Atmos. Chem. Phys., 25, 3413–3423, 2025 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-25-3413-2025 © Author(s) 2025. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





# Co-variability drives the inverted-V sensitivity between liquid water path and droplet concentrations

Tom Goren<sup>1</sup>, Goutam Choudhury<sup>1</sup>, Jan Kretzschmar<sup>2</sup>, and Isabel McCoy<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environment, Planning and Sustainability, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, Israel <sup>2</sup>Institute for Meteorology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany <sup>3</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>4</sup>NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA

Correspondence: Tom Goren (tom.goren@biu.ac.il)

Received: 17 July 2024 – Discussion started: 22 August 2024 Revised: 21 January 2025 – Accepted: 22 January 2025 – Published: 21 March 2025

**Abstract.** Climatological data of the liquid water path (LWP) and droplet concentration ( $N_d$ ) often reveal an inverted-V relationship, where LWP increases and then decreases as  $N_d$  increases. Our findings show that while this LWP response to an increase in  $N_d$  aligns with proposed causal mechanisms, such as entrainment evaporation feedback and precipitation suppression, the inverted-V pattern is primarily driven by the co-variability between LWP and  $N_d$ . This co-variability arises from (1) large-scale meteorology, which controls both LWP and  $N_d$ , causing them to vary in opposite directions simultaneously, and (2) microphysical processes, where an increase in LWP is typically accompanied by a decrease in  $N_d$ . Consequently, we suggest that the inverted-V sensitivity should not be used as evidence for positive radiative forcing through LWP adjustments to aerosols as it is largely explained by co-variability. We further demonstrate that the inverted-V relationship essentially reflects the climatological evolution of Stratocumulus clouds (Sc). Therefore, background anthropogenic changes in  $N_d$  should, in principle, be reflected in the redistribution of occurrences across the inverted V while maintaining its shape.

## 1 Introduction

Aerosol-cloud interactions are the greatest source of uncertainty in estimates of anthropogenic perturbations to the Earth's energy budget (Forster et al., 2021; Boucher et al., 2013). Increases in atmospheric aerosols change cloud droplet number concentrations ( $N_d$ ), which in turn can change the cloud properties such as liquid water path (LWP) and cloud cover. These changes, known as "cloud adjustments" to aerosol perturbations (Albrecht, 1989), can lead to a significant cloud radiative forcing. However, the magnitude and even the sign of this forcing are uncertain (Bellouin et al., 2020; Forster et al., 2021). Of great interest are the LWP cloud adjustments to  $N_d$ , which have been shown to be positive, negative, or exhibit a weak variable response (Fons et al., 2023; Glassmeier et al., 2021; Gryspeerdt et al., 2019; Manshausen et al., 2023; Toll et al., 2019).

Several studies have shown consistent evidence of an inverted-V sensitivity of LWP to increases in  $N_d$  (Arola et al., 2022; Glassmeier et al., 2021; Gryspeerdt et al., 2019; Mülmenstädt et al., 2024). The inverted V emerges from joint histograms of  $N_d$  and LWP in the log-log space in which each column is normalized so that it sums to 1 (see Fig. 1a, which shows a 2D bin-averaged plot based on the joint histogram presented in Fig. S1 in the Supplement). It indicates two opposite sensitivity regimes in the relationship between LWP and  $N_d$ : positive for precipitating clouds and negative for non-precipitating clouds. The negative sensitivity, which dominates in most observed clouds, was an important line of evidence in Chap. 7 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Sixth Assessment Report, supporting the conclusion of positive radiative forcing associated with decreased LWP (Forster et al., 2021).

The two sensitivity regimes align with the microphysical understanding of clouds' responses to aerosol perturbations. In precipitating clouds, an increase in aerosols leads to smaller droplets, which limit the efficiency of collisioncoalescence and thus suppress precipitation (Albrecht, 1989; Koren et al., 2014; Rosenfeld, 2000). As a result, more cloud water is retained in the cloud, and LWP increases. In non-precipitating clouds, smaller droplets associated with higher aerosol levels lead to a sedimentation-entrainment feedback, suppressing droplet sedimentation and enhancing radiative cooling at the cloud tops (Ackerman et al., 2004; Bretherton et al., 2007b). Additionally, they contribute to an evaporation-entrainment feedback, where smaller droplets experience faster evaporation timescales (Wang et al., 2003; Xue and Feingold, 2006). Both processes lead to a decrease in LWP by enhancing the entrainment of dry air from the free troposphere into the cloud layer.

These physical processes explaining the observed inverted-V sensitivity offer a convincing understanding of the causal mechanisms involved. However, alternative interpretations remain possible. An increasing number of recent studies suggest that the inverted V is influenced by co-variations between LWP and Nd or satellite retrieval biases (Arola et al., 2022; Fons et al., 2023; Glassmeier et al., 2021; Kokkola et al., 2024; Mülmenstädt et al., 2024). For instance, Fons et al. (2023) developed a methodology to remove confounding influences from large-scale meteorology and showed the importance of accounting for the co-variability between cloud depth and droplet size. This is in line with George and Wood (2010), who did a comprehensive study of the Stratocumulus clouds (Sc) in the Southeast Pacific (SEP) Ocean. They showed that the influence of continental aerosols on the Sc is associated with synoptic conditions that favour a shallower marine boundary layer (MBL), which caps the cloud top heights and thus limits the LWP, resulting in a negative correlation between LWP and  $N_{\rm d}$ . Their study demonstrates how meteorology could complicate the interpretation of such correlations as being due to cloud response to aerosols. Similar insights come from Mülmenstädt et al. (2024), who used global climate models (GCMs) and found that the MBL depth and  $N_{\rm d}$  in the Southeast Pacific (SEP) Ocean are anticorrelated, which might explain the negative sensitivity regime of the inverted V. Nevertheless, the response of LWP to increases in  $N_{\rm d}$  is consistent with physical process understanding from theory and model simulations (Ackerman et al., 2004; Albrecht, 1989; Bretherton et al., 2007b; Koren et al., 2014; Rosenfeld, 2000). Here, we address this ambiguity.

## 2 Methods

We selected the major Sc regions of the Southeast Pacific, Northeast Pacific, Northeast Atlantic, Southeast Atlantic, and western Australia (see Table 1 for region limits). We fo-

**Table 1.** Latitude and longitude boundaries of the analysed oceanic regions. SEP: Southeast Pacific, NEP: Northeast Pacific, SEA: Southeast Atlantic, NA: North Atlantic, WAU: West Australia, SO: Southern Ocean. A map showing the geographical bounds of these regions is provided in Fig. S7.

Region	Longitude	Latitude
SEP	70–110° W	10-35° S
SEP-Coastal	75–85° W	10–35° S
SEP-Remote	140–150° W	15-30° S
NEP	120-140° W	25–40° N
SEA	20° W-12° E	$0^{\circ}$ N-25° S
NA	8-30° W	33–50° N
WAU	80–115° E	25–40° S
SO	120–170° W	50–60° S

cus on marine low-level clouds in these Sc regions because they contribute significantly to the uncertainties in cloud– radiation interactions and to the differences between models and observations (Christensen et al., 2022; Gryspeerdt et al., 2022; Neubauer et al., 2014).

We used instantaneous satellite observations of microphysical cloud properties from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instrument (Platnick et al., 2017), with a nadir resolution of 1 km by 1 km. The parameters used in this study include the corrected reflectance at 0.64  $\mu$ m (*R*), cloud cover, cloud optical thickness ( $\tau_c$ ), liquid water path (LWP), and cloud effective radius ( $r_e$ ).  $N_d$  was calculated from  $r_e$  and  $\tau_c$  following Grosvenor et al. (2018). We filter the MODIS scenes to include only single-layered liquid-phase clouds based on the MODIS cloud multi-layer flag and the MODIS cloud-phase metric. We exclude pixels with sensor angles > 55° and solar zenith angles > 65° as those were shown to have retrieval-related uncertainties (Grosvenor et al., 2018). The filtered in-cloud properties were gridded into a uniform latitude and longitude grid of 1° by 1°.

We define optically thin clouds as pixels that have a  $\tau_c \leq 3$ , as shown by O et al. (2018a) and McCoy et al. (2023). However, relying on valid  $\tau_c$  retrievals alone might underestimate the optically thin cloud fraction because of failed  $\tau_c$  retrievals (Cho et al., 2015). To overcome this, we follow the approach of Choudhury and Goren (2024), in which the observed relationship between *R* and successfully retrieved  $\tau_c$  is used to classify cloudy pixels with a failed  $\tau_c$  retrieval as optically thin (for more details please refer to Choudhury and Goren, 2024).

ERA5 hourly data at a uniform latitude–longitude resolution of  $0.25^{\circ}$  by  $0.25^{\circ}$  (Hersbach et al., 2020) was used for the sea surface temperature (SST) and the temperature at 800 hPa. We use these properties to calculate the marine cold-air outbreak parameter (*M*) (Fletcher et al., 2016; Kolstad et al., 2009), a measure of lower tropospheric stability

defined as

$$\mathbf{M} = \theta_{\rm SST} - \theta_{\rm 800},\tag{1}$$

where  $\theta_{\text{SST}}$  and  $\theta_{800}$  represent the potential temperatures at the surface and 800 hPa, respectively. Note that the surface refers to the sea surface and not the near-surface layer in the atmosphere. *M* was found to be strongly correlated with the MBL depth (McCoy et al., 2023; Naud et al., 2018, 2020), which is the motivation for using it in our study.

The Sc regime identifications are developed by applying the supervised neural network algorithm designed in Wood and Hartmann (2006) to MODIS LWP data. The algorithm uses the power density function and power spectrum of LWP to determine whether swath sub-scenes of  $256 \text{ km} \times 256 \text{ km}$ fall into one of three categories: open cells, closed cells, and remaining low clouds as cellular but disorganized. Sub-scene classifications are then re-gridded onto a 1° by 1° grid (Eastman et al., 2024). The climatological occurrence of each type of regime is accumulated in each grid point, from which the red–green–blue (RGB) composites are derived. A gamma correction of 1.2 was applied to the red (closed cells) and green (open cells) composites to adjust the brightness of the image and improve its visual representation.

## **3 Results**

Figure 1a shows the inverted-V pattern for the SEP Sc region, derived using a year of MODIS observations of LWP and  $N_d$ . The mean longitude is shown in colour and reveals a robust geographical dependence: low LWP and high  $N_d$  near the coastal regions (longitude 75–85° W, cool colours) and low  $N_d$  and high LWP in the remote oceanic areas (longitude 85–100° W, warm colours). This geographical co-variability can also be seen in the annual climatology of LWP and  $N_d$  of the SEP (Fig. 1b and c, respectively). Similar geographical co-variability is manifested in the inverted V across all other Sc regions (see Fig. S2). Throughout the paper, we selected the SEP as a representative region since this region hosts one of the most persistent Sc decks, on which many studies have focused in the past (Wood, 2012).

#### 3.1 The negative sensitivity regime of the inverted V

The negative sensitivity regime of LWP with  $N_d$  is seen for  $N_d$  values greater than 30 cm<sup>-3</sup>. Observations of the Sc regions indicate that the MBL tends to be shallower near coastal regions and to deepen westward as sea surface temperature (SST) increases (Eastman et al., 2017; Sandu et al., 2010; Wood, 2012; Wyant et al., 1997). Retrieving MBL depth from observations or reanalysis is challenging and subject to uncertainties (Eastman et al., 2017). We therefore follow previous studies and use a proxy for MBL depth, *M*, defined as the difference between the potential temperatures at the 800 hPa level and at the sea surface (McCoy et al., 2023;



**Figure 1.** Inverted-V and spatial variability in LWP and  $N_d$  in the Southeast Pacific Ocean. (a) Bin-averaged longitude in  $N_d$ -LWP space. The inverted V emerges from column-normalized joint histograms of  $N_d$  and LWP (Fig. S1). The black curves bound the bins with at least 10% of the column-normalized observations. The diagonal line represents an effective radius of 15 µm (assuming adiabatic clouds), serving as an approximate indicator of precipitation, with precipitating clouds located to the left of the line. Panels (b) and (c) show the annual mean LWP and  $N_d$  over the SEP Ocean, respectively, with contours of annual mean cloud cover. The vertical dashed arrows indicate the meridians.

Naud et al., 2018, 2020) (See Sect. 2). Figure 2a shows the mean M for each bin in the 2D joint histogram of LWP– $N_d$ , revealing a clear gradient from right to left: low M (shallow MBL) is located on the right-hand side, while high M (deep MBL) is on the left-hand side. The MBL depth caps the cloud top height and thereby controls the vertical development of the clouds and their LWP, which is the vertically integrated cloud water. In accordance, lower (higher) LWP is associated with shallower (deeper) MBLs, as shown in Fig. 2a. Recalling Fig. 1a, shallow MBLs are prominent in the eastern SEP near the coastal regions, whereas deep MBLs are predominant in the western SEP in the remote oceanic regions. The



**Figure 2.** Bin-averaged meteorological and cloud properties in  $N_d$ -LWP space. (a) M, a proxy for MBL depth where a more negative M indicates a shallower MBL, while a less negative M indicates a deeper MBL. (b)  $r_e$ . (c) RGB composite of Sc cloud regime, where closed cells modulate the red, open cells modulate the green, and blue is modulated by other types of disorganized marine clouds. (d) Fraction of optically thin clouds (defined as cloud optical thickness smaller than 3). The black curves bound the bins with at least 10% of the column-normalized observations. The diagonal line represents an effective radius of 15 µm (assuming adiabatic clouds), serving as an approximate indicator of precipitation, with precipitating clouds located to the left of the line.

right-to-left gradient in M therefore corresponds to an east to west gradient of MBL depth, which governs the climatological LWP. Similar results were recently shown by Mülmenstädt et al. (2024) in GCM simulations of the same region.

Comparing Figs. 1a and 2a also reveals a pronounced eastwest gradient in  $N_d$ . The higher  $N_d$  levels in the eastern SEP are attributed to the proximity of clouds to continental aerosol sources, i.e. South America. In the SEP, persistent southeasterly winds transport the high- $N_d$  clouds from the coastal regions towards the remote oceanic areas downwind, where the MBL is deeper, leading to an increase in LWP (George and Wood, 2010; Sandu et al., 2010; Wood, 2012). During advection, the clouds undergo a cleansing process through collision coalescence and droplet scavenging, leading to a decrease in  $N_d$  (Christensen et al., 2020; George and Wood, 2010; Goren and Rosenfeld, 2015; Goren et al., 2019, 2022; Rosenfeld et al., 2006; Yamaguchi et al., 2015). The concurrent opposing changes in LWP and  $N_d$  can therefore also be explained by microphysical processes, which would naturally lead to a negative relationship between LWP and  $N_d$  (Gryspeerdt et al., 2022). Following this, the negative relationship between LWP and  $N_d$  should emerge in any approach that samples clouds throughout their temporal development, where clouds deepen over time and enhance the reduction in  $N_{\rm d}$  via collision–coalescence process. Aerosols entraining from the free troposphere or originating from the ocean can influence the rate of decrease in  $N_d$  (Wang et al., 2010; McCoy et al., 2024), and their climatology is assumed to be included in the climatological means presented here.

### 3.1.1 Temporal versus spatial cloud evolution

A measure for cloud droplet size is the cloud effective radius ( $r_e$ ). As clouds grow vertically, their cloud top  $r_e$  increases accordingly (Freud and Rosenfeld, 2012; Gerber, 1996; Goren et al., 2019). Figure 2b shows the mean cloud top  $r_{\rm e}$  within each bin of the joint histogram, where the  $r_{\rm e}$  can be seen to increase along the negative slope of the inverted V. Also note that the  $r_e$  is approximately perpendicular to the  $r_{\rm e} = 15 \,\mu{\rm m}$  line. If LWP is increasing due to an increase in MBL depth (Fig. 2a), the increase in  $r_e$  can be attributed to the deepening of the clouds along their advection westward into the deeper MBL (Fig. S4b shows  $r_e$  versus M, where the consistent increase in  $r_e$  with a less negative M can be better seen). It is worth noting that the relationship between  $r_e$  and LWP is also expected from the functional dependence of  $\tau_{\rm c}$ and  $r_e$  on LWP and  $N_d$  for a homogeneous warm cloud layer (Wood, 2006).

Sandu et al. (2010) showed that the persistent winds in the Sc regions allow for a time and space equivalence assumption. This assumption was applied in Goren et al. (2022) to study the effect of aerosols on cloud cover and can be similarly employed here. Following these ideas, the climatological increase in LWP from east to west is in accordance with the simultaneous increase in cloud top  $r_e$  as if a single cloud is developing vertically over time. This time-space equivalence of cloud development was applied by Gryspeerdt et al. (2021) to study the temporal evolution of ship tracks from instantaneous satellite observations and by Rosenfeld and Lensky (1998) to examine the vertical profile of  $r_e$  in convective cloud fields from instantaneous satellite observations, which was found to be reliable based on large-eddy simulations (LESs) of Zhang et al. (2011). The above suggests that the negative sensitivity regime of the inverted V also reflects the clouds' temporal development across the Sc region, which is comparable to the clouds' longitudinal (i.e. spatial) evolution.

#### 3.1.2 Sc regimes across the inverted V

The Sc evolution is also evident in the dominant Sc cloud regimes across the inverted V. Figure 2c shows that closed cells are most frequent where LWP is low and  $N_d$  is high (red colours), transitioning into open cells where LWP becomes larger and  $N_d$  becomes lower (green colours). This aligns with the high occurrence of closed cells near coastal regions and the increasing occurrence of open cells and other broken cloud regimes westward towards the remote oceans (Eastman et al., 2021, 2022; McCoy et al., 2023; Muhlbauer et al., 2014). Furthermore, closed cells were shown in numerous studies to exist in high- $N_d$  conditions and to break

up when  $N_d$  decreases sufficiently to initiate precipitation (Goren et al., 2019; Goren and Rosenfeld, 2014; Rosenfeld et al., 2006; Wang and Feingold, 2009), typically occurring at  $r_e = 15 \,\mu\text{m}$  (Freud and Rosenfeld, 2012; Gerber, 1996; Goren et al., 2019; Rosenfeld et al., 2012). This is consistent with the  $r_e$  shown in Fig. 2b and the lines marking the  $r_e = 15 \,\mu\text{m}$  in Fig. 2. It implies once again that the negative sensitivity regime of the inverted V depicts the Sc evolution across the region.

#### 3.1.3 Local co-variability between air masses

The inverted V is also found in spatially limited areas near the coastal regions, where the full climatology of the Sc evolution across the entire region cannot be captured (Fig. 3a and b). In these areas, the inverted V emerges due to local temporal variability in air masses. Although less frequent, clean air masses with deeper MBLs (low M, Fig. 3a) can extend to the coastal regions, resulting in anomalously deeper MBLs (and thus higher LWP), while shallow MBLs (and thus lower LWP) dominate on most days. Since Malso characterizes air masses originating in the polar areas (Naud et al., 2018), the polar maritime air might be relatively cleaner due to its origin and/or due to precipitation scavenging and thus have a lower  $N_d$  despite being near the coastal region.

In contrast, the inverted-V pattern, particularly its negative regime, is much less pronounced or even nonexistent in spatially limited areas of the remote oceans (see Southern Ocean example; Fig. 3c and d). This is primarily due to the lack of co-occurrence between high  $N_d$  and low LWP (Fig. S1c and d). In distant Sc regions downwind of the continents, the occurrence of scenes with low LWP and high  $N_d$  is significantly lower (Fig. S5) and the negative sensitivity of LWP with respect to  $N_d$  diminishes accordingly. This suggests that the negative sensitivity observed in the Sc regions is not necessarily a causal response of LWP to  $N_d$  but rather reflects differences in meteorological conditions. Accordingly, these findings explain the weak and positive LWP– $N_d$  sensitivities that dominate observations in remote oceanic regions (Gryspeerdt et al., 2019).

## 3.2 The positive sensitivity regime of the inverted V

The positive sensitivity of LWP to increases in  $N_d$  is shown in Fig. 2 to the left of the  $r_e = 15 \,\mu\text{m}$  line (where  $r_e > 15 \,\mu\text{m}$ ). Because  $r_e = 15 \,\mu\text{m}$  was shown to be associated with the onset of precipitation (Freud and Rosenfeld, 2012; Gerber, 1996; Goren et al., 2019; Rosenfeld et al., 2012), the clouds in the positive sensitivity regime are considered to be precipitating. A common explanation of the positive response of LWP with increasing  $N_d$  is precipitation suppression. Precipitation suppression is the process where an increase in aerosols leads to an increase in  $N_d$  accompanied by a decrease in droplet size, which in turn slows down collision–

Eastern South East Pacific (10-35°S, 70-110°W)



**Figure 3.** Mean *M* and cloud regimes in  $N_d$ –LWP space for a small domain near the SEP coastal region (**a** and **b**) and for the remote Southern Ocean (**c** and **d**). Refer to Table 1 for the boundaries of the regions. Panels (**a**) and (**c**) show the bin mean *M*, a proxy for MBL depth where a more negative *M* indicates a shallower MBL, while a less negative *M* indicates a deeper MBL. Panels (**b**) and (**d**) show RGB composites of Sc cloud regime, where closed cells modulate the red, open cells modulate the green, and blue is modulated by other types of disorganized marine clouds.

coalescence processes and inhibits precipitation formation (Albrecht, 1989; Koren et al., 2014), resulting in an increase in LWP.

Figure 2a shows that the clouds in the positive sensitivity regime correspond to low M (i.e. a deep MBL). Deep MBLs in the SEP are most common in the western part of the region in accordance with our analysis shown in Fig. 1 and previous studies (Eastman et al., 2017). However, despite existing in a deep MBL, it can be seen in Fig. 2d that the optically thin cloud fraction, defined as clouds having a cloud optical thickness smaller than 3 (see Sect. 2), becomes larger for a less negative M (deeper MBL) and lower  $N_d$ . This contradicts intuition so far, since one would expect deeper clouds in deeper MBLs. This contradiction is resolved when we consider the Sc regime evolution, as will be shown next.

Optically thin and ultra-clean clouds have been found to exist at the top of deep MBLs (O et al., 2018a; Wood et al., 2018) as a result of lateral diverging outflows from active updrafts in precipitating convective elements. Due to coalescence scavenging in the precipitating updrafts, the cloud top outflows have low  $N_d$  on the order of a few tens per cm<sup>3</sup> (Choudhury and Goren, 2024; O et al., 2018a; Wood et al., 2018). The latter studies have also shown that optically thin layers are most frequent in the eastern part of the SEP in agreement with our observations (Figs. 1a and 2d). Therefore, the higher occurrence of the optically thin layers in these regions is due to the deeper MBL which allows

for deeper clouds and thus precipitation, characterized by a higher occurrence of open cells and other types of disorganized convection (Goren et al., 2023; O et al., 2018b; McCoy et al., 2023; Muhlbauer et al., 2014; Possner et al., 2020).

Figure 2c shows, accordingly, the dominance of open cells at the top of the inverted V, where active updrafts exist and form well-defined open-cell structures. Open cells, as well as other disorganized shallow convection regimes, dissipate eventually, leaving behind remnants of optically thin clouds with low  $N_d$  (Choudhury and Goren, 2024; O et al., 2018a; Wood et al., 2018). This helps to explain the increase in optically thin clouds towards lower  $N_d$  and lower LWP, suggesting that the positive LWP- $N_d$  sensitivity regime is in fact a continuation of the Sc regime evolution, decaying after the precipitating cores become inactive. Such clouds are in their dissipation stage, not in their developing stage, and therefore the precipitation suppression mechanism cannot be applied to them. It should be noted that the inverted V also emerges when restricting the scenes to cloud cover greater than 80 % (see Fig. S6), thereby reassuring that the sensitivities are not due to cloud microphysical retrieval biases in the broken cloud regimes (Cho et al., 2015; Painemal and Zuidema, 2011).

## 3.3 Synoptic co-variability between LWP and N<sub>d</sub>

Sc typically form near the coasts and undergo a cleansing process as they are advected westward into the remote oceans. We therefore divide the data into days with high and low  $N_d$  at the coastal regions (defined as  $N_d \ge 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and  $N_d < 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively, in longitudes  $< 85^{\circ}$  W), assuming that near the coasts the initial  $N_d$  of the clouds is determined, as shown by, for example, Goren and Rosenfeld (2015). Given that synoptics vary slowly in the Sc regions (George et al., 2013; Goren and Rosenfeld, 2012, 2015; Sandu et al., 2010), we can assume that the clouds observed downwind of the coastal regions are a result of the conditions that were observed upwind, i.e. near the coastal regions (Christensen et al., 2020; Goren et al., 2019; Gryspeerdt et al., 2022).

Figure 4a shows the difference in occurrence between the joint histograms of high- and low- $N_d$  days. It can be seen that high- $N_d$  days favour low LWP across the entire inverted-V range (blue) and vice versa (red). This demonstrates the role of the synoptic scale on the co-variability between LWP and  $N_d$ , as was also shown by George and Wood (2010) and Mülmenstädt et al. (2024). George and Wood (2010) showed that for high- $N_d$  days, this co-variability in the SEP is a result of continental air masses that are synoptically associated with shallower MBL, which limit the clouds' vertical development and thus their LWP. This emphasizes that caution must be taken when interpreting correlations as if they are causally driven by aerosol–cloud interactions.

Glassmeier et al. (2021) used an ensemble of LES simulations to show that the negative sensitivity regime of the



**Figure 4.** The effect of  $N_d$  levels at the origin of the Sc region on the inverted-V climatology in the SEP. (a) The difference in the occurrence between days with  $N_d \ge 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and days with  $N_d < 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  near the coastal region (longitude  $< 85^{\circ}$  W). The percentages represent the change in the normalized occurrence with respect to each column in the joint histogram, showing that the entire inverted-V shifts lower on days with high  $N_d$  near the coastal region. (b) The mean change in longitude (in colour) in each bin of the joint histogram between days with  $N_d \ge 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and days with  $N_d < 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  near the coastal region (longitude  $< 85^{\circ}$  W). The difference in both figures is calculated as high- $N_d$  minus low- $N_d$  days.

inverted V characterizes a steady state. The steady state is defined as a balance between evaporation at the cloud top, which acts to lower LWP, and the buildup of cloud water due to radiative cooling, which acts to increase LWP, with both being controlled by  $N_d$ . The downward (upward) shift of the inverted V when  $N_d$  is higher (lower) as shown in Fig. 4a might therefore be related to the steady-state hypothesis. In that sense, the steady state affects the inverted V as a whole and does not necessarily shape it. Since Glassmeier et al. (2021) sampled clouds at different stages of development (i.e. across the cloud climatology), the co-variability between LWP and  $N_d$  is an inherent characteristic that arises from the temporal development of clouds and is therefore expected.

### 3.4 Climatological LWP adjustments

Figure 4b shows the difference in bin-averaged longitude between high- and low- $N_d$  days, sampled in the coastal regions. It shows that the longitude at which  $r_e = 15 \,\mu\text{m}$  (where transitions from closed to open cells typically occur) shifts westward by up to 4°. This means that on days of high  $N_d$ near the coastal regions, the Sc evolution is extended further west. This is expected since such days have lower LWP (Fig. 4a), requiring the clouds to propagate further downwind into deeper MBL to gain LWP and to increase their  $r_e$  (Goren et al., 2019). This supports Gryspeerdt et al. (2022), who showed that the LWP response to  $N_d$  should be evaluated with respect to prior conditions.

Goren et al. (2019) used realistic Lagrangian LES to show that an increase in initial  $N_d$  in a given meteorological scenario delays precipitation formation and thus delays the closed-cell breakup downwind, in agreement with our results. The delayed breakup was shown to allow for the closed cells to deepen the MBL, which did not happen in the case of earlier precipitation and breakup. The role of  $N_{\rm d}$  in deepening the MBL was shown in other studies (Bretherton et al., 2007a; Eastman et al., 2017) and was attributed to enhanced turbulence at cloud top due to stronger radiative cooling leading to greater entrainment (Bretherton et al., 2007a; Feingold et al., 1999). Following this, for the given climatological covariability between LWP and  $N_d$ , an increase in background  $N_{\rm d}$  (e.g. due to anthropogenic activity) would lead to an increase in LWP because clouds deepens and gain higher LWP (Goren et al., 2019). Disentangling the change in the climatological LWP due to anthropogenic  $N_d$  is challenging from observations alone, as one needs to assume a counterfactual scenario with the exact co-variability between meteorology and aerosols (Goren et al., 2022). Because  $N_d$  influences LWP through Sc regime evolution, as shown here, separating adjustments in LWP and cloud fraction is challenging due to their close interconnection.

## 4 Conclusions

The inverted-V sensitivity of LWP to  $N_d$ , observed in numerous studies and often interpreted causally, is shown here to reflect the Sc regime evolution from overcast closed cells to open cells and subsequently to cumulus clouds and their ultimate dissipation. Studies that sample Sc at different stages of development, i.e. across their climatology, are therefore expected to populate the LWP– $N_d$  joint histograms in an inverted-V-shaped pattern (Arola et al., 2022; Dipu et al., 2022; Glassmeier et al., 2021; Gryspeerdt et al., 2019; Mülmenstädt et al., 2024; Possner et al., 2020).

The inverted V is separated into two regimes of negative and positive LWP– $N_d$  sensitivities. The negative sensitivity regime arises from the co-variability between  $N_d$  and LWP. This co-variability is from two main components: (1) concurrent microphysical changes, where an increase in LWP is accompanied by a decrease in  $N_d$ , and (2) large-scale meteorology controlling the MBL depth and  $N_d$ , which vary in opposite directions simultaneously. The positive sensitivity regime reflects the dissipating stage of actively precipitating clouds, characterized by optically thin, ultra-clean cloud layers dominating the scenes (e.g. Wood et al., 2018).

Our results indicate that neither the negative nor the positive LWP– $N_d$  sensitivities that emerge in the inverted V can be solely explained by causal effects of  $N_d$  on LWP. Those causal effects are typically referred to as entrainment evaporation feedback for the negative sensitivities (Ackerman et al., 2004; Bretherton et al., 2007b) and precipitation suppression for the positive sensitivities (Albrecht, 1989; Koren et al., 2014). Our results do not argue against the role of these physical mechanisms in the LWP response to  $N_d$ . Rather, our results demonstrate that even when a physical mechanism aligns well with observational correlations, care must be taken when interpreting these correlations as a means of inferring causality for aerosol–cloud interactions. Therefore, we suggest that the inverted-V LWP– $N_d$  sensitivity should not be used as a line of evidence for positive radiative forcing through LWP responses to aerosols, as it is largely explained by co-variability.

The inverted V implies that the climatology of the LWP-N<sub>d</sub> co-variability has a plausible range in each geographical location. A significant increase in  $N_d$ , such as from ship emissions (Goren and Rosenfeld, 2012; Manshausen et al., 2022, 2023; Toll et al., 2019) in areas where the background climatology of  $N_d$  is lower, creates an instantaneous increase in  $N_d$  that is detached from the plausible LWP- $N_d$ co-variability. Such N<sub>d</sub> perturbations reflect the causal LWP response. We therefore suggest distinguishing between the causal LWP response to  $N_d$  and the climatological response to  $N_{\rm d}$ . The former is relevant for studying LWP adjustments associated with marine cloud brightening, where aerosols are injected to increase the clouds' reflectivity (Feingold et al., 2024), while the latter addresses changes in background anthropogenic  $N_{\rm d}$  levels on the Sc evolution (assuming similar meteorological conditions), i.e. the effective radiative forcing from aerosol-cloud interactions (Bellouin et al., 2020).

**Data availability.** All datasets used in this work are open source. The MODIS aqua cloud products are available from the Level-1 and Atmosphere Archive and Distribution System (LAADS) Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC): https://ladsweb.modaps. eosdis.nasa.gov/archive/allData/61/MYD06\_L2/ (last access: 12 March 2025) (Platnick et al., 2017). ERA5 pressure level data were obtained from Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store accessible at https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47 (Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2017).

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-25-3413-2025-supplement.

Author contributions. TG conceptualized the research idea, carried out the study, and wrote the initial manuscript. GC processed and co-located the datasets. IM contributed to the cloud regime classification. All authors contributed to the discussions and revisions of the manuscript.

**Competing interests.** The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

**Disclaimer.** The statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of NOAA or the US Department of Commerce.

Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published

maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant no. 3171/24). Goutam Choudhury was also supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG; grant no. 524386224). Isabel Mc-Coy acknowledges support from the NOAA cooperative agreement no. NA22OAR4320151. We thank Graham Feingold, Johannes Mülmenstädt, Jan Kazil, and Yaosheng Chen for helpful discussions. We also thank the reviewers for their comments, which greatly improved this work.

**Financial support.** This research has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant no. 311/27-1), by the Israel Science Foundation (grant no. 3171/24), and by the NOAA cooperative agreement (no. NA22OAR4320151).

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Matthew Christensen and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

## References

- Ackerman, A. S., Kirkpatrick, M. P., Stevens, D. E., and Toon, O. B.: The impact of humidity above stratiform clouds on indirect aerosol climate forcing, Nature, 432, 1014–1017, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03174, 2004.
- Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science, 245, 1227–1230, 1989.
- Arola, A., Lipponen, A., Kolmonen, P., Virtanen, T. H., Bellouin, N., Grosvenor, D. P., Gryspeerdt, E., Quaas, J., and Kokkola, H.: Aerosol effects on clouds are concealed by natural cloud heterogeneity and satellite retrieval errors, Nat. Commun., 13, 7357, 2022.
- Bellouin, N., Quaas, J., Gryspeerdt, E., Kinne, S., Stier, P., Watson-Parris, D., Boucher, O., Carslaw, K. S., Christensen, M., Daniau, A.-L., Dufresne, J.-L., Feingold, G., Fiedler, S., Forster, P., Gettelman, A., Haywood, J. M., Lohmann, U., Malavelle, F., Mauritsen, T., McCoy, D. T., Myhre, G., Mülmenstädt, J., Neubauer, D., Possner, A., Rugenstein, M., Sato, Y., Schulz, M., Schwartz, S. E., Sourdeval, O., Storelvmo, T., Toll, V., Winker, D., and Stevens, B.: Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of climate change, Rev. Geophys., 58, e2019RG000660, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000660, 2020.
- Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.-M., Kondo, Y., Liao, H., Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., Satheesh, S., Sherwood, S., Stevens, B., and Zhang, X.-Y: Clouds and Aerosols, in: Climate change 2013: the physical science basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, 571–657, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.016, 2013.

- Bretherton, C., Blossey, P. N., and Uchida, J.: Cloud droplet sedimentation, entrainment efficiency, and subtropical stratocumulus albedo, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L03813, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027648, 2007a.
- Bretherton, C. S., Blossey, P. N., and Uchida, J.: Cloud droplet sedimentation, entrainment efficiency, and subtropical stratocumulus albedo, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L03813, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027648, 2007b.
- Cho, H.-M., Zhang, Z., Meyer, K., Lebsock, M., Platnick, S., Ackerman, A. S., Di Girolamo, L., C.-Labonnote, L., Cornet, C., and Riedi, J., and Holz, R. E.: Frequency and causes of failed MODIS cloud property retrievals for liquid phase clouds over global oceans, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 4132–4154, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023161, 2015.
- Choudhury, G. and Goren, T.: Thin clouds control the cloud radiative effect along the Sc-Cu transition, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 129, e2023JD040406, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD040406, 2024.
- Christensen, M. W., Jones, W. K., and Stier, P.: Aerosols enhance cloud lifetime and brightness along the stratus-to-cumulus transition, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 117, 17591–17598, 2020.
- Christensen, M. W., Gettelman, A., Cermak, J., Dagan, G., Diamond, M., Douglas, A., Feingold, G., Glassmeier, F., Goren, T., Grosvenor, D. P., Gryspeerdt, E., Kahn, R., Li, Z., Ma, P.-L., Malavelle, F., McCoy, I. L., McCoy, D. T., McFarquhar, G., Mülmenstädt, J., Pal, S., Possner, A., Povey, A., Quaas, J., Rosenfeld, D., Schmidt, A., Schrödner, R., Sorooshian, A., Stier, P., Toll, V., Watson-Parris, D., Wood, R., Yang, M., and Yuan, T.: Opportunistic experiments to constrain aerosol effective radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 641–674, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-641-2022, 2022.
- Copernicus Climate Change Service: ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate, Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS) [data set], https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47, 2017.
- Dipu, S., Schwarz, M., Ekman, A. M., Gryspeerdt, E., Goren, T., Sourdeval, O., Mülmenstädt, J., and Quaas, J.: Exploring satellite-derived relationships between cloud droplet number concentration and liquid water path using a large-domain large-eddy simulation, Tellus, 74, 176–188, https://doi.org/10.16993/tellusb.27, 2022.
- Eastman, R., Wood, R., and O, K. T.: The subtropical stratocumulus-topped planetary boundary layer: A climatology and the Lagrangian evolution, J. Atmos. Sci., 74, 2633–2656, 2017.
- Eastman, R., McCoy, I. L., and Wood, R.: Environmental and internal controls on Lagrangian transitions from closed cell mesoscale cellular convection over subtropical oceans, J. Atmos. Sci., 78, 2367–2383, 2021.
- Eastman, R., McCoy, I. L., and Wood, R.: Wind, Rain, and the Closed to Open Cell Transition in Subtropical Marine Stratocumulus, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 127, e2022JD036795, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD036795, 2022.
- Eastman, R., McCoy, I. L., Schulz, H., and Wood, R.: A survey of radiative and physical properties of North Atlantic mesoscale cloud morphologies from multiple identification methodologies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 6613–6634, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-6613-2024, 2024.

- Feingold, G., Frisch, A. S., Stevens, B., and Cotton, W. R.: On the relationship among cloud turbulence, droplet formation and drizzle as viewed by Doppler radar, microwave radiometer and lidar, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 22195–22203, 1999.
- Feingold, G., Ghate, V. P., Russell, L. M., Blossey, P., Cantrell, W., Christensen, M. W., Diamond, M. S., Gettelman, A., Glassmeier, F., Gryspeerdt, E., Haywood, J., Hoffmann, F., Kaul, C. M., Lebsock, M., McComiskey, A. C., McCoy, D. T., Ming, Y., Mülmenstädt, J., Possner, A., Prabhakaran, P., Quinn, P. K., Schmidt, K. S., Shaw, R. A., Singer, C. E., Sorooshian, A., Toll, V., Wan, J. S., Wood, R., Yang, F., Zhang, J., and Zheng, X.: Physical science research needed to evaluate the viability and risks of marine cloud brightening, Sci. Adv., 10, eadi8594, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adi8594, 2024.
- Fletcher, J., Mason, S., and Jakob, C.: The climatology, meteorology, and boundary layer structure of marine cold air outbreaks in both hemispheres, J. Climate, 29, 1999–2014, 2016.
- Fons, E., Runge, J., Neubauer, D., and Lohmann, U.: Stratocumulus adjustments to aerosol perturbations disentangled with a causal approach, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 6, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00452-w, 2023.
- Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M., Watanabe, M., Wild, M., and Zhang, H.: Chapter 7: The Earth's energy budget, climate feedbacks, and climate sensitivity, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, https://doi.org/10.25455/wgtn.16869671, 2021.
- Freud, E. and Rosenfeld, D.: Linear relation between convective cloud drop number concentration and depth for rain initiation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016457, 2012.
- George, R. C. and Wood, R.: Subseasonal variability of low cloud radiative properties over the southeast Pacific Ocean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4047–4063, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4047-2010, 2010.
- George, R. C., Wood, R., Bretherton, C. S., and Painter, G.: Development and impact of hooks of high droplet concentration on remote southeast Pacific stratocumulus, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6305–6328, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6305-2013, 2013.
- Gerber, H.: Microphysics of Marine Stratocumu-Clouds with Two Drizzle Atmos. lus Modes, L 53, 1649-1662, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-Sci., 0469(1996)053<1649:MOMSCW>2.0.CO;2, 1996.
- Glassmeier, F., Hoffmann, F., Johnson, J. S., Yamaguchi, T., Carslaw, K. S., and Feingold, G.: Aerosol-cloud-climate cooling overestimated by ship-track data, Science, 371, 485–489, 2021.
- Goren, T. and Rosenfeld, D.: Satellite observations of ship emission induced transitions from broken to closed cell marine stratocumulus over large areas, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D17206, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017981, 2012.
- Goren, T. and Rosenfeld, D.: Decomposing aerosol cloud radiative effects into cloud cover, liquid water path and Twomey com-

ponents in marine stratocumulus, Atmos. Res., 138, 378–393, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.12.008, 2014.

- Goren, T. and Rosenfeld, D.: Extensive closed cell marine stratocumulus downwind of Europe-A large aerosol cloud mediated radiative effect or forcing?, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 6098– 6116, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023176, 2015.
- Goren, T., Kazil, J., Hoffmann, F., Yamaguchi, T., and Feingold, G.: Anthropogenic air pollution delays marine stratocumulus breakup to open cells, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 14135–14144, 2019.
- Goren, T., Feingold, G., Gryspeerdt, E., Kazil, J., Kretzschmar, J., Jia, H., and Quaas, J.: Projecting stratocumulus transitions on the albedo – cloud fraction relationship reveals linearity of albedo to droplet concentrations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL101169, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101169, 2022.
- Goren, T., Sourdeval, O., Kretzschmar, J., and Quaas, J.: Spatial aggregation of satellite observations leads to an overestimation of the radiative forcing due to aerosolcloud interactions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, e2023GL105282, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL105282, 2023.
- Grosvenor, Sourdeval, O., Zuidema, P., Ackerman, A., Alexandrov, M. D., Bennartz, R., Boers, R., Cairns, B., Chiu, J. C., Christensen, M., Deneke, H., Diamond, M., Feingold, G., Fridlind, A., Hünerbein, A., Knist, C., Kollias, P., Marshak, A., McCoy, D., Merk, D., Painemal, D., Rausch, J., Rosenfeld, D., Russchenberg, H., Seifert, P., Sinclair, K., Stier, P., van Diedenhoven, B., Wendisch, M., Werner, F., Wood, R., Zhang, Z., and Quaas, J.: Remote Sensing of Droplet Number Concentration in Warm Clouds: A Review of the Current State of Knowledge and Perspectives, Rev. Geophys., 56, 409–453, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017RG000593, 2018.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Goren, T., Sourdeval, O., Quaas, J., Mülmenstädt, J., Dipu, S., Unglaub, C., Gettelman, A., and Christensen, M.: Constraining the aerosol influence on cloud liquid water path, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 5331–5347, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-5331-2019, 2019.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Goren, T., and Smith, T. W. P.: Observing the timescales of aerosol-cloud interactions in snapshot satellite images, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 6093–6109, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-6093-2021, 2021.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Glassmeier, F., Feingold, G., Hoffmann, F., and Murray-Watson, R. J.: Observing short-timescale cloud development to constrain aerosol–cloud interactions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 11727–11738, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-11727-2022, 2022.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.:: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 146, 1999–2049, 2020.
- Kokkola, H., Tonttila, J., Calderón, S., Romakkaniemi, S., Lipponen, A., Peräkorpi, A., Mielonen, T., Gryspeerdt, E., Virtanen, T. H., Kolmonen, P., and Arola, A.: Model analysis of biases in satellite diagnosed aerosol effect on cloud liquid water path,

EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-1964, 2024.

- Kolstad, E. W., Bracegirdle, T. J., and Seierstad, I. A.: Marine coldair outbreaks in the North Atlantic: Temporal distribution and associations with large-scale atmospheric circulation, Clim. Dynam., 33, 187–197, 2009.
- Koren, I., Dagan, G., and Altaratz, O.: From aerosol-limited to invigoration of warm convective clouds, Science, 344, 1143–1146, 2014.
- Manshausen, P., Watson-Parris, D., Christensen, M. W., Jalkanen, J.-P., and Stier, P.: Invisible ship tracks show large cloud sensitivity to aerosol, Nature, 610, 101–106, 2022.
- Manshausen, P., Watson-Parris, D., Christensen, M. W., Jalkanen, J.-P., and Stier, P.: Rapid saturation of cloud water adjustments to shipping emissions, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-813, 2023.
- McCoy, McCoy, D. T., Wood, R., Zuidema, P., and Bender, F. A.-M.: The role of mesoscale cloud morphology in the shortwave cloud feedback, Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, e2022GL101042, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101042, 2023.
- McCoy, I. L., Wyant, M. C., Blossey, P. N., Bretherton, C. S., and Wood, R.: Aitken mode aerosols buffer decoupled mid-latitude boundary layer clouds against precipitation depletion, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 129, e2023JD039572, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD039572, 2024.
- Muhlbauer, A., McCoy, I. L., and Wood, R.: Climatology of stratocumulus cloud morphologies: microphysical properties and radiative effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6695–6716, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6695-2014, 2014.
- Mülmenstädt, J., Gryspeerdt, E., Dipu, S., Quaas, J., Ackerman, A. S., Fridlind, A. M., Tornow, F., Bauer, S. E., Gettelman, A., Ming, Y., Zheng, Y., Ma, P.-L., Wang, H., Zhang, K., Christensen, M. W., Varble, A. C., Leung, L. R., Liu, X., Neubauer, D., Partridge, D. G., Stier, P., and Takemura, T.: General circulation models simulate negative liquid water path–droplet number correlations, but anthropogenic aerosols still increase simulated liquid water path, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 7331–7345, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-7331-2024, 2024.
- Naud, C. M., Booth, J. F., and Lamraoui, F.: Post cold frontal clouds at the ARM eastern North Atlantic site: An examination of the relationship between large-scale environment and low-level cloud properties, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 12–117, 2018.
- Naud, C. M., Booth, J. F., Lamer, K., Marchand, R., Protat, A., and McFarquhar, G. M.: On the relationship between the marine cold air outbreak M parameter and low-level cloud heights in the midlatitudes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 125, e2020JD032465, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032465, 2020.
- Neubauer, D., Lohmann, U., Hoose, C., and Frontoso, M. G.: Impact of the representation of marine stratocumulus clouds on the anthropogenic aerosol effect, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11997– 12022, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11997-2014, 2014.
- O, K.-T., Wood, R., and Bretherton, C. S.: Ultraclean layers and optically thin clouds in the stratocumulus-to-cumulus transition. Part II: Depletion of cloud droplets and cloud condensation nuclei through collision–coalescence, J. Atmos. Sci., 75, 1653– 1673, 2018a.
- O, K.-T., Wood, R., and Tseng, H.-H.: Deeper, Precipitating PBLs Associated With Optically Thin Veil Clouds in

the Sc-Cu Transition, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 5177–5184, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077084, 2018b.

- Painemal, D. and Zuidema, P.: Assessment of MODIS cloud effective radius and optical thickness retrievals over the Southeast Pacific with VOCALS-REx in situ measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D24206, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016155, 2011.
- Platnick, S., Meyer, K. G., King, M. D., Wind, G., Amarasinghe, N., Marchant, B., Arnold, G. T., Zhang, Z., Hubanks, P. A., Holz, R. E., Yang, P., Ridgway, W. L., and Riedi, J.: The MODIS Cloud Optical and Microphysical Products: Collection 6 Updates and Examples From Terra and Aqua, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote Sens., 55, 502–525, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2016.2610522, 2017 (data available at: https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/archive/allData/ 61/MYD06\_L2/, last access: 12 March 2025).
- Possner, A., Eastman, R., Bender, F., and Glassmeier, F.: Deconvolution of boundary layer depth and aerosol constraints on cloud water path in subtropical stratocumulus decks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3609–3621, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3609-2020, 2020.
- Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, Science, 287, 1793–1796, 2000.
- Rosenfeld, D. and Lensky, I. M.: Satellite-based insights into precipitation formation processes in continental and maritime convective clouds, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 79, 2457–2476, 1998.
- Rosenfeld, D., Kaufman, Y. J., and Koren, I.: Switching cloud cover and dynamical regimes from open to closed Benard cells in response to the suppression of precipitation by aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2503–2511, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2503-2006, 2006.
- Rosenfeld, D., Wang, H., and Rasch, P. J.: The roles of cloud drop effective radius and LWP in determining rain properties in marine stratocumulus, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L13801, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052028, 2012.
- Sandu, I., Stevens, B., and Pincus, R.: On the transitions in marine boundary layer cloudiness, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2377–2391, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2377-2010, 2010.
- Toll, V., Christensen, M., Quaas, J., and Bellouin, N.: Weak average liquid-cloud-water response to anthropogenic aerosols, Nature, 572, 51–55, 2019.
- Wang, H. and Feingold, G.: Modeling Mesoscale Cellular Structures and Drizzle in Marine Stratocumulus. Part I: Impact of Drizzle on the Formation and Evolution of Open Cells, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 3237–3256, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JAS3022.1, 2009.
- Wang, H., Feingold, G., Wood, R., and Kazil, J.: Modelling microphysical and meteorological controls on precipitation and cloud cellular structures in Southeast Pacific stratocumulus, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6347–6362, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-6347-2010, 2010.
- Wang, S., Wang, Q., and Feingold, G.: Turbulence, condensation, and liquid water transport in numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 60, 262–278, 2003.
- Wood, R.: Relationships between optical depth, liquid water path, droplet concentration, and effective radius in adiabatic layer cloud, University of Washington, 3, https://atmos.uw.edu/ ~robwood/papers/chilean\_plume/optical\_depth\_relations.pdf (last access: 14 March 2025), 2006.

- Wood, R.: Stratocumulus clouds, Mon. Weather Rev., 140, 2373–2423, 2012.
- Wood, R. and Hartmann, D. L.: Spatial Variability of Liquid Water Path in Marine Low Cloud: The Importance of Mesoscale Cellular Convection, J. Climate, 19, 1748–1764, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCL13702.1, 2006.
- Wood, R., O, K.-T., Bretherton, C. S., Mohrmann, J., Albrecht, B. A., Zuidema, P., Ghate, V., Schwartz, C., Eloranta, E., and Glienke, S., Shaw, R. A., Fugal, J., and Minnis, P.: Ultraclean layers and optically thin clouds in the stratocumulus-to-cumulus transition. Part I: Observations, J. Atmos. Sci., 75, 1631–1652, 2018.
- Wyant, M. C., Bretherton, C. S., Rand, H. A., and Stevens, D. E.: Numerical Simulations and a Conceptual Model of the Stratocumulus to Trade Cumulus Transition, J. Atmos. Sci., 54, 168–192, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054<0168:NSAACM>2.0.CO;2, 1997.

- Xue, H. and Feingold, G.: Large-eddy simulations of trade wind cumuli: Investigation of aerosol indirect effects, J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 1605–1622, 2006.
- Yamaguchi, T., Feingold, G., Kazil, J., and McComiskey, A.: Stratocumulus to cumulus transition in the presence of elevated smoke layers, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 10478–10485, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066544, 2015.
- Zhang, S., Xue, H., and Feingold, G.: Vertical profiles of droplet effective radius in shallow convective clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4633–4644, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4633-2011, 2011.