



Long range transport of Canadian wildfire smoke to Europe in 2023: aerosol properties and spectral features of smoke particles

Akriti Masoom¹, Stelios Kazadzis¹, Robin Lewis Modini², Martin Gysel-Beer², Julian Gröbner¹, Martine Collaud Coen³, Francisco Navas-Guzman^{3,4}, Natalia Kouremeti¹, Benjamin Tobias Brem², Nora Kristina Nowak², Giovanni Martucci³, and Maxime Hervo³

¹Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos, World Radiation Center, Davos, 7260, Switzerland

²PSI Center for Energy and Environmental Sciences, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

³Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology, MeteoSwiss, Payerne, 1530, Switzerland

⁴Applied Physics Department, University of Granada, Granada, 18071, Spain

Correspondence: Akriti Masoom (akritimasoom@gmail.com)

Received: 10 June 2025 – Discussion started: 21 July 2025

Revised: 8 May 2026 – Accepted: 23 May 2026 – Published: 4 August 2026

Abstract. The Canadian wildfires of 2023 had an unprecedented biomass burning season spanning from mid-April to late October. Towards the end of this long biomass burning season, there was a rare observation of smoke properties that occurred in about a week interval, that were different from the whole biomass burning season and that makes it quite notable. The observed aerosol properties were studied using remote sensing and in situ measurements for both short-range and long-range transported plumes across North America and Europe. One of the highlights was the observation of concave spectral curvature in aerosol optical depth (AOD) having maxima at higher wavelengths than the minimum measured wavelength (i.e., 340 nm) which led to negative values of Ångström exponent in spectral ranges below 500 nm. Along with this, large accumulation mode size distributions with volume median diameters reaching about 800 nm were observed. Another rare observation was the non-monotonic spectral curvature in single scattering albedo (SSA) associated with submicron size particles. For most of the stations, SSA increased in the UV-Visible region and/or further remaining either constant or decreasing at longer wavelengths as observed from column integrated (AOD) retrievals from remote sensing and coefficients from in situ measurements. Additionally, two stations in Canada and one in Europe were found to have a well-defined peak in AOD at 500 nm. These Canadian stations also displayed a non-monotonic spectral SSA with maxima at 675 nm, while the high altitude stations of Europe showed monotonically increasing SSA. Finally, a much higher (approximately 5 times) UV absorption than visible absorption indicated the presence of brown carbon and/or tar balls, which have a strong spectral dependence in imaginary refractive index. The SSA concave spectral curvature denotes the mix of black carbon and non-absorbing particulate matter and influence of particle size, while the AOD concave spectral curvature is attributed to particle size. The comparison of the ground based AOD measurements with satellite observation and model reanalysis showed an AOD underestimation ranging from 0.1 to 1.5.

1 Introduction

Fire weather enhancement and wildfire activities are increasing as a consequence of ongoing climate change with more frequent heatwaves and intensified drought seasons (Abatzoglou et al., 2019; Perkins-Kirkpatrick and Lewis, 2020; Weilmhammer et al., 2021; Fischer et al., 2021; UN, 2022). Forests play a crucial role in carbon exchanges between the atmosphere and biosphere leading to carbon sequestration of approximately 30 % of global carbon emissions annually from anthropogenic sources (Chen et al., 2024). However, with increasing wildfire activities, forests are becoming carbon sources rather than being a designated carbon sink. Wildfires also significantly influence solar radiation altering the Earth–Atmosphere radiative balance. Smoke particle absorption and scattering lead to a reduction in surface solar irradiance (e.g., Corwin et al., 2025; Masoom et al., 2023), while simultaneously increasing atmospheric heating due to absorption by black carbon (Jacobson, 2001). These changes can affect local weather patterns but also photovoltaic energy generation (Gillett et al., 2023). Furthermore, the long-range transport of smoke aerosols can lead to regional and even global impacts on radiation budgets, cloud formation, and climate dynamics (Christian et al., 2019).

The boreal regions are experiencing an increased annual wildfire activity (Balshi et al., 2009; Flannigan et al., 2009) as a consequence of the amplified warming due to climate change and increase in droughts in this region. The resulting large increase in the burnt area over the recent time period in Canada and Alaska (Calef et al., 2015; Hanes et al., 2019) is expected to further increase in future (Amiro et al., 2009; Flannigan et al., 2005; Park et al., 2023; Lund et al., 2023; Allen et al., 2024). Carbon emissions associated with wildfire activity in the boreal forest regions depend strongly on the fuel availability and type (Walker et al., 2020; Allen et al., 2024).

Wildfire smoke transport from North America to Europe is not rare and has been reported by many studies (and the references therein) some of which are discussed here. Baars et al. (2021) studied the September 2020 California wildfires which produced large amounts of smoke comparable to moderate volcanic eruptions that was lifted into the free troposphere (Peterson et al., 2018) and was transported within 3–4 d from the west coast of US to central Europe. Another study by Zheng et al. (2020) also supported the possibility of North American boreal wildfire smoke plume having elevated injection heights and longer wildfire aerosol lifetime for the record-breaking Canadian wildfires in August 2017. This led to prominent ageing that requires understanding and quantification of their effects on radiation and climate. These aged wildfire aerosols transported over North Atlantic Ocean over a period of approximately 2 weeks had high single scattering albedos and low absorption Ångström exponents as compared to fresh/slightly aged smoke. Another study by Baars et al. (2019), showed

stratospheric perturbation caused by wildfire smoke owing to strong thunderstorm–pyrocumulonimbus activity associated with wildfires in western Canada in 2017 that spread over the entire Northern Hemisphere. The transport of the plume was detected by European lidar stations all over Europe with smoke layer observation at height above 15 km. The stratospheric aerosol optical thickness at 532 nm was observed to be significantly above the stratospheric background values.

Sicard et al. (2019) studied the 2017 wildfires in Canada/United States mostly associated with temperate coniferous forests and analyzed the long range transported smoke particles over the Iberian Peninsula observing aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 440 nm up to 0.62, Ångström exponent (AE, referring to extinction AE) of 1.6–1.7 signifying dominance of small particles with fine mode fraction above 0.88 and low absorption AOD at 440 nm (< 0.008) and large single scattering albedo at 440 nm (> 0.98). They also showed that a slow travelling smoke plume layer rapidly (approximately 1 d) reached upper troposphere and lower stratosphere with large scale horizontal dispersion. While another smoke layer reached the upper troposphere comparatively at a slower pace (approximately 2.5 d) possibly due to entrainment by strong subtropical jets highlighting the importance of horizontal as well as vertical transport during wildfire events. Another study by Ohneiser et al. (2023) on tropospheric and stratospheric lofting of smoke due to radiative heating as a result of high absorption of sunlight by optically thick smoke layers lead to self-lofting of smoke plume from injection heights in lower and middle free troposphere to tropopause in the absence of pyrocumulonimbus convection. This study found that the lofting rates are dependent on the aerosol optical thickness, aerosol layer height, aerosol layer depth as well as black carbon fraction and that self-lofting contributes to the vertical transport of smoke.

A study by Ceamanos et al. (2023) also reported transported wildfire plume thousands of kilometres away to Europe from wildfires burning in Western US in the summer of 2020. This study mentioned the usefulness of satellite and model data in monitoring such transports and also disagreements during intense smoke activity that might be due to biases in satellite retrieval algorithms or differences in overpasses time of satellites as compared to assimilation window time in models or fire emission estimation limitations in models. Another study in this direction by Shang et al. (2024) on transport of Alberta, Canada wildfires in May–June 2019 to Europe, found that smoke aerosol amounts in model simulations were consistently lower as compared to satellite retrievals which highlight reanalysis model limitations in reproducing smoke properties.

The 2023 Canadian wildfires were unprecedented in some aspect as reported by several studies so far as discussed here. There were some factors that contributed towards the 2023 Canadian forest fires being extreme in scale and intensity which includes the fact that the average annual area burned was more than seven times in comparison to the preceding

four decades (Whitman et al., 2024). According to a study by Byrne et al., 2024, the carbon emission magnitude was 647 Tg C (570–727 Tg C) which is comparable to the annual emissions from fossil fuel by large nations (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). The principal driver for the spread of fire being widespread hot and dry weather as 2023 has been recorded as the driest and warmest year since at least 1980. Another study by MacCarthy et al. (2024) reported that the 2023 Canadian wildfires were record-breaking as a consequence of extreme heat and low rainfall due to climate change, with a burned area of approximately 7.8 million ha, accounting for more than a quarter of global tree cover loss in 2023 leading to emission of approximately 3 billion t of CO₂. Apart from unprecedented quantities of CO₂ released in the atmosphere, approximately 0.14 Pg CO₂ equivalent of other greenhouse gases (GHG) including CH₄ and N₂O were also emitted by 31 August according to a study by Wang et al. (2024). The study also reported that this Canadian wildfire also impacted many areas due to long-range transport in the Northern Hemisphere, contributing severely to PM_{2.5} pollution in the north-eastern United States and north-western China by up to 2 µg m⁻³.

A study by Jain et al. (2024) looked into the probable causes of the 2023 wildfire season in Canada that spanned between mid-April to late October. They found that it can be attributed to several environmental causes including early snowmelt, multiannual drought conditions in western Canada, and the rapid transition to drought in eastern Canada. Also, the anthropogenic climate change enabled sustained extreme fire weather conditions and the mean May–October temperature over Canada was 2.2 °C warmer in 2023 as compared to the average between 1991–2020. Apart from setting new records, the 2023 Canadian wildfire season profoundly impacted environment, weather, wildlife as well as human settlements. This resulted into evacuation of more than 200 communities with hazardous smoke aerosol exposure to millions and increased unmatched fire-fighting resource demands (Jain et al., 2024) highlighting the increasing wildfires related challenges.

However, most of the studies that have been published so far on 2023 Canadian wildfires (to the best of the authors knowledge) are mainly focused on the summer wildfire season. However, the wildfires for one week in Fall 2023 were rare in a way that it revealed special characteristics in spectral AOD variations as well as in other associated aerosol properties which is the central part of investigation of this manuscript.

There is an observed concave shape associated with a fictitious AOD diurnal cycle which is a function of inverse of the air mass and therefore the largest magnitude of this diurnal variation is observed at midday (Cachorro et al., 2008). AOD displays this fictitious diurnal cycle which is an artifact resulting from the presence of an incorrect calibration constant (or an equivalent effect, such as filter degradation or electronic instability) (Cachorro et al., 2008; Giles et al., 2019;

Xun et al., 2021). This fictitious AOD diurnal cycle is prominent for UV wavelengths calibration due to the sensitivity of these channels to larger calibration error (Cachorro et al., 2008; Slusser et al., 2000). However, the concave AOD variation presented in the current manuscript is not associated with the fictitious diurnal cycle but is related to the spectral variation, hence referred to as concave spectral curvature in AOD. This kind of spectral AOD variation was observed in Eck et al. (2023) showing peak in AOD at 500 nm associated with California/Oregon fires of 2020 and an extreme size distributions retrievals for long range smoke plumes transport. The Alberta wildfires of 1950 were transported to Europe and there was observation of the extinction minima at 4350 Å in Edinburgh in September, during which, there was observation of blue sun as presented by Wilson (1951). An accumulation mode number mean diameter of 0.34 µm was observed by Fiebig et al. (2003) for particle size distributions in an airborne in situ measurements for forest fire plume transport in 1998 from Northern Canada. The authors observed this as possibly the largest observed value for size distribution in a forest fire plume. However, there was no spectral optical properties measurements available for this plume transport.

The current manuscript presents the spectral optical properties associated with such extreme size distribution as well as a synergistic approach of aerosol remote sensing and in situ measurements to further explore this phenomenon. Moreover, previous studies have demonstrated the advantages of combining active and passive remote sensing to characterize the optical and microphysical properties of fresh smoke aerosols close to their sources (Alados-Arboledas et al., 2011). This manuscript utilizes remote sensing and in situ measurements to discuss the probable reason for the peak in AOD at 500 nm at three stations with different geographical and meteorological conditions. Two of these stations were near the source having high intensity of smoke plume and short-range transport while the other being a long-range transport case with a more prominent ageing of the plume. Apart from this, the manuscript also presents a comparison with satellite observations and model reanalysis dataset in this plume transport case.

Following the Introduction in Sect. 1, Sect. 2 presents an overview of the data used in this manuscript. Section 3 provides the description of the event as observed at the Swiss Alps using remote sensing and in situ measurements providing explanation of the event using in situ measurements in Sect. 3.1.3. Further Sect. 3.2 presents the tracing of the event using ground based measurements (mainly remote sensing), ending with an explanation of the event from remote sensing measurements. Section 3 concludes with the satellite and model reanalysis based evaluation of the event. Finally, the manuscript findings are concluded in Sect. 4.

2 Data

2.1 Ground based measurements

2.1.1 Aerosol columnar property measurements

The AERosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET) uses Cimel sunphotometers (AERONET, 2024) and has a centralized data processing and distribution system providing instrument calibrations and standardized data acquisition to retrieve aerosol optical, microphysical, and radiative properties through ground-based passive remote sensing. AERONET Cimel sun photometers are calibrated at the high-altitude station in Izaña by the Langley plot method (Holben et al., 1998). The direct-sun algorithm of AERONET, using the version 3 processing algorithm (Giles et al., 2019) employed in this work, includes level 2.0 AOD measurements at 340, 380, 440, 500, 675, 870 and 1020 nm and AE retrievals at 440–870, 380–500, 440–675, 500–870 and 340–440 nm. Level 2.0 AERONET data have been utilized for several stations for tracing the plume as presented in Sect. 3.2. The AERONET field instruments are inter-calibrated at Mauna Loa and Izaña Langley calibrated reference instruments resulting in AOD uncertainty at optical air mass 1 of ~ 0.01 in the visible and near infrared increasing to ~ 0.02 in the UV wavelengths (Eck et al., 1999). AERONET inversion products from level 2.0 and level 1.5 (level 1.5 is used only when level 2.0 data is not available and an additional criterion is used in this case to filter the data as described below) have also been utilised in this work including single scattering albedo (SSA), absorption aerosol optical depth (AAOD), absorption Ångström exponent (AAE), volume size distribution (VSD) and refractive index (RI). The AERONET inversion products are based on the sky scan radiance measurements at 340, 440, 675 and 870 nm. The nominal uncertainty in AERONET SSA for AOD at 440 nm above 0.40 is ~ 0.03 and the SSA uncertainty decreases with increase in AOD (Dubovik et al., 2000; Sinyuk et al., 2020). The estimated uncertainty for real refractive index is well below 0.04 for AOD greater than 0.5 for biomass burning cases (Dubovik et al., 2000; Sinyuk et al., 2020). The uncertainty in volume median radius is estimated to be $0.1 \pm 0.5\%$ and $5.4 \pm 4\%$ for fine and coarse mode, respectively (it is an indication of good confidence in size distribution retrievals) (Sinyuk et al., 2020). The absorption AOD values as reported in the AERONET almucantar inversion dataset are obtained using the relationship $AAOD = (1 - SSA) \times AOD$. A detailed uncertainty estimation of AERONET inversion product for version 3 can be found at Sinyuk et al. (2020). For the analysis in this manuscript, we have used the AERONET inversion products Level 2.0 and Level 1.5 (with sky error below 5 % and solar zenith angle above 45° and the coincident AOD values at 440 nm above 0.40).

Precision filter radiometers (Wehrli, 2005), part of the Global Atmospheric Watch-Precision Filter Radiometer (GAW-PFR) network, perform aerosol optical depth mea-

surements at four wavelengths namely 368, 412, 500, and 862 nm (Wehrli, 2000). The primary calibration is performed using the reference triads of PFRs which are calibrated regularly at the high-altitude stations of Mauna Loa in Hawaii, USA and Izaña in Tenerife, Spain using the Langley calibration method (Nyeki et al., 2015). Instruments are calibrated yearly or in 2 years on the basis of instrumental and logistic aspects and the calibration uncertainty is assured to be within $\pm 1\%$ (Kazadzis et al., 2018b). Quality-assured AOD data are obtained after pre- and post-deployment calibrations. Post-deployment calibrations are done either by the World Optical depth Research and Calibration Center (WORCC) issued calibration certificates or by Langley site calibrations (Kazadzis et al., 2018b; Toledano et al., 2018). For this analysis, we have used the PFR AOD and AE data for Davos (DAV) (46.80° N, 9.80° E, 1580 m above sea level (a.s.l.)) and Jungfraujoch (JFJ) (46.32° N, 7.59° E, 3580 m a.s.l.). AERONET/CIMEL and PFR instruments have been repeatedly intercompared in various studies in order to ensure homogeneous retrievals (e.g., Kazadzis et al., 2018a; Karanikolas et al., 2022).

In addition to the sun photometers and filter radiometers as mentioned above, spectral measurements have also been used. The transportable reference spectroradiometer Quality Assurance of Spectral Ultraviolet Measurements (QASUME) (Gröbner et al., 2005) consists of a scanning double monochromator with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 0.86 nm and measures over the spectral wavelengths from 280 to 550 nm. The whole system resides in a temperature-controlled enclosure to allow outdoor operation under varying ambient conditions. The solar radiation is collected with a temperature stabilised diffuser connected via an optical fiber to the entrance slit of the monochromator. A portable lamp monitoring system allows for the calibration of the whole system while being deployed in the field (Gröbner et al., 2017; Hülsen et al., 2016). A collimator tube with a full opening angle of 2.5° is mounted on an optical tracker to which the diffuser head can be fitted, allowing the measurement of direct solar spectral irradiance. The measurements of direct solar spectral irradiance have a standard relative uncertainty of less than 1 %, resulting in a standard uncertainty of 0.014 at 310 nm to 0.007 at longer wavelengths in AOD at an air mass of 1.5 (Gröbner et al., 2023).

The BiTec Sensor (BTS) instruments, manufactured by Gigahertz Optik GmbH, are a system composed of two array-spectroradiometers. The spectral range from about 320 to 1000 nm is covered with a 2048-pixel Si BTS2048-VL-TEC-WP with a nominal spectral resolution (FWHM) of 2.5 nm whose characterization was described in Zuber et al. (2018a, b), while the spectral range from 1000 to 2150 nm is measured with a BTS2048-IR-WP with a nominal spectral resolution of 8 nm (FWHM) with 512 pixel and an extended InGaAs detector. Each spectroradiometer has a collimator to measure direct solar spectral irradiance. The instruments used in this analysis were calibrated at the Physikalisch-

Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos and World Radiation Center using the same portable lamp system as described above for QASUME, resulting in similar uncertainties in spectral irradiance and AOD as for QASUME (Gröbner et al., 2023). An estimated expanded measurement uncertainty from 300 to 330 nm is within 3.5 %, 330 to 450 nm is 1.9 % and for the remaining spectral range it is 1.8 % (Gröbner et al., 2023).

2.1.2 Aerosol in situ measurements

Smoke plume optical, microphysical and chemical particle properties were analyzed using the comprehensive in situ instrumentation at the High-Altitude Research Station JFJ. The aerosol measurements of the JFJ site are part of the GAW program, the pan-European Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS) and the Swiss National Air Pollution Monitoring Network (NABEL). This instrumentation is briefly described here.

Aerosol light scattering was measured with an integrating Nephelometer (TSI 3563) which provides the total scattering and backscattering coefficients at 450, 550 and 700 nm wavelengths. The instrument output was corrected for angular truncation using the network recommendations (ACTRIS-CAIS-ECAC, 2024), which rely on the scheme from Anderson and Ogren (1998). The measurement reproducibility for submicron data is within ± 1 % while that for super micron data lies between 5 %–10 % (Anderson and Ogren, 1998). Light absorption coefficients at seven wavelengths (370, 470, 520, 590, 660, 880 and 950 nm) were determined by a dual spot Aethalometer (MAGEE scientific AE33). The network recommended instrument data processing algorithms based on the work of Drinovec et al. (2015) were applied to correct for non-idealities in filter attenuation-based instrument. The sensitivity of the absorption coefficient determination from AE33 to scattering is below 1 %–1.5 %.

In addition to optical properties, the particle size distributions ranging from 0.01 to 0.8 and 0.5 to 20 μm , were measured by a mobility particle size spectrometer (Wiedensohler et al., 2012), an aerodynamic particle sizer (APS, TSI 3321), and a white light optical particle sizer (Palas Fidas[®] 100), respectively. Merged particle size distributions covering the diameter range from 0.01 to 10 μm were generated by joining the MPSS size distributions below 0.6 μm with the averages of the APS and Fidas size distributions above 0.6 μm (after the APS measured diameters were shifted from aerodynamic to mobility diameters assuming an effective density of 1.6 g cm^{-3}). The limits formed by the APS and Fidas size distributions around their averages were retained as an indication of the uncertainty in the merged size distributions above 0.6 μm diameter.

A condensation particle counter (TSI 3772), with a lower cut-off size of 10 nm provided the total particle number concentrations. The chemical composition of the non-refractory particulate matter, with aerodynamic diameters smaller than

1 μm was further analyzed in situ and in real time with a Time-of-Flight Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (TOF-ACSM, Aerodyne Inc.) that provides the linear detection of sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride and organic aerosol species through a two-step thermal vaporization (approximately 600 °C) and electron impact ionization process. More details on this instrument can be found in Fröhlich et al. (2013, 2015).

2.1.3 Aerosol vertical profiles

The RALMO Lidar for Meteorological Observations (RALMO) was designed by MeteoSwiss and the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) and is operated at the MeteoSwiss station of Payerne (PAY; 46.80° N, 6.93° E, 492 m a.s.l.), Switzerland, since the year 2007. It provides, continuous operational measurements of humidity since 2008 and temperature and aerosol backscatter since 2010. RALMO is fully automated and operates continuously except in the presence of precipitation or a cloud ceiling below 1000 m above ground level. Data are processed in near-real-time and made available to the MeteoSwiss database and to the international database of Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC), Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Reference Upper-Air Network (GRUAN) and European Aerosol Research Lidar Network (EARLINET). RALMO uses a narrow field-of-view, narrowband configuration, a UV laser at 355 nm, and four telescopes with 30 cm diameter, fiber-coupled to two grating polychromators for the retrieval of water vapour, temperature and aerosol backscatter. The optical design of RALMO allows the retrieval of the water vapor and the temperature within the troposphere up to the tropopause, while the aerosol backscatter can be retrieved up to the middle stratosphere allowing the detection of elevated stratospheric aerosol plumes. A more detailed description of the aerosol, temperature and humidity transceiver systems is provided in Martucci et al. (2021), and Dinoev et al. (2013) and Brocard et al. (2013), respectively. The estimated error in aerosol backscatter by RALMO at 355 nm for medium-high-aerosol-content data is -0.018 ± 0.237 and $+0.001 \pm 0.141 \text{ Mm}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ ($+6 \pm 38$ %) for altitudes 0.8–3 and 0.8–6 km a.s.l., respectively (Brunamonti et al., 2021).

CHM15k ceilometer data has been used for vertical profile observations at Davos which is a one-wavelength backscatter lidar at 1064 nm. The vertical profile of backscatter coefficient dataset is obtained from V-Profiles (<https://vprofiles.met.no/about/>, last access: 25 May 2025) including the attenuated backscatter signal at 1064 nm from 0 to 6000 m above ground level. The relative error in backscatter coefficient at 1064 nm is 10 % (Wiegner and Geiß, 2012).

2.2 Satellite observations

Satellite observation of AOD was obtained from the daily retrievals of the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Collection 6.1. MODIS Level 2 AOD retrievals at 550 nm (Levy et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2019) from the Dark Target (DT) retrieval algorithm provide AOD values above ocean and land while the Deep Blue (DB) retrieval algorithm provides AOD values above land (used in Fig. D2). For the analysis presented in Sect. 3.3, MODIS DT AOD is used which is coincident with the AERONET stations. The global estimated error in the AOD retrieval for land is $\pm(0.05 + 20\%)$ (Sayer et al., 2013; Sayer et al., 2019, and references therein).

MODIS AOD values were considered for the analysis period between 20 September 2023 and 5 October 2023 as is presented in Sect. 3.3. During the same time period, MODIS true colour images were also considered for tracing of the plume as presented in Fig. D1 in Appendix D.

2.3 Aerosol trajectory modelling and model reanalysis

Aerosol source and transport monitoring was performed using Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPPLIT) model that uses a hybrid of Lagrangian and Eulerian approaches (Stein et al., 2015).

For analyzing the source and transport of the wildfire plumes, the HYSPPLIT is used over the regional to global scale to account for the transport of pollutants, their dispersion, and deposition.

In this analysis, 8 d backward trajectories ending at 12:00 UTC at the desired locations were generated using Global Data Assimilation System meteorological data at eight levels between 0.5 and 5 km.

For the AOD dataset from a global model, we considered The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2) which is an atmospheric reanalysis product of Global Modeling and Assimilation Office of National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Gelaro et al., 2017) that includes assimilation of aerosol observations, several improvements to the representation of the stratosphere including ozone, and improved representations of cryospheric processes.

We specifically considered the AOD at 550 nm from this dataset for the time period of the analysis from 20 September 2023 to 5 October 2023, as presented in Sect. 3.3.

3 Results

3.1 Smoke plume detection over Swiss Alps

3.1.1 Jungfraujoch, Davos and Payerne

The long-range transported smoke from several wildfires burning in Canada in September 2023 reached the Swiss Alps in late September (reaching JFJ on 30 September 2023)

and beginning of October (reaching DAV and Payerne (PAY) on 1 October 2023) from the northern part of the European mainland after crossing the North Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1a).

This was further confirmed by the sky-camera images (Fig. 1b) as well as the Ceilometer measurements (Fig. 1c) on 1 October 2023 at DAV, which detected the presence of plumes above 2 km height above ground level, later settling below 2 km during the day of 1 October. The air mass back trajectories at DAV (Fig. 1a) pointed towards the central European aerosol sources originating from the North-East of Canada, where several wildfires were active during the September of 2023 (Byrne et al., 2024; Jain et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2025). The transported smoke plume was also detected by RALMO in PAY on 1 October 2023 as presented in Fig. 1d with the presence of a thick aerosol layer slowly lowering in altitude from 2 to 1 km, the aerosol layer is characterized by a total backscattering ratio value exceeding 4 from 06:00 to 18:00 UTC.

3.1.2 Anomalous spectral aerosol optical properties at Davos and Jungfraujoch

The event was characterized by increased aerosol load from ground-based aerosol measurements on 30 September 2023 at JFJ and 1 October 2023, at DAV. Apart from the elevated AOD values, a rare feature of this long-range smoke plume transport event was the spectral AOD dependence as observed from ground-based measurements at DAV (Fig. 2). The rare observation is that the spectral AOD first increased from 310 nm to approximately 500 nm and then decreased at longer wavelengths i.e., a distinct spectral curvature in spectral AOD variation with maxima around 500 nm could be observed. Figure 2a shows the spectral AOD comparison as captured with multiple filter- and spectro- radiometers on 1 October at 10:00 UTC at DAV that further confirms the relevance of the occurrence and spectral curvature in AOD variation. Similar spectral AOD variation was also observed for remote GAWPFR station of JFJ with the PFR instrument as presented in Fig. 2b that also had spectral curvature in AOD during some time stamps on 30 September 2023. The temporal evolution of the spectral curvature in AOD as presented in Fig. 2c, d shows that at JFJ, the peak at 500 nm was prevalent during morning time of 30 September 2023 while at DAV, the peak at 500 nm was prevalent through the entire day (1 October 2023). In addition, the spectral AOD analysis for PAY, exhibiting similar spectral behaviour, is provided in Sect. 3.2.1 with the available AERONET measurements.

This rare feature in spectral AOD variation can be considered as an extreme case scenario of an extreme event that was observed for the first time in the measurement history of DAV. The AOD variation at DAV from 2004 to 2022 with nearly 2 decades of AOD values being below 0.11 and the cases of AOD being above 0.5 is only 1 % of the total measurements (Fig. C1a–d). Figure C1e shows the peak daily mean spectral AOD values at DAV from GAWPFR measure-




Figure 1. HYSPLIT (a1) backward, and (a2) forward trajectories ending at and beginning from Davos, respectively, at 12:00 UTC and (b1–b3) sky camera images during the day of 1 October 2023. Vertical profiles of aerosol backscattering from Ceilometer at 1064 nm at Davos on (c1–c2) 30 September 2023 and 1 October 2023, respectively. RALMO based (d1) total backscattering ratio (BSR) profile at 355 nm, and frequency distribution on 30 September (d2) and on 1 October (d3) below 5 km altitude with respect to the cluster BSR values.

ments during the same period. The daily mean spectral AOD values are calculated ensuring that there is valid measurement at all wavelengths and considering AOD values up to 3 precision points. The highlighted spectral AOD variation and peak in AOD at 500 nm, was observed only on one day – 1 October 2023 in nearly two decades of continuous measurements at DAV.

3.1.3 Sensitivity of AOD spectral dependence to aerosol properties measured in situ at Jungfraujoch

To further investigate this special spectral AOD curvature, we studied the detailed microphysical and chemical properties of the particles and gases measured in situ in the smoke plume during its passage over JFJ on 30 September and 1 October 2023. These measurements are summarized in Fig. 3 and Table B1. The plume was characterized by elevated concentrations of CO and Benzene in the gas phase (approximately 100–300 ppb and 20–55 ppt, respectively; Fig. 3a; note that benzene concentrations were not measured during the plume peak due to the instrument calibration schedules). The mass concentration of particles with diameters less than $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (PM₁) peaked at approximately $30\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ during the beginning of the plume period and averaged around $1.3\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ during the remainder of the plume period. These concentrations are drastically greater than the

corresponding concentrations before and after passage of the plume (approximately $0.1\text{--}0.6\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$). The particles were mostly composed of organic compounds (approximately 0.7 to $12\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), with only minor contributions from black carbon (approximately 0.04 to $1.4\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) and the inorganic species sulphate (SO_4^{2-} ; approximately 0.08 to $0.2\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) and nitrate (NO_3^- ; approximately 0.02 to $0.6\text{ }\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$).

The smoke particles scattered and absorbed considerable amounts of light. Aerosol scattering and absorption coefficients at 550 nm were up to 366 and 19 Mm^{-1} , respectively, resulting in single scattering albedo (SSA) values at 550 nm of around 0.95, which are similar to the corresponding SSA values before and after the plume period. The smoke particles had rare optical exponents: e.g., scattering Ångström exponents (SAE) from 450–700 nm were approximately 0, absorption Ångström exponents (AAE) from 370–880 nm were approximately 2, and SSA Ångström exponents were approximately -0.10 during the plume period (Fig. 3d). Usually, aged, long range transported wildfire plumes measured at JFJ have AAE values below 1.5 because of bleaching and evaporation of the brown carbon content (Forrister et al., 2015). Such values are closer to the spectral properties of BC-rich fossil fuel combustion plumes that have AAE values approximately 1.0. However, in this special case on 30 September and 1 October 2023, UV absorption (370 nm) was much higher (approximately 5 times) than visible ab-




Figure 2. (a) Instantaneous spectral AOD variation at 10:00 UTC in DAV on 1 October 2023 from sun photometer (Cimel and PFR) and spectroradiometer (BTS and QASUME). (b) Spectral AOD variation at JFJ on 30 September 2023 from PFR calculated as mean with 1 h time stamp for the whole day (time represented in the legend in UTC). (c, d) Diurnal variation of the AOD normalised to AOD at 500 nm at DAV and JFJ, respectively.

sorption indicating the presence of brown carbon and/or tar balls, which have a strong spectral dependence in the imaginary refractive index (Corbin et al., 2019). The negative SSA Ångström exponents observed for these smoke particles are also remarkably rare. Normally such negative values are only observed at JFJ during Saharan dust events (SDEs; Collaud Coen et al., 2004). During this special event, the rare optical properties of the smoke particles led to a false trigger of the JFJ SDE alert.

In contrast to the highly elevated aerosol mass concentrations in the plume, the number concentrations of particles in the plume were relatively similar to those before and after plume passage (in all cases within a range of 250–750 particles cm^{-3}). This observation is explained by the size distributions of the smoke particles, shown as time series in the image plots in Fig. 3e and f, and for selected time periods in Fig. 4. The number size distributions of particles below approximately 500 nm diameter before, during, and after the plume contained multiple modes and were similar in magnitude. However, during the plume, an additional size mode centred at around 600 nm appeared in the particle number size distribution (Fig. 4a). This mode is even more apparent when plotting the distributions as a function of aerosol volume or VSD (Fig. 4b), demonstrating that these particles led

to the substantial increases in the total aerosol volume (and mass) concentrations. The presence and location of this large accumulation mode, as well as the relatively lower coarse mode particle concentrations (diameter > 1000 nm), are confirmed by two independent sizing measurements (aerodynamic sizing in the APS, optical sizing in the Fidas), demonstrating a high degree of confidence in these results. While a typical particle size distribution for a transported and aged wildfire smoke plume shows a shifted peak within the fine mode, the large shift towards unusually large particle diameters observed here is a very rare event, as also reported by Eck et al. (2023) and Fiebig et al. (2003).

To further investigate the observed spectral dependence of AOD in for this wildfire plume, we plot the spectral dependencies of absorption, scattering and extinction that were measured in situ during the peak of the smoke plume's passage over JFJ. The absorption coefficients have been shifted to the three wavelengths where the scattering coefficients were measured (450, 550, and 700 nm) using the measured AAE values, and the extinction coefficients at these same wavelengths calculated as the sums of scattering plus absorption coefficients. Measurement errors of 10 % are considered for the independently measured scattering and absorption coefficients, and these are propagated to produce the uncer-




Figure 3. Time series of gas and aerosol properties measured in situ in the smoke plume as it passed over JFJ on 30 September and 1 October 2023: **(a)** concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO) and benzene in the gas phase; **(b)** the mass concentrations of all particles with diameters less than $1\ \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{10}) as well as the organic components (Organics), nitrate (NO_3), sulphate (SO_4), and black carbon (BC); **(c)** scattering coefficients 550 nm and absorption coefficients at 370 and 550 nm, and single scattering albedo (SSA) at 550 nm; **(d)** scattering Ångström exponents (SAE) from 450–700 nm, absorption Ångström exponents (AAE) from 370–880 nm, and SSA Ångström exponents (SSA_AE) from 450–700 nm; **(e)** particle number size distributions as an image plot with overlaid white trace indicating the total particle number concentration; and finally **(f)** particle volume size distributions as an image plot with overlaid white trace indicating the total particle volume concentration. The grey shaded region indicates the period when the plume was impacting the station.

tainty estimates for the extinction coefficients. As expected, the in-situ extinction profile is curved with positive gradient below 550 nm wavelength, consistent with the columnar AOD profiles measured for this plume. Figure 5 shows that this curvature is driven by the same curved feature present in the measured scattering profile. In contrast, the measured absorption profile has a negative gradient across the entire spectral range (as also demonstrated by the AAE values substantially greater than unity plotted in Fig. 3). Given the absolute values of the measured scattering and absorption coefficients (which are also reflected by the high SSA values reported in Fig. 3), one can calculate that AAE would need to increase to a value of ~ 4 , or total absorbing aerosol concentrations by a factor of ~ 4 , for the absorption profile to have large enough magnitude to affect the shape of the extinction profile (i.e.,

to remove its curvature). Such increases are well beyond the scattering and absorption coefficient measurement uncertainties. Therefore, the curved spectral AOD and extinction profiles measured in this plume are due to the similarly curved spectral scattering profiles.

To better understand the reasons for the curved spectral scattering profiles, and thus the corresponding curvatures in spectral AOD/extinction, we performed theory calculations constrained with observational data. All particles were assumed to be identical homogeneous spheres (except for their diameter), i.e., all components including BC and BrC were assumed to be present as homogeneous internal mixture with a single, effective refractive index. The polydisperse size distribution was constrained MPSS and APS in situ data, and the complex refractive index was taken from




Figure 4. Particle (a) number and (b) volume size distributions measured in situ at JFJ before, during, and after the passage of the smoke plume. The shaded areas represent the region formed by the APS- and Fidas-measured size distributions, which were averaged to calculate the merged size distributions at particle diameters > 600 nm.




Figure 5. Spectral absorption, scattering and extinction coefficient measured during the peak of the smoke plumes passage over Jungfraujoch at 09:00 UTC on 30 September 2023. Errors of $\pm 10\%$ in the independently measured scattering and absorption coefficients are propagated to produce the uncertainty estimates for extinction.

DAV AERONET retrieval results. Figure 6 shows the spectral dependence of absorption coefficient (Fig. 6a), scattering coefficient (Fig. 6b) and extinction coefficient (Fig. 6c) calculated with Mie theory for the polydisperse aerosol as described above. Consistent with the corresponding measurements (Fig. 5), it is clearly seen that the inverted spectral dependence of extinction, i.e., positive gradient below ~ 600 nm wavelength, is driven by similar inverted spectral dependence of scattering, and not by the negative gradient in spectral absorption. It should be noted that if one wished

to perform more accurate calculations of the absorption profiles, one would need a model with a more explicit representation of particle morphology and mixing state to account for the presence of internally mixed BC aggregates, which contribute substantially to the measured absorption but only $\sim 3\%$ – 5% to the total particle mass in the plume. We refrain from such calculations here since we are primarily interested in the spectral profiles of total particle scattering, extinction and AOD.

In Fig. 6d, e and f we present approximately calculated spectral mass specific absorption, scattering, and extinction cross sections, respectively, for perfectly monodisperse homogeneous spheres with the same complex refractive index as above, to further assess the role of material properties and particle size (Fig. 6g, h, and i present the same calculated curves on a linear y axis scale). Figure 6d and g corroborate that light absorption has a typical spectral behaviour with a negative gradient for all particle sizes. By contrast, the spectral dependence of light scattering (Fig. 6e and h) depends strongly on particle size. The calculated mass scattering cross-section (MSC) has a maximum in the visible for the examples with diameters between 300 and 800 nm, most prominently and most similarly to the observed spectral AOD for the 500 nm example. By contrast, MSC exhibits a strong negative gradient for smaller diameters and a weak positive gradient for larger diameters. So, the observed rare spectral AOD observed in the wildfire plume is for the most part a result of the rather unusual model diameter of the volume size distribution, which exactly falls to the size range, where light scattering shows this feature. Here it is important to stress that the real part of the refractive index was chosen to be independent of wavelength, which is in agreement with the AERONET retrieval results. Light scattering also depends on the imaginary part of the refractive index, which has consid-




Figure 6. Absorption (a), scattering (b), and extinction (c) spectral profiles calculated at three points during the peak of the plume using Mie theory and the assumption of homogeneous spheres with size distributions constrained by the in situ measurements and a single, effective refractive index given by the corresponding AERONET retrieval. Panels (d), (e), and (f) show the absorption, scattering and extinction profiles, respectively, of monodisperse homogeneous spheres of various sizes, to assess the sensitivity of these profiles to particle diameter. Panels (g), (h), and (i) show the same curves on a linear y axis.

erable spectral dependence based on the AERONET retrieval result, in agreement with AAE significantly larger than unity. Therefore, we performed additional Mie calculations with the imaginary refractive index set to a constant value or to zero. The results, which are not shown here, demonstrate, that the spectral dependence of MSC and the mass extinction cross-section is largely insensitive to these changes in the imaginary refractive index. So, we can conclude that the peak of MSC at a wavelength of around 600 to 700 nm for 800 nm particles is a mere particle size effect, i.e., a result of enhanced scattering near the centre of the Mie regime, whereas the drop towards shorter wavelength or longer wavelength is caused by the transitions towards the geometric or Rayleigh regimes, respectively.

3.2 Tracing the smoke plume using ground measurements

3.2.1 High aerosol loading and unusual spectral AOD curvature from remote sensing measurements

We further investigated the track of the plume using ground based AOD measurements by AERONET. The description of the AERONET stations considered in this analysis is presented in Table 1. These stations were selected on the basis of

high AOD days within the considered time period, i.e., from 20 September 2023 to 5 October 2023, and on AOD peak occurring at wavelengths other than the shortest AERONET AOD measurement wavelength (i.e., 340 nm). These stations are categorised on the basis of their location, either east or west of the North Atlantic Ocean. During the year 2023, AOD of the days exceeding 3 times the mean AOD of the respective site were selected as high AOD days. For these days, peak AOD occurring at wavelength other than 340 nm (minimum measurement wavelength) was used as the criterion for spectral curvature.

Figure 7a and b present the variation of AOD at 500 nm from 20 September to 5 October 2023, at AERONET stations located west and east of the North Atlantic Ocean, respectively, as described in Table 1. The peak day of the event for each station was selected based on the observed elevated AOD values as described above (LIL, HPB and KZO were stations with less than 3 times mean AOD values but were considered due to observation of the spectral curvature). The corresponding size distributions for each station are presented in Fig. 7a1–b10 (the missing stations here are due to unavailability of VSD data of sufficient quality for reliable interpretation). Several studies (e.g., Zheng et al., 2020; June et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2025) have reported high frac-

Table 1. Description of the AERONET stations considered in this analysis for tracing the smoke plume.

AERONET Station name	City, Country	Code	Station Latitude (°)	Station Longitude (°)	Station Altitude (m)	Day of peak event
Stations west of North Atlantic Ocean						
Narsarsuaq	Narsarsuaq, Denmark	NSQ	61.16	−45.44	75.0	25 Sep 2023
Chapais	Chapais, Canada	CPS	49.82	−74.97	373.4	26 Sep 2023
Halifax	Halifax, Canada	HFX	44.64	−63.59	65.0	27 Sep 2023
CARTEL	Sherbrooke, Canada	CRL	45.38	−71.93	251.0	28 Sep 2023
NEON_Bartlett	North Conway, USA	BRT	44.06	−71.23	273.0	28 Sep 2023
Stations east of North Atlantic Ocean						
Chilbolton	Chilbolton, UK	CBT	51.14	−1.44	88.0	26 Sep 2023
Oslo_MET_Norway	Oslo, Norway	OSL	59.94	10.72	50.0	26 Sep 2023
Paris	Paris, France	PAR	48.85	2.36	50.0	29 Sep 2023
Palaiseau	Palaiseau, France	PLS	48.71	2.21	156.0	29 Sep 2023
REIMS_GSMA	Reims, Paris	RGS	49.24	4.07	133.0	30 Sep 2023
Lille	Lille, France	LIL	50.61	3.14	60.0	30 Sep 2023
Payerne	Payerne, Switzerland	PAY	46.81	6.94	491.0	1 Oct 2023
Davos	Davos, Switzerland	DAV	46.81	9.84	1589.0	1 Oct 2023
Innsbruck_MUI	Innsbruck, Austria	INN	47.26	11.39	620.0	1 Oct 2023
HohenpeißenbergDWD	Hohenpeißenberg, Germany	HPB	47.80	11.01	989.7	1 Oct 2023
Kanzelhoehe_Obs	Kanzelhoehe, Austria	KZO	46.68	13.90	1526.0	1 Oct 2023
Hel_IGF	Hel, Poland	HGF	54.60	18.80	20.0	1 Oct 2023
Moldova	Kishinev, Moldova	MDV	47.00	28.82	205.0	3 Oct 2023

tion of particles with large diameter within accumulation size ranges, approximately ranging between 100 to 250 nm for wildfire smoke plume transports. However, the wildfire transport case presented here showed a shifted peak in VSD for submicron particles with diameters close to approximately 800 nm. Moreover, the VSD in coarse mode with almost flat curve in comparison to the sub-micron particle size peak and close to zero was observed at DAV indicating the case of very low or no background aerosols during the plume passage at this station (properties before, during and after the peak day of the plume is presented in Table 2).

Figure 8 shows the spectral variation of AOD on the peak day of the event at all the stations shown in Fig. 7, with an indication of the peak AOD value at one time instance. Unlike the usual spectral AOD variation that monotonically decreases with wavelength, the cases presented here showed a spectral curvature in AOD with peak AOD values occurring at wavelengths other than the lowest AERONET measurement wavelength i.e., 340 nm. The noticeable stations are DAV, HFX and BRT, with a peak in AOD at 500 nm, while other stations showed this special characteristic of spectral AOD peak at 500 nm. DAV and BRT had a very well-defined peak at 500 nm throughout the day.

The mean (standard deviation), maximum and minimum values of the AOD difference (AOD at 500 nm – AOD at 340 nm) is found to be 0.21 (0.07), 0.00, 0.34, respectively for HFX, 0.20 (0.07), 0.06, 0.29, respectively for BRT and, 0.05 (0.01), 0.02, 0.07, respectively for DAV. This indicates

that the observed spectral curvature in AOD is significant in comparison to the measurement uncertainty in AOD (~ 0.01 in the visible and near infrared increasing to ~ 0.02 in the UV wavelengths). An overview of mean size distribution of fine and coarse mode and peak in AOD concave spectral curvature during the peak day of the event at considered stations is provided in Table C1 in Appendix C.

3.2.2 Effect of special spectral AOD curvature on single scattering albedo

Figure 9 presents the spectral SSA variation during the peak day of the event at the respective AERONET stations and at JFJ from in situ measurements. It is to be noted that the AERONET-inverted SSA values represent effective values averaged over atmospheric columns, and care should therefore be taken when comparing these to the direct, in situ measurements, as well as when thinking about the fundamental factors that affect these AERONET products. The spectral SSA was high (mostly above 0.9) at all wavelengths, increasing in UV-VIS region from 440 to 675 nm, and then remaining either constant or decreasing at longer wavelengths. For dust particles, the SSA typically monotonically increases with wavelengths (Li et al., 2015; Collaud Coen et al., 2004; Yus-Díez et al., 2021; Kaskaoutis et al., 2021) whereas for black carbon dominated absorbing aerosols, the SSA usually monotonically decreases with wavelength (Li et al., 2015; Chauvigné et al., 2019; Kaskaoutis et al., 2021). However,




Figure 7. Variation of AOD at 500 nm between 20 September to 5 October in 2023 at stations (a) west and (b) east of North Atlantic Ocean and the corresponding volume size distribution (VSD) as (a1–3) and (b1–9), respectively at different time instances (when sky error < 5 %) for the day with peak AOD. The missing stations are due to either data unavailability or availability with sky error > 5 % and solar zenith angle < 45°. Station label description is provided in Table 1. Each curve from (a1)–(b10) corresponds to instantaneous values.




Figure 8. (a–r) Spectral variation (curvature) of AOD on the peak day of the event at the respective stations (blue lines). Red dots indicate the peak AOD value at one time instance. Each grey curve here represents instantaneous spectral values.

nonmonotonic spectral curvature in SSA is dominant in East Asia, peaking at 675 nm, as reported by Li et al. (2015) and attributed to enhanced absorption by some dust aerosol species in the UV region that become non-absorbing in the visible part of the spectrum and black carbon dominant absorption at longer wavelengths. The uniqueness of the case in the present manuscript is that similar nonmonotonic spectral SSA curvature occurred under conditions of large submicron particles with narrow size distribution (as presented in Figs. 4 and 7) and containing BrC and/or tarballs, as indicated by the high AAE values reported in Fig. 3.

As presented by the authors in Giles et al. (2012), fine mode particles containing hygroscopic aerosol particles such as sulfates have a nearly neutral SSA spectral dependence (Dubovik et al., 2002), while those containing black carbon aerosol particles as the sole absorber exhibit a decreasing SSA with wavelength, and those composed of brown carbon (BrC) or organic carbon (OC) aerosol particles as sole absorber exhibit an increasing SSA with wavelength (strong absorption in ultraviolet and visible region) (Eck et al., 2009). Moreover, a varying concentration of BC with dust, BrC, and/or OC aerosol particles can lead to ambiguous (increasing, decreasing, or constant) SSA dependence on wavelength depending on the spectral absorption properties of the aerosol mixture with the net effect being stronger absorption across the retrieved spectrum (e.g., 440 to 1020 nm) (Dubovik et al., 2002; Giles et al., 2011, 2012).

In the case presented here, the SSA concave spectral curvature for smoke aerosol presents a similar spectral behaviour as observed for absorbing dust aerosols in the UV-Vis region below 675 nm. In the case of dust aerosols, pure coarse mode dust usually shows an increasing SSA with wavelength whereas a mixing of dust with BC or other pollution leads to the SSA concave curvature as presented by Li et al. (2015); however, this concave spectral curvature in SSA (due to absorbing characteristics of coarse mode particles) did not produce spectral curvature in AOD. Another study over the Himalayan region by Tian et al. (2023) also observed a spectral curvature in SSA and scattering coefficient but the absorption coefficient monotonically decreased with wavelength for an aerosol size distribution indicating the presence of both fine and coarse mode particles during the studied biomass burning event. However, in the present manuscript, most of the stations (Fig. 9) showed an SSA concave spectral curvature except DAV and JFJ, which show an SSA monotonically increasing with wavelength (a spectral behaviour similar to pure dust case), and these are associated with the concave spectral curvature in AOD for fine mode aerosols as presented in Figs. 7 and 8.

The case of DAV (from remote sensing measurements) and JFJ (from in situ measurements) as presented in Fig. 9g and i showed a dust-aerosol-like SSA spectral variation, with SSA monotonically increasing with wavelength, for large fine mode smoke aerosols (Fig. 7b). This suggests that in this particular transported plume, the peculiar size distribu-

tion with the large fine mode aerosols as well as the presence of BrC leading to high absorption in the UV lead to the peculiar increasing SSA with wavelength.

3.2.3 Explanation for spectral AOD peak at 500 nm: Fresh/slightly aged vs. aged smoke

In this section, we discuss the stations that displayed a peak in AOD at 500 nm, categorizing the transported smoke plume as fresh or slightly aged in case of the stations near the fire source i.e., HFX and BRT, and aged smoke in case of the station located far away from the fire source i.e., DAV.

HFX is a coastal station, BRT is characterized by the presence of hills and mountains in the area and DAV is a high mountain location. The size distribution at DAV was dominated by the fine mode with no discernible coarse mode, while HFX and BRT had a large fine mode and a very small coarse mode (see Fig. 7) during the studied BB event.

Table 2 presents the observed aerosol properties for fresh/slightly aged (at HFX and BRT) and aged smoke (at DAV) plume during peak day of the event. HFX and BRT were characterized by extremely high AOD values during the peak day of the event with almost not much difference (less than 0.01) in negative AOD AE values in wavelength pair of 340–440 and 380–500 nm and a non-monotonic SSA concave spectral curvature with peak at 675 nm. On the contrary, DAV had high AOD (with respect to the regional climatological values) but not of the magnitude as HFX and BRT with approximately 0.02 difference in negative AE for the wavelength pair of 340–440 and 380–500 nm and a monotonically increasing SSA with wavelength (which is a typical characteristic of coarse mode aerosol particles and/or aerosols containing strong UV absorbers such as BrC).

Figure 10 shows the absorption AOD and absorption AE averages during the peak day of the event for these three stations discussed in this section, as well as the real and imaginary parts of the refractive indices. For fresh/slightly aged smoke at HFX and BRT, the absorption AOD at 440 nm was approximately 0.14, with absorption AE (AAE) in the wavelength range 440–870 nm being close to 2.0. In contrast, for aged smoke at DAV, the corresponding AAOD and AAE were observed to be lower (close to 0.05) and higher (close to 3), respectively. There is steeper AAOD variation with wavelength on logarithmic scale that corresponds to higher AAE values. The imaginary part of the refractive index values was almost identical for HFX and BRT decreasing from 0.009 from 440 to 675 nm, and slightly increasing afterwards to approximately 0.005 to 1020 nm. In contrast, at DAV it was higher, decreasing from 0.0018 to 0.004 from 440 to 1020 nm.

The real part of the refractive index was highest at HFX (approximately 1.58 at 440 nm), followed by BRT (approximately 1.57 at 440 nm), and lowest at DAV (approximately 1.55 at 440 nm) for the wavelength range between 440–1020 nm. Hence, it indicates the presence of highly absorbing




Figure 9. (a–h) Variation of SSA during the peak day of the event at the respective stations (only when sky error < 5 % and coincident AOD at 440 nm > 0.4) from AERONET and (i) at JFJ from in-situ measurement. The missing stations are due to either unavailability of SSA on that day or SSA available with sky error > 5 %, SZA < 45° and, AOD at 440 nm < 0.4 in case of AERONET. Each curve here represents instantaneous values.

aerosols near the source for fresh/slightly aged smoke plume. However, the long range transported smoke plume had lower absorption AOD (AAOD) and higher contribution of AAOD to AOD at 440 nm (Table 2). The imaginary part of the refractive index (IRI) decreases with wavelength, which indicates the presence of BrC. This is consistent with the AAE from in situ observation being substantially larger than unity as presented in Sect. 3.1.3 (BC with constant IRI has an AAE of around unity). Also, due to the very high SSA at longer wavelength, indicating a very low BC fraction, the SSA also remains high at shorter wavelength despite BrC contributing to absorption.

Figure 10c, d show the variation of extinction AE with different wavelength pairs at 440–870, 380–500, 440–675, 500–870 and 340–440 nm during the peak day of the event at HFX, BRT and DAV. The extinction AE at all wavelength pairs was observed to be much lower than for typical smoke aerosols. The highlight here is the negative AE in wavelengths pairs involving 340 and 380 nm i.e., wavelengths corresponding to the UV part of the electromagnetic spectrum, and low AE with longer wavelength pairs (also shown in Fig. C2 in Appendix C for all stations). This negative extinction AE is associated with the concave spectral curvature in AOD following the logarithmic spectral variation of AOD

using the Ångström power law [Ångström, 1929; Martínez-Lozano et al., 1998]. The change in sign of extinction AE for the wavelength ranges shown in Fig. 10c and d is a result of the maxima in AOD at around 500 nm, which is driven by the large particle diameter of the dominant fine mode aerosol.

In order to estimate the favorable physical parameters associated with the peak at 500 nm causing the observed concave spectral curvature in AOD, we looked into the role of refractive index, particle size, wavelength of incoming radiation on the extinction efficiency calculated from Mie scattering theory as presented in Fig. 10e from remote sensing measurement (also in Fig. 6 with size distributions constrained by in situ measurements). The refractive index and the particle size are considered as presented in Figs. 10 and 7, respectively for HFX, BRT and DAV. For a refractive index in range 1.53–1.56, it is observed that for particle radius of 0.34 µm and wavelength varying from 340–500 nm, the extinction is found to decrease with increase in size parameter which is inversely relation with wavelength (i.e., extinction efficiency increases with wavelength). This direct proportionality of extinction efficiency to wavelength was found to be pertinent for the particle size above about 0.25 µm for submicron particles with refractive index varying in the range 1.53–1.56.




Figure 10. Average (a) absorption AOD (shown as line) and absorption AE (shown as area) in logarithmic axis and (b) real (shown as area) and imaginary (shown as line) refractive indices and the variation of extinction AE in the spectral range that exhibited (c) positive and (d) negative values at stations HFX, BRT and DAV during the peak day of the event based on data availability from AERONET inversion products. (e) Extinction efficiency for specific refractive index and size parameter at wavelength in the range 340–1020 nm from Mie scattering with homogeneous spherical particles.

Another point to note in this smoke plume transport is that the fire plume was visible in the MODIS true colour images (Fig. D1 in Appendix D) even in the presence of clouds which indicates that the injection height of this Canadian wildland fire was quite high. Zhang et al. (2024) con-

firmed high pyrocumulonimbus (pyroCb) activity (a cumulonimbus clouds formed by wildfires-driven convection) activity during the Canadian wildfire season of 2023; however, only a minor amount of aerosol managed to reach above the troposphere, with most convective events limited to the up-

per troposphere. Black carbon (BC) is one of the main constituents associated with pyroCb-injected smoke aerosols. A study by Beeler et al. (2024) revealed that a distinguishing feature of BC-containing particles associated with pyroCb clouds can develop thick external coatings of > 200 nm thick (made of condensed organic matter) and also other studies showed that BC particles coating thickness can vary between < 30 to 400 nm (Katich et al., 2023). Beeler et al. (2024) also reported that pyroCb BC (approximately 18.3) has large average ratio of coating mass to BC mass as compared to the urban (approximately 1.8) and usual wildfire source-based BC (approximately 7.3). A study by Huang et al. (2024) found that atmospheric aging leads to greater heterogeneity in coating-to-BC mass ratios, reshaping particles to be more spherical and compact, and the heterogeneity in size, coating and non-spherical shapes may account for about 20 % and 30 % of the observed reduction in BC absorption capacity, respectively. Another study by Dahlkötter et al. (2014) revealed a quite concentrated transatlantic plume probe over Europe, for which BC was shown to be heavily coated, which indicates photo-chemical production and condensation of secondary organic aerosol along the transport. The evolution of such coated BC particles occurs also in other settings (e.g., urban outflows), and has important implications that can be considered in calculations of the direct effects of aerosols on climate (Tiwari et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024c; Lee et al., 2022), as well as when considering the relationships between BC emissions (and associated policy actions), BC properties and remotely-sensed optical properties (Liu et al., 2024a, b). While particle size appears to explain the observed spectral curvature in AOD, other factors including potential coating-induced enhancement or non-linear effects on multiple scattering might also be considered for future studies that may or may not be fully separable given the measurement uncertainties.

Similar special spectral AOD variation was observed in another wildfire event in California/Oregon in 2020 as presented by Eck et al. (2023) where the authors described the strong presence of coated black carbon and/or BrC in these transported plumes that stayed over the Pacific Ocean for some time before turning back to the land surface. HFX and BRT stations of the present manuscript seem to be similar to the Eck et al. (2023) case, while DAV is special concerning the monotonically increasing SSA with wavelength despite no visible signatures of coarse mode particles.

Such extreme size distributions have been observed in some of the past volcanic events, which led to the appearance of blue/green sun. A study by Wullenweber et al. (2021) and references therein described that narrow aerosol particle size distributions narrow, centred around a radius of 500 nm, can cause anomalous scattering (i.e., increase in scattering cross sections with wavelength in the visible part of the spectrum). This work was associated with the appearance of blue coloured sun post volcanic eruption (e.g., Krakatau in 1883) or massive forest fires due to Rayleigh scattering

as well as minor impacts of water vapour and ozone. Even though the phenomenon of occurrence of blue sun was not observed in the Canadian plume transport analysed in the present manuscript at the extremely special features presented at DAV on 1 October 2023, this was probably the closest extreme condition reached for this phenomenon to occur in the history of measurement of DAV.

Wullenweber et al. (2021) observed that a low refractive index combined with a larger particle radius range led to stronger anomalous scattering and increased the probability of occurrence of blue sun phenomenon. The observed real refractive index in the case of occurrence of blue sun phenomenon as reported by some previous studies by Penndorf (1953) and Ball et al. (2015) was around 1.46 and 1.50 and hence considered to be in the range of 1.3 to 1.5. However, in the case of DAV, the real refractive index was above 1.5 at all wavelengths that might explain the non-occurrence of blue sun phenomenon in this Canadian smoke plume transport. As reported by Wullenweber et al. (2021), unfortunately no aerosol samples are available from the past occurring extreme size distribution events, therefore the true aerosol optical properties associated with such events are unknown. Hence, the aerosol optical properties observed during the Fall 2023 Canadian wildfire smoke plume transport as presented in this manuscript as well as the California/Oregon wildfires smoke plume transport in 2020 as presented by Eck et al. (2023) can serve as a probable sample for future studies exploring this unusually extreme size distribution occurrence and associated anomalies.

3.3 Satellite observation and model evaluation of the event

In this section, we analyse how well the satellite and model reanalysis captured this special smoke plume transport event. Figure 11 presents a comparison between the AERONET AOD and satellite based AOD from MODIS and model reanalysis based AOD from MERRA2 at 550 nm for all stations during the study period from 20 September to 5 October 2023, with AERONET AOD at 500 nm being interpolated to 550 nm using Ångström power law for comparability (the statistics are also presented in Table D1 in Appendix D). For comparison of MODIS and MERRA2 AODs with AERONET AOD, the daily mean values were considered from AERONET.

From MODIS (MODIS AOD at 550 nm is shown in Fig. D2 in Appendix D) (as compared to AERONET measured AOD), it was found to have overestimation of AOD for 6 stations and underestimation for the remaining 10 whose data were available during the peak day of the event at respective stations. MODIS retrieval algorithm seems to capture the AOD with lesser error at stations near the fire source with absolute error and relative percentage error below approximately 0.3 and 20 %, respectively. While the stations with long range transported smoke plume had relatively

Table 2. Aerosol property for fresh/slightly aged and aged smoke plume during peak day of the event as mean (in brackets are the standard deviation). These properties are for before, during and after the peak day of rare smoke plume transport i.e., special concave spectral curvature in AOD peaking at 500 nm.

Property/ wavelength	Fresh/slightly aged smoke						Aged smoke		
	HFX			BRT			DAV		
	Before	During	After	Before	During	After	Before	During	After
Aerosol optical depth (AOD)									
340	–	1.86(0.28)	0.52(0.29)	–	1.33(0.20)	0.37(0.00)	0.15(0.04)	0.44(0.11)	0.04(0.01)
380	0.52(0.10)	1.94(0.29)	0.51(0.31)	–	1.38(0.21)	0.36(0.00)	0.15(0.04)	0.46(0.11)	0.04(0.01)
440	0.51(0.09)	2.04(0.31)	0.49(0.33)	–	1.47(0.24)	0.34(0.00)	0.14(0.04)	0.48(0.12)	0.04(0.01)
500	0.48(0.09)	2.08(0.32)	0.46(0.34)	–	1.53(0.26)	0.31(0.00)	0.13(0.04)	0.48(0.12)	0.04(0.01)
675	0.36(0.07)	1.85(0.28)	0.34(0.31)	–	1.41(0.27)	0.22(0.00)	0.10(0.03)	0.43(0.11)	0.03(0.01)
870	0.24(0.05)	1.40(0.22)	0.23(0.24)	–	1.10(0.22)	0.15(0.00)	0.08(0.03)	0.32(0.08)	0.02(0.01)
1020	0.18(0.04)	1.08(0.17)	0.17(0.19)	–	0.87(0.18)	0.12(0.00)	0.07(0.02)	0.25(0.07)	0.02(0.01)
Extinction Ångström exponent (AE)									
440–870	1.09(0.12)	0.59(0.15)	1.27(0.19)	–	0.44(0.07)	1.18(0.00)	0.84(0.23)	0.59(0.02)	0.74(0.13)
380–500	0.30(0.14)	−0.26(0.07)	0.51(0.20)	–	−0.35(0.09)	0.55(0.00)	0.62(0.27)	−0.19(0.02)	0.53(0.18)
440–675	0.87(0.13)	0.28(0.08)	1.08(0.22)	–	0.14(0.08)	1.02(0.00)	0.81(0.25)	0.32(0.02)	0.76(0.13)
500–870	1.23(0.12)	0.74(0.12)	1.38(0.19)	–	0.59(0.07)	1.27(0.00)	0.85(0.23)	0.73(0.02)	0.72(0.13)
340–440	0.14(0.15)	−0.35(0.05)	0.27(0.16)	–	−0.38(0.07)	0.30(0.00)	0.45(0.24)	−0.37(0.04)	−0.04(0.16)
Single scattering albedo (SSA)									
440	0.92(0.02)	0.93(0.01)	0.91(0.01)	–	0.92(0.00)	–	–	0.89(0.00)	–
675	0.93(0.03)	0.98(0.00)	0.92(0.01)	–	0.98(0.00)	–	–	0.96(0.01)	–
870	0.91(0.03)	0.97(0.00)	0.89(0.02)	–	0.97(0.01)	–	–	0.98(0.01)	–
1020	0.90(0.04)	0.97(0.01)	0.88(0.02)	–	0.97(0.01)	–	–	0.98(0.00)	–
Absorption aerosol optical depth (AAOD)									
440	0.04(0.01)	0.14(0.03)	0.05(0.03)	–	0.12(0.00)	–	–	0.05(0.01)	0.01(0.00)
675	0.03(0.01)	0.04(0.01)	0.03(0.03)	–	0.03(0.01)	–	–	0.02(0.01)	0.00(0.00)
870	0.02(0.01)	0.04(0.01)	0.03(0.03)	–	0.03(0.01)	–	–	0.01(0.01)	0.00(0.00)
1020	0.02(0.01)	0.03(0.01)	0.02(0.02)	–	0.03(0.01)	–	–	0.01(0.01)	0.00(0.00)
Absorption Ångström exponent (AAE)									
440–1020	1.26(0.86)	2.05(0.68)	1.08(0.34)	–	2.12(0.49)	–	–	2.52(0.86)	1.24(0.44)
$X = \text{AAOD} / \text{AOD} \%$									
440	7.84	6.86	10.20	–	8.16	–	–	10.42	25.00
675	8.33	2.16	8.82	–	2.13	–	–	4.65	0.00
870	8.33	2.86	13.04	–	2.73	–	–	3.12	0.00
1020	11.11	2.78	11.76	–	3.45	–	–	4.00	0.00
Volume concentration ($\mu\text{m}^3 \mu\text{m}^{-2}$)									
Fine	0.06	0.24	0.05	–	0.19	–	0.01	0.07	0.00
Coarse	0.01	0.06	0.01	–	0.04	–	0.01	0.00	0.00
Total	0.07	0.30	0.07	–	0.23	–	0.02	0.07	0.01
Effective radius (μm)									
Fine	0.24	0.30	0.22	–	0.33	–	0.22	0.31	0.27
Coarse	3.34	3.60	2.75	–	3.78	–	2.79	3.30	3.46
Total	0.29	0.37	0.27	–	0.39	–	0.39	0.33	0.41
Volume median radius (μm)									
Fine	0.26	0.33	0.24	–	0.35	–	0.27	0.34	0.30
Coarse	4.05	4.51	3.47	–	4.74	–	3.34	4.68	3.97
Total	0.43	0.55	0.41	–	0.55	–	0.88	0.39	0.82

higher error with overestimation reaching up to approximately 0.4 and underestimation up to 0.3. Another observation is that INN and HPB lie on the same pixel in MODIS retrieval with underestimation of 0.17 (approximately 63 %) and 0.02 (approximately 17 %), respectively (these two locations are mountainous, but the plume properties were not identical), and also PAR and PLS with overestimation of 0.31 (approximately 74 %) and 0.39 (approximately 118 %), respectively. This highlights the fact that one pixel of satellite resolution can have diversity and it can be quite challenging to retrieve parameters accurately specially during extreme and rare events. Some causes of the underestimation or overestimation of AOD from real situation (AERONET measurements) by MODIS could be due to inhomogeneity within a pixel, ground reflectance, high altitude or presence of high absorption layer e.g., in this case was thick smoke plume.

For MERRA2, in most of the cases, there was underestimation of AOD as compared to AERONET measured AOD with the highest differences observed for the station near the fire source in Northern Canada varying from approximately 0.8 to 1.5 (CPS, CRL, BRT, NSQ and HFX, respectively). While the stations towards the east of the North Atlantic Ocean characterised by long range smoke aerosol transport had the underestimation of MERRA2 AOD below approximately 0.4 and three stations showed overestimation but below approximately 0.1. Several studies have shown that MERRA2 can substantially underestimate absorbing aerosol loadings (Shang et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024c; Ceamanos et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023).

AERONET has been used in various studies to evaluate MODIS AOD data and MODIS AOD uncertainty is defined based on this comparison (Sayer et al., 2013, and references therein). However, based on the results of Sayer et al. (2013), there are differences due to various reasons, including spatial comparison aspects, retrieval aspects etc. In addition, the vast majority of these data represent cases with relatively low AOD values. It is evident from Fig. 11 that for various stations the agreement of MODIS and AERONET AOD at the days affected by Canadian smoke differ from other days. This analysis suggests that in special cases, the defined uncertainties of satellite observations based on statistical differences with ground-based measurements may vary.

The comparisons made in this section are to see how well the event is represented in the satellite observations or model reanalysis, however, it does not constitute the validation of the aerosol properties retrieval or observed unusual spectral curvature. It is known that the satellite algorithms are based on limited wavebands radiance inversion, while MERRA-2's spectral and microphysical properties are model-driven and constrained primarily by satellite column AOD at limited wavelengths with simplified microphysics. MODIS and MERRA2 are interconnected in a way that the MERRA2 involves assimilation from MODIS as well as other observations. However, there still can be differences in the AOD es-

timations from the two algorithms in such rare situations as is also evident that the two panels of Fig. 11 differ.

This analysis suggests that satellite algorithms and model reanalysis are not as likely to represent the peak around 500 nm in AOD as observed from ground-based remote sensing and in-situ measurements, and likely will not be captured in these datasets. This analysis illustrates the importance of experimental and ground-based measurements to capture such rare extreme weather events during which there can be significant underestimation and/or overestimation from satellite and model reanalysis datasets.

4 Conclusions

The more frequent heatwaves and intensified drought seasons due to the ongoing climate change have led to widespread and more intense wildfires, transforming forests into natural carbon sources rather than designated carbon sinks. The 2023 Canadian wildfires were extreme in scale and intensity, primarily driven by widespread hot and dry weather, with 2023 recorded as the driest and warmest year since at least 1980. Long range transport of wildfire smoke to Europe from North America is not a rare event anymore. However, the Canadian wildfires of 2023 displayed the transport of a highly concentrated plume to Europe along with observation of rare spectral aerosol optical depth (AOD) characteristics and other aerosol properties for about a week towards the end of the burning season.

The 2023 Canadian wildfire smoke plume reached the Swiss Alps between late September and the beginning of October after crossing the North Atlantic Ocean. The plume has been detected by the ground-based remote sensing instrumentation installed at the PAY and DAV stations, i.e., by the Raman lidar RALMO and the ceilometer, respectively. The 20-year climatological spectral AOD variation at DAV showed mean AOD values below 0.11 and only approximately 1 % of the total AOD measurements above 0.5. The daily mean spectral AOD values at DAV showed peak in AOD at 500 nm only for one day on 1 October 2023. The mass size distribution at DAV on 1 October 2023 and JFJ on 30 September 2023 showed a strong shift of the peak towards larger submicron particle size within the fine mode and a narrow size distribution which is a very rare event. Multiwavelength light absorption measurements at JFJ showed substantial absorption at the shorter wavelengths and relatively large absorption Ångström exponent of approximately 2 for wavelength range 370–880 nm and scattering Ångström exponents of approximately 0 for wavelength range 450–700 nm. The SSA Ångström exponents were observed to be approximately -0.10 during the plume period. High UV absorption (370 nm) than visible absorption indicated the presence of brown carbon and/or tar balls, which have a strong spectral dependence in the imaginary refractive index.




Figure 11. Daily mean AOD difference of AERONET AOD from (a) MODIS and (b) MERRA2 AOD at 550 nm for all stations from 20 September to 5 October 2023. AERONET AOD at 500 nm is interpolated to 550 nm using Ångström power law for comparability.

Further tracing of the smoke plume with ground-based aerosol measurements for special spectral AOD non-monotonic variation with wavelength (concave spectral curvature of AOD) revealed that the stations DAV, HFX and BRT had a peak in AOD at 500 nm, while other stations had a peak at 440 nm or shorter wavelength longer than 340 nm, which is the minimum measurement wavelength for AERONET. Analysis of the effect of special spectral AOD concave curvature on Ångström exponent showed unusually low AE values for smoke aerosols (usually AE for smoke aerosol cases is close to or above 1.5) and even negative AE in wavelengths pairs involving 340 and 380 nm.

Another highlight of this analysis has been the observation of non-monotonic concave spectral curvature in SSA peaking at 675 nm at all the stations except DAV and JFJ where SSA monotonically increased with wavelength for fine mode aerosols which is typical characteristic of coarse mode dust aerosols. The non-monotonic concave spectral curvature in SSA peaking at 675 nm for large fine mode particle size smoke aerosols suggested the presence of Brown Carbon in the plume. The monotonically increasing SSA for fine mode particles at DAV and JFJ suggested that smoke aerosol particles typical absorbing characteristic was further enhanced

by scattering characteristics at all wavelengths due to large particle size while reaching DAV and JFJ.

The highlight stations of this analysis have been HFX and BRT categorized as fresh/slightly aged case and DAV as aged smoke case. The key feature of fresh/slightly aged smoke at HFX and BRT was extremely high AOD values with very little difference (less than 0.01) in negative AE values at 340–440 and 380–500 nm and a non-monotonic SSA concave spectral curvature peaking at 675 nm. On the other hand, the aged smoke at DAV was characterized by high AOD (with respect to the regional climatological values), but not as high as observed at HFX and BRT with approximately 0.02 difference in negative AE at 340–440 and 380–500 nm and a monotonically increasing SSA with wavelength (a special behaviour for fine mode particles, that is a typical characteristic of coarse mode dust particles, as described above). For the cases presented in this study, HFX and BRT seems to be similar to Eck et al. (2023) case, while DAV and JFJ are quite special with respect to the observed monotonically increasing SSA with wavelength despite no visible signatures of coarse mode particles.

Similar concave spectral AOD curvature was observed in another wildfire event in California/Oregon in 2020 as pre-

sented by Eck et al. (2023) in which the authors attributed the concave spectral shape of AOD curvature to the large size radius of sub-micron particles and narrow width of the fine mode size distribution. A Canada wildfire transport to Europe in 1950 had the observation of the solar spectrum extinction minima at 4350 Å (435 nm) as obtained from solar spectrograms in Edinburgh in September, during which, there was observation of blue sun as presented by Wilson (1951).

Similar extreme size distributions have been observed in some of the past volcanic activities, leading to the appearance of blue/green sun, associated with anomalous scattering (i.e., increasing scattering cross sections with wavelength in the visible part of the spectrum). The phenomenon of occurrence of blue sun was not observed in the presented Canadian plume transport at the special features in aerosol properties presented at DAV on 1 October 2023.

The comparison of AERONET AOD with MODIS satellite based AOD retrievals for long range transported smoke plume showed a difference of approximately 0.4 that can be due to inhomogeneity within a pixel, ground reflectance, high altitude or presence of high absorption layer e.g., in this case was thick smoke plume. The comparison of AEROENT AOD with MERRA2 model reanalysis based AOD showed underestimation in most of the cases ranging from 0.1 to 1.5. This analysis highlights that it can be quite challenging for the satellite algorithms and model reanalysis to have complementary AOD retrievals in such rare extreme weather events as are captured by ground-based measurements.

Another observation associated with the occurrence of this phenomenon is whether there can be some relation with the fire source such as fuel type, specific meteorological conditions, etc. as the event reported here occurred towards the end of September 2023 associated with Canadian wildfires as well as the event of California/Oregon fires presented by Eck et al. (2023) occurred in September of 2020 and the Alberta wildfire transport to Edinburgh as reported by Wilson (1951) occurred in September 1950 (all these events are related to the wildfires in North America). However, the narrow and large particle size distribution have also been observed for months other than September (e.g., Fiebig et al., 2003). Future studies could investigate the catalytic conditions and mechanisms that allowed aerosol particles to reach such extreme sizes, causing a peak in AOD at 500 nm both short range transport near the source and after long-range transport to distant location.

This uncertainty in understanding such rare observations highlights the importance of ground based optical and chemical aerosol measurements to better understand the impacts caused by extreme climate events and to identify the processes (whether due to chemical species or catalytic effects during aging) that lead to extreme fine mode or submicron aerosol size distributions in wildfire plume transport. Future investigation can be made on a quantitative analysis of growth pathways such as coagulation, condensation, and sec-

ondary organic aerosol formation for such rare atmospheric observations.

Appendix A: List of abbreviations used throughout the manuscript

AAE	absorption Ångström exponent
AAOD	absorption aerosol optical depth
ACTRIS	Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure
AE	Ångström exponent
AERONET	Aerosol Robotic Network
AOD	aerosol optical depth
APS	aerodynamic particle sizer
BTS	BiTec Sensor
FWHM	full width at half maximum
GAW	Global Atmospheric Watch
GHG	greenhouse gases
MERRA2	Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2
MODIS	MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
MPSS	mobility particle size spectrometer
NABEL	Swiss National Air Pollution Monitoring Network
PFR	Precision Filter Radiometer
RALMO	RAman Lidar for Meteorological Observations
RI	refractive indices
SSA	single scattering albedo
ToF-ACSM	Time-of-Flight Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor
VSD	volume size distribution
WORCC	World Optical depth Research and Calibration Center

Appendix B: Aerosol in situ measurements

Optical variables calculated from the in situ aerosol measurements

Light scattering coefficients were measured at three wavelengths ($\sigma_{sp}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 450, 550, 700$ nm) with an integrating nephelometer (TSI 3563). Light absorption coefficients were measured at seven wavelengths ($\sigma_{ap}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 370, 470, 520, 590, 660, 880, 950$ nm) with an absorption photometer (MAGEE scientific AE33). The scattering coefficient measurements are considered to have uncertainties of 10 % (Anderson et al., 1996), while those of the absorption coefficients are considered to be 30 % (Müller et al., 2011).

Scattering and absorption Ångström exponents (SAE and AAE values, respectively) were calculated pairwise between coefficients measured at two different wavelengths (λ_1 and

Table B1. Summary of gas and aerosol concentrations and properties measured in situ at JFJ before, during, and after passage of the smoke plume. The average value is reported for each quantity along with the standard deviation in brackets. The before period is defined from 13:00, 29 September to 07:00, 30 September; the during period from 07:00, 30 September to 08:00, 1 October; and the after period from 08:00, 1 October to 03:00, 2 October. The peak of the plume occurred at 09:00 on 30 September.

Component/Property	Unit	Before (<i>n</i> = 17 h)	Peak (<i>n</i> = 1 h)	During excl. peak (<i>n</i> = 22 h)	After (<i>n</i> = 19 h)
Carbon monoxide (CO)	ppb	90.16 (5.80)	308.770	109.70 (16.76)	70.79 (3.13)
Benzene	ppt	15.37 (2.99)		37.36 (18.59)	6.30 (1.42)
Particulate Matter (PM ₁)	µg m ⁻³	0.58 (0.56)	30.95	1.32 (0.95)	0.17 (0.07)
Organics	µg m ⁻³	0.45 (0.49)	12.70	0.75 (1.08)	0.07 (0.08)
Nitrate (NO ₃)	µg m ⁻³	0.07 (0.11)	0.58	0.03 (0.03)	0.00 (0.00)
Sulphate (SO ₄)	µg m ⁻³	0.11 (0.02)	0.24	0.08 (0.02)	0.12 (0.02)
Black carbon (BC)	µg m ⁻³	0.03 (0.03)	1.39	0.04 (0.04)	0.00 (0.00)
Scattering coefficient at 550 nm	Mm ⁻¹	4.82 (4.68)	366.41	14.30 (11.40)	1.51 (1.19)
Absorption coefficient at 370 nm	Mm ⁻¹	0.55 (0.75)	53.78	1.76 (1.71)	0.17 (0.38)
Absorption coefficient at 550 nm	Mm ⁻¹	0.33 (0.37)	18.84	0.53 (0.50)	0.06 (0.04)
Absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) 370–880 nm	–	1.12 (0.51)	1.86	2.11 (0.81)	2.34 (4.25)
Scattering Ångström exponent (SAE) 450–700 nm	–	2.22 (0.49)	−0.11	0.30 (0.45)	1.42 (1.37)
Single scattering albedo (SSA) at 550 nm	–	0.95 (0.04)	0.95	0.96 (0.01)	0.97 (0.05)
SSA Ångström exponent (SSA_AE) 450–700 nm	–	0.05 (0.05)	−0.13	−0.08 (0.04)	0.04 (0.20)
Number concentration	1 cm ⁻³	394.84 (171.79)	544.61	330.19 (141.69)	324.37 (65.97)
Volume concentration	µm ³ cm ⁻³	0.67 (0.64)	33.39	1.37 (1.00)	0.22 (0.17)

λ_2) using the general Ångström exponent (AE) equation:

$$AE(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{\ln(\sigma(\lambda_1)\sigma(\lambda_2))}{\ln(\lambda_1\lambda_2)} \quad (\text{B1})$$

The measured absorption coefficients were evaluated at the nephelometers wavelengths (i.e., $\sigma_{\text{ap}}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 450, 550, 700$ nm were calculated) using the AAE values corresponding to the aethalometer wavelength pairs that bound each of the nephelometers wavelengths.

Single scattering albedo (SSA) values were calculated at the three nephelometer wavelengths ($\lambda = 450, 550, 700$ nm) using the equation:

$$SSA(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{sp}}(\lambda)}{(\sigma_{\text{ap}}(\lambda) + \sigma_{\text{sp}}(\lambda))}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Pairwise SSA Ångström exponents (SSA_AAE values) were calculated between the SSA values at two different wavelengths (λ_1 and λ_2) using Eq. (B1).

Appendix C: Aerosol remote sensing measurements




Figure C1. (a–d) Climatological AOD variation at 367, 411, 500 and 862 nm and, (e) Daily mean spectral AOD and daily spectral maxima (black dots) between 2004–2022 from GAWPFR 1 October 2023 (yellow) at DAV.




Figure C2. (a–r) Variation of AE during the peak day of the event at the respective stations.

Table C1. Correlation between mean size distribution of fine and coarse mode and peak in AOD concave spectral curvature during the peak day of the event at considered stations.

Station	Time (UTC)	Volume concentration (fine, coarse, total)	Volume median radius (fine, coarse, total)	Effective radius (fine, coarse, total)	Peak AOD wavelength
Stations west of North Atlantic Ocean					
HFX	14:09	0.23, 0.07, 0.29	0.33, 4.39, 0.59	0.31, 3.55, 0.38	500
	17:09	0.28, 0.07, 0.35	0.33, 4.65, 0.57	0.31, 3.77, 0.38	500
	18:09	0.31, 0.06, 0.36	0.33, 4.86, 0.50	0.31, 3.85, 0.36	500
	19:09	0.25, 0.06, 0.31	0.32, 4.74, 0.53	0.29, 3.86, 0.35	440
CRL	12:13	0.10, 0.03, 0.14	0.33, 3.93, 0.58	0.30, 3.18, 0.38	440
	12:52	0.13, 0.03, 0.15	0.32, 4.54, 0.52	0.29, 3.61, 0.35	500
	13:50	0.13, 0.03, 0.16	0.33, 3.96, 0.50	0.30, 3.07, 0.35	440
	14:50	0.14, 0.03, 0.17	0.32, 3.54, 0.50	0.29, 2.72, 0.35	440
	15:50	0.16, 0.03, 0.19	0.31, 3.90, 0.48	0.29, 3.05, 0.34	440
	16:50	0.18, 0.04, 0.21	0.31, 3.88, 0.48	0.28, 3.10, 0.34	440
	17:50	0.22, 0.05, 0.27	0.32, 4.51, 0.51	0.29, 3.56, 0.35	440
	18:50	0.20, 0.04, 0.24	0.32, 4.33, 0.48	0.29, 3.35, 0.34	440
	19:51	0.19, 0.04, 0.23	0.32, 4.30, 0.50	0.29, 3.42, 0.35	440
BRT	13:39	0.22, 0.05, 0.27	0.35, 5.23, 0.56	0.33, 4.25, 0.39	500
	14:39	0.24, 0.04, 0.28	0.36, 5.22, 0.54	0.33, 4.19, 0.39	500
	16:40	0.22, 0.04, 0.26	0.35, 4.72, 0.53	0.33, 3.72, 0.38	500
Stations east of North Atlantic Ocean					
CBT	11:08	0.05, 0.02, 0.07	0.27, 2.51, 0.49	0.24, 2.05, 0.32	380
OSL	11:19	0.06, 0.03, 0.09	0.27, 2.08, 0.52	0.25, 1.74, 0.34	380
PLS	13:52	0.05, 0.01, 0.06	0.32, 3.99, 0.48	0.28, 3.25, 0.33	440
RGS	11:45	0.06, 0.03, 0.09	0.34, 4.27, 0.89	0.31, 3.66, 0.48	440
	15:28	0.05, 0.02, 0.07	0.30, 3.23, 0.59	0.25, 2.62, 0.34	380
DAV	09:22	0.07, 0.00, 0.07	0.33, 5.24, 0.38	0.30, 3.74, 0.32	500
	10:22	0.06, 0.01, 0.07	0.33, 3.85, 0.39	0.30, 2.75, 0.32	500
	11:22	0.05, 0.00, 0.05	0.34, 4.98, 0.38	0.32, 3.50, 0.33	500
	12:22	0.05, 0.00, 0.05	0.34, 4.64, 0.39	0.32, 3.19, 0.33	500
	13:22	0.05, 0.00, 0.05	0.34, 5.49, 0.37	0.32, 3.84, 0.33	500
	14:22	0.06, 0.00, 0.06	0.35, 4.34, 0.42	0.33, 3.09, 0.35	500
INN	07:27	0.05, 0.01, 0.06	0.30, 3.26, 0.46	0.26, 2.61, 0.31	380
	10:15	0.04, 0.01, 0.04	0.31, 3.76, 0.42	0.28, 3.10, 0.32	440
	11:15	0.03, 0.01, 0.04	0.30, 3.05, 0.40	0.27, 2.45, 0.30	380
	12:15	0.03, 0.00, 0.04	0.30, 2.78, 0.39	0.27, 2.21, 0.30	440
	13:15	0.03, 0.01, 0.03	0.30, 3.10, 0.43	0.27, 2.52, 0.31	380
	14:15	0.03, 0.01, 0.03	0.30, 3.44, 0.46	0.28, 2.79, 0.33	380
	15:03	0.03, 0.01, 0.04	0.32, 4.25, 0.46	0.30, 3.49, 0.34	380
	15:28	0.03, 0.01, 0.04	0.32, 4.41, 0.49	0.30, 3.69, 0.34	380
HPB	09:17	0.02, 0.00, 0.02	0.31, 2.71, 0.49	0.27, 2.32, 0.34	380
	10:17	0.01, 0.00, 0.02	0.30, 2.76, 0.48	0.26, 2.32, 0.32	380
	11:17	0.01, 0.00, 0.02	0.30, 3.51, 0.40	0.26, 2.79, 0.29	380
	13:17	0.02, 0.00, 0.02	0.31, 2.57, 0.39	0.27, 2.14, 0.30	380
	14:17	0.01, 0.00, 0.01	0.31, 2.60, 0.48	0.28, 2.21, 0.34	380
	15:04	0.02, 0.00, 0.02	0.31, 4.33, 0.49	0.28, 3.58, 0.33	380
	15:28	0.02, 0.00, 0.02	0.32, 3.01, 0.45	0.30, 2.55, 0.34	380
KZO	09:56	0.02, 0.00, 0.02	0.26, 3.04, 0.33	0.23, 2.34, 0.25	380
	14:45	0.01, 0.00, 0.02	0.28, 3.13, 0.42	0.24, 2.56, 0.28	340
	15:18	0.01, 0.00, 0.01	0.28, 3.68, 0.43	0.24, 3.02, 0.29	340

Table C1. Continued.

Station	Time (UTC)	Volume concentration (fine, coarse, total)	Volume median radius (fine, coarse, total)	Effective radius (fine, coarse, total)	Peak AOD wavelength
MDV	05:38	0.04, 0.02, 0.06	0.32, 3.51, 0.71	0.30, 2.99, 0.43	440
	06:18	0.04, 0.02, 0.06	0.32, 3.49, 0.75	0.30, 2.94, 0.43	440
	07:05	0.03, 0.02, 0.05	0.28, 3.18, 0.76	0.25, 2.52, 0.39	440
	08:05	0.03, 0.03, 0.06	0.28, 3.30, 0.93	0.25, 2.77, 0.45	380
	09:05	0.03, 0.03, 0.06	0.29, 3.37, 0.88	0.25, 2.93, 0.44	380
	10:05	0.03, 0.02, 0.05	0.29, 3.31, 0.66	0.26, 2.83, 0.38	380
	11:05	0.04, 0.02, 0.06	0.29, 3.12, 0.68	0.27, 2.50, 0.39	440
	12:05	0.03, 0.03, 0.06	0.28, 3.49, 1.00	0.25, 2.81, 0.46	380
	13:05	0.03, 0.03, 0.06	0.28, 3.35, 0.98	0.26, 2.73, 0.47	380
	13:52	0.03, 0.03, 0.06	0.29, 3.53, 1.05	0.26, 2.90, 0.49	380
	14:14	0.03, 0.03, 0.06	0.30, 3.46, 1.05	0.27, 3.03, 0.50	380

Appendix D: Satellite observations and model reanalysis




Figure D1. MODIS true colour images tracing the plume. Imagery credits: Land Atmosphere Near real-time Capability for EOS (LANCE) system and Global Imagery Browse Services (GIBS), operated by NASA Earth Observing System Data and Information System (EOSDIS).




Figure D2. MODIS AOD at 550 nm during 20 September and 5 October 2023.

Table D1. Comparison of MODIS and MERRA2 AOD statistics with AEROENT measured AOD during the peak day of the event. The percentage differences are calculated based on AERONET AOD.

Station	Mean AOD Difference (MODIS – AERONET)	Mean AERONET AOD	Percentage difference (%)	Station	Mean AOD Difference (MERRA2 – AERONET)	Mean AERONET AOD	Percentage difference (%)
Overestimation				Overestimation			
PLS	0.39	0.33	118.18	KZO	0.12	0.12	100.00
PAR	0.31	0.42	73.81	HPB	0.05	0.12	41.67
HFX	0.21	1.97	10.66	RGS	0	0.33	0.00
CPS	0.11	0.89	12.36	Underestimation			
KZO	0.04	0.12	33.33	HFX	−1.51	1.97	−76.65
LIL	0.05	0.22	22.73	NSQ	−1.32	1.48	−275.00
Underestimation				BRT	−1.25	1.46	−85.62
BRT	−0.29	1.46	−19.86	CRL	−0.94	1.22	−77.05
HGF	−0.28	0.49	−57.14	CPS	−0.79	0.89	−88.76
DAV	−0.25	0.46	−54.35	OSL	−0.38	0.52	−73.08
INN	−0.17	0.27	−62.96	DAV	−0.38	0.46	−82.61
PAY	−0.15	0.28	−53.57	HGF	−0.31	0.49	−63.27
MDV	−0.14	0.27	−51.85	CBT	−0.23	0.39	−58.97
CBT	−0.13	0.39	−33.33	MDV	−0.18	0.27	−66.67
CRL	−0.11	1.22	−9.02	PAY	−0.16	0.28	−57.14
RGS	−0.08	0.33	−24.24	PAR	−0.13	0.42	−30.95
HPB	−0.02	0.12	−16.67	INN	−0.13	0.27	−48.15
				LIL	−0.03	0.22	−13.64
				PLS	−0.02	0.33	−6.06

Data availability. PFR AOD data from 2004–2022 used in this analysis can be found at <https://doi.org/10.48597/E5WB-NXRG> (Wehrli, 2026), <https://doi.org/10.48597/X962-H2CJ> (PMOD/WRC and Kazadzis, 2026a), <https://doi.org/10.48597/U3MD-VUVP>, <https://doi.org/10.48597/9PGM-VJZR> (PMOD/WRC and Kazadzis, 2026b) and <https://doi.org/10.48597/SXCN-DHVA> (PMOD/WRC et al., 2026). The AERONET direct sun and inversion data used in this work are available through the portal at https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/webtool_aod_v3 (last access: 26 April 2025). For the remaining data, authors can be contacted.

Author contributions. AM wrote the overview of the paper, and AM, SK coordinated the paper writing. AM, SK, MG, JG conceptualized the initialization of the paper. RLM, MGB performed in situ measurements analysis and interpretation. MCC, FNG, GM performed in situ measurements analysis and lidar measurements analysis. AM, SK, JG performed remote sensing measurements analysis and interpretation. AM performed plume tracing analysis, satellite data and model reanalysis assessment, and Hysplit modelling. All authors participated in writing and revision of the paper.

Competing interests. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. The authors bear the ultimate responsibility for providing appropriate place names. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue “Sun-photometric measurements of aerosols: harmonization, comparisons, synergies, effects, and applications”. It is not associated with a conference.

Acknowledgements. Authors would like to acknowledge the Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS) Switzerland project supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education Research and Innovation. In situ observations at Jungfraujoch received further financial support from MeteoSwiss in the framework of the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) program of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). The International Foundation High Altitude Research Stations Jungfraujoch and Gornergrat (HFSJG) is acknowledged for hosting the observations at the Jungfraujoch High Altitude Research Station. SK, AM, NK would like to acknowledge HARMONIA (International network for harmonization of atmospheric aerosol retrievals from ground-based photometers; grant no. CA21119), supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology). The authors would like to acknowledge the AERONET and other local

instrument operators whose data has been used in this work. AM would like to thank Dr. Thomas Eck from NASA Goddard Space Flight Center who provided valuable insights in understanding the concepts as presented by him in Eck et al., 2023. This work was also supported by Grant PID2024-162154OB-I00, funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by ERDF/EU. We want to thank the editor, reviewers and the scientific community for active participation in the review process of this manuscript.

Financial support. This work was supported from ACTRIS Switzerland 2025–2028 grant (Swiss contribution to the ACTRIS ERIC) funded by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education and Research and Innovation (SERI) (grant no. REF-1131-51104).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Jason Cohen and reviewed by three anonymous referees.

References

- Abatzoglou, J. T., Williams, A. P., and Barbero, R.: Global emergence of anthropogenic climate change in fire weather indices. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 326–336, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080959>, 2019.
- ACTRIS-CAIS-ECAC: The Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure - Center for Aerosol In-Situ – European Center for Aerosol Calibration and Characterization. ACTRIS Standard Procedures for In-Situ Aerosol Sampling, Measurements, and Analyses at ACTRIS Observatories, <https://www.actris-ecac.eu/actris-gaw-recommendation-documents.html>, last access: 9 December 2024.
- AERONET: AErosol RObotic NETwork, Aerosol Optical Depth – Direct Sun Measurements, Version 3 Direct Sun Algorithm, Data Download Tool, National Aeronautics and Space Administration - Goddard Space Flight Center, https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/webtool_aod_v3, last access: 9 December 2024.
- Alados-Arboledas, L., Müller, D., Guerrero-Rascado, J. L., Navas-Guzmán, F., Pérez-Ramírez, D., and Olmo, F. J.: Optical and microphysical properties of fresh biomass burning aerosol retrieved by Raman lidar, and star- and sun-photometry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L01807, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045999>, 2011.
- Allen, R. J., Samset, B. H., Wilcox, L. J., and Fisher, R. A.: Are Northern Hemisphere boreal forest fires more sensitive to future aerosol mitigation than to greenhouse gas-driven warming?, *Sci. Adv.*, 10, eadl4007, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adl4007>, 2024.
- Amiro, B., Cantin, A., Flannigan, M., and de Groot, W.: Future emissions from Canadian boreal forest fires. *Can. J. For. Res.*, 39, 383–395, <https://doi.org/10.1139/X08-154>, 2009.
- Anderson, T. L. and Ogren, J. A.: Determining Aerosol Radiative Properties Using the TSI 3563 Integrating Nephelometer, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 29, 57–69, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786829808965551>, 1998.
- Anderson, T. L., Covert, D. S., Marshall, S. F., Laucks, M. L., Charlson, R. J., Waggoner, A. P., Ogren, J. A., Caldow, R., Holm, R. L., Quant, F. R., Sem, G. J., Wiedensohler, A., Ahlquist, N. A., and Bates, T. S.:

- Performance Characteristics of a High-Sensitivity, Three-Wavelength, Total Scatter/Backscatter Nephelometer, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 13, 967–986, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(1996\)013<0967:PCOAHS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1996)013<0967:PCOAHS>2.0.CO;2), 1996.
- Ångström, A.: On the Atmospheric Transmission of Sun Radiation and on Dust in the Air, *Geografiska Annaler*, 11, 156–66, <https://doi.org/10.2307/519399>, 1929.
- Baars, H., Ansmann, A., Ohneiser, K., Haarig, M., Engelmann, R., Althausen, D., Hanssen, I., Gausa, M., Pietruczuk, A., Szkop, A., Stachlewska, I. S., Wang, D., Reichardt, J., Skupin, A., Mattis, I., Trickl, T., Vogelmann, H., Navas-Guzmán, F., Haeefe, A., Acheson, K., Ruth, A. A., Tatarov, B., Müller, D., Hu, Q., Podvin, T., Goloub, P., Veselovskii, I., Pietras, C., Haeffelin, M., Fréville, P., Sicard, M., Comerón, A., Fernández García, A. J., Molero Menéndez, F., Córdoba-Jabonero, C., Guerrero-Rascado, J. L., Alados-Arboledas, L., Bortoli, D., Costa, M. J., Dionisi, D., Liberti, G. L., Wang, X., Sannino, A., Papagiannopoulos, N., Boselli, A., Mona, L., D'Amico, G., Romano, S., Perrone, M. R., Belegante, L., Nicolae, D., Grigorov, I., Gialitaki, A., Amiridis, V., Soupiona, O., Papayannis, A., Mamouri, R.-E., Nisantzi, A., Heese, B., Hofer, J., Schechner, Y. Y., Wandinger, U., and Pappalardo, G.: The unprecedented 2017–2018 stratospheric smoke event: decay phase and aerosol properties observed with the EARLINET, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 15183–15198, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15183-2019>, 2019.
- Baars, H., Radenz, M., Floutsis, A. A., Engelmann, R., Althausen, D., Heese, B., Ansmann, A., Flament, T., Dabas, A., Traon, D., Reitebuch, O., Bley, S., and Wandinger, U.: Californian wildfire smoke over Europe: A first example of the aerosol observing capabilities of Aeolus compared to ground-based lidar, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2020GL092194, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL092194>, 2021.
- Ball, J. G. C., Reed, B. E., Grainger, R. G., Peters, D. M., Mather, T. A., and Pyle, D. M.: Measurements of the complex refractive index of volcanic ash at 450, 546.7, and 650 nm, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 120, 7747–7757, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023521>, 2015.
- Balshi, M. S., McGuire, A. D., Duffy, P., Flannigan, M., Walsh, J., and Melillo, J.: Assessing the response of area burned to changing climate in western boreal North America using a Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS) approach, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 15, 578–600, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01679.x>, 2009.
- Beeler, P., Kumar, J., Schwarz, J. P., Adachi, K., Fierce, L., Perring, A. E., Katich, J. M., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: Light absorption enhancement of black carbon in a pyrocumulonimbus cloud, *Nat. Commun.*, 15, 6243, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-50070-0>, 2024.
- Brocard, E., Philipona, R., Haeefe, A., Romanens, G., Mueller, A., Ruffieux, D., Simeonov, V., and Calpini, B.: Raman Lidar for Meteorological Observations, RALMO – Part 2: Validation of water vapor measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 1347–1358, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-1347-2013>, 2013.
- Brunamonti, S., Martucci, G., Romanens, G., Poltera, Y., Wienhold, F. G., Hervo, M., Haeefe, A., and Navas-Guzmán, F.: Validation of aerosol backscatter profiles from Raman lidar and ceilometer using balloon-borne measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 2267–2285, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2267-2021>, 2021.
- Byrne, B., Liu, J., Bowman, K. W., Pascolini-Campbell, M., Chat-terjee, A., Pandey, S., Miyazaki, K., van der Werf, G. R., Wunch, D., Wennberg, P. O., Roehl, C. M., and Sinha, S.: Carbon emissions from the 2023 Canadian wildfires, *Nature*, 633, 835–839, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07878-z>, 2024.
- Cachorro, V. E., Toledano, C., Berjón, A., de Frutos, A. M., Torres, B., Sorribas, M., and Laulainen, N. S.: An “in situ” calibration correction procedure (KCICLO) based on AOD diurnal cycle: Application to AERONET-El Arenosillo (Spain) AOD data series, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D12205, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009673>, 2008.
- Calef, M. P., Varvak, A., McGuire, A. D., Chapin III, F. S., and Reinhold, K. B.: Recent changes in annual area burned in interior Alaska: The impact of fire management, *Earth Interact.*, 19, 1–17, <https://doi.org/10.1175/EI-D-14-0025.1>, 2015.
- Ceamanos, X., Coopman, Q., George, M., Riedi, J., Parrington, M., and Clerbaux, C.: Remote sensing and model analysis of biomass burning smoke transported across the Atlantic during the 2020 Western US wildfire season, *Sci. Rep.*, 13, 16014, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-39312-1>, 2023.
- Chauvigné, A., Aliaga, D., Sellegrí, K., Montoux, N., Krejci, R., Močnik, G., Moreno, I., Müller, T., Pandolfi, M., Velarde, F., Weinhold, K., Ginot, P., Wiedensohler, A., Andrade, M., and Laj, P.: Biomass burning and urban emission impacts in the Andes Cordillera region based on in situ measurements from the Chacaltaya observatory, Bolivia (5240 m a.s.l.), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 14805–14824, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-14805-2019>, 2019.
- Chen, H., Zhang, W., and Sheng, L.: Canadian record-breaking wildfires in 2023 and their impact on US air quality, *Atmos. Environ.*, 342, 120941, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2024.120941>, 2025.
- Chen, X., Reich, P. B., Taylor, A. R., An, Z., and Chang, S. X.: Resource availability enhances positive tree functional diversity effects on carbon and nitrogen accrual in natural forests, *Nat. Commun.*, 15, 8615, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-53004-y>, 2024.
- Christian, K., Wang, J., Ge, C., Peterson, D., Hyer, E., Yorks, J., and McGill, M.: Radiative forcing and stratospheric warming of pyrocumulonimbus smoke aerosols: First modeling results with multisensor (EPIC, CALIPSO, and CATS) views from space, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 10061–10071, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082360>, 2019.
- Collaud Coen, M., Weingartner, E., Schaub, D., Hueglin, C., Corrigan, C., Henning, S., Schwikowski, M., and Baltensperger, U.: Saharan dust events at the Jungfraujoch: detection by wavelength dependence of the single scattering albedo and first climatology analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 2465–2480, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-2465-2004>, 2004.
- Corbin, J. C., Czech, H., Massabò, D., Buatier de Mongeot, F., Jakobi, G., Liu, F., Lobo, P., Mennucci, C., Mensah, A. A., Orasche, J., Pieber, S. M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Stengel, B., Tay, L.-L., Zanatta, M., Zimmermann, R., El Haddad, I., and Gysel, M.: Infrared-absorbing carbonaceous tar can dominate light absorption by marine-engine exhaust, *npj Clim Atmos Sci* 2, 12, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-019-0069-5>, 2019.
- Corwin, K. A., Burkhardt, J., Corr, C. A., Stackhouse Jr., P. W., Munshi, A., and Fischer, E. V.: Solar energy resource availability under extreme and historical wildfire smoke conditions, *Nat.*

- Commun., 16, 245, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-54163-8>, 2025.
- Dahlkötter, F., Gysel, M., Sauer, D., Minikin, A., Baumann, R., Seifert, P., Ansmann, A., Fromm, M., Voigt, C., and Weinzierl, B.: The Pagami Creek smoke plume after long-range transport to the upper troposphere over Europe – aerosol properties and black carbon mixing state, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 6111–6137, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6111-2014>, 2014.
- Diniov, T., Simeonov, V., Arshinov, Y., Bobrovnikov, S., Ristori, P., Calpini, B., Parlange, M., and van den Bergh, H.: Raman Lidar for Meteorological Observations, RALMO – Part 1: Instrument description, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 1329–1346, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-1329-2013>, 2013.
- Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A. S. H., Ruckstuhl, C., Coz, E., Rupakheti, M., Sciare, J., Müller, T., Wiedensohler, A., and Hansen, A. D. A.: The “dual-spot” Aethalometer: an improved measurement of aerosol black carbon with real-time loading compensation, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 1965–1979, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1965-2015>, 2015.
- Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Holben, B., King, M. D., Kaufman, Y. J., Eck, T. F., and Slutsker, I.: Accuracy assessments of aerosol optical properties retrieved from Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Sun and sky radiance measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 9791–9806, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900040>, 2000.
- Dubovik, O., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., Kaufman, Y. J., King, M. D., Tanre, D., Slutsker, I.: Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types observed in worldwide locations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 59, 590–608, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2002\)059<0590:VOAOP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<0590:VOAOP>2.0.CO;2), 2002.
- Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N. T., Slutsker, I., and Kinne, S.: Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 31333–31349, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900923>, 1999.
- Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Sinyuk, A., Hyer, E. J., O'Neill, N. T., Shaw, G. E., Vande Castle, J. R., Chapin, F. S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Vermote, E., Schafer, J. S., Giles, D., Slutsker, I., Sorokin, M., and Newcomb, W. W.: Optical properties of boreal region biomass burning aerosols in central Alaska and seasonal variation of aerosol optical depth at an Arctic coastal site, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D11201, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010870>, 2009.
- Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Sinyuk, A., Giles, D. M., Arola, A., Slutsker, I., Schafer, J. S., Sorokin, M. G., Smirnov, A., LaRosa, A. D., Kraft, J., Reid, E. A., O'Neill, N. T., Welton, E. J., and Menendez, A. R.: The extreme forest fires in California/Oregon in 2020: Aerosol optical and physical properties and comparisons of aged versus fresh smoke, *Atmos. Environ.*, 305, 119798, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119798>, 2023.
- Fiebig, M., Stohl, A., Wendisch, M., Eckhardt, S., and Petzold, A.: Dependence of solar radiative forcing of forest fire aerosol on ageing and state of mixture, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 881–891, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-881-2003>, 2003.
- Flannigan, M. D., Logan, K. A., Amiro, B. D., Skinner, W. R., and Stocks, B. J.: Future area burned in Canada, *Clim. Change*, 72, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-005-5935-y>, 2005.
- Flannigan, M. D., Krawchuk, M. A., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, B. M., and Gowman, L. M.: Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire, *Int. J. Wildland Fire*, 18, 483–507, <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF08187>, 2009.
- Fischer, E., Sippel, S., and Knutti, R.: Increasing probability of record-shattering climate extremes, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 11, 689–695, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01092-9>, 2021.
- Forrister, H., Liu, J., Scheuer, E., Dibb, J., Ziemba, L., Thornhill, K. L., Anderson, B., Diskin, G., Perring, A. E., Schwarz, J. P., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Palm, B. B., Jimenez, J. L., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Evolution of brown carbon in wildfire plumes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 4623–4630, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063897>, 2015.
- Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Gregor, L., Hauck, J., Le Quéré, C., Luijkx, I. T., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Schwingshackl, C., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Alkama, R., Arneeth, A., Arora, V. K., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Cronin, M., Evans, W., Falk, S., Feely, R. A., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gkritzalis, T., Gloege, L., Grassi, G., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö., Harris, I., Hefner, M., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G. C., Iida, Y., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Jersild, A., Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein Goldewijk, K., Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lindsay, K., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Marland, G., Mayot, N., McGrath, M. J., Metzl, N., Monacchi, N. M., Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pan, N., Pierrot, D., Pockock, K., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Rodriguez, C., Rosan, T. M., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Shutler, J. D., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sun, Q., Sutton, A. J., Sweeney, C., Takao, S., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, X., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tsujino, H., Tubiello, F., van der Werf, G. R., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof, R., Whitehead, C., Willstrand Wranne, A., Wright, R., Yuan, W., Yue, C., Yue, X., Zaehle, S., Zeng, J., and Zheng, B.: Global Carbon Budget 2022, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 14, 4811–4900, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-4811-2022>, 2022.
- Fröhlich, R., Cubison, M. J., Slowik, J. G., Bukowiecki, N., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Schneider, J., Kimmel, J. R., Gonin, M., Rohner, U., Worsnop, D. R., and Jayne, J. T.: The ToF-ACSM: a portable aerosol chemical speciation monitor with TOFMS detection, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 3225–3241, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-3225-2013>, 2013.
- Fröhlich, R., Cubison, M. J., Slowik, J. G., Bukowiecki, N., Canonaco, F., Croteau, P. L., Gysel, M., Henne, S., Herrmann, E., Jayne, J. T., Steinbacher, M., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Fourteen months of on-line measurements of the non-refractory submicron aerosol at the Jungfraujoch (3580 m a.s.l.) – chemical composition, origins and organic aerosol sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11373–11398, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11373-2015>, 2015.
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A., Darmenov, A., Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty, A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W., Kim, G.-K., Koster, R., Lucchesi, R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert, S. D., Sienkiewicz, M., and Zhao, B.: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Re-

- search and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2). *J. Climate*, 30, 5419–5454, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1>, 2017.
- Giles, D. M., Holben, B. N., Tripathi, S. N., Eck, T. F., Newcomb, W. W., Slutsker, I., Dickerson, R. R., Thompson, A. M., Mattoo, S., Wang, S. H., Singh, R. P., Sinyuk, A., and Schafer, J. S.: Aerosol properties over the Indo-Gangetic Plain: A mesoscale perspective from the TIGERZ experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D18203, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD015809>, 2011.
- Giles, D. M., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Sinyuk, A., Smirnov, A., Slutsker, I., Dickerson, R. R., Thompson, A. M., and Schafer, J. S.: An analysis of AERONET aerosol absorption properties and classifications representative of aerosol source regions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D17203, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD018127>, 2012.
- Giles, D. M., Sinyuk, A., Sorokin, M. G., Schafer, J. S., Smirnov, A., Slutsker, I., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Lewis, J. R., Campbell, J. R., Welton, E. J., Korkin, S. V., and Lyapustin, A. I.: Advancements in the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Version 3 database – automated near-real-time quality control algorithm with improved cloud screening for Sun photometer aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 169–209, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-169-2019>, 2019.
- Gilletly, S. D., Jackson, N. D., and Staid, A.: Evaluating the impact of wildfire smoke on solar photovoltaic production, *Appl. Energy*, 348, 121303, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.121303>, 2023.
- Gröbner, J., Schreder, J., Kazadzis, S., Bais, A. F., Blumthaler, M., Görts, P., Tax, R., Koskela, T., Seckmeyer, G., Webb, A. R., and Rembges, D.: Traveling reference spectroradiometer for routine quality assurance of spectral solar ultraviolet irradiance measurements, *Appl. Optics*, 44, 5321–5331, <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.44.005321>, 2005.
- Gröbner, J., Kröger, I., Egli, L., Hülsen, G., Riechelmann, S., and Sperfeld, P.: The high-resolution extraterrestrial solar spectrum (QASUMEFTS) determined from ground-based solar irradiance measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 3375–3383, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-3375-2017>, 2017.
- Gröbner, J., Kouremeti, N., Hülsen, G., Zuber, R., Ribnitzky, M., Nevas, S., Sperfeld, P., Schwind, K., Schneider, P., Kazadzis, S., Barreto, A., Gardiner, T., Mottungan, K., Medland, D., and Coleman, M.: Spectral aerosol optical depth from SI-traceable spectral solar irradiance measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 16, 4667–4680, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-4667-2023>, 2023.
- Hanes, C. C., Wang, X., Jain, P., Parisien, M.-A., Little, J. M., and Flannigan, M. D.: Fire-regime changes in Canada over the last half century, *Can. J. For. Res.*, 49, 256–269, <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2018-0293>, 2019.
- Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J. P., Setser, A., and Smirnov, A.: AERONET: A Federated Instrument Network and Data Archive for Aerosol Characterization, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 66, 1–16, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(98\)00031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5), 1998.
- Huang, X.-F., Peng, Y., Wei, J., Peng, J., Lin, X.-Y., Tang, M.-X., Cheng, Y., Men, Z., Fang, T., Zhang, J., He, L.-Y., Cao, L.-M., Liu, C., Zhang, C., Mao, H., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wang, Y.: Microphysical complexity of black carbon particles restricts their warming potential, *One Earth*, 7, 136–145, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2023.12.004>, 2024.
- Hülsen, G., Gröbner, J., Nevas, S., Sperfeld, P., Egli, L., Porrovecchio, G., and Smid, M.: Traceability of solar UV measurements using the QASUME reference spectroradiometer, *Appl. Optics*, 55, 7265–7275, <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.55.007265>, 2016.
- Jacobson, M.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, *Nature*, 409, 695–697, <https://doi.org/10.1038/35055518>, 2001.
- Jain, P., Barber, Q. E., Taylor, S. W., Whitman, E., Acuna, D. C., Boulanger, Y., Chavardès, R. D., Chen, J., Englefield, P., Flannigan, M., Girardin, M. P., Hanes, C. C., Little, J., Morrison, K., Skakun, R. S., Thompson, D. K., Wang, X., and Parisien, M.-A.: Drivers and Impacts of the Record-Breaking 2023 Wildfire Season in Canada, *Nat. Commun.*, 15, 6764, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-51154-7>, 2024.
- June, N. A., Hodshire, A. L., Wiggins, E. B., Winstead, E. L., Robinson, C. E., Thornhill, K. L., Sanchez, K. J., Moore, R. H., Pagonis, D., Guo, H., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Coggon, M. M., Dean-Day, J. M., Bui, T. P., Peischl, J., Yokelson, R. J., Alvarado, M. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Jathar, S. H., and Pierce, J. R.: Aerosol size distribution changes in FIREX-AQ biomass burning plumes: the impact of plume concentration on coagulation and OA condensation/evaporation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 12803–12825, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-12803-2022>, 2022.
- Karanikolas, A., Kouremeti, N., Gröbner, J., Egli, L., and Kazadzis, S.: Sensitivity of aerosol optical depth trends using long-term measurements of different sun photometers, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 15, 5667–5680, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-5667-2022>, 2022.
- Kaskaoutis, D. G., Grivas, G., Stavroulas, I., Liakakou, E., Dumka, U. C., Gerasopoulos, E., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Effect of aerosol types from various sources at an urban location on spectral curvature of scattering and absorption coefficients, *Atmos. Res.*, 264, 105865, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105865>, 2021.
- Katich, J., Apel, E. C., Bourgeois, I., Brock, C. A., Bui, T. P., Campuzano-Jost, P., Commene, R., Daube, B., Dollner, M., and Schwarz, J. P.: Pyrocumulonimbus affect average stratospheric aerosol composition, *Science*, 379, 815–820, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ada3101>, 2023.
- Kazadzis, S., Kouremeti, N., Diémoz, H., Gröbner, J., Forgan, B. W., Campanelli, M., Estellés, V., Lantz, K., Michalsky, J., Carlund, T., Cuevas, E., Toledano, C., Becker, R., Nyeki, S., Kosmopoulos, P. G., Tatsiankou, V., Vuilleumier, L., Denn, F. M., Ohkawara, N., Ijima, O., Goloub, P., Raptis, P. I., Milner, M., Behrens, K., Barreto, A., Martucci, G., Hall, E., Wendell, J., Fabbri, B. E., and Wehrli, C.: Results from the Fourth WMO Filter Radiometer Comparison for aerosol optical depth measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 3185–3201, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3185-2018>, 2018a.
- Kazadzis, S., Kouremeti, N., Nyeki, S., Gröbner, J., and Wehrli, C.: The World Optical Depth Research and Calibration Center (WORCC) quality assurance and quality control of GAW-PFR AOD measurements, *Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.*, 7, 39–53, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-7-39-2018>, 2018b.
- Lee, J. E., Gorkowski, K., Meyer, A. G., Benedict, K. B., Aiken, A. C., and Dubey, M. K.: Wildfire smoke demonstrates significant and predictable black carbon light absorption enhancements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 49, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022gl099334>, 2022.

- Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Remer, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Patadia, F., and Hsu, N. C.: The Collection 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 2989–3034, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013>, 2013.
- Li, J., Carlson, B. E., and Lacis, A. A.: Using single-scattering albedo spectral curvature to characterize East Asian aerosol mixtures, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 120, 2037–2052, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022433>, 2015.
- Li, L., Che, H., Su, X., Zhang, X., Gui, K., Zheng, Y., Zhao, H., Zhao, H., Liang, Y., Lei, Y., Zhang, L., Zhong, J., Wang, Z., and Zhang, X.: Quantitative Evaluation of Dust and Black Carbon Column Concentration in the MERRA-2 Reanalysis Dataset Using Satellite-Based Component Retrievals, *Remote Sens.*, 15, 388, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15020388>, 2023.
- Liu, J., Cohen, J. B., He, Q., Tiwari, P., and Qin, K.: Accounting for NO_x emissions from biomass burning and urbanization doubles existing inventories over South, Southeast and East Asia, *Commun. Earth Environ.*, 5, 255, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01424-5>, 2024a.
- Liu, J., Cohen, J. B., Tiwari, P., Liu, Z., Yim, S. H.-L., Gupta, P., and Qin, K.: New top-down estimation of daily mass and number column density of black carbon driven by OMI and AERONET observations, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 315, 114436, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2024.114436>, 2024b.
- Liu, Z., Cohen, J. B., Wang, S., Wang, X., Tiwari, P., and Qin, K.: Remotely sensed BC columns over rapidly changing Western China show significant decreases in mass and inconsistent changes in number, size, and mixing properties due to policy actions, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 7, 124, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-024-00663-9>, 2024c.
- Lu, S., Bhattarai, C., Samburova, V., and Khlystov, A.: Particle size distributions of wildfire aerosols in the western USA, *Environ. Sci. Atmos.*, 5, 502–516, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D5EA00007F>, 2025.
- Lund, M. T., Nordling, K., Gjelsvik, A. B., and Samset, B. H.: The influence of variability on fire weather conditions in high latitude regions under present and future global warming, *Environ. Res. Commun.*, 5, 065016, <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/acdfad>, 2023.
- MacCarthy, J., Tyukavina, A., Weisse, M. J., Harris, N., and Glen, E.: Extreme wildfires in Canada and their contribution to global loss in tree cover and carbon emissions in 2023, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 30, e17392, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17392>, 2024.
- Martínez-Lozano, J. A., Utrillas, M. P., Tena, F., and Cachorro, V. E.: The parameterisation of the atmospheric aerosol optical depth using the Ångström power law, *Solar Energy*, 63, 303–311, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X\(98\)00077-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X(98)00077-2), 1998.
- Martucci, G., Navas-Guzmán, F., Renaud, L., Romanens, G., Gamage, S. M., Hervo, M., Jeannet, P., and Haefele, A.: Validation of pure rotational Raman temperature data from the Raman Lidar for Meteorological Observations (RALMO) at Payerne, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 1333–1353, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-1333-2021>, 2021.
- Masoom, A., Fountoulakis, I., Kazadzis, S., Raptis, I.-P., Kampouri, A., Psiloglou, B. E., Kouklaki, D., Papachristopoulou, K., Marinou, E., Solomos, S., Gialitaki, A., Founda, D., Salamalikis, V., Kaskaoutis, D., Kouremeti, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Amiridis, V., Kazantzidis, A., Papayannis, A., Zerefos, C. S., and Eleftheratos, K.: Investigation of the effects of the Greek extreme wildfires of August 2021 on air quality and spectral solar irradiance, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 23, 8487–8514, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-8487-2023>, 2023.
- Müller, T., Henzing, J. S., de Leeuw, G., Wiedensohler, A., Alastuey, A., Angelov, H., Bizjak, M., Collaud Coen, M., Engström, J. E., Gruening, C., Hillamo, R., Hoffer, A., Imre, K., Ivanow, P., Jennings, G., Sun, J. Y., Kalivitis, N., Karlsson, H., Komppula, M., Laj, P., Li, S.-M., Lunder, C., Marinoni, A., Martins dos Santos, S., Moerman, M., Nowak, A., Ogren, J. A., Petzold, A., Pichon, J. M., Rodriguez, S., Sharma, S., Sheridan, P. J., Teinilä, K., Tuch, T., Viana, M., Virkkula, A., Weingartner, E., Wilhelm, R., and Wang, Y. Q.: Characterization and intercomparison of aerosol absorption photometers: result of two intercomparison workshops, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 245–268, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-245-2011>, 2011.
- Nyeki, S., Wehrli, C., Gröbner, J., Kouremeti, N., Wacker, S., Labuschagne, C., Mbatha, N., and Brunke, E.-G.: The GAW-PFR aerosol optical depth network: The 2008–2013 time series at Cape Point Station, South Africa, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 120, 5070–5084, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022954>, 2015.
- Ohneiser, K., Ansmann, A., Witthuhn, J., Deneke, H., Chudnovsky, A., Walter, G., and Senf, F.: Self-lofting of wildfire smoke in the troposphere and stratosphere: simulations and space lidar observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 23, 2901–2925, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-2901-2023>, 2023.
- Park, C. Y., Takahashi, K., Li, F., Takakura, J., Fujimori, S., Hasegawa, T., Ito, A., Lee, D. K., and Thiery, W.: Impact of climate and socioeconomic changes on fire carbon emissions in the future: Sustainable economic development might decrease future emissions, *Glob. Environ. Change*, 80, 102667, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2023.102667>, 2023.
- Penndorf, R.: On the phenomenon of the colored sun, especially the blue sun of September 1950, Air Force Cambridge Research Center (U.S.), Geophysics Research Directorate, Cambridge Massachusetts, Technical Report 20, 2–42, <https://doi.org/10.21236/AD0007493>, 1953.
- Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. and Lewis, S.: Increasing trends in regional heatwaves, *Nat. Commun.*, 11, 3357, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16970-7>, 2020.
- Peterson, D. A., Campbell, J. R., Hyer, E. J., Fromm, M. D., Kablick, G. P., Cossuth, J. H., and DeLand, M. T.: Wildfire-driven thunderstorms cause a volcano-like stratospheric injection of smoke. *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 1, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-018-0039-3>, 2018.
- PMOD/WRC, W. and Kazadzis, S.: GAW-WDCA, 2014–2015, Aerosol_optical_depth at Davos, NILU [data set], <https://doi.org/10.48597/X962-H2CJ>, 2026a.
- PMOD/WRC, W. and Kazadzis, S.: GAW-WDCA, 2016–2019, Aerosol_optical_depth at Davos, NILU [data set], <https://doi.org/10.48597/9PGM-VJZR>, 2026b.
- PMOD/WRC, W., Kouremeti, N., and Kazadzis, S.: GAW-WDCA, 2021–2021, Aerosol_optical_depth at Davos, NILU [data set], <https://doi.org/10.48597/SXCN-DHVA>, 2026c.
- Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., and Jeong, M.-J.: Validation and uncertainty estimates for MODIS Collection 6 “Deep Blue” aerosol data, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 7864–7872, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50600>, 2013.
- Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Lee, J., Kim, W. V., and Dutcher, S. T.: Validation, stability, and consistency of MODIS

- collection 6.1 and VIIRS version 1 Deep Blue aerosol data over land, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 124, 4658–4688, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029598>, 2019.
- Shang, X., Lipponen, A., Filioglou, M., Sundström, A.-M., Parrington, M., Buchard, V., Darmenov, A. S., Welton, E. J., Marinou, E., Amiridis, V., Sicard, M., Rodríguez-Gómez, A., Komppula, M., and Mielonen, T.: Monitoring biomass burning aerosol transport using CALIOP observations and reanalysis models: a Canadian wildfire event in 2019, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 1329–1344, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-1329-2024>, 2024.
- Sicard, M., Granados-Muñoz, M. J., Alados-Arboledas, L., Baragán, R., Bedoya-Velásquez, A. E., Benavent-Oltra, J. A., Bortoli, D., Comerón, A., Córdoba-Jabonero, C., Costa, M. J., del Águila, A., Fernández, A. J., Guerrero-Rascado, J. L., Jorba, O., Molero, F., Muñoz-Porcar, C., Ortiz-Amezcu, P., Papagiannopoulos, N., Potes, M., Pujadas, M., Rocadenbosch, F., Rodríguez-Gómez, A., Román, R., Salgado, R., Salgueiro, V., Sola, Y., and Yela, M.: Ground/space, passive/active remote sensing observations coupled with particle dispersion modelling to understand the inter-continental transport of wildfire smoke plumes, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 232, 111294, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111294>, 2019.
- Sinyuk, A., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Giles, D. M., Slutsker, I., Korkin, S., Schafer, J. S., Smirnov, A., Sorokin, M., and Lyapustin, A.: The AERONET Version 3 aerosol retrieval algorithm, associated uncertainties and comparisons to Version 2, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 3375–3411, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3375-2020>, 2020.
- Slusser, J., Gibson, J., Bigelow, D., Kolinski, D., Disterhoft, P., Lantz, K., and Beaubien, A.: Langley method of calibrating UV filter radiometers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 4841–4849, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900451>, 2000.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96, 2059–2077, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1>, 2015.
- Tian, P., Yu, Z., Cui, C., Huang, J., Kang, C., Shi, J., Cao, X., and Zhang, L.: Atmospheric aerosol size distribution impacts radiative effects over the Himalayas via modulating aerosol single-scattering albedo, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 6, 54, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00368-5>, 2023.
- Tiwari, P., Cohen, J. B., Wang, X., and Qin, K.: Radiative forcing bias calculation based on COSMO (Core-Shell Mie model Optimization) and AERONET data, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 6, 193, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00520-1>, 2023.
- Toledano, C., González, R., Fuertes, D., Cuevas, E., Eck, T. F., Kazadzis, S., Kouremeti, N., Gröbner, J., Goloub, P., Blarel, L., Román, R., Barreto, Á., Berjón, A., Holben, B. N., and Cachorro, V. E.: Assessment of Sun photometer Langley calibration at the high-elevation sites Mauna Loa and Izaña, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 14555–14567, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14555-2018>, 2018.
- UN: United Nations Environment Programme: Spreading like Wildfire – The Rising Threat of Extraordinary Landscape Fires, edited by: Sullivan, A., Baker, E., and Kurvits, T., UN, <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/38372> (last access: 18 July 2026), 2022.
- Walker, X. J., Rogers, B. M., Veraverbeke, S., Johnstone, J. F., Baltzer, J. L., Barrett, K., Bourgeau-Chavez, L., Day, N. J., de Groot, W. J., Dieleman, C. M., Goetz, S., Hoy, E., Jenkins, L. K., Kane, E. S., Parisien, M. A., Potter, S., Schuur, E. A. G., Turetsky, M., Whitman, E., and Mack, M. C.: Fuel availability not fire weather controls boreal wildfire severity and carbon emissions, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 10, 1130–1136, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00920-8>, 2020.
- Wang, Z., Wang, Z., Zou, Z., Chen, X., Wu, H., Wang, W., Su, H., Li, F., Xu, W., Liu, Z., and Zhu, J.: Severe Global Environmental Issues Caused by Canada's Record-Breaking Wildfires in 2023, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 41, 565–571, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-3241-0>, 2024.
- Weilhammer, V., Schmid, J., Mittermeier, I., Schreiber, F., Jiang, L., Pastuhovic, V., Herr, C., and Heinze, S.: Extreme weather events in Europe and their health consequences – A systematic review, *I. J. Hyg. Envir. Heal.*, 233, 113688, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113688>, 2021.
- Wehrli, C.: Calibrations of filter radiometers for determination of atmospheric optical depth, *Metrologia*, 37, 419–422, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/37/5/16>, 2000.
- Wehrli, C.: GAWPFR: a network of aerosol optical depth observations with Precision filter radiometers, in: WMO/GAW Experts Workshop on a Global Surface Based Network for Long Term Observations of Column Aerosol Optical Properties Technical Report, GAW Report No. 162, WMO TD No. 1287, 2005.
- Wehrli, C.: GAW-WDCA, 2004–2004, *Aerosol_optical_depth* at Davos, NILU [data set], <https://doi.org/10.48597/E5WB-NXRG>, 2026.
- Wei, J., Li, Z., Sun, L., Peng, Y., and Wang, L.: Improved merge schemes for MODIS Collection 6.1 Dark Target and Deep Blue combined aerosol products, *Atmos. Environ.*, 202, 315–327, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.016>, 2019.
- Whitman, E., Acuna, D. C., Boulanger, Y., Chavardès, R. D., Chen, J., Englefield, P., Flannigan, M., Girardin, M. P., Hanes, C. C., Little, J., Morrison, K., Skakun, R. S., Thompson, D. K., Wang X., and Parisien, M.-A.: Drivers and Impacts of the Record-Breaking 2023 Wildfire Season in Canada, *Nat. Commun.*, 15, 6764, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-51154-7>, 2024.
- Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., Nowak, A., Sonntag, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Wehner, B., Tuch, T., Pfeifer, S., Fiebig, M., Fjåraa, A. M., Asmi, E., Sellegri, K., Depuy, R., Venzac, H., Villani, P., Laj, P., Aalto, P., Ogren, J. A., Swietlicki, E., Williams, P., Roldin, P., Quincey, P., Hüglin, C., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Riccobono, F., Santos, S., Grünig, C., Faloon, K., Beddows, D., Harrison, R., Monahan, C., Jennings, S. G., O'Dowd, C. D., Marinoni, A., Horn, H.-G., Keck, L., Jiang, J., Scheckman, J., McMurry, P. H., Deng, Z., Zhao, C. S., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Löschau, G., and Bastian, S.: Mobility particle size spectrometers: harmonization of technical standards and data structure to facilitate high quality long-term observations of atmospheric particle number size distributions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 657–685, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-657-2012>, 2012.
- Wiegner, M. and Geiß, A.: Aerosol profiling with the Jenoptik ceilometer CHM15kx, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 1953–1964, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-1953-2012>, 2012.

- Wilson, R.: The blue sun of 1950 September, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 111, 478–489, <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/111.5.478>, 1951.
- Wullenweber, N., Lange, A., Rozanov, A., and von Savigny, C.: On the phenomenon of the blue sun, *Clim. Past*, 17, 969–983, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-969-2021>, 2021.
- Xun, L., Lu, H., Qian, C., Zhang, Y., Lyu, S., and Li, X.: Analysis of Aerosol Optical Depth from Sun Photometer at Shouxian, China, *Atmosphere*, 12, 1226, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12091226>, 2021.
- Yus-Díez, J., Bernardoni, V., Močnik, G., Alastuey, A., Ciniglia, D., Ivančič, M., Querol, X., Perez, N., Reche, C., Rigler, M., Vecchi, R., Valentini, S., and Pandolfi, M.: Determination of the multiple-scattering correction factor and its cross-sensitivity to scattering and wavelength dependence for different AE33 Aethalometer filter tapes: a multi-instrumental approach, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 6335–6355, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-6335-2021>, 2021.
- Zhang, S., Solomon, S., Boone, C. D., and Taha, G.: Investigating the vertical extent of the 2023 summer Canadian wildfire impacts with satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 11727–11736, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-11727-2024>, 2024.
- Zheng, G., Sedlacek, A. J., Aiken, A. C., Feng, Y., Watson, T. B., Raveh-Rubin, S., Uin, J., Lewis, E. R., and Wang, J.: Long-range transported North American wildfire aerosols observed in marine boundary layer of eastern North Atlantic, *Environ. Int.*, 139, 105680, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105680>, 2020.
- Zuber, R., Ribnitzky, M., Tobar, M., Lange, K., Kutscher, D., Schrempf, M., Niedzwiedz, A., and Seckmeyer, G.: Global spectral irradiance array spectroradiometer validation according to WMO, *Meas. Sci. Technol.*, 29, 105801, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/aada34>, 2018a.
- Zuber, R., Sperfeld, P., Riechelmann, S., Nevas, S., Sildoja, M., and Seckmeyer, G.: Adaption of an array spectroradiometer for total ozone column retrieval using direct solar irradiance measurements in the UV spectral range, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 2477–2484, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2477-2018>, 2018b.