



## Supplement of

## Partitioning of ionic surfactants in aerosol droplets containing glutaric acid, sodium chloride, or sea salts

Alison Bain et al.

Correspondence to: Alison Bain (alison.bain@oregonstate.edu) and Bryan R. Bzdek (b.bzdek@bristol.ac.uk)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.



Figure S1: Physical properties of sea spray mimic solutions. (a) Measured density of aqueous sea spray solutions. Total salt concentration on the x-axis is the total moles of salt in sea spray per litre of solution. (b) Measured refractive index at 589 nm of aqueous sea spray solutions. (c) E-AIM prediction (Dutcher et al., 2010; E-AIM online model) for surface tension of aqueous sea spray solutions. Fit parameters are in Table S1. Total salt concentration on the x-axis of panel (a) and y-axis of panel (b) is the total moles of salt in sea spray per litre of solution.



20 Figure S2: Macroscopic surface tension data (black points) for SDS and CTAB surfactants with glutaric acid, NaCl, and sea spray cosolutes with parameterizations using Table 1 parameters (red lines) and Monolayer Model predictions for 100 µm (blue lines) and 100 cm (orange lines) radius droplets. In panel (a), for SDS + GA, the 100 µm and 100 cm predictions are identical. In panels (d) and (f), strong bulk depletion is predicted by the Monolayer Model for droplet radii of 100 µm, but as the droplet radius is further increased to 100 cm, bulk depletion becomes minimal and the Monolayer Model predictions agree well with the macroscopic data and the Langmuir parameterization. Note the 25 different x- and y-axis ranges are selected to best show the experimental data and difference in model lines for each system, which have

CMCs spanning nearly two orders of magnitude and cover different ranges in surface tension depending on the cosolute.



Figure S3: Macroscopic surface tension data for (a) SDS and (b) CTAB in binary aqueous solution (blue circles) and in complex mixtures with glutaric acid (red triangles), NaCl (orange diamonds), and sea spray mimic (green squares).



Figure S4: Normalized surfactant effective bulk concentration as a function of the total possible mass lost to the interface for ionic surfactantcosolute systems for experimentally-measured radii and total surfactant concentrations. The black horizonal line indicates the effective bulk concentration is equal to the bulk concentration (i.e. no bulk depletion is predicted by the Simple Kinetic Model).



35 Figure S5: SDS and sea spray experimental data (grey points) and Simple Kinetic Model Predictions for 6 μm (solid line) and 10 μm (dashed line) radius droplet. The model output has not been constrained by the minimum surface tension determined from macroscopic measurements.

Table S1: Parameterizations for aqueous sea spray.

	Parameterizations for sea spray cosolute	R <sup>2</sup>	
Total salt concentration (M)	02 02DI 110 E4	0.0005	
(RI = refractive index at 589 nm)	02.92NI - 110.34	0.9993	
Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$0.0021^2 + 0.0511 + 0.0000$	0.0000	
(x = total salt concentration, M)	$0.0031x^2 + 0.0511x + 0.9986$	0.9999	
Surface tension (mN m <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.5(^2 + 107.24 + 71.00)$	1 0000	
$(x_{salt} = total salt mole fraction)$	$9.56x_{salt}^2 + 107.24x_{salt}^2 + 71.99$	1.0000	

40

45 **Table S2:** Physical parameters for solutes and surfactants used in this study.

	Density	Surface tension (mN m <sup>-1</sup> )		Molecular mass	
	(g cm <sup>-3</sup> )			(g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
NaCl <sup>a</sup>	1.9772	169.45		58.4428	
Glutaric acid <sup>b</sup>	1.4149	37.26		132.115	
Sea spray <sup>c</sup>	1.2116	188.79		69.66	
Water	0.9983 <sup>d</sup>	72.0		18.0153	
		Glutaric	NaCl	Sea	
		acid		spray	spray
SDS	0.9985 <sup>e</sup>	41.1 <sup>f</sup>	31.3 <sup>f</sup>	30.4 <sup>f</sup>	288.372
CTAB	0.9996 <sup>e</sup>	41.9 <sup>f</sup>	$36.8^{\mathrm{f}}$	$35.2^{\mathrm{f}}$	364.45

<sup>a</sup> Janz (1980)

<sup>b</sup> Gaman et al. (2004)

<sup>c</sup> Dutcher et al. (2010) & E-AIM online model

<sup>d</sup> Wolk and Strey (2001)

50 <sup>e</sup> measured for a binary aqueous surfactant solution with surfactant concentration greater than the critical micelle concentration. <sup>f</sup> determined from the surface tension plateau in macroscopic surface tension measurements.

55

## References

Dutcher, C. S., Wexler, A. S., and Clegg, S. L.: Surface tensions of inorganic multicomponent aqueous electrolyte solutions and melts, J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 12216–12230, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp105191z, 2010.

- 60 E-AIM online model, Extended AIM thermodynamic model: Surface tension of aqueous solutions: http://www.aim.env.uea.ac.uk/aim/surftens/surftens.php Last Accessed: 07/06/2023
   Gaman, A. I., Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Napari, I., Mircea, M., Facchini, M. C., and Laaksonen, A.: Binary homogeneous nucleation in water-succinic acid and water-glutaric acid systems, J. Chem. Phys., 120, 282–291, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1630564, 2004.
- Janz, G. J.: Molten salts data as reference standards for density, surface tension, viscosity, and electrical conductance: KNO3 and NaCl, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 9, 791–830, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555634, 1980.
  Wolk, J. and Strey, R.: Homogeneous nucleation of H2O and D2O in comparison: The isotope effect, J. Phys. Chem. B, 105, 11683–11701, https://doi.org/10.1139/p63-027, 2001.