



Advancing isotope-enabled model for comprehensive understanding of atmospheric sulfur isotope effects: demonstrating the overlooked isotopic fractionation during combustion and flue gas desulfurization

Lianfang Wei^{1,2,3}, Xueshun Chen¹, Wenyi Yang⁴, Zhe Wang¹, Jie Li¹, Di Liu⁵, Huiyun Du¹, Xiaole Pan¹, Yafang Cheng³, Pingqing Fu⁶, and Zifa Wang^{1,7}

¹State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment and Extreme Meteorology, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

²Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, Beijing 100012, China

³Aerosol Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz 55128, Germany

⁴Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution and Greenhouse Gases Co-control, Ministry of Ecology and Environment, Chinese Academy of Environmental Planning, Beijing 100041, China

⁵School of Environment, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

⁶Institute of Surface-Earth System Science, School of Earth System Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

⁷College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

Correspondence: Pingqing Fu (fupingqing@tju.edu.cn) and Zifa Wang (zifawang@mail.iap.ac.cn)

Received: 29 July 2025 – Discussion started: 16 March 2026

Revised: 2 July 2026 – Accepted: 27 July 2026 – Published: 19 August 2026

Abstract. The isotopic composition of atmospheric species provides fundamental insights into their sources, sinks, and chemical processes. Conventional end-member mixing models, however, cannot capture progressive isotopic evolution in open systems where mixing and reaction proceed simultaneously. This limitation hinders a comprehensive understanding of the isotope effect and its atmospheric applications. Here, we develop an isotope-enabled chemical transport model (CTM) that tracks four sulfur isotopologues ($^{32}\text{SO}_2$, $^{34}\text{SO}_2$, $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) through emissions, transport, chemistry, and deposition. An iterative time-splitting method reduces the numerical bias from applying the Rayleigh equation in the open atmosphere. The model reproduces the ^{34}S enrichment of sulfate relative to SO_2 and captures the spatial and seasonal patterns of the sulfur isotope effect across eastern China (simulated $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{SO}_2} = 6.11\text{‰} \pm 1.85\text{‰}$; observed = $3.43\text{‰} \pm 1.11\text{‰}$). Further, the agreement between simulated (with $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2} = 0\text{‰}$ emission assumption) and observed sulfate isotopic compositions, combined with the documented higher $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of coal at 1‰–10‰ across eastern China, implies a systematic ^{34}S depletion in emitted SO_2 relative to fuels. This highlights the importance of considering isotopic fractionation during combustion, flue gas desulfurization and chemical processes for accurate source apportionment. The isotope-enabled model provides a new approach for constraining the sulfur budget.

1 Introduction

Sulfate and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) have complex interactions with the environment and Earth's climate system, involving aerosol and cloud formation, climate cooling, and the global sulfur budget (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). The primary sources of SO₂ emissions are from the combustion of sulfur-containing fuels (coal and oil, etc.) at power plants, industrial facilities, and residential heating (Crippa et al., 2023). The atmospheric oxidation of SO₂ to sulfate has been extensively investigated through gas-phase reactions with OH radicals and heterogeneous/multiphase oxidation involving H₂O₂, O₃, NO₂, and transition metal ion catalysis (TMI-catalysis).

Recent investigations into sulfate isotopic composition have emerged as promising proxies for gaining fundamental insight into the sulfate's sources, sinks, and concentration-independent information on atmospheric oxidation processes. However, there remains a significant discrepancy among studies that use the end-member mixing model. Han et al. (2016a) emphasized the dominance of biological sulfur emissions in summer and coal combustion in winter. Lin et al. (2022) illustrated how isotopic fractionation significantly reshapes the sulfur isotopic composition in sulfate, leading to distinctive results of source apportionment. While Feng et al. (2023) highlighted the impact of sulfur isotopic fractionation during combustion on source apportionment, identifying traffic emissions (49 %) and coal combustion (46 %–65 %) as major contributors to sulfate during heavy pollution in the North China Plain. Discrepancies also arise in identifying the dominant SO₂ oxidation pathway. Fan et al. (2020) highlighted SO₂ oxidized by NO₂ and TMI-catalyzed O₂ as a key contributor to high sulfate loading using $\delta^{34}\text{S_SO}_4^{2-}$. While Han et al. (2022) revealed enhanced SO₂ oxidation by H₂O₂, supported by $\delta^{34}\text{S_SO}_2$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S_SO}_4^{2-}$ analyses. Several limitations may explain these divergences. (1) Studies rely on the Rayleigh distillation equation, which is only suitable for closed systems with reservoir-limited conditions, leading to an increasing apparent isotope effect as the reservoir is progressively consumed (Guan and Liu, 2023). (2) Laboratory studies have indicated that the combustion process, atmospheric chemistry and mixing can reshape the sulfur isotopic composition from the sources of sulfur-containing fuels to SO₂ and sulfate.

Therefore, our goal is to reconcile the ongoing debate among previous research findings related to sulfur isotope tracing and establish a comprehensive understanding of the atmospheric isotope effect. To achieve this, developing an isotope-enabled model that integrates isotopic chemistry and the isotopic fingerprints of emission sources is crucial. Recent applications have incorporated isotope effects into CTMs to simulate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric NO_x and NO₃[−] using CMAQ (Fang and Michalski, 2022) and mercury isotopic fractionation using GEOS-Chem (Song et al., 2022). These methodologies involve using isotopologues as prognostic

tracers and scaling the rate constants of different isotopologues with their corresponding isotope fractionation factor. However, these models primarily focus on “gas-phase” or one-step unidirectional kinetic reactions. Sulfur chemistry involves heterogeneous and multiphase reactions with mass transfer, dissociation equilibrium, and chemical reaction. Determining reaction intermediates and their isotopic fractionation factors for each elementary step is therefore challenging. In addition, the isotope effects obtained from laboratory studies for complex reactions represent apparent or overall isotope effects. Consequently, the incorporation of isotopic chemistry into CTMs faces new challenges, especially with heterogeneous/multiphase reactions.

In this study, we develop a new isotope-enabled model to simulate the isotopic compositions of sulfur-bearing species, considering both physical mixing and isotopic fractionation due to chemical reactions, and enabling the explicit simulation of progressive depletion/enrichment in the reservoir and their impact on sulfate production. The study aims to present a comprehensive description of the isotope-enabled model, evaluate its skill in reproducing the spatial-temporal variations in sulfur isotope composition, and deepen our understanding of the atmospheric sulfur isotope effects.

2 Methodology

2.1 Model framework

We incorporate the isotopic chemistry module into the Eulerian atmospheric chemistry transport models NAQPMS. The NAQPMS is a Nested Air Quality Prediction Model System developed by the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) (Wei et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2001), including physical processes (advection, diffusion, and dry/wet deposition), chemistry module (gas and aqueous chemistry, aerosol chemistry and thermodynamics, and mercury chemistry) (Chen et al., 2015), and online emission of dimethyl sulfide, sea salt, and dust. The Advanced Particle Microphysics module (APM) and 1.5-D Volatility Basis Set (VBS) aerosol schemes are also coupled to simulate the aerosol microphysical processes and organic aerosol formation, respectively (Chen et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2014).

The simulation is performed with a two-way nested configuration. The external domain D1 covers the mainland of China at a horizontal resolution of 45 km, while the innermost domain D2 is centered over eastern China with a finer resolution of 15 km, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The model runs on 20 atmospheric vertical layers, utilizing terrain-following hybrid sigma coordinates from the surface to an altitude of 20 km. The sulfur chemistry from SO₂ to S(VI) involves gas, heterogeneous and aqueous-phase oxidation. The gas-phase chemistry incorporates the Carbon Bond Mechanism Z (CBM-Z) (Zaveri and Peters, 1999). For aqueous chemistry, the Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM2) is ap-

plied, resolving cloud-phase sulfur oxidation through dissolvable S(IV) with O₃, H₂O₂, proxy acetic acid (CH₃OOH), and transition metal-catalyzed O₂ (Stockwell et al., 1997). The oxidation of S(IV) species by dissolved NO₂ is also incorporated into the sulfur aqueous chemistry, referring to the kinetic parameters proposed by Cheng et al. (2016). Heterogeneous reactions of SO₂ on various surfaces, including dust, sea salt, soot and deliquesced aerosols, have also been incorporated using the reactive uptake coefficients parameterization (Li et al., 2018). The gas-particle partitioning of inorganic aerosols and aerosol water content are simulated using the improved ISORROPIA II (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007; Song et al., 2018). The simulation began in March 2014. The initial 3 months served as a spin-up time. For additional details on the model and its detailed configuration, refer to Sect. S1 and Table S2 in the Supplement.

2.2 Isotope notation and isotopic fractionation

The sulfur element is widely present throughout all natural environments and possesses four stable isotopes, namely ³²S, ³³S, ³⁴S, and ³⁶S, with relative abundances of 95.02 %, 0.75 %, 4.21 %, and 0.02 %, respectively (De Laeter et al., 2003). In general, the sulfur isotopic composition is denoted as δ^xS value in per mil (‰), where ^xS represents one of the less abundant isotopes, e.g., ³³S, ³⁴S, or ³⁶S. This notation is defined as the difference between the isotopic ratio of a given sample and the reference standard V-CDT (Vienna Canyon Diablo Troilite):

$$\delta^x\text{S}_{\text{Sample}}(\text{‰}) = \left(\frac{R(^x\text{S}/^{32}\text{S})_{\text{Sample}}}{R(^x\text{S}/^{32}\text{S})_{\text{V-CDT}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)$$

where R represents the isotopic ratio, defined as the atomic abundance ratio of heavier to lighter stable isotope, expressed as $R = N(^hX)/N(^lX)$, where $N(^hX)$ and $N(^lX)$ represent the atomic abundances of the heavier stable isotope (^hX) and lighter stable isotope (^lX), respectively. V-CDT is the international sulfur isotope standard, with isotopic ratios of $R(^{34}\text{S}/^{32}\text{S})_{\text{V-CDT}} = 0.044163$ and $R(^{33}\text{S}/^{32}\text{S})_{\text{V-CDT}} = 0.007877$ (Ding et al., 2001).

Isotope effects are observable in physical or chemical processes, especially during equilibrium (or thermodynamic) and kinetic processes, leading to a change in isotope distribution between two substances or different phases of the same substance with distinct isotope ratios. Isotopic fractionation is often a result of the isotope effect. The isotopic fractionation factor $\alpha^hX_{P/S}$ is defined as the ratio of isotopic ratio of the instantaneously formed product $R_{Pi} = (N(^hX)/N(^lX))_{Pi}$ and substrate $R_S = (N(^hX)/N(^lX))_S$ (Mariotti et al., 1981),

$$\alpha^hX_{P/S} = R_{Pi}/R_S \quad (2)$$

For thermodynamic (equilibrium) or unidirectional kinetic process with a single-step reaction following a first-order rate

law, the $\alpha^hX_{P/S}$ can be interpreted as the ratio of rate constant k or equilibrium constant K :

$$\alpha^hX_{P/S} = {}^h k / {}^l k = {}^h K / {}^l K \quad (3)$$

An isotope enrichment factor, ϵ (in per mil ‰), can be defined as:

$$\epsilon = (\alpha^hX_{P/S} - 1) \times 1000 \quad (4)$$

The $\alpha^hX_{P/S}$ determines how much faster or slower the reaction with the heavier isotopologues proceeds relative to the reaction with the lighter isotopologues.

Generally, isotopic fractionation determined in laboratory experiments represents overall isotope effects, resulting from physical, equilibrium, and kinetic fractionation. The isotopic composition between reservoir and product, as a function of reactant extent and fractionation factor, is described by the Rayleigh fractionation equation.

$$R_{S,t}/R_{S,t0} = f_{\text{rem}}^{(\alpha^hX_{P/S}-1)} \quad (5)$$

where f_{rem} is the fraction of residual reactant, $R_{S,t0}$ and $R_{S,t}$ are the isotope ratio of substrate at initial t_0 and t moment, respectively. The instantaneous isotope ratio of the product $R_{P,t}$ at t moment is given by:

$$R_{P,t}/R_{S,t0} = \alpha^hX_{P/S} \times f_{\text{rem}}^{(\alpha^hX_{P/S}-1)} \quad (6)$$

The average isotope ratio of accumulated product ($\overline{R_P}$) during a finite reaction interval follows the standard Rayleigh-fractionation expression for accumulated products (Hoefs, 2018):

$$\overline{R_P}/R_{S,t0} = \frac{1 - f_{\text{rem}}^{(\alpha^hX_{P/S}-1)}}{1 - f_{\text{rem}}} \quad (7)$$

2.3 Developing Isotope-Tagged Emission Inventories

The multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC, <http://meicmodel.org.cn/?p=1579&lang=en>, last access: 11 August 2026) provides a comprehensive SO₂ emission inventory, including anthropogenic emissions from power plants, industry, residential, and transportation, respectively. Primary combustion sulfate, which forms as the oxidation product in the chimney and plumes (Sun et al., 2023; Ding et al., 2021), is assumed to be 5 wt % of anthropogenic SO₂ (Berglen et al., 2004). Anthropogenic sulfur-containing sources exhibit a highly variable and overlapping isotopic composition, ranging from −30 ‰ to 30 ‰ (Hoefs and Harmon, 2022). We adopt a constant signature of δ³⁴S = 0 ‰ V-CDT for anthropogenic SO₂ emission sources. This simplification isolates the atmospheric chemical isotope effect from poorly constrained source signatures.




Figure 1. Model domain and compiled sulfur isotopic composition ($\delta^{34}\text{S}$) data. The simulation uses a two-way nested configuration, with the parent domain D1 covering the Chinese mainland (45 km resolution) and the child domain D2 centered over eastern China (15 km resolution). Literature-reported $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ data are overlaid at sampling locations. Black, red, and green bars denote the mean ± 1 standard deviation of $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of coal, aerosol sulfate, and wet precipitation sulfate, respectively. The small black bar near Inner Mongolia denotes coal $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ value only (regional mean = 0.8 ‰), no aerosol or wet precipitation sulfate $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ are available there. All values are in per mil (‰). Additional details on the observed data can be found in Table S1.

The sulfur isotope difference between SO_2 and sulfate ($\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{SO}_2} = \delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}} - \delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$) approximates the apparent isotopic fractionation multiplied by 1000 ‰ and is hereafter referred to as the isotope effect. $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{SO}_2}$ is mathematically independent of the absolute emission $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ and can be therefore validate the oxidation module of isotope-enabled CTM. By contrast, the $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ depend on the prescribed emission signature. Direct comparisons between simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ are subject to the uncertainties of this assumption and should be interpreted with caution. Jointly examining the simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ together with $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{SO}_2}$ provides a diagnostic of whether a given model–observation bias arises primarily from the atmospheric oxidation processes or from the assumed emission-source signatures.

The tracer mass of $^{32}\text{SO}_2$, $^{34}\text{SO}_2$, $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ isotopologues can be determined from the SO_2 mass in the

emission inventory based on the Eq. (1), with the initial values of $R_{\text{V-CDT}}$ at 0.044163.

$$^{34}\text{S} = ^{32}\text{S} \times \left(\left(\frac{\delta^{34}\text{S}}{1000} + 1 \right) \times R_{\text{V-CDT}} \right) \quad (8)$$

2.4 Coupled methodology of isotopic chemistry module

2.4.1 The development of isotopic chemistry module

To dynamically simulate the spatiotemporal distribution of isotopic composition ($\delta^{34}\text{S}$) in particle SO_4^{2-} and its gaseous precursor SO_2 , we have implemented the tagging technology and isotopic chemistry module into the atmospheric chemistry transport model. The four isotopologues ($^{32}\text{SO}_2$, $^{34}\text{SO}_2$, $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) are treated as independent prognostic tracers of SO_2 and sulfate aerosol throughout their entire atmospheric life cycle, including emission, transport, chemical production/loss, and deposition processes.

Generally, previous isotope-enabled models incorporating isotope chemistry typically only consider kinetic isotope fractionation, deriving different rate coefficients for individual isotopologues from isotopic fractionation factors (α)

with Eq. (4) (Fang and Michalski, 2022; Gromov et al., 2010). This assumption is suitable for single-step, steady-state reactions, such as gas-phase chemical reactions. However, most processes in natural systems involve reaction sequences; each reaction within the sequence exhibits its intrinsic isotope fractionation, and not all isotope fractionation of these individual reactions is measurable. For example, the cloud and aqueous-phase chemical module involve gas-to-particle equilibrium, dissolution, diffusion, and chemical reactions. Thus, the isotope effect observed in the complex system with multiple intermediates represents an overall isotope effect. If the reaction sequences have a given end product, the conventional approach for deriving the isotope effect requires repeated determination of $R_{S,t0}$, $\bar{R}_P$ and f_{rem} . The isotope fractionation factor is then calculated using the Rayleigh equation as,

$$\bar{R}_P = R_{S,t0} \times \frac{1 - f_{\text{rem}}^{\alpha^{h_{\text{P/S}} - 1}}}{1 - f_{\text{rem}}} \quad (9)$$

When a substrate is consumed through multiple competing pathways simultaneously, the Rayleigh equation relates the change in the isotopic ratio of substrate to the extent of substrate consumption, employing the apparent overall isotopic fractionation factor (α_A) (Van Breukelen, 2007). The factor of α_A is expressed as a function of various instantaneous isotopic fractionation factors (α_{ins}) associated with individual pathways, given by the following equation:

$$\alpha_A = F_1 \times \alpha_{\text{ins}_1} + F_2 \times \alpha_{\text{ins}_2} + \dots + F_n \times \alpha_{\text{ins}_n} \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the sulfur isotopic ratio of the residual SO_2 within the grid cell can be determined using the following equation:

$$R_{S,t} = R_{S,t0} \times f_{\text{rem}}^{(\alpha_A - 1)} = R_{S,t0} \times f_{\text{rem}}^{(\sum F_i \times \alpha_{\text{ins}_i} - 1)} \quad (11)$$

here F_i is the ratio of contribution from the i th process to the overall product.

To directly apply isotope fractionation factors measured in laboratory studies, we developed an independent isotopic chemistry module coupled to the host CTM, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The module utilizes Rayleigh-fractionation equations to calculate isotopologues changes between reactants and products, combined with the iterative time-splitting method described in Sect. 2.4.2 to reduce numerical bias. At each model time step, the module receives SO_2 loss and sulfate production separately from the gas-phase, heterogeneous, and cloud/aqueous-phase chemistry modules, and the relative contribution of each oxidation pathway is calculated and recorded. Temperature-dependent isotope fractionation factors are then updated in each grid cell according to the local temperature. The module tracks the net changes in four isotopologues ($^{32}\text{SO}_2$, $^{34}\text{SO}_2$, $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) and updates their concentrations through an iterative time-splitting procedure, which divides each oxidation calculation into smaller

SO_2 conversion sub-steps. Within each sub-step, isotope ratios are calculated using Rayleigh-fractionation equations, isotopic mass balance is enforced, and the isotopic composition of the reactant reservoir and accumulated product is updated. The full algorithm framework is further documented in Sect. S2.

Based on the assumptions outlined in Sect. S1, the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ is primarily influenced by fractionation during different chemical reactions. Relevant sulfur isotopic fractionation data have been sourced from laboratory studies conducted by Harris et al. (2012b, 2012a, 2012c, 2013), including the gas-phase oxidation by OH radicals, aqueous-phase oxidation by H_2O_2 , O_3 , and iron catalysis, heterogeneous oxidation of SO_2 on sea salt aerosol and mineral dust (see Table 1). The sulfur isotopic fractionation during SO_2 oxidation by NO_2 in the aqueous phase, as determined by Yang et al. (2018), is excluded from this study. This exclusion is attributed to their methodology, which yielded an apparent isotopic fractionation factor calculated by dividing the isotopic ratio of accumulated production by the initial isotope ratio of reactant. This calculation assumed that R_{pi} was approximated by $\bar{R}_P$ with f_{rem} being approximately 1. This approach contrasts with the conventional definition of the isotope fractionation factor, which typically represents the isotope ratio in the instantaneously formed product in an infinitely short time divided by that of the reactant (Eq. 2) (Harris et al., 2013; Hoefs, 2018; Mariotti et al., 1981). For reference in our discussion, the apparent isotopic fractionation factor $\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{NO}_2}$ (T at 3°C) = 0.998 is adopted in the simulation.

2.4.2 Improving isotopic chemistry simulation with the iterative time-splitting method

In CTM, numerical integration is crucial for solving the kinetic equations of chemical mechanisms. In the gas-phase module, the CBMZ mechanism is coupled with the LSODES solver (the sparse version of the Livermore ODE solver) to integrate the chemical transformations and provide species concentration changes over time. In the cloud and aqueous chemistry module, the gas–aqueous partitioning is determined by instantaneous Henry's law equilibrium; at each step, a bisection method estimates pH and ionic speciation under electroneutrality and thermodynamic equilibrium, and a forward Euler solver integrates the aqueous-phase sulfur oxidation reactions, with chemical equilibrium reestablished after each incremental oxidation step.

The classical Rayleigh distillation equation assumes that (i) the product, once formed, is immediately and irreversibly removed with no further isotopic exchange, and (ii) the reservoir receives no external input, the reactants being consumed solely by the fractionating reaction. These assumptions do not hold in the open atmosphere. Within a single integration step (~ 30 min), the reactant reservoir in each grid cell is continuously influenced by emissions, advection, and diffusive mixing. Because mixing and fractionation occur simul-




Figure 2. Algorithmic framework of the isotope-enabled CTM and the isotopic chemistry module. (a) Host CTM framework, including the main physical and chemical modules (gas-phase chemistry, aerosol chemistry and thermodynamics, cloud and aqueous chemistry, advection, diffusion, and dry and wet deposition) and their coupling with the isotopic chemistry module. (b) The workflow of the isotopic chemistry module. At each time step, the module tracks net SO₂ and sulfate changes, calculates reaction fractions, updates temperature-dependent fractionation factors, applies iterative time splitting, computes isotope ratios via Rayleigh-fractionation equations, performs isotopic mass balance, and updates the isotope ratios of the reactant reservoir and accumulated product.

Table 1. The sulfur isotopic fractionation factor determined by the lab experiment and its temperature dependency for a specific oxidation pathway.

Oxidation pathway	Reaction Type	Symbol	Model isotope fractionation factor α (at 0 °C)	T dependence (°C ⁻¹)	Reference
S(IV) + OH•	Gas-phase	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{OH}}$	1.0106	−0.004	Harris et al. (2012a)
S(IV) + H ₂ O ₂	Aqueous-phase	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}$	1.0165	−0.085	Harris et al. (2012a)
S(IV) + O ₃	Aqueous-phase	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{O}_3}$	1.0167	−0.085	Harris et al. (2012a)
S(IV) + O ₂ (TMI catalyzed)	Aqueous-phase	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{TMI}}$	0.9949	−0.237	Harris et al. (2013)
S(IV) + O ₂ (TMI catalyzed)	On mineral dust	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{TMI_surface}}$	1.0096 ($T = 19$ °C)	–	Harris et al. (2012b)
S(IV) + O ₃	On the sea salt	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{seasalt}}$	1.0124 ($T = 19$ °C)	–	Harris et al. (2012c)
S(IV) + NO ₂	Aqueous-phase	$\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{NO}_2^*}$	0.998 ($T = 3$ °C)	–	Yang et al. (2018)

We consider the temperature dependence of the isotopic fractionation factor by utilizing the real-time temperature of the grid cell, thus avoiding a significant effect on the seasonal simulation of isotopic composition. $\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{NO}_2^*}$ denotes the apparent isotopic fractionation factor during SO₂ oxidation by NO₂ in the aqueous phase at 3 °C, $\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{NO}_2}$ (T at 3 °C) = 0.998 ± 0.00041 , was determined by Yang et al. (2018). In their laboratory experiment, SO₂ was oxidized by NO₂ in a reaction chamber containing liquid water. The isotopic composition $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ in the initial SO₂ and accumulated sulfate product after 2 h of reaction time was collected and measured. However, the residual fraction of SO₂ was not measured, and the apparent isotopic fractionation factor was calculated by dividing the isotopic ratio of accumulated production by the ratio of reactant. As the reaction progresses, the isotopic composition of the accumulated product changes. Once the reactants are completely consumed, the isotopic composition of the accumulated product is equal to the initial reactants (See Fig. 3). Therefore, according to the isotope mass balance, the apparent isotopic fractionation factor is highly dependent on the residual fraction during the reaction process, and cannot be equal to the exact isotopic fractionation factor, which is represented by the isotope ratio in the instantaneously formed product divided by the ratio in the reactant. Although the detected apparent isotopic fractionation factor can indicate the direction of isotopic fractionation (enrichment or depletion in the product relative to the reactant), it cannot be directly used in the model to calculate the isotope effect of S(IV) + NO₂ pathway.




Figure 3. Rayleigh plot for sulfur isotope fractionations during SO₂ oxidation in a closed system with no external input and irreversible product removal. $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of the residual reactant (solid black line), instantaneous product (blue dotted line), and accumulated product (blue dashed line) are shown as a function of the fraction of remaining reactant based on a Rayleigh equation, with the initial $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of SO₂ set to 0‰. Three scenarios are illustrated: **(a)** aqueous-phase H₂O₂ oxidation ($\alpha^{34}\text{S} = 1.0165$ at 0 °C), **(b)** aqueous-phase TMI-catalyzed oxidation ($\alpha^{34}\text{S} = 0.9949$ at 0 °C), and **(c)** the net isotope effect under competing S(IV) oxidation pathways ($\alpha^{34}\text{S} = 1.0063$ at 0 °C). The pathway contributions in panel (c) competing S(IV) oxidation pathways – gas-phase OH oxidation (42.6 %), aqueous H₂O₂ oxidation (2.2 %), O₃ oxidation (1.3 %), TMI-catalyzed oxidation (27.2 %), and heterogeneous reactions (26.7 %) – are derived from GEOS-Chem simulations (Shao et al., 2019).

taneously, applying the Rayleigh equation over the full-time step, especially under a large conversion fraction, makes the isotopic composition sensitive to the residual fraction f_{rem} , which itself depends on time discretization and reaction-rate constants. This artificially amplifies the apparent enrichment or depletion in the residual reactant, producing the so-called reservoir effect. Mitigating this bias provides the numerical motivation for the iterative time-splitting method used here.

To reduce the numerical bias that can arise when the Rayleigh equation is applied directly over a finite CTM time step in an open atmospheric system, we introduce an iterative time-splitting method that divides each oxidation calculation into smaller conversion sub-steps and updates the isotopic composition iteratively. Within each main time step, the total reactant supplied by emissions, advection, and mixing is apportioned across the sub-steps. At the start of each sub-step, the reactant concentration and isotope ratio are updated by incorporating the freshly allocated reactant, after which the Rayleigh equation is applied under the approximately closed conditions of that sub-step, where the conversion fraction is kept below 2 %. This ensures that isotope fractionation is computed incrementally in the presence of continuous fresh input, rather than over the full model time step. In addition, we derive an exact integration solution describing the isotopic evolution of the reservoir in an open system with simultaneous fresh input and product removal (Sect. S3). By comparing the iterative method against this exact benchmark, we determine the optimal sub-step size that minimizes the discrepancy between the Rayleigh-based calculation and the

open-system solution; further sensitivity tests are presented in Sect. 3.1.

3 Results

Building upon the isotope-enabled modeling framework established in Sect. 2, we evaluate the model's performance. The evaluation proceeds in two stages. First, we validate the iterative time-splitting method incorporated into the isotopic chemistry calculation (Sect. 3.1), demonstrating that our approach adequately resolves the isotope evolution in open systems with simultaneous mixing and reaction. Second, we assess the model's ability to capture observed sulfur isotope signatures, focusing on the sulfur isotope effect ($\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$) and the site- and season-dependent $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ across eastern China (Sect. 3.2).

3.1 Improving isotopic chemistry simulation and sensitivity tests

In previous studies, the initial isotopic composition of reactant ($\delta_{\text{s},0}$) was calculated based on the isotopic composition of the product (δ_{p}) and the residual fraction of reactants f_{rem} using the Rayleigh equation, assuming no addition or mixing. This resulted in isotopic “reservoir effects” as the reaction progressed. The isotopic fractionation factor determines the direction of fractionation: when $\alpha > 1$, heavy isotopes are preferentially enriched in the product and depleted in the residual reservoir; conversely, when $\alpha < 1$, heavy isotopes

are preferentially depleted in the product and enriched in the residual reservoir.

To illustrate the magnitude of the reservoir effect under realistic atmospheric conditions, we consider the competing S(IV) oxidation pathways: gas-phase OH radicals, aqueous-phase H_2O_2 , O_3 , and TMI-catalysis, and heterogeneous reaction. Using model results from Shao et al. (2019), who employed the GEOS-Chem model to quantify the contributions of these competing pathways to sulfate formation during a Beijing pollution episode, their reported contributions are 42.6 %, 2.2 %, 1.3 %, 27.2 %, and 26.7 %, respectively. Based on these pathway contributions, the calculated overall/net sulfur fractionation factor $\alpha^{34}\text{S}_{\text{S(IV)}}$ is 1.0063 at 0 °C. Therefore, the sulfate product favors the heavier isotopologues $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ over $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, leading to an increase in $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ as the reaction progresses. This relationship depends on the fractionation fraction and the reaction extent. As shown in Fig. 3, with the initial $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of SO_2 set to 0 ‰, when f_{rem} drops to 70 % and 20 %, the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of the residual SO_2 reaches approximately -2.2 ‰ and -10 ‰, while the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of the accumulated sulfate product reaches approximately 5.2 ‰ and 2.5 ‰, respectively. The resulting $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ is 7.4 ‰ and 12.5 ‰. As the reservoir approaches depletion ($f_{\text{rem}} \approx 0$), the apparent isotope fractionation becomes unrealistically large. This challenges the direct application of the Rayleigh equation to systems where mixing and reaction occur simultaneously.

Using the integration method that describes the isotopic evolution of the reservoir in an open system (Sect. S3), Fig. S1 illustrates that as the β value (the ratio of the instantaneous amount added to the removal) increases, the δ value of the reservoir progressively gets higher, with the external sources consistently contributing with a constant isotopic composition (δ_s) of 10 ‰. This emphasizes the importance of considering the mixing process when calculating the isotope effect. The overall apparent isotope fractionations are indeed the result of combined effects of the Rayleigh-like distillation process and the diffusion-driven isotope distributions (Guan and Liu, 2023). The combined processes with the mixing of fresh material and isotopic fractionation can lead to a smaller variation in $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ than estimated by Rayleigh equation. These results indicate that the optimal sub-timesteps effectively reduce the time-step impact and minimize discrepancies between the Rayleigh-based calculation and the integration method.

To mitigate the numerical bias that can arise when the Rayleigh equation is applied directly over a finite CTM time step, we implement an iterative time-splitting method. Firstly, the comparison between this iterative method and integration method in the open system helps determine the optimal sub-timesteps, effectively minimizing the biases in isotopic calculation when employing the Rayleigh equation. Figure S2 illustrates that the largest differences are observed for small reaction fraction and large fraction of fresh mixture relative to the initial substrate. A larger difference is ex-

pected when the differences between the isotopic composition of substrate and mixture increase and the isotope effect becomes stronger. For 50 sub-timesteps, good agreements are found in the calculated isotopic value of the reservoir between the iterative time-splitting method and the integrating method, assuming 0.3 ‰ is approximate to the average analytical precision of isotope. This indicates that the combination of the Rayleigh equation with the iterative method is capable of effectively simulating the progressive isotopic evolution of reservoirs with simultaneous mixing and isotopic fractionation.

We also conduct sensitivity tests to check the performance of the simulated isotopic composition of product with the optimized sub-timesteps. We choose 0.3 ‰ as the tolerance of this iterative time-splitting method. Figure S3 illustrates the deviation of δ -values for 1, 10, 50, and 100 sub-steps relative to the reference simulation with 1000 sub-steps. Notably, the largest deviation occurs for a large fraction of reaction f and mixture, attributed to greater depletion in the reservoirs ($\alpha > 1$) and influence of mixing process. For 50 sub-steps, the maximum deviation is less than 0.1 ‰. This comparison confirms that the sub-timestep limiting reaction fraction f to approximately 2 % is acceptable for reducing simulation bias, thus the value is adopted to improve the simulation.

The numerical comparison further shows that the largest differences between the direct Rayleigh calculation and the iterative method occur mainly over remote oceanic regions, where SO_2 emissions are low, reaction extents are high, and the SO_2 reservoir effect is strongest; in these regions, the iterative method reduces the simulated $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ by approximately 1.5 ‰–3.0 ‰ relative to the direct Rayleigh calculation applied over the full model time step. In regions with intensive anthropogenic activity, the influence is smaller but still non-negligible, with changes of approximately 0.3 ‰–1.0 ‰ in some polluted areas, demonstrating that the iterative time-splitting method improves the numerical accuracy of simulated sulfur isotope fractionation.

3.2 Model evaluation

3.2.1 Evaluation of sulfur isotope effect

As shown in Table 1, the sulfur isotopes exhibit distinctive fractionation during chemical reactions, including gas-phase, aqueous-phase, and heterogeneous reactions. It provides valuable information for investigating the relative importance of the different oxidation pathways converting SO_2 to sulfate. Gas-phase and aqueous-phase oxidation by H_2O_2 and O_3 produces sulfate that is enriched in ^{34}S (+15.1 ‰ to +19.9 ‰, depending on pH and temperature) relative to the initial SO_2 reservoir, while the SO_2 reservoir becomes depleted in ^{34}S . In contrast, TMI-catalyzed oxidation produces sulfate depleted in ^{34}S (-9.5 ± 3.1 ‰) relative to initial SO_2 (Harris et al., 2012c, 2012a, 2013, 2012b).

Figures 4 and 5 show the simulated spatial-temporal distribution of near-surface $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ for individual sulfur oxidation pathways through controlled experiments. We focus on the simulation results for the isotope effect resulting from individual sulfur oxidation pathways. The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of all emission sources is assumed to be 0 ‰. The model predicts a daily-mean range of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ of 8 ‰, 2 ‰ $\sim$ 16 ‰, 0 ‰ $\sim$ 6 ‰, and -8 ‰ $\sim$ 0 ‰ via gas-phase oxidation by OH radical, and cloud-phase oxidation by H_2O_2 , O_3 , TMI-catalyzed O_2 over eastern China, respectively. Correspondingly, a depleted ^{34}S in SO_2 , and the daily-mean range of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2} = -5$ ‰ $\sim$ 1 ‰, -5 ‰ $\sim$ 0 ‰, -3 ‰ $\sim$ -1 ‰, and 0.5 ‰ $\sim$ 3 ‰, respectively. A relatively homogeneous spatial pattern of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ is observed for gas-phase oxidation (~ 8 ‰), whereas aqueous-phase oxidations show more heterogeneous spatial distributions. The simulated spatial-temporal distribution of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ depends on the relative importance of different oxidation pathways and the ratio of mixed SO_2 to formed sulfate within each model time step. Unlike gas-phase reactions, cloud and aqueous phase chemistry highly depend on the geographical distribution of cloud coverage and liquid water content. The spatial distribution of simulated $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ is more sensitive to the mixing rate of SO_2 ; in eastern China, where SO_2 emission intensity is high, the reservoir effect is effectively offset, resulting in slight regional differences.

We evaluate the oxidation isotope module using the sulfur isotope effect $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$, the isotopic difference between sulfate and SO_2 . Because $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ depends on the relative isotope fractionation among oxidation pathways rather than on the absolute $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of emitted SO_2 , it is less sensitive to source isotopic assumptions and provides a more direct evaluation of the oxidation module.

Simultaneous $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ observations of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ sulfate and SO_2 are available at Nanjing (118.5° E, 32.1° N) for summer (6 July to 30 August 2014) and winter (1–23 January 2015) (Chen et al., 2017). As shown in Fig. 6b, the simulated $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ averaged 5.50 ‰ ± 1.66 ‰ (summer) and 6.54 ‰ ± 1.91 ‰ (winter), compared with the observed means of 3.27 ‰ ± 0.76 ‰ (summer, $n = 25$, $R = 0.76$, NMB = 54.8 %) and 3.39 ‰ ± 1.68 ‰ (winter, $n = 16$, $R = 0.70$, NMB = 35.3 %), respectively. The overall simulated $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ is 6.11 ± 1.85 ‰, compared to the observed mean of 3.43 ± 1.11 ‰. The model captures the enrichment direction and seasonal variation but systematically overestimates the magnitude. This overestimation is physically consistent with the underrepresented ^{34}S -depleting TMI-catalyzed oxidation pathway and the absence of aerosol water multiphase chemistry in the current mechanism. Further discussion is in Sect. 4.1.

3.2.2 Site- and season-dependent $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$

The $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ evaluation at Nanjing (Sect. 3.2.1) shows that the oxidation module captures the direction and

seasonal variability of the isotope effect, albeit with a systematic overestimation. We next compare the simulated absolute $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ with observations, noting that absolute $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ is influenced by both oxidation fractionation and the assumed $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of emitted SO_2 . Figure 6c presents the model's comparison with a compilation of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ observations across eastern China, including Beijing (Han et al., 2016b; Han et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2018), Tianjin (Han et al., 2022; Ding et al., 2022), Nanjing (Chen et al., 2017), and Hangzhou city (Lin et al., 2022).

The simulated daily mean $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ in Nanjing and Hangzhou do not significantly differ from the observed values. Specifically, for Nanjing, the simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ were 3.88 ‰ ± 1.63 ‰ and 4.01 ‰ ± 0.39 ‰ in summer ($n = 25$, $R = 0.74$, NMB = -4.4 %), and 6.47 ‰ ± 1.09 ‰ and 4.80 ‰ ± 0.84 ‰ in winter ($n = 16$, $R = 0.76$, NMB = 34.8 %), respectively. For Hangzhou, the simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ were 3.91 ‰ ± 1.77 ‰ and 3.50 ‰ ± 1.25 ‰ in summer ($n = 8$, $R = 0.88$, NMB = 10.4 %), and 4.63 ‰ ± 2.37 ‰ and 4.95 ‰ ± 0.62 ‰ in winter ($n = 8$, $R = 0.84$, NMB = -10.5 %), respectively. Regarding Tianjin, the simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ were 3.88 ‰ ± 1.73 ‰ and 4.20 ‰ ± 1.26 ‰ in winter ($n = 34$, $R = 0.83$, NMB = -11.0 %), the model (4.40 ‰ ± 1.62 ‰) slightly overestimates observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ (2.75 ‰ ± 0.45 ‰) in summer ($n = 12$, $R = 0.87$, NMB = 57.4 %). This difference remains within the acceptable 2 ‰ range for the sulfur isotope effect, considering a ± 10 % uncertainty in the relative significance of enriched or depleted sulfur oxidation pathways. However, the model (3.99 ‰ ± 1.86 ‰) underestimates the observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ (7.94 ‰ ± 1.41 ‰) about 50 % in Beijing winter ($n = 16$, $R = 0.79$, NMB = -50.5 %).

4 Discussion

The model evaluation in Sect. 3 demonstrates that the isotope-enabled framework captures the enrichment direction and seasonal variability of the sulfur isotope effect. However, site- and season-dependent biases remain, including the systematic overestimation of $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ and the wintertime underestimation of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ at Beijing. Section 4.1 discusses the sources of the biases. Section 4.2 extends the discussion to broader implications inferred by combining model results with literature evidence. It examines how isotopic fractionation during combustion and flue gas desulfurization (FGD) processes may reconcile the apparent offset between fuel $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values and observed aerosol $\delta^{34}\text{S}$, and discusses what this implies for source apportionment studies.




Figure 4. Simulated spatial distribution of near-surface $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ for individual sulfur oxidation pathways. Each panel shows the result of a sensitivity experiment in which only one oxidation pathway is active: (a) gas-phase oxidation by OH radical, (b) cloud-phase oxidation by H_2O_2 , (c) cloud-phase oxidation by O_3 , and (d) cloud-phase oxidation by TMI-catalyzed O_2 . All simulations are for December 2015. The sulfur isotopic composition of all emission sources is set to 0‰ to minimize the impact of source fingerprint, reflecting the direction and magnitude of the isotope fractionation specific to each pathway. Positive values indicate ^{34}S enrichment in residual SO_2 ; negative values indicate depletion.

4.1 Model-demonstrated results: oxidation-driven versus source-driven biases

The overestimation of $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ at Nanjing (NMB = 35 %–55 %) is attributed to the insufficient representation of the TMI-catalyzed oxidation pathway – the only known oxidation pathway that produces sulfate depleted in ^{34}S relative to SO_2 ($\alpha = 0.9949$, $\epsilon \approx -5.1$ ‰). Previous studies have indicated that the aqueous-phase TMI-catalyzed oxidation pathway is underestimated in the current atmospheric chemical transport models, contributing 9 %–18 % to sulfate production globally (Alexander et al., 2009; Itahashi et al., 2022), while heterogeneous reactions via TMI-catalyzed oxidation on deliquesced aerosol particles can contribute 14 %–92 % to sulfate production during the heavy pollution period in northern China (Shao et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021). Furthermore, model parameterizations of Fe and Mn concentrations are biased low in East Asia (Itahashi et al., 2022), which directly suppresses the simulated TMI-catalyzed sulfate production. Our model does not currently include TMI-catalyzed oxidation in aerosol liquid water. Instead, we employ the obtained sulfur isotope fractionation during heterogeneous oxidation of SO_2 on mineral dust ($\alpha > 1$) (Harris et al., 2012b) to represent the overall iso-

tope effect of all heterogeneous reactions at the interface of deliquesced aerosol particles, which likely introduces additional biases. Currently, laboratory studies also show enhanced multiphase oxidation of SO_2 by NO_2 in deliquesced aerosol particles (Wang et al., 2016; Liu and Abbatt, 2021), but isotopic fractionation factors for this pathway are currently lacking and require further measurement.

The site- and season-dependent $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ biases can be grouped into two categories: Category 1 – Oxidation-driven biases. At Nanjing, Hangzhou, and Tianjin winter, the $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ biases are broadly consistent in direction with the known overestimation of $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ by the oxidation module. This confirms that the $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2} = 0$ ‰ assumption is a reasonable approximation for the well-mixed regional SO_2 emissions at these locations. The remaining bias is therefore predominantly oxidation-driven, reflecting the underrepresented TMI-catalyzed pathway and simplified heterogeneous chemistry noted above.

Category 2 – Source-driven biases. By contrast, at Beijing winter, the model (3.99 ‰ $\pm$ 1.86 ‰) underestimates observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ (7.94 ‰ $\pm$ 1.41 ‰) by ~ 50 % (NMB = -50.5 %). This contrasts with the oxidation module's upward bias in $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}$, which would drive $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ above




Figure 5. Same as Fig. 4, but for near-surface $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$. Panels show sensitivity experiments with individual oxidation pathways active: (a) gas-phase OH, (b) aqueous-phase H_2O_2 , (c) aqueous-phase O_3 , and (d) TMI-catalyzed O_2 oxidation, all for December 2015 with $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ emission = 0‰. Positive values indicate ^{34}S enrichment in sulfate; negative values indicate depletion.

observation. This reversed bias direction provides a clear diagnostic that the dominant error originates from the source assumption rather than from the oxidation module.

As shown in Fig. 1 and Table S1, documented coal $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ in northern China – including Beijing, Hebei, and Henan – are substantially higher than 0‰, with means of $7.4\text{‰} \pm 16.1\text{‰}$, $3.5\text{‰} \pm 6.6\text{‰}$, and $6.4\text{‰} \pm 1.0\text{‰}$, respectively. Enhanced residential heating in northern China contributes about 46 % of the monthly averaged $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration (Zhang et al., 2017), providing a localized source of SO_2 with elevated $\delta^{34}\text{S}$. If Beijing winter SO_2 carries $\delta^{34}\text{S} \approx 7\text{‰}$ (the mean coal value) instead of 0‰, the modeled $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ would increase from $\sim 4.0\text{‰}$ to $\sim 6\text{--}7\text{‰}$ after accounting for oxidation-driven enrichment, approaching the observed 7.94‰ . Consequently, the distinct seasonal shift in energy type (coal, biomass, clean energy, etc.) and activity (cooking, heating, etc.) can substantially affect aerosol $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ (Wei et al., 2018), complicating direct model–observation comparisons where strong localized combustion sources are present.

4.2 Interpretive implications: combining model results with literature evidence

The preceding discussion reflects what is directly resolved by the isotope-enabled model. We now extend the discussion

to broader implications inferred by combining our model results with literature evidence on emission-source isotopic signatures, combustion fractionation, and FGD.

Assuming a fixed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$ of 0‰ for all anthropogenic emissions of SO_2 , the model's ability to reproduce observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ (Sect. 3.2.2) suggests that well-mixed anthropogenic SO_2 emissions are characterized by $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values close to 0‰. However, compiled anthropogenic $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of SO_2 emission sources in eastern China, including coal, crude oil, and biomass, range from 1‰ to 10‰ (Hong et al., 1993; Guo et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017). This discrepancy points to a systematic ^{34}S depletion process in emitted SO_2 .

As shown in Fig. 7, residential and industrial combustion experiments show that the sulfate in ash particles enriched ^{34}S , while emitted SO_2 is depleted in ^{34}S ($-10\text{‰} \sim -5\text{‰}$) relative to the fuel (Hong et al., 1993; Chen et al., 2017). FGD further introduces isotopic fractionation, with the outlet SO_2 isotopically lighter than the inlet SO_2 (calculated apparent sulfur isotope enrichment factors $\epsilon^* = -5.5\text{‰}$) (Derda et al., 2007). These documented combustion and FGD fractionation patterns are temporally consistent with the abrupt decline in $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ with continuous long-term aerosol observation (shifting from $5\text{‰} \sim 10\text{‰}$ before 1999 to $0\text{‰} \sim 5\text{‰}$ at Tsuruoka, Japan) (Oduro et al., 2012) and




Figure 6. Comparison between simulated and observed sulfur isotope composition over eastern China. **(a)** Simulated seasonal mean spatial distributions of sulfur isotopic composition ($\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$, $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$) over eastern China for summer (June–August) and winter (December–February) of 2015. **(b)** Comparison of simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$, $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$, and $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ at Nanjing during the summer and winter 2014. $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ primarily reflects oxidation-induced isotope fractionation and is less sensitive to the assumed $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of emission sources, providing a more direct evaluation of the oxidation isotope module. **(c)** Seasonal comparison of simulated and observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ across multiple cities in eastern China, simulated values correspond to the same seasonal periods as the observations at each site. In panels **(b)** and **(c)**, “Obs” denotes observation and “Sim” denotes simulation.

wet precipitation records (from 6.6‰ in 2010 to -0.1 ‰ in 2017 at Jiaozuo city, China) (Zheng et al., 2024). This observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ decline coincides with the widespread adoption of FGD technology in coal-fired industries after 2000 (Liu, 2019), although other concurrent factors – such as changes in the relative contribution of coal, oil, and biomass combustion sources, shifts in the geographic distribution of SO_2 emissions, and variations in atmospheric oxidation pathways – may also contribute to the observed trends. Establishing a direct causal linkage between FGD deployment and the recorded $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ decline would require a dedicated study combining long-term field observations with systematic source sampling and experimental study of isotopic fractionation during combustion and FGD processes, which is beyond the scope of the present analysis. This temporal coherence, nevertheless, suggests that FGD-induced isotopic fractionation is a plausible contributing factor worth further investigation.

Additionally, the sulfur oxidation pathway produces enriched sulfate with $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}/\text{SO}_2$ at 6‰–8‰. The emission-side ^{34}S depletion and the oxidation-side ^{34}S enrichment thus partly counterbalance each other, leading to only a modest net difference between fuel $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ and airborne sulfate $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ (typically 1‰–8‰) (Fig. 7). This emphasizes

a complex isotope effect of sulfur chemistry during combustion and FGD. Using fuel $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ directly as the SO_2 source end-member – without correcting for the ^{34}S depletion introduced by combustion and FGD – artificially elevates the source signature, causing systematic underestimation of the contribution of sulfur-containing fuels with significant combustion fractionation and overestimation of sources with $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ near 0‰. An independent study by Feng et al. (2023) quantified this effect: using high-time-resolution $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ observations during haze episodes in northern China, they found that neglecting coal-combustion ^{34}S fractionation caused the coal contribution to be underestimated by 17%–38% of secondary sulfate. These quantitative findings corroborate the deductive evidence from our model and underscore the necessity of incorporating both emission-side and oxidation-side isotopic fractionation into the end-member framework for reliable source apportionment.

5 Conclusions and future atmospheric implications

Isotopic fingerprints of atmospheric chemicals provide essential constraints on their sources and chemical pathways. Here, we developed an isotope-enabled CTM to overcome




Figure 7. Schematic diagram of different processes and associated isotope enrichment factors for transformations of major sulfur-containing species to biogenic, anthropogenic, and sea-salt sulfate in the atmosphere. The sulfur isotopic compositions ($\delta^{34}\text{S}$) of anthropogenic sources (coal, crude oil, and biomass, etc.) in eastern China are compiled from Hong et al. (1993), Guo et al. (2016) and Chen et al. (2017). The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of sea-salt sulfate, DMS, MSA, biogenic sulfate, and the apparent enrichment factor during atmospheric oxidation of DMS to MSA are referenced from Oduro et al. (2012). The isotope enrichment factor (ϵ) for oxidation pathways of sulfur are listed in Table 1. Apparent enrichment factors (ϵ^*) during residential combustion are investigated by Hong et al. (1993) and Chen et al. (2017). ϵ^* during industrial combustion and FGD are reported by Hong et al. (1993) and Derda et al. (2007), respectively. Because the combustion experiments are not comparable to the carefully controlled environment of laboratory studies, these experiments cannot yield accurate sulfur isotopic fractionation factors, thus, we employ the sulfur isotope difference between initial $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of sulfur-containing fuels and $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of outlet-emitted SO_2 to interpret ϵ^* during combustion and FGD processes.

the limitations of conventional mixing models. The model tracks four sulfur isotopologues ($^{32}\text{SO}_2$, $^{34}\text{SO}_2$, $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) and uses an iterative time-splitting method to reduce the Rayleigh-equation bias in open atmosphere. It reproduces pathway-specific fractionation: gas-phase (OH) and aqueous-phase ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{O}_3$) oxidation enriches sulfate in ^{34}S (+15.1‰ to +19.9‰), while TMI-catalyzed reactions deplete ^{34}S (−9.5‰ $\pm$ 3.1‰). The model captures sulfate ^{34}S enrichment and the spatial and seasonal patterns of the sulfur isotope effect across eastern China (simulated $\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{SO}_2} = 6.11\text{‰} \pm 1.85\text{‰}$; observed = 3.43‰ $\pm$ 1.11‰).

The remaining site- and season-dependent biases can be separated into two diagnostic categories. The systematic overestimation of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ is primarily attributable to the underrepresented contribution of the ^{34}S -depleting TMI-catalyzed pathway, simplified heterogeneous chemistry (using mineral dust $\alpha > 1$), and the absence of aerosol water multiphase chemistry – well-recognized limitations common to current-generation CTMs. By contrast, the winter-time underestimation of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ at Beijing represents a source-driven bias. Because the trend reverses the direction expected from oxidation-induced fractionation, this indicates that the $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2} = 0\text{‰}$ assumption – rather than the oxidation module – is the dominant source of error in regions where strong localized combustion sources have elevated $\delta^{34}\text{S}$. This bias attribution allows the model to dis-

tinguish oxidation-driven from source-driven biases and to constrain oxidation-pathway contributions.

Taken together with the documented higher $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of coal (1‰–10‰), the model's ability to reproduce observed $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$ under the $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2} = 0\text{‰}$ emission assumption implies that combustion- and FGD-related ^{34}S depletion in emitted SO_2 ($\epsilon^* \approx -5.5\text{‰}$; Derda et al., 2007) is largely counterbalanced by the ^{34}S enrichment during atmospheric SO_2 oxidation ($\Delta\delta^{34}\text{S} \approx +6\text{‰}$ to +8‰). This counterbalancing explains why ambient sulfate $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ is often close to that of sulfur-containing fuels. Neglecting the emission-side fractionation therefore introduces systematic bias into isotope-based source apportionment, underestimating the contribution of fuels with significant combustion fractionation while overestimating sources with $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ near 0‰. Our study highlights that incorporating both emission-side and oxidation-side fractionation into source apportionment frameworks is therefore essential for reliable results.

Our study also informs future sampling by proposing simultaneous measurement of the isotopic composition of gaseous precursors and aerosols, which would improve the interpretation of isotopic effects during chemical processes and enable more accurate source identification. Nevertheless, conducting direct comparisons between simulations and field observations remains challenging, primarily due to the limited availability and large uncertainties of the isotopic composition adopted in the emission inventory. Future investigations into the $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ of sulfur-containing emission sources

and measurements of isotopic fractionation during combustion, FGD, and multiphase chemical reactions will help improve the simulation. By explicitly resolving the dynamic isotopic evolution of reactant reservoirs, the isotope-enabled model further shows that the reservoir effect in open systems is less pronounced than estimated by the conventional Rayleigh equation. Overall, by providing additional isotopic constraints, the model offers a framework for interpreting sulfur isotope effects and for reducing uncertainties in sulfur chemistry and budgets.

Code and data availability. The compiled observation data and model output in our work are available via Wei (2024, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14357423>). The developed isotopic chemistry module is available on Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14724954>) as Wei (2025).

Supplement. Supporting descriptions of CTM and model configuration, the algorithm framework of the isotopic chemistry module, the derivation of the stable isotopic composition of the reservoir in open systems, additional data, figures, and tables are given in the Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-26-11667-2026-supplement>.

Author contributions. L.W., Zi.W. and P.F. designed research; L.W., X.C., J.L. and W.Y. developed the isotope-enabled model; L.W., X.C., Zh.W., Y.C., D.L., H.D. and X.P. made a discussion on the algorithm; L.W. and X.C. performed the modeling experiments; L.W. analyzed the data and wrote the paper. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. The authors bear the ultimate responsibility for providing appropriate place names. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Acknowledgements. L. Wei thanks Chinese Scholarship Council for financial support of her research at the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry. The authors would like to thank Prof. Huiming Bao of International Center for Isotope Effects Research (ICIER) of Nanjing University for helpful discussions on topics related to this work.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant

No. 2023YFC3710600), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 41907201, 42377105) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant No. 2018M641451).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Pedro Jimenez-Guerrero and reviewed by four anonymous referees.

References

- Alexander, B., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., and Gong, S.: Transition metal-catalyzed oxidation of atmospheric sulfur: Global implications for the sulfur budget, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 114, D010486, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010486>, 2009.
- Berglen, T. F., Berntsen, T. K., Isaksen, I. S., and Sundet, J. K.: A global model of the coupled sulfur/oxidant chemistry in the troposphere: The sulfur cycle, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, D003948, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003948>, 2004.
- Chen, H. S., Wang, Z. F., Li, J., Tang, X., Ge, B. Z., Wu, X. L., Wild, O., and Carmichael, G. R.: GNAQPMS-Hg v1.0, a global nested atmospheric mercury transport model: model description, evaluation and application to trans-boundary transport of Chinese anthropogenic emissions, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 8, 2857–2876, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2857-2015>, 2015.
- Chen, S. L., Guo, Z. Y., Guo, Z. B., Guo, Q. J., Zhang, Y. L., Zhu, B., and Zhang, H. X.: Sulfur isotopic fractionation and its implication: Sulfate formation in PM_{2.5} and coal combustion under different conditions, *Atmos. Res.*, 194, 142–149, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.04.034>, 2017.
- Chen, X., Wang, Z., Li, J., and Yu, F.: Development of a regional chemical transport model with size-resolved aerosol microphysics and its application on aerosol number concentration simulation over China, *SOLA*, 10, 83–87, <https://doi.org/10.2151/sola.2014-017>, 2014.
- Chen, X., Yang, W., Wang, Z., Li, J., Hu, M., An, J., Wu, Q., Wang, Z., Chen, H., and Wei, Y.: Improving new particle formation simulation by coupling a volatility-basis set (VBS) organic aerosol module in NAQPMS+ APM, *Atmos. Environ.*, 204, 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.053>, 2019.
- Chen, X., Yu, F., Yang, W., Sun, Y., Chen, H., Du, W., Zhao, J., Wei, Y., Wei, L., Du, H., Wang, Z., Wu, Q., Li, J., An, J., and Wang, Z.: Global–regional nested simulation of particle number concentration by combining microphysical processes with an evolving organic aerosol module, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 9343–9366, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-9343-2021>, 2021.
- Cheng, Y., Zheng, G., Wei, C., Mu, Q., Zheng, B., Wang, Z., Gao, M., Zhang, Q., He, K., and Carmichael, G.: Reactive nitrogen chemistry in aerosol water as a source of sulfate during haze events in China, *Sci. Adv.*, 2, e1601530, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601530>, 2016.
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Butler, T., Keating, T., Wu, R., Kaminski, J., Kuenen, J., Kurokawa, J., Chatani, S., Morikawa, T., Pouliot, G., Racine, J., Moran, M. D., Klimont, Z., Manseau, P. M., Mashayekhi, R., Henderson, B. H., Smith, S. J., Suchyta, H., Muntean, M., Solazzo, E., Banja, M., Schaaf, E., Pagani, F., Woo, J.-H., Kim, J., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Pisoni, E., Zhang, J., Niemi, D., Sassi, M., Ansari, T., and Foley, K.: The HTAP_v3 emission mosaic: merging regional and global monthly emissions (2000–

- 2018) to support air quality modelling and policies, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 15, 2667–2694, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2667-2023>, 2023.
- De Laeter, J. R., Böhlke, J. K., De Bièvre, P., Hidaka, H., Peiser, H. S., Rosman, K. J. R., and Taylor, P. D. P.: Atomic weights of the elements, Review 2000 (IUPAC Technical Report), *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 75, 683–900, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200375060683>, 2003.
- Derda, M., Grzegorz Chmielewski, A., and Janusz, L.: Sulphur isotope compositions of components of coal and S-isotope fractionation during its combustion and flue gas desulphurization, *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.*, 43, 57–63, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10256010601153827>, 2007.
- Ding, S., Chen, Y., Li, Q., and Li, X.-D.: Using Stable Sulfur Isotope to Trace Sulfur Oxidation Pathways during the Winter of 2017–2019 in Tianjin, North China, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 19, 10966, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191710966>, 2022.
- Ding, T., Valkiers, S., Kipphardt, H., De Bièvre, P., Taylor, P. D. P., Gonfiantini, R., and Krouse, R.: Calibrated sulfur isotope abundance ratios of three IAEA sulfur isotope reference materials and V-CDT with a reassessment of the atomic weight of sulfur, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 65, 2433–2437, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(01\)00611-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00611-1), 2001.
- Ding, X., Li, Q., Wu, D., Wang, X., Li, M., Wang, T., Wang, L., and Chen, J.: Direct observation of sulfate explosive growth in wet plumes emitted from typical coal-fired stationary sources, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2020GL092071, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL092071>, 2021.
- Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Lin, Y.-C., Li, J., Cheng, H., An, N., Sun, Y., Qiu, Y., Cao, F., and Fu, P.: Roles of sulfur oxidation pathways in the variability in stable sulfur isotopic composition of sulfate aerosols at an urban site in Beijing, China, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 7, 883–888, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00623>, 2020.
- Fang, H. and Michalski, G.: Assessing the roles emission sources and atmospheric processes play in simulating $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric NO_x and NO_3^- using CMAQ (version 5.2.1) and SMOKE (version 4.6), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 4239–4258, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-4239-2022>, 2022.
- Feng, X., Chen, Y., Liu, Z., Feng, Y., Du, H., Mu, Y., and Chen, J.: Exploring the influence of ^{34}S fractionation from emission sources and SO_2 atmospheric oxidation on sulfate source apportionment based on hourly resolution $\delta^{34}\text{S}\text{-SO}_2/\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 128, e2023JD038595, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD038595>, 2023.
- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for $\text{K}^+\text{-Ca}^{2+}\text{-Mg}^{2+}\text{-NH}_4^+\text{-Na}^+\text{-SO}_4^{2-}\text{-NO}_3^-\text{-Cl}^-\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4639–4659, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007>, 2007.
- Gromov, S., Jöckel, P., Sander, R., and Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M.: A kinetic chemistry tagging technique and its application to modelling the stable isotopic composition of atmospheric trace gases, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3, 337–364, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-337-2010>, 2010.
- Guan, Z. X. and Liu, Y.: How to estimate isotope fractionations of a Rayleigh-like but diffusion-limited disequilibrium process?, *Acta Geochimica*, 42, 24–37, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11631-022-00587-2>, 2023.
- Guo, Z., Shi, L., Chen, S., Jiang, W., Wei, Y., Rui, M., and Zeng, G.: Sulfur isotopic fractionation and source appointment of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ in Nanjing region around the second session of the Youth Olympic Games, *Atmos. Res.*, 174–175, 9–17, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.01.011>, 2016.
- Han, X., Guo, Q., Liu, C., Fu, P., Strauss, H., Yang, J., Hu, J., Wei, L., Ren, H., and Peters, M.: Using stable isotopes to trace sources and formation processes of sulfate aerosols from Beijing, China, *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 29958, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29958>, 2016a.
- Han, X., Guo, Q., Liu, C., Strauss, H., Yang, J., Hu, J., Wei, R., Tian, L., Kong, J., and Peters, M.: Effect of the pollution control measures on $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ during the 2015 China Victory Day Parade: Implication from water-soluble ions and sulfur isotope, *Environ. Pollut.*, 218, 230–241, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.06.038>, 2016b.
- Han, X., Guo, Q., Strauss, H., Liu, C., Hu, J., Guo, Z., Wei, R., Peters, M., Tian, L., and Kong, J.: Multiple sulfur isotope constraints on sources and formation processes of sulfate in Beijing $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ aerosol, *ES&T*, 51, 7794–7803, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00280>, 2017.
- Han, X., Lang, Y., Guo, Q., Li, X., Ding, H., and Li, S.: Enhanced Oxidation of SO_2 by H_2O_2 During Haze Events: Constraints From Sulfur Isotopes, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 127, e2022JD036960, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD036960>, 2022.
- Harris, E., Sinha, B., Hoppe, P., Crowley, J. N., Ono, S., and Foley, S.: Sulfur isotope fractionation during oxidation of sulfur dioxide: gas-phase oxidation by OH radicals and aqueous oxidation by H_2O_2 , O_3 and iron catalysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 407–423, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-407-2012>, 2012a.
- Harris, E., Sinha, B., Foley, S., Crowley, J. N., Borrmann, S., and Hoppe, P.: Sulfur isotope fractionation during heterogeneous oxidation of SO_2 on mineral dust, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4867–4884, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4867-2012>, 2012b.
- Harris, E., Sinha, B., Hoppe, P., Foley, S., and Borrmann, S.: Fractionation of sulfur isotopes during heterogeneous oxidation of SO_2 on sea salt aerosol: a new tool to investigate non-sea salt sulfate production in the marine boundary layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4619–4631, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4619-2012>, 2012c.
- Harris, E., Sinha, B., Hoppe, P., and Ono, S.: High-Precision Measurements of ^{33}S and ^{34}S Fractionation during SO_2 Oxidation Reveal Causes of Seasonality in SO_2 and Sulfate Isotopic Composition, *ES&T*, 47, 12174–12183, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es402824c>, 2013.
- Hoefs, J.: Stable isotope geochemistry, 8th, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 389 pp., ISBN 9783319197159, 2018.
- Hoefs, J. and Harmon, R.: The Earth's atmosphere—A stable isotope perspective and review, *Appl. Geochem.*, 143, 105355, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2022.105355>, 2022.
- Hong, Y., Zhang, H., and Zhu, Y.: Sulfur isotopic characteristics of coal in China and sulfur isotopic fractionation during coal-burning process, *Chin. J. Geochem.*, 12, 51–59, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02869045>, 1993.
- Itahashi, S., Hattori, S., Ito, A., Sadanaga, Y., Yoshida, N., and Matsuki, A.: Role of Dust and Iron Solubility in Sulfate Formation during the Long-Range Transport in East Asia Evidenced by ^{17}O -Excess Signatures, *ES&T*, 56, 13634–13643, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c03574>, 2022.

- Li, J., Chen, X., Wang, Z., Du, H., Yang, W., Sun, Y., Hu, B., Li, J., Wang, W., and Wang, T.: Radiative and heterogeneous chemical effects of aerosols on ozone and inorganic aerosols over East Asia, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 622, 1327–1342, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.041>, 2018.
- Lin, Y.-C., Yu, M., Xie, F., and Zhang, Y.: Anthropogenic emission sources of sulfate aerosols in Hangzhou, East China: insights from isotope techniques with consideration of fractionation effects between gas-to-particle transformations, *ES&T*, 56, 3905–3914, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c05823>, 2022.
- Liu, T. and Abbatt, J. P.: Oxidation of sulfur dioxide by nitrogen dioxide accelerated at the interface of deliquesced aerosol particles, *Nat. Chem.*, 13, 1173–1177, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-021-00777-0>, 2021.
- Liu, X.: Progress of desulfurization and denitration technology of flue gas in China, *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.*, 242, 042010, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/242/4/042010>, 2019.
- Mariotti, A., Germon, J., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., and Tardieux, P.: Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes, *Plant Soil*, 62, 413–430, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374138>, 1981.
- Oduro, H., Van Alstyne, K. L., and Farquhar, J.: Sulfur isotope variability of oceanic DMSP generation and its contributions to marine biogenic sulfur emissions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 9012–9016, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117691109>, 2012.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*, Third, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 1120 pp., ISBN 9781118947402, 2016.
- Shao, J., Chen, Q., Wang, Y., Lu, X., He, P., Sun, Y., Shah, V., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Song, S., Zhao, Y., Xie, Z., Zhang, L., and Alexander, B.: Heterogeneous sulfate aerosol formation mechanisms during wintertime Chinese haze events: air quality model assessment using observations of sulfate oxygen isotopes in Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 6107–6123, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6107-2019>, 2019.
- Song, S., Gao, M., Xu, W., Shao, J., Shi, G., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Sun, Y., and McElroy, M. B.: Fine-particle pH for Beijing winter haze as inferred from different thermodynamic equilibrium models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 7423–7438, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7423-2018>, 2018.
- Song, Z., Sun, R., and Zhang, Y.: Modeling mercury isotopic fractionation in the atmosphere, *Environ. Pollut.*, 307, 119588, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119588>, 2022.
- Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner, F., Kuhn, M., and Seefeld, S.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modeling, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 102, 25847–25879, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00849>, 1997.
- Sun, X., Jiang, H., and Bao, H.: Triple oxygen isotope composition of combustion sulfate, *Atmos. Environ.*, 314, 120095, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120095>, 2023.
- Van Breukelen, B. M.: Extending the Rayleigh equation to allow competing isotope fractionating pathways to improve quantification of biodegradation, *ES&T*, 41, 4004–4010, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0628452>, 2007.
- Wang, G., Zhang, R., Gomez, M. E., Yang, L., Zamora, M. L., Hu, M., Lin, Y., Peng, J., Guo, S., and Meng, J.: Persistent sulfate formation from London Fog to Chinese haze, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 113, 13630–13635, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616540113>, 2016.
- Wang, W., Liu, M., Wang, T., Song, Y., Zhou, L., Cao, J., Hu, J., Tang, G., Chen, Z., Li, Z., Xu, Z., Peng, C., Lian, C., Chen, Y., Pan, Y., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Li, W., Zhu, T., Tian, H., and Ge, M.: Sulfate formation is dominated by manganese-catalyzed oxidation of SO₂ on aerosol surfaces during haze events, *Nat. Commun.*, 12, 1993–1993, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22091-6>, 2021.
- Wang, Z., Maeda, T., Hayashi, M., Hsiao, L.-F., and Liu, K.-Y.: A nested air quality prediction modeling system for urban and regional scales: Application for high-ozone episode in Taiwan, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 130, 391–396, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013833217916>, 2001.
- Wei, L.: The dataset of compiled observed and simulated sulfur isotopic composition ($\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_2}$, $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}$) across Eastern China's cities, Zenodo [data set], <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14357423>, 2024.
- Wei, L.: Isotopic Chemistry Module Developed and Coupled with NAQPMS Model, Zenodo [code], <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14724954>, 2025.
- Wei, L., Yue, S., Zhao, W., Yang, W., Zhang, Y., Ren, L., Han, X., Guo, Q., Sun, Y., Wang, Z., and Fu, P.: Stable sulfur isotope ratios and chemical compositions of fine aerosols (PM_{2.5}) in Beijing, China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 633, 1156–1164, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.153>, 2018.
- Wei, Y., Chen, X., Chen, H., Li, J., Wang, Z., Yang, W., Ge, B., Du, H., Hao, J., Wang, W., Li, J., Sun, Y., and Huang, H.: IAP-AACM v1.0: a global to regional evaluation of the atmospheric chemistry model in CAS-ESM, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8269–8296, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8269-2019>, 2019.
- Yang, D. A., Bardoux, G., Assayag, N., Laskar, C., Widory, D., and Cartigny, P.: Atmospheric SO₂ oxidation by NO₂ plays no role in the mass independent sulfur isotope fractionation of urban aerosols, *Atmos. Environ.*, 193, 109–117, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.09.007>, 2018.
- Yang, W., Li, J., Wang, W., Li, J., Ge, M., Sun, Y., Chen, X., Ge, B., Tong, S., and Wang, Q.: Investigating secondary organic aerosol formation pathways in China during 2014, *Atmos. Environ.*, 213, 133–147, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.05.057>, 2019.
- Zaveri, R. A. and Peters, L. K.: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for large-scale applications, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 104, 30387–30415, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900876>, 1999.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, W., Cheng, M., Liu, S., Xu, J., He, Y., and Meng, F.: The contribution of residential coal combustion to PM_{2.5} pollution over China's Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region in winter, *Atmos. Environ.*, 159, 147–161, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.054>, 2017.
- Zheng, M., Song, D., Zhang, D., and Zhao, Z.: Variability of sulfur and oxygen isotope values within wet precipitation and its correlation with diminished anthropogenic sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emission, *Atmos. Environ.*, 317, 120185, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120185>, 2024.