



Supplement of

Technical note: Quantifying the nitrogen isotope difference between ammonium in the atmosphere and ammonia emitted from sources

Chongguo Tian et al.

Correspondence to: Chongguo Tian (cgtian@yic.ac.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

The supplemental material has 11 pages and includes the following items:

Section S1. The reason why the reaction between NH_3 and H_2SO_4 proceeds significantly faster than its reactions with HNO_3 and HCl under normal atmospheric conditions.

Table S1. Deposition rate constant of NH_3 (D_3) and NH_4^+ (D_4) (cm s^{-1}) assessed by previous studies.

Table S2. Generation rate constant of NH_4^+ (G_4 , h^{-1}) reported by previous studies.

Table S3. Four main types of NH_3 emission sources in the Yellow River Delta.

Table S4. Four main types of NH_3 emission sources in Changsha.

Figure S1. Sensitivity coefficients of the molar fraction of NH_4^+ to NH_x in the atmosphere to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{4a-3s}$ values at 20°C obtained from six simulation scenarios.

Figure S2. The comparison plots of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{4a-3s}$ values calculated by the six fitted equations (FE) against the six model scenarios (MS) simulated by the developed model.

Figure S3. Scatter plots of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{4a-3s}$ values calculated by the six fitted equations (FE) against the six model scenarios (MS) simulated by the developed model.

Section S1

The chemical reaction between ammonia gas and acidic aerosols (sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), nitric acid (HNO₃) and hydrochloric acid (HCl)) (referred to as the gas-to-particle phase transformation process) has been extensively studied. These gas-to-particle phase transformation processes produce ammonium salts (NH₄⁺), nitrate (NO₃⁻), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), and chloride (Cl⁻), which constitute the main components of secondary PM_{2.5} (Sharma et al., 2007). The formation of these salts depends on the concentrations of ammonia gas and acidic precursor gases (nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂)), temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, and the mixing height of the boundary layer (Behera and Sharma, 2011). Among these influencing factors, gas phase constituent concentration is an important one. Overall, it can be summarized that under normal atmospheric conditions, the reaction between NH₃ and H₂SO₄ is preferred over reactions of NH₃ with HNO₃ and NH₃ with HCl. As reported that the reaction rate constants of NH₃ and H₂SO₄ (k_S) showed relatively greater than k_N (NH₃ with HNO₃) and k_{Cl} (NH₃ with HCl). For instance, an environmental chamber study (Behera and Sharma, 2012) showed that the rate constants of k_S , k_N , and k_{Cl} were estimated as $2.68 (\pm 1.38) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3/\mu\text{mol/s}$, $1.59 (\pm 0.897) \text{ m}^3/\mu\text{mol/s}$, and $5.16 (\pm 3.50) \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\mu\text{mol/s}$. A field study (Baek et al., 2004) showed that $k_S = 1.14 (\pm 1.25) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3/\text{mmol/s}$, $k_N = 0.73 (\pm 1.49) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3/\text{mmol/s}$, and $k_{Cl} = 0.86 (\pm 1.44) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3/\text{mmol/s}$, respectively.

Table S1 lists deposition rate constant of NH₃ (D₃) and NH₄⁺ (D₄) assessed by previous studies. The average values of the deposition rate constants of D₃ and D₄ reported in the literature were $1.14 \pm 0.88 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ and $0.52 \pm 0.46 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$, respectively. This indicated that the ratio of NH₃ to NH₄⁺ deposition rates was 0.46 ± 0.048 . A model study recommended that the deposition rates of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ were 7.4 and 2.4 mm s⁻¹ (Zhang et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2009). It suggested that the ratio of NH₃ to NH₄⁺ deposition rates was 0.32. The average of the two estimates was 0.39. Based on a standard deviation of 0.048, the 95% confidence interval for this ratio was 0.29-0.48. Based on the above statistical analysis, we chose the ratio of D₄ to D₃ to be within the range of 0.2 to 0.5 for further scenario simulation.

As shown in Table S1, the averaged deposition rate constant of NH₃ is $1.14 \pm 0.88 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. Assuming that the deposition heights were 100m and 200m, the deposition rate could be 0.89 h⁻¹ and 1.59 h⁻¹. Table S2 lists the generation rate constant of NH₄⁺ (G₄) reported by previous studies. The average of G₄ was 0.90 h⁻¹, which was comparable to D₃ when the deposition height was assumed to be 100 m. These previous studies also found that the formation rate of ammonium salt was closely related to the altitude of the atmosphere. On the basis of the statistical analysis, we chose the ratio of G₄ to D₃ to be within the range of 0.5 to 2.0 for further scenario simulation.

Table S1. Deposition rate constant of NH₃ (D₃) and NH₄⁺ (D₄) (cm s⁻¹) assessed by previous studies.

NH ₃ (D ₃)	Receptor	Reference
0.3	Soil	(Krupa, 2003)
0.5	Soil, exposure chamber	(Krupa, 2003)
0.5–0.06	Soil, field conditions	(Krupa, 2003)
1	Soil, maximum	(Krupa, 2003)
0.3–1.3	Different species, during the day	(Krupa, 2003)
0.03–0.13	Different species, at night	(Krupa, 2003)

0.1–0.4	Bogs	(Krupa, 2003)
0.4	Agricultural	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
1.9	Heathlands/bogs	(Krupa, 2003)
1.6	Grass (<i>Lolium multiflorum</i>)	(Krupa, 2003)
2.2	Forest	(Krupa, 2003)
2.7±0.7	Douglas fir forest	(Krupa, 2003)
3.2	Douglas fir forest	(Krupa, 2003)
3.6	Coniferous forest	(Krupa, 2003)
0.5–5	Exterior surfaces and interior of leaves	(Krupa, 2003)
2.1	Coniferous forest	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
1.2	Mixed forest	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
0.9	Deciduous forest	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
1.5	Mixed forest	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
2.2	Coniferous forest	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
0.87	Urban	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
0.07	In residential areas,	(Yang et al., 2010)
0.74	The North China Plain	(Shen et al., 2009)
0.7	Semi-natural	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
0.23	In the field	(Yang et al., 2010)
0.626 ± 0.0976	Sea	(Zhang et al., 2010)
0.7	Water	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
0.6	Water	(Schrader and Brummer, 2014)
0.81 ± 0.19	Northwestern Pacific	(Zhang et al., 2003)
0.8–2.0	Atlantic Basin	(Renard et al., 2004)
0.83	Australia-Southern Ocean	(Renard et al., 2004)
0.83	NE Pacific	(Renard et al., 2004)
0.88	North and Baltic Seas	(Renard et al., 2004)
0.76	North Sea	(Renard et al., 2004)
0.7	Tampa Bay	(Renard et al., 2004)
0.2–1.5	North Sea	(Renard et al., 2004)
1.14 ± 0.88	Mean	
 NH_4^+ (D ₄)		
1.0	Beech canopy	(Krupa, 2003)
0.0032	Bean	(Krupa, 2003)
0.7–1.3	Beech canopy (throughfall)	(Krupa, 2003)
0.7–2.1	Spruce canopy (throughfall)	(Krupa, 2003)

0.5–1.5	Spruce/beechn forest (throughfall)	(Krupa, 2003)
0.44–0.60	<i>Ceanothus crassifolius</i> leaves/canopy	(Krupa, 2003)
0.2	Heathlands/bogs	(Krupa, 2003)
0.28±0.05	the Yellow Sea coastal region	(Qi et al., 2013)
0.21	over the Yellow Sea	(Shi et al., 2013)
0.1	Huaniao Island	(Zhu et al., 2013)
0.06 ±0.01	Northwestern Pacifica	(Zhang et al., 2003)
0.52 ± 0.46	Mean	

Table S2. Generation rate constant of NH₄⁺ (G₄, h⁻¹) reported by previous studies.

	G ₄	Reference
Chamber study	0.35±0.21	(Behera and Sharma, 2011)
The Netherlands (< 200 m)	3.6	(Vemetten et al., 1985)
Daytime from vertical concentration distribution	0.36	(Erismann et al., 1988)
Nighttime from vertical concentration distribution	0.18	(Erismann et al., 1988)
A rural site in eastern England	0.014-0.14	(Harrison and Kitto, 1992)
Horizontal concentration distribution	0.01-1.48	(Harrison and Kitto, 1992)
Low layer atmosphere (< 100 m)	0.04-3.60	(Baek et al., 2004)
Atmosphere at 400 m heights	0.08	(Lenhard and Gravenhorst, 1980)
Mean	0.90	

Table S3. Four main types of NH₃ emission sources in the Yellow River Delta.

Sources	Average	SD	Reference
Livestock waste	-16.14‰	7.98	(Freyer, 1978; Heaton, 1987; David Felix et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2016; Bhattarai et al., 2020; Ti et al., 2018)
Vehicle exhaust	+6.62‰	1.89	(Walters et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021)
NH ₃ slip	-7.13‰	7.62	(David Felix et al., 2013; Bhattarai et al., 2020; Chang et al., 2022)
Fertilizer use	-25.21‰	9.43	(David Felix et al., 2013) (Chang et al., 2016; Bhattarai et al., 2020; Ti et al., 2018; Ti et al., 2019)

Table S4. Four main types of NH₃ emission sources in Changsha.

Sources	Average	SD	Reference
Agriculture emissions	-16.7‰	8.6	(Frank et al., 2004; Freyer, 1978; Heaton, 1987; Hristov et al., 2009; Savard et al., 2017; Ti et al., 2018)
Coal combustion	-8.4‰	4.3	(Bhattarai et al., 2020; Freyer, 1978)
Vehicle emissions	4.7‰	5.0	(Savard et al., 2017; Smirnov et al., 2012; Walters et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021)
Biomass burning	-13.0‰	8.9	(Song, 2021)




Figure S1. Sensitivity coefficients of the molar fraction of NH_4^+ to NH_x in the atmosphere to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{4a-3s}$ values at 20°C obtained from six simulation scenarios.




Figure S2. The comparison plots of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{4a-3s}$ values calculated by the six fitted equations (FE) against the six model scenarios (MS) simulated by the developed model.




Figure S3. Scatter plots of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{4a-3s}$ values calculated by the six fitted equations (FE) against the six model scenarios (MS) simulated by the developed model.

References

- Baek, B. H., Aneja, V. P., and Tong, Q.: Chemical coupling between ammonia, acid gases, and fine particles, *Environmental Pollution*, 129, 89-98, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2003.09.022>, 2004.
- Behera, S. N. and Sharma, M.: Degradation of SO₂, NO₂ and NH₃ leading to formation of secondary inorganic aerosols: An environmental chamber study, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 4015-4024, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.056>, 2011.
- Behera, S. N. and Sharma, M.: Transformation of atmospheric ammonia and acid gases into components of PM_{2.5}: an environmental chamber study, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 19, 1187-1197, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-011-0635-9>, 2012.
- Bhattacharai, N., Wang, S., Xu, Q., Dong, Z., Chang, X., Jiang, Y., and Zheng, H.: Sources of gaseous NH₃ in urban Beijing from parallel sampling of NH₃ and NH₄⁺, their nitrogen isotope measurement and modeling, *Science of The Total Environment*, 747, 141361, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141361>, 2020.
- Chang, Y., Liu, X., Deng, C., Dore, A. J., and Zhuang, G.: Source apportionment of atmospheric ammonia before, during, and after the 2014 APEC summit in Beijing using stable nitrogen isotope signatures, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16, 11635-11647, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11635-2016>, 2016.
- Chang, Y., Cheng, K., Kuang, Y., Hu, Q., Gao, Y., Huang, R.-J., Huang, C., Walters, W. W., and Lehmann, M. F.: Isotopic Variability of Ammonia ($\delta^{15}\text{N-NH}_3$) Slipped from Heavy-Duty Vehicles under Real-World Conditions, *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, 9, 726-732, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00526>, 2022.
- David Felix, J., Elliott, E. M., Gish, T. J., McConnell, L. L., and Shaw, S. L.: Characterizing the isotopic composition of atmospheric ammonia emission sources using passive samplers and a combined oxidation-bacterial denitrifier approach, *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 27, 2239-2246, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6679>, 2013.
- Erisman, J.-W., Vermetten, A. W. M., Asman, W. A. H., Waijers-Ijpelaan, A., and Slanina, J.: Vertical distribution of gases and aerosols: The behaviour of ammonia and related components in the lower atmosphere, *Atmospheric Environment (1967)*, 22, 1153-1160, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(88\)90345-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(88)90345-9), 1988.
- Frank, D. A., Evans, R. D., and Tracy, B. F.: The role of ammonia volatilization in controlling the natural ¹⁵N abundance of a grazed grassland, *Biogeochemistry*, 68, 169-178, <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BIOG.0000025736.19381.91>, 2004.

Freyer, H. D.: Seasonal trends of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- nitrogen isotope composition in rain collected at Jülich, Germany, *Tellus*, 30, 83-92, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2153-3490.1978.tb00820.x>, 1978.

Harrison, R. M. and Kitto, A.-M. N.: Estimation of the rate constant for the reaction of acid sulphate aerosol with NH_3 gas from atmospheric measurements, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 15, 133-143, <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00053755>, 1992.

Heaton, T. H. E.: $^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}$ ratios of nitrate and ammonium in rain at Pretoria, South Africa, *Atmospheric Environment* (1967), 21, 843-852, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(87\)90080-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90080-1), 1987.

Hristov, A. N., Zaman, S., Vander Pol, M., Ndegwa, P., Campbell, L., and Silva, S.: Nitrogen losses from dairy manure estimated through nitrogen mass balance and chemical markers, *J Environ Qual*, 38, 2438-2448, <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2009.0057>, 2009.

Krupa, S. V.: Effects of atmospheric ammonia (NH_3) on terrestrial vegetation: a review, *Environmental Pollution*, 124, 179-221, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(02\)00434-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(02)00434-7), 2003.

Lenhard, U. and Gravenhorst, G.: Evaluation of ammonia fluxes into the free atmosphere over Western Germany, *Tellus*, 32, 48-55, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v32i1.10480>, 1980.

Qi, J. H., Shi, J. H., Gao, H. W., and Sun, Z.: Atmospheric dry and wet deposition of nitrogen species and its implication for primary productivity in coastal region of the Yellow Sea, China, *Atmospheric Environment*, 81, 600-608, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.022>, 2013.

Renard, J. J., Calidonna, S. E., and Henley, M. V.: Fate of ammonia in the atmosphere—a review for applicability to hazardous releases, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 108, 29-60, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.01.015>, 2004.

Savard, M. M., Cole, A., Smirnoff, A., and Vet, R.: $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of atmospheric N species simultaneously collected using sector-based samplers distant from sources – Isotopic inheritance and fractionation, *Atmospheric Environment*, 162, 11-22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.05.010>, 2017.

Schrader, F. and Brummer, C.: Land Use Specific Ammonia Deposition Velocities: a Review of Recent Studies (2004-2013), *Water Air and Soil Pollution*, 225, 2114-2125, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-014-2114-7>, 2014.

Sharma, M., Kishore, S., Tripathi, S. N., and Behera, S. N.: Role of atmospheric ammonia in the formation of inorganic secondary particulate matter: A study at Kanpur, India, *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 58, 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-007-9074-x>, 2007.

Shen, J. L., Tang, A. H., Liu, X. J., Fangmeier, A., Goulding, K. T. W., and Zhang, F. S.: High concentrations and dry deposition of reactive nitrogen species at two sites in the North China Plain, *Environmental Pollution*, 157, 3106-3113, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.05.016>, 2009.

Shi, J.-H., Zhang, J., Gao, H.-W., Tan, S.-C., Yao, X.-H., and Ren, J.-L.: Concentration, solubility and deposition flux of atmospheric particulate nutrients over the Yellow Sea, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 97, 43-50, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.05.004>, 2013.

Smirnoff, A., Savard, M. M., Vet, R., and Simard, M.-C.: Nitrogen and triple oxygen isotopes in near-road air samples using chemical conversion and thermal decomposition, *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 26, 2791-2804, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6406>, 2012.

Song, L.: Nitrogen emission isotopic signatures and sources apportionment of atmospheric nitrogen deposition-case of Shenyang, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China, 2021.

Song, L., Walters, W. W., Pan, Y., Li, Z., Gu, M., Duan, Y., Lü, X., and Fang, Y.: ^{15}N natural abundance of vehicular exhaust ammonia, quantified by active sampling techniques, *Atmospheric Environment*, 255, 118430, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118430>, 2021.

Ti, C., Xia, L., Chang, S. X., and Yan, X.: Potential for mitigating global agricultural ammonia emission: A meta-analysis, *Environmental Pollution*, 245, 141-148, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.124>, 2019.

Ti, C., Gao, B., Luo, Y., Wang, X., Wang, S., and Yan, X.: Isotopic characterization of $\text{NH}_x\text{-N}$ in deposition and major emission sources, *Biogeochemistry*, 138, 85-102, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0432-3>, 2018.

Vemetten, A. W. M., Asman, W. A. H., Buijsman, E., Slanina, J., and Waijers-Ijpelaan, A.: Concentrations of NH_3 and NH_4^+ over the Netherlands, <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3476.3608>, 1985.

Walters, W. W., Song, L., Chai, J., Fang, Y., Colombi, N., and Hastings, M. G.: Characterizing the spatiotemporal nitrogen stable isotopic composition of ammonia in vehicle plumes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 11551-11567, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-11551-2020>, 2020.

Yang, R., Hayashi, K., Zhu, B., Li, F., and Yan, X.: Atmospheric NH_3 and NO_2 concentration and nitrogen deposition in an agricultural catchment of Eastern China, *Science of The Total Environment*, 408, 4624-4632, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.06.006>, 2010.

Zhang, L., Brook, J., and Vet, R.: A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in air-quality models, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 3, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-3-1777-2003>, 2003.

Zhang, Y., Dore, A. J., Liu, X., and Zhang, F.: Simulation of nitrogen deposition in the North China Plain by the FRAME model, *Biogeosci.*, 8, 3319-3329, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-3319-2011>, 2011.

Zhang, Y., Yu, Q., Ma, W., and Chen, L.: Atmospheric deposition of inorganic nitrogen to the eastern China seas and its implications to marine biogeochemistry, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115, D00K10, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009jd012814>, 2010.

Zhu, L., Chen, Y., Guo, L., and Wang, F.: Estimate of dry deposition fluxes of nutrients over the East China Sea: The implication of aerosol ammonium to non-sea-salt sulfate ratio to nutrient deposition of coastal oceans, *Atmospheric Environment*, 69, 131-138, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.028>, 2013.