remote marine boundary layer site during the NAMBLEX campaign – a comparison of data from chromatographic and modified Hantzsch techniques, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2711–2726, 2006,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/2711/2006/.

- Stutz, J., Alicke, B., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., White, A., and Williams, E.: Vertical profiles of NO₃, N₂O₅, O₃, and NO_x in the nocturnal boundary layer: 1. Observations during the Texas Air Quality Study 2000, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D12306, doi: 10.1029/2003JD004209, 2004.
- Tang, I.: Thermodynamic and optical properties of sea salt aerosols, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 23269–23275, 1997.
- Thomas, K., Volz-Thomas, A., Mihelcic, D., Smit, H. G. J., and Kley, D.: On the exchange of NO₃ radicals with aqueous solutions: solubility and sticking coefficient, J. Atmos. Chem., 29, 17–43, 1998.
- Thornton, J. A., Braban, C. F., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: N₂O₅ hydrolysis on sub-micron organic aerosols: the effect of relative humidity, particle phase and particle size, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 4593–4603, 2003.
- Turnipseed, A. A., Barone, S. B., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Reaction of OH with dimethyl sulfide – 2. Products and mechanism, J. Phys. Chem., 100, 14703–14713, 1996.
- VanDoren, J. M., Watson, L. R., Davidovits, P., Worsnop, D. R., Zahniser, M. S., and Kolb, C. E.: Temperature dependence of the uptake coefficients of nitric acid, hydrochloric acid and nitrogen oxide (N₂O₅) by water droplets, J. Phys. Chem., 94, 3265–3269, 1990.
- Vaughan, S., Canosa-Mas, C. E., Pfrang, C., Shallcross, D. E., Watson, L., and Wayne, R. P.: Kinetic studies of reactions of the nitrate radical (NO₃) with peroxy radicals (RO₂): an indirect source of OH at night?, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 8, 3749–3760, 2006.

- Voegele, A. F., Tautermann, C. S., Loerting, T., and Liedl, K. R.: Toward elimination of discrepancies between theory and experiment: the gas-phase reaction of N₂O₅ with H₂O, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 487–495, 2003.
- Vrekoussis, M., Kanakidou, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Crutzen, P. J., Lelieveld, J., Berresheim, D. P. H., and Baboukas, E.: Role of the NO₃ radicals in oxidation processes in the Eastern Mediterranean troposphere during the MINOS campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 169–182, 2004,

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/169/2004/.

- Wahner, A., Mentel, T. F., and Sohn, M.: Gas-phase reaction of N₂O₅ with water vapor: importance of heterogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅ and surface desorption of HNO₃ in a large teflon chamber, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2169–2172, 1998.
- Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Jakoubek, R., Brown, S. S., Stark, H., Aldener, M., Ravishankara, A. R., Roberts, J. M., Marchewka, M., Bertman, S., Sueper, D. T., McKeen, S. A., Meagher, J. F., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Comparison of daytime and nighttime oxidation of biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs along the New England coast in summer during New England Air Quality Study 2002, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D10309, doi: 10.1029/2003JD004424, 2004.
- Warneke, C., Kato, S., de Gouw, J. A., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Shao, M., Lovejoy, E. R., Fall, R., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Online volatile organic compound measurements using a newly developed proton-transfer ion-trap mass spectrometry instrument during New England Air Quality Study-Intercontinental Transport and Chemical Transformation 2004: performance, intercomparison, and compound identification, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 5390–5397, 2005.
- Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Stohl, A., Cooper, O. R., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Holloway, J. S., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., McKeen, S. A., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Atlas, E. L., Donnelly, S. G., Stroud, V., Lueb, A., and Kato, S.: Biomass burning and anthropogenic sources of CO over New England in the summer 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23S15, doi:10.1029/ 2005JD006878, 2006.
- Wayne, R. P., Barnes, I., Biggs, P., Burrows, J. P., Canosa-Mas, C. E., Hjorth, J., LeBras, G., Moortgat, G. K., Perner, D., Poulet, G., Restelli, G., and Sidebottom, H.: The nitrate radical – Physics, chemistry, and the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 25, 1–203, 1991.
- Yin, F. D., Grosjean, D., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Photooxidation of dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl disulfide – 1. Mechanism development, J. Atmos. Chem., 11, 309–364, 1990a.
- Yin, F. D., Grosjean, D., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Photooxidation of dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl disulfide – 2. Mechanism evaluation, J. Atmos. Chem., 11, 365–399, 1990b.