



Measurement report: Elevated atmospheric ammonia may promote particle pH and HONO formation – insights from the COVID-19 pandemic

Xinyuan Zhang^{1,2}, Lingling Wang³, Nan Wang³, Shuangliang Ma³, Shenbo Wang^{2,4}, Ruiqin Zhang^{2,4}, Dong Zhang^{1,2}, Mingkai Wang^{2,4}, and Hongyu Zhang^{1,2}

¹College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450000, China

²Research Institute of Environmental Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450000, China

³Henan Provincial Ecological Environment Monitoring and Safety Center, Zhengzhou, 450000, China

⁴School of Ecology and Environment, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450000, China

Correspondence: Shenbo Wang (shbwang@zzu.edu.cn) and Ruiqin Zhang (rqzhang@zzu.edu.cn)

Received: 4 December 2023 – Discussion started: 12 February 2024

Revised: 14 June 2024 – Accepted: 21 June 2024 – Published: 6 September 2024

Abstract. HONO plays a crucial role as a precursor to OH radicals in the tropospheric atmosphere. The incongruity between HONO concentration and NO_x emissions during the COVID-19 pandemic remains puzzling. Here, we show evidence from field observations of 10 sites in China where there was a noticeable increase in NH₃ concentrations during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to the meteorological conditions, the significant decrease in sulfate and nitrate concentrations enhanced the conversion of NH₄⁺ to NH₃. Sensitivity analysis indicated that the decrease in anion concentrations (especially sulfate and nitrate) and the increase in cation concentrations during the COVID-19 pandemic led to an increase in particle pH. In other words, changes in the excess ammonia drove changes in particle pH that may consequently have impacted the rate of HONO formation. The calculation of reaction rates indicates that during the epidemic, the increase in pH may promote the generation of HONO by facilitating redox reactions, which highlights the importance of coordinating the control of SO₂, NO_x, and NH₃ emissions.

1 Introduction

Nitrous acid (HONO) is a critical precursor of the hydroxyl radical (OH), contributing to more than 60 % of OH production (Alicke, 2003; Platt et al., 1980; Kleffmann et al., 2005). The OH can react with carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and volatile organic compounds to produce secondary pollutants such as ozone (O₃) and PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 2.5 μm), thereby affecting air quality, human health, and global climate change (J. Li et al., 2021; Y. Wang et al., 2023; Lu et al., 2018).

High concentrations of HONO are present in urban daytime atmospheres, and exploring its sources has become a hot and challenging topic in the field of atmospheric chemistry (Jiang et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2019). Various sources of atmo-

spheric HONO have been identified, including combustion processes (e.g., vehicle emissions) (Kramer et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2021; S. Li et al., 2021), direct emissions from soil (Su et al., 2011; Oswald et al., 2013; Meusel et al., 2018), homogeneous reactions between NO and OH radicals (Pagsberg et al., 1997; Atkinson et al., 2004), heterogeneous reactions of NO₂ on aerosols and ground surfaces (W. Zhang et al., 2020; McFall et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2014; J. Liu et al., 2020), and photolysis of nitrate (Spataro and Ianniello, 2014; Scharko et al., 2014; Romer et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2021). During the pandemic control periods, there was a substantial reduction in vehicle traffic flow and industrial emissions, leading to a decrease of more than 60 % in NO_x emissions in eastern China (Huang et al., 2021a). It was initially expected that the concentration of HONO would also decrease proportionally. However, Y. Liu et al. (2020a) observed that the de-

crease in HONO concentration during the pandemic period was only 31 % (from 1.5 to 0.9 ppb), which was significantly lower than the reductions in NO (62 %, from 26.3 to 4.2 ppb) and NO₂ (36 %, from 15.5 to 6.2 ppb). Furthermore, the observed concentrations of HONO during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 were higher than those during the corresponding period in 2021 in Beijing (Luo et al., 2023). These findings suggest the existence of a considerable unknown source of HONO during the COVID-19 pandemic period.

Ammonia (NH₃) is a primary alkaline gas in the atmosphere, capable of influencing the pH level of particulate matter, and plays a crucial role in the atmospheric nitrogen cycle (Gu et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2020; Gong et al., 2011). Several studies have indicated that NH₃ can promote the formation of HONO by promoting the hydrolysis of NO₂ (Xu et al., 2019) or the redox reaction of NO₂ with SO₂ (Liu et al., 2023). Moreover, previous studies have reported that NH₃ concentrations in the atmosphere, particularly in rural areas, significantly increased during the pandemic (Xu et al., 2022). Consequently, the rise in NH₃ may contribute to the enhanced formation of HONO (Huang et al., 2021a). Unfortunately, there is currently a lack of research on the relationship between enhanced NH₃ and HONO during the COVID-19 pandemic period.

To address this, online observational data on the chemical composition of PM_{2.5}, gaseous pollutants, and meteorological conditions at 10 sites in China before and during the COVID-19 pandemic period were analyzed to investigate the variation in NH₃ concentrations and particle pH and explore the promoting effect of increased pH values on HONO formation.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Observation sites

Online measurements were conducted at four urban and six rural sites in Henan Province, China, from 1 January to 29 February 2020, including Sanmenxia (U-SMX), Zhoukou (U-ZK), Zhumadian (U-ZMD), and Xinyang (U-XY), as well as rural locations including Anyang (R-AY), Xinxing (R-XX), Jiaozuo (R-JZ), Shangqiu (R-SQ), Nanyang (R-NY), and Puyang (R-PY). Descriptions and the spatial distribution of these 10 sites can be found in Table S1 and Fig. S1 in the Supplement.

2.2 Measurements

An aerosol and gas monitor (MARGA, Metrohm, Switzerland) was used to analyze the hourly water-soluble ions (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻) in PM_{2.5}, as well as gaseous species (NH₃, HNO₃, HCl, and HONO), at 10 sampling sites. The MARGA instrument is widely used (Chen et al., 2017; Stieger et al., 2019; Twigg et al., 2022). A detailed description of the instrument and

QA/QC can be found in Sect. S1 in the Supplement. In brief, the atmospheric sample passes through a PM_{2.5} cut-off head, and both particles and gases enter a wet rotating dissolution device for diffusion. Subsequently, the particles in the sample undergo hygroscopic growth and condensation in an aerosol supersaturated vapor generator, followed by collection and ion chromatographic analysis. The gases in the sample are oxidized by H₂O₂ in the dissolution device and absorbed into a liquid solvent. They then entered the gas sample collection chamber for ion chromatographic quantification. The range of minimum detection limits for water-soluble ions was between 0.002 μg m⁻³ (Cl⁻) and 0.081 μg m⁻³ (NH₄⁺). Uncertainties of 20 % are assumed for the detection of NH₃ and NH₄⁺, while uncertainties of 10 % are assumed for other components (Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). In addition, a detailed description of HONO measurement using this system can be found in Sect. S2. Overall, the limit of detection for HONO was 4 pptv, and the uncertainty was estimated to be ± 20 %.

The data for NO₂ and SO₂ were obtained from a series of instruments provided by Thermo Fisher Scientific (USA). The hourly concentrations of organic carbon (OC) in PM_{2.5} were analyzed using a carbon analyzer (Model 4, Sunset Laboratory, USA). Detailed descriptions of the NO₂, SO₂, and carbon analyzers can be found in Sect. S3. The smart weather stations (LUFFTWS500, Sutron, Germany) were utilized for synchronized observation of meteorological parameters including pressure, temperature (*T*), and relative humidity (RH).

2.3 Data analysis

2.3.1 pH prediction

The thermodynamic model ISORROPIA-II was used to estimate the pH value of the particles (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007) by inputting RH, *T*, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, total ammonia (TNH_x = 17 × ($\frac{[\text{NH}_4^+]}{18} + \frac{[\text{NH}_3]}{17}$)), total sulfuric acid (TH₂SO₄, SO₄²⁻), total sodium (TNa, Na⁺), total chlorine (TCl, Cl⁻), and total nitrate (TNO₃ = NO₃⁻ + HNO₃). The model has two calculation modes, the forward mode and reverse mode, and the aerosol dissolution systems can be set to simulate a metastable state (aqueous phase) or stable state (aqueous and solid phase). Studies have shown that the forward mode is less affected by instrument measurement errors than the reverse mode (Ding et al., 2019; Song et al., 2018). Additionally, the minimum average RH of about 55 % was recorded during the sampling period at the 10 sites. Thus, ISORROPIA-II was run in the forward model for the aerosol system in the metastable condition and only used data with RH ≥ 30 % for simulation accuracy (Ding et al., 2019; Song et al., 2018). The ISORROPIA model calculated the particle hydrate ion concentration per volume of air (H_{air}⁺) and aerosol water associated with inorganic matter (AWC_{inorg}). The pH value was calculated using the following equation

Table 1. Changes in concentrations (mean \pm standard deviation) of NH_3 , NH_4^+ , and TNH_x at 10 sites during the entire period (Average) and before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak.

Sites	Substances	Average ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	PC ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	DC ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)
U-SMX	NH_3	13.8 ± 10.8	12.6 ± 10.1	14.5 ± 11.1
	NH_4^+	10.9 ± 7.2	14.2 ± 7.2	8.8 ± 6.5
	TNH_x	22.9 ± 14.1	24.9 ± 14.5	21.7 ± 13.8
U-ZK	NH_3	15.6 ± 8.3	12.7 ± 6.5	17.4 ± 8.8
	NH_4^+	13.6 ± 9.3	19.1 ± 8.4	10.3 ± 8.1
	TNH_x	28.6 ± 13.7	30.9 ± 12.8	27.1 ± 14.0
U-ZMD	NH_3	13.1 ± 8.4	11.6 ± 8.2	14.0 ± 8.4
	NH_4^+	13.9 ± 9.8	19.6 ± 10.3	10.3 ± 7.5
	TNH_x	25.7 ± 14.6	30.3 ± 15.1	22.8 ± 13.5
U-XY	NH_3	7.0 ± 4.3	5.7 ± 4.0	7.9 ± 4.3
	NH_4^+	11.0 ± 7.7	15.4 ± 7.6	8.3 ± 6.5
	TNH_x	17.6 ± 9.8	20.6 ± 10.1	15.7 ± 9.2
R-AY	NH_3	19.0 ± 8.4	17.9 ± 8.3	19.7 ± 8.4
	NH_4^+	19.3 ± 12.9	26.4 ± 13.7	15.0 ± 10.3
	TNH_x	36.6 ± 18.2	41.7 ± 20.4	33.4 ± 16.0
R-XX	NH_3	21.7 ± 10.2	18.1 ± 9.3	23.8 ± 10.1
	NH_4^+	15.9 ± 10.4	20.6 ± 11.0	13.0 ± 8.8
	TNH_x	34.9 ± 17.0	35.1 ± 18.8	34.8 ± 15.8
R-PY	NH_3	19.8 ± 9.4	16.8 ± 8.1	21.7 ± 9.6
	NH_4^+	17.4 ± 11.8	25.3 ± 12.6	12.4 ± 8.0
	TNH_x	35.2 ± 17.8	39.4 ± 19.8	32.6 ± 15.7
R-JZ	NH_3	25.3 ± 11.5	24.1 ± 11.5	25.9 ± 11.4
	NH_4^+	17.3 ± 11.3	22.7 ± 11.6	14.2 ± 9.9
	TNH_x	40.8 ± 20.1	42.9 ± 22.8	33.5 ± 18.2
R-SQ	NH_3	15.0 ± 7.9	10.3 ± 5.2	17.7 ± 7.9
	NH_4^+	13.4 ± 8.5	18.9 ± 8.6	10.3 ± 6.7
	TNH_x	26.3 ± 13.2	25.5 ± 14.0	26.8 ± 12.7
R-NY	NH_3	5.5 ± 3.1	4.3 ± 2.7	6.2 ± 3.2
	NH_4^+	10.2 ± 6.9	13.3 ± 7.2	8.4 ± 6.1
	TNH_x	14.8 ± 8.5	16.0 ± 9.5	14.1 ± 7.8

(Bougiatioti et al., 2016):

$$\text{pH} = -\log_{10} \text{H}_{\text{aq}}^+ = -\log_{10} \frac{1000 \text{H}_{\text{air}}^+}{\text{AWC}_{\text{inorg}} + \text{AWC}_{\text{org}}}, \quad (1)$$

where the modeled concentrations for $\text{AWC}_{\text{inorg}}$ and H_{air}^+ are calculated in units of $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, and AWC_{org} is the particle water associated with the organic matters predicted using the following method:

$$\text{AWC}_{\text{org}} = \frac{m_s}{\rho_s} \left(\frac{1}{\text{RH}} - 1 \right), \quad (2)$$

where m_s is the mass concentration of organic matter ($\text{OM} = \text{OC} \times f$); f is the conversion factor of OC, which

is dependent on the extent of OM oxidation and secondary organic aerosol formation (Chow et al., 2015). Studies on the ratio of OM/OC in 14 cities in China suggested that the mean value of f was 1.59 ± 0.18 during the winter season in northern China (Xing et al., 2013), and thus we adopted 1.6 as the f value in this study. k_{org} is the organic hygroscopicity parameter and depends on organic functionality, water solubility, molecular weight, and oxidation level. Han et al. (2022) reported that k_{org} generally increased with O : C ratios, with a range of 0–0.3 for 23 organics, including carboxylic acids, amino acids, sugars, and alcohols. Gunthe et al. (2011) estimated $k_{\text{org}} = 0.06 \pm 0.01$ for the effective average hygroscopicity of the non-refractory organic particulate matter in the aerosols in Beijing. Our previous study estimated that the uncertainties of the k_{org} value (0.06) for

pH in the range of 0–0.3 only lead to –1 % to 3 % errors, which can be neglected (S. Wang et al., 2023). Therefore, the value of 0.06 was selected in this paper. ρ_s is the organic density, which was chosen to be 1.35 g cm^{-3} following previous studies (Table S2).

2.3.2 The sources of HONO

The sources of HONO include direct emission (P_{emi}), the homogeneous reaction of NO and $\cdot\text{OH}$ ($P_{\text{OH+NO}}$), the heterogeneous reaction of NO_2 on the ground (P_{ground}) and aerosol (P_{aerosol}), the photo-enhanced heterogeneous reaction of NO_2 on the ground ($P_{\text{ground+hv}}$) and aerosol ($P_{\text{aerosol+hv}}$), and nitrate photolysis (P_{nitrate}). The detailed calculation method is described in the Supplement (Sect. S4, Table S3, and Figs. S2 and S3).

Soil emission has been demonstrated to be a major source of HONO, which is affected by temperature to some extent (Y. Liu et al., 2020a, b). However, during the sampling periods, there was no significant positive correlation between HONO concentration and temperature (Fig. S4). In addition, temperatures did not exceed 10°C , under which the soil HONO emission rate is generally considered to be zero (Zhang et al., 2023). Furthermore, the equilibrium gas-phase concentration over an aqueous solution of nitrous acid, $[\text{HONO}]^*$, a key parameter controlling the exchange of HONO between the gas and aqueous phase in soil, is calculated according to Su et al. (2011). The results indicate that the temperature difference between the PC (before the COVID-19 outbreak) and DC (during the COVID-19 outbreak) periods only led to an approximately 0.01 % concentration change. On the other hand, studies on the sources of HONO in the North China Plain of China during winter consistently showed that soil HONO emissions contribute around 1 % (Y. Liu et al., 2020a, b; Zhang et al., 2023). Therefore, this study does not consider soil HONO emissions.

2.3.3 Redox reaction of NO_2 with SO_2

The redox reaction of NO_2 with SO_2 (Reaction R1) is considered a crucial potential source of high concentrations of HONO in northern China (Cheng et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016):



The rate expression for Reaction (R1) was estimated to be

$$d[\text{S(VI)}]/dt = k_1[\text{NO}_2][\text{S(VI)}]. \quad (3)$$

The rate constant k_1 value is pH-dependent; e.g., for pH 5, $k_1 = (1.4 \times 10^5 + 1.24 \times 10^7)/2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. For k_1 values under other pH conditions and other related information, please refer to Sect. S5 and Tables S4 and S5.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Variations of NH_3 , NH_4^+ , and TNH_x

The temporal variations of NH_3 , NH_4^+ , and TNH_x at 10 sampling sites in the pre-COVID-19 pandemic period (PC; 1 to 23 January 2020) and during the COVID-19 pandemic period (DC; 24 January to 29 February 2020) are presented in Fig. 1, with their average concentration listed in Table 1. In general, rural sites exhibited higher concentrations of NH_3 , NH_4^+ , and TNH_x compared to urban sites, except for the R-NY site. This finding is consistent with previous studies conducted in Zhengzhou (Wang et al., 2020), Shanghai (Chang et al., 2019), and Quzhou (S. Feng et al., 2022), owing to the intense agricultural ammonia emissions. The highest concentrations of NH_3 and TNH_x were recorded at site R-JZ, with average values of 25.3 ± 11.5 and $40.8 \pm 20.1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, respectively. Site R-AY had the highest NH_4^+ concentration, measuring $19.3 \pm 12.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. Note that the current study area exhibited higher NH_3 levels compared to other regions (Table S6), which probably was attributed to the highest NH_3 emissions of Henan Province in China, primarily from nitrogen fertilizer application and livestock farming (Wang et al., 2018; Ma, 2020).

Compared to the PC, NH_3 concentrations increased in the DC at all sites. Notably, rural sites experienced more significant increases in NH_3 concentrations than urban sites, which was similar to the trend in Shanghai (Xu et al., 2022). The largest increases in NH_3 concentrations were observed at R-SQ (71 %, $7.3 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) and U-ZK (37 %, $4.8 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) for rural and urban sites, respectively. In contrast, the concentrations of NH_4^+ and TNH_x decreased in the DC, with the largest reduction at the rural site, R-PY (51 %, $12.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), and the urban site, U-ZMD (48 %, $9.3 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$). Regarding TNH_x , rural sites exhibited larger reductions, with site R-SQ experiencing the largest decrease of 37 % ($4.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$).

Figure 2 illustrates the spatial distribution and the diurnal variation of NH_3 concentrations at the 10 sites before and during the pandemic. NH_3 concentrations in most sites exhibited a unimodal trend in the PC that NH_3 concentrations gradually increased after sunrise, reaching a peak around noon (11:00–12:00 GMT+8), and then decreased to a trough around 16:00–17:00 (GMT+8). This diurnal pattern is similar to NH_3 variations observed in urban areas of Houston, USA, as a result of the natural emissions from vegetation and soil during photosynthesis (Gong et al., 2011). However, other studies have recorded a significant NH_3 peak during the early morning between 08:00–10:00 (GMT+8) (Ellis et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2018; Gu et al., 2022), suggesting the influence of vehicle emissions (Gong et al., 2011; Gu et al., 2022), residual NH_3 mixing, soil or plant emissions (Ellis et al., 2011), and dew volatilization (Wentworth et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2021b). Therefore, the NH_3 in urban and rural areas of this study was probably less affected by NH_3 emissions from vehicles, different from the recent




Figure 1. Temporal variations of (a) NH_3 , (b) NH_4^+ , and (c) TNH_x at the urban and rural sites before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak, respectively. The shaded areas of the curve represent the maximum and minimum values.

studies in megacities of China (e.g., Beijing and Shanghai) (Gu et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2023; Y. Zhang et al., 2020). In addition to the transport from agricultural emissions, urban NH_3 in this region might also originate from other non-agricultural sources, such as wastewater treatment, coal combustion, household waste, urban green spaces, and human excrement (Chang et al., 2019).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the diurnal variation of NH_3 in both urban and rural sites still maintained a unimodal distribution. The peak values in urban sites remained consistent with PC levels, further demonstrating that the influence of vehicles on NH_3 in urban areas was limited. Notably, the peak time of NH_3 in rural sites shifted 1–2 h earlier compared to the trend in the PC. Ammonia in rural areas primarily originates from nitrogen fertilizer application, livestock, and poultry breeding (T. Feng et al., 2022; Meng et al., 2018), which are significantly influenced by T and RH (Liu et al., 2023). Table S7 and Fig. S5 reveal that there was an increased T and a decreased RH at rural sites in the DC than the PC, which could accelerate the evaporation of NH_3 and thus potentially lead to earlier peak NH_3 concentrations.

3.2 Gas-to-particle conversion of NH_3

The increased NH_3 accompanying decreased NH_4^+ in the DC suggests that the gas–particle partitioning of $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$ may determine the elevated NH_3 concentrations. Meteorological parameters, including RH and T , play a crucial role

in the gas–particle partitioning of NH_3 (Liu et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2020). Therefore, the higher T and lower RH in the DC (Table S7 and Fig. S5) favored the conversion of NH_4^+ to NH_3 , resulting in a decrease in $\varepsilon(\text{NH}_4^+)([\text{NH}_4^+]/([\text{NH}_3] + [\text{NH}_4^+]))$ compared to those in the PC (Table S7).

NH_3 primarily enters particles to neutralize acidic ions (Wang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2011; Wells et al., 1998). Accordingly, the concentrations of required ammonia (Required- NH_x) and excess ammonia (Excess- NH_x) were calculated based on the acidic substances as follows (Wang et al., 2020):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Required-NH}_x = & 17 \times \left(\frac{[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]}{48} + \frac{[\text{NO}_3^-]}{63} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{[\text{Cl}^-]}{35.5} + \frac{[\text{HNO}_3]}{64} + \frac{[\text{HCl}]}{36.5} \right) \\ & - 17 \times \left(\frac{[\text{Na}^+]}{23} + \frac{[\text{K}^+]}{39} + \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]}{20} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{[\text{Mg}^{2+}]}{12} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Excess-NH}_x = \text{TNH}_x - \text{Required-NH}_x, \quad (5)$$

where $[\text{W}]$ represents the concentration of the substance ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$). The significant linear fitting (R^2 is greater than 0.96, and the slope is close to 1) in Fig. S6 demonstrates that the anions and cations at each site were close to the equilibrium state. Therefore, the organic acids in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ may




Figure 2. Daily variation of NH_3 concentrations at 10 sites before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak. The green dots represent the location of 10 sites, and their size represents the concentration of NH_3 . In each box, the top, middle, and bottom lines represent the 75, 50, and 25 percentiles of statistical data, respectively, and the upper and lower whiskers represent the 90 and 10 percentiles of statistical data, respectively.

have less effect on NH_3 and NH_4^+ and were not considered in Eq. (4).

As shown in Fig. 3 and Table S8, compared to those in the PC, the concentration of Required- NH_x in the DC significantly decreased (ranging from 37 % at site R-JZ to 58 % at site R-PY), while the concentration of Excess- NH_x increased (ranging from 9 % at site R-AY to 78 % at site R-SQ). The reduction in the concentrations of sulfate and nitrate (Fig. S7) was responsible for the decrease in the concentration of Required- NH_x . To sum up, in addition to meteorological conditions, the substantial reduction in anthropogenic emissions of SO_2 , NO_x , and other pollutants in the DC led to a decrease in acidic substances (e.g., sulfate and nitrate) in particles, in turn resulting in more gas-phase NH_3 concentration remaining in the atmosphere.

3.3 Particle pH before and during COVID-19

Diurnal patterns of particle pH in the PC and DC at 10 sites are summarized in Fig. 4, with their average values listed in Table S9. $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ shows consistent moderate acidity, with mean values in the range of 4.2–5.1, which were close to the values in previous studies (Table S9). Compared to the PC, the particle pH at 10 sites increased significantly in the DC, with the highest increase of 0.5 (U-ZK) and 0.3 (R-PY) at




Figure 3. Box diagram of changes in Required- NH_x at 10 sites before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak. In each box, the top, middle, and bottom lines represent the 75, 50, and 25 percentiles of statistical data, respectively; the upper and lower whiskers represent the 90 and 10 percentiles of statistical data, respectively.

urban and rural sites, respectively; this is the subject of an in-depth discussion in the following text.

To explore the dominant factors that determine the local particle pH level and result in the high pH during the




Figure 4. Diurnal patterns of pH at 10 sites before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak. In each box, the top, middle, and bottom lines represent the 75, 50, and 25 percentiles of statistical data, respectively; the upper and lower whiskers represent the 90 and 10 percentiles of statistical data, respectively.

DC, sensitivity tests of pH to chemical species (i.e., TNH_x , TH_2SO_4 , TNO_3 , TCl , TNa , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+}) and meteorological parameters (i.e., T and RH) were performed. A given range for a variable (i.e., TNH_x) with corresponding average values of other parameters (i.e., TH_2SO_4 , TNO_3 , TCl , TNa , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , T , and RH) was input into the model and simulated to compare its effects on pH. As shown in Fig. S8, pH increases with an increase in the cation concentrations (i.e., TNH_x , Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+}) as well as a decrease in the anion concentrations (i.e., TH_2SO_4 , TNO_3 , and Cl^-), T , and RH . According to the average values of input data during the PC (blue line in Fig. S8) and DC (red line in Fig. S8) at U-ZK and R-PY sites, respectively, the changes in pH (ΔpH in Fig. 5) indicate that the decrease in TNH_x concentration and the increase in T in the DC led to a decrease in pH values (ΔpH : 0.09 at U-ZK and 0.08 at R-PY sites) compared to the PC. However, this effect was outweighed by the decrease in TH_2SO_4 (ΔpH : 0.07 and 0.8 at U-ZK and R-PY sites, respectively) and TNO_3 (ΔpH : 0.05 and 0.4 at U-ZK and R-PY sites, respectively) concentrations as well as the increase in K^+ (ΔpH : 0.03 at U-ZK and 0.2 at R-PY site) and Mg^{2+} (ΔpH : 0.01 at U-ZK and 0.04 at R-PY site) concentrations in the DC, resulting in an overall increase in pH values in the DC. Furthermore, the relationship between particle pH with the concentrations of Required- NH_x and Excess- NH_x , which considers all chemical components, is investigated to examine the dominant factor on the increasing pH in the DC. As shown in Fig. 6, the higher Excess- NH_x concentrations in the DC led to higher increases in pH values (ΔpH : 1 at U-ZK and 0.5 at R-PY site) than those in the PC (ΔpH : 0.3 at U-ZK and 0.2 at R-PY site); thus Excess- NH_x concentrations may be the key factor in promoting the pH values.

3.4 The influence of pH on HONO

The observed HONO concentrations decreased by 18 % and 54 % at U-ZK (0.8 ppb) and R-PY (0.9 ppb) sites in the DC, respectively, compared to those (1.0 and 2.2 ppb) in the PC. Moreover, all the known HONO production sources rates including P_{emi} , $P_{\text{OH}+\text{NO}}$, P_{ground} , $P_{\text{ground}+\text{hv}}$, P_{aerosol} , $P_{\text{aerosol}+\text{hv}}$, and P_{nitrate} (Fig. 7, Figs. S9 and S10) show a decreasing trend from the PC to DC, with total reductions of 38 % (from 30 % to 45 % in the scenario with the minimum and maximum uncertainty, respectively) and 79 % (from 77 % to 82 % in the scenario with the minimum and maximum uncertainty, respectively) for U-ZK and R-PY, respectively. At the U-ZK, $P_{\text{ground}+\text{hv}}$ decreased the most (84 %), while at the R-PY, P_{nitrate} had the largest decrease of about 85 %, which was speculated to be related to the decrease in NO_x and NO_3^- concentration in the DC. Note that the reduction rates in the overall known source and almost individual sources were greater than the reduction rates in HONO concentrations (Figs. 7 and 8); thus we hypothesized that there should be other sources capable of promoting HONO production.

There were positive correlations between HONO with SO_2 , Excess- NH_x , SO_4^{2-} , and pH (Fig. S12) indicating that Reaction (R1) might form an amount of HONO and contribute to less reduction in the observed HONO concentrations. Considering that Reaction (R1) mainly reacts in the liquid phase, the calculated reaction rates of Reaction (R1) under the conditions of $\text{RH} > 60\%$ in the PC and DC periods are illustrated in Figs. 8 and S12. Despite the decrease in NO_2 and SO_2 concentrations in the DC, the increase in particle pH, increasing HSO_3^- concentration in the aqueous phase, promoted the Reaction (R1) reaction rates by 58 %




Figure 5. Changes in pH (ΔpH) through the sensitivity tests (Figs. S5 and S6) by changing parameters between the PC and DC at the (a) U-ZK and (b) R-PY sites.




Figure 6. Particle pH corresponds to increasing TNH_x at U-ZK and R-PY sites to examine the effects of major indicators of NH_3 (i.e., TNH_x , Required- NH_x , and Excess- NH_x) on aerosol acidity. Particle pH was calculated using a wide range of TNH_x (25–130 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) and average values of other parameters in the PC and DC of U-ZK and R-PY sites. The concentrations of TNH_x , Required- NH_x , and Excess- NH_x with corresponding pH values are marked by a hollow box, hollow circle, and arrow, respectively. The yellow and blue background colors correspond to the NH_x -poor and NH_x -rich conditions, respectively.




Figure 7. Comparison of HONO sources at (a) U-ZK and (b) R-PY sites before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak. The calculation method can be found in Sect. S4.




Figure 8. Decline ratios of (a) NO_2 , (b) HONO concentration, and (c) HONO production rate at U-ZK and R-PY sites before (PC) and during (DC) the COVID-19 outbreak. The center point represents the mean value, and the upper and lower whiskers represent the 95 % confidence interval of the mean. The shadows in the figure represent the uncertainties of NO_2 measurement ($\pm 10\%$), HONO measurement ($\pm 20\%$), and the HONO formation rate of Reaction (R1) (-78% to 123%), respectively.

and 59 % at U-ZK and R-PY (Fig. 8), respectively. Consequently, the enhanced Reaction (R1) might prevent a large decrease in HONO (18 % at U-ZK and 53 % at R-PY) under the conditions of a significant reduction in vehicle emissions and a decline of 66 % and 69 % in NO_2 concentrations at U-ZK and R-PY, respectively.

3.5 Uncertainty

According to sensitivity tests of pH (Fig. S8) and Reaction (R1) (Fig. S12), pH increases with an increase in the concentrations of cations (TNH_x , TNa , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+}) and OC as well as a decrease in the anion concentrations (TH_2SO_4 , TNO_3 , and Cl^-), T , and RH. Reaction (R1)

is positively correlated with AWC, NO_2 , SO_2 , pH, and pressure and negatively correlated with T . Therefore, two extreme scenarios (i.e., the maximum and minimum rate scenarios) were evaluated to estimate the uncertainty of pH and Reaction (R1) based on the measurement uncertainties at the U-ZK and R-PY sites. Figure S13 suggests that the two extreme scenarios can lead to -10% to 7% and -71% to 125% uncertainties at the U-ZK site and -10% to 7% and -78% to 123% uncertainties at the R-PY site for pH and Reaction (R1), respectively. Even considering the above uncertainty in Fig. 8, it can still be observed that during the DC period, the decrease in HONO was less than that of NO_2 , and the rate of the Reaction (R1) increased.

Considering the conclusions of this study are based solely on observational data, there are certain limitations. For example, only the changes in the Reaction (R1) reaction of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ were calculated, without considering variations in components, pH values, and Reaction (R1) reaction rates of coarse particles. Additionally, although this study selected scenarios with $\text{RH} > 60\%$ to calculate Reaction (R1) to ensure the presence of a liquid phase, there might still be some errors in calculating Reaction (R1). Furthermore, due to thermodynamic model calculations of pH values, changes in the mixed state of particle components, and the omission of organic acids, alongside the absence of gaseous HNO_3 and HCl in this study, these factors may lead to inaccuracies in pH value simulations and uncertainty in Reaction (R1) calculations (Pye et al., 2020; Haskins et al., 2018; Nah et al., 2018). Therefore, there is a certain degree of uncertainty in the conclusions regarding the growth of Reaction (R1) reactions in this paper. Nevertheless, by calculating the changes in the reactions in Reaction (R1), this study provides a possible explanation for the relatively small decrease in HONO during the epidemic period.

4 Implications

HONO plays a crucial role as a precursor of OH radicals in the tropospheric atmosphere (Xue, 2022). There have been significant observations of high HONO concentrations in urban areas during the daytime, leading to a growing interest in understanding its sources in atmospheric chemistry (Jiang et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2019). The heterogeneous reaction mechanism of NO_2 on aerosol surfaces is currently the focus of research on HONO sources, particularly in regions with elevated levels of atmospheric particulate matter, where it could potentially become a major contributor to HONO production (Zhang et al., 2022; Liao et al., 2021). One of the pathways for heterogeneous reactions on aerosol surfaces is the redox reaction of NO_2 with SO_2 . However, the significance of this reaction in HONO production in the real atmosphere is often overlooked, as it relies on the high pH of aerosols (Ge et al., 2019). In recent years, there has been increasing attention on the enhancing effect of NH_3 on the redox reaction, with laboratory experiments demonstrating its ability to generate substantial amounts of HONO (Ge et al., 2019). This study highlights the importance of this reaction based on actual atmospheric observations. Furthermore, numerous studies have indicated that if control over NH_3 emissions continues to relax while SO_2 and NO_2 emissions decrease, the particle pH in future China is expected to rise steadily (Xie et al., 2020; Song et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). Consequently, the redox reaction of NO_2 with SO_2 could become a significant source of HONO in China. Therefore, it is crucial to coordinate the control of SO_2 , NO_x , and NH_3 emissions to avoid a rapid increase in the particle pH.

5 Conclusions

Elevated NH_3 concentration was observed during the COVID-19 pandemic at both urban and rural sites in China. In addition to the rise in T and decrease in RH during the COVID-19 pandemic, which favored the conversion of NH_4^+ to NH_3 , the significant decrease in sulfate and nitrate concentrations led to the decline in Required- NH_x and was beneficial to the particle-phase NH_4^+ partitioning to gas-phase NH_3 . Furthermore, under the environmental conditions of increased anion concentrations (especially sulfate and nitrate) and increased cation concentrations, the pH values increased by 0.5 and 0.3 at U-ZK and R-PY sites during the pandemic, respectively. Consequently, the high pH values accelerated the formation rate of HONO through the oxidation–reduction reaction of NO_2 with SO_2 (an increase of 58 % at U-ZK and 59 % at R-PY, respectively), partially compensating for the decrease in HONO concentration caused by the decline in vehicle emissions and NO_2 and NO_3^- concentrations during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Data availability. All the data presented in this article can be accessed through <https://zenodo.org/records/10273539> (Zhang, 2023).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-9885-2024-supplement>.

Author contributions. XZ: data curation, writing (original draft), visualization. LW, NW, SM, and DZ: investigation, visualization, data curation. DZ, HZ, and MW: investigation. SW: conceptualization, data curation, supervision. RZ: data curation, funding acquisition. All authors were involved in the discussion of the results.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the financial support of the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation, the Zhengzhou $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and O_3 Collaborative Control and Monitoring Project, and the National Key Research and Development Program of China.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant no. 2023M733220), the Zhengzhou PM_{2.5} and O₃ Collaborative Control and Monitoring Project (grant no. 20220347A), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant no. 2017YFC0212403).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Jeffrey Geddes and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Alicke, B.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8247, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000579>, 2003.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I - gas phase reactions of O_x, HO_x, NO_x and SO_x species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1461–1738, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004>, 2004.
- Bougiatioti, A., Nikolaou, P., Stavroulas, I., Kouvarakis, G., Weber, R., Nenes, A., Kanakidou, M., and Mihalopoulos, N.: Particle water and pH in the eastern Mediterranean: source variability and implications for nutrient availability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 4579–4591, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4579-2016>, 2016.
- Chang, Y., Zou, Z., Zhang, Y., Deng, C., Hu, J., Shi, Z., Dore, A. J., and Collett Jr., J. L.: Assessing contributions of agricultural and nonagricultural emissions to atmospheric ammonia in a Chinese megacity, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 1822–1833, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05984>, 2019.
- Chen, X., Walker, J. T., and Geron, C.: Chromatography related performance of the Monitor for Aerosols and Gases in ambient air (MARGA): laboratory and field-based evaluation, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 3893–3908, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-3893-2017>, 2017.
- Cheng, Y., Zheng, G., Wei, C., Mu, Q., Zheng, B., Wang, Z., Gao, M., Z., Q., He, K., Carmichael, G., Pöschl, U., and Su, H.: Reactive nitrogen chemistry in aerosol water as a source of sulfate during haze events in China, *Sci. Adv.*, 2, e1601530, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601530>, 2019.
- Chow, J. C., Lowenthal, D. H., Chen, L. W. A., Wang, X., and Watson, J. G.: Mass reconstruction methods for PM_{2.5}: a review, *Air Qual. Atmos. Hlth.*, 8, 243–263, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-015-0338-3>, 2015.
- Ding, J., Zhao, P., Su, J., Dong, Q., Du, X., and Zhang, Y.: Aerosol pH and its driving factors in Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 7939–7954, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7939-2019>, 2019.
- Ellis, R. A., Murphy, J. G., Markovic, M. Z., VandenBoer, T. C., Makar, P. A., Brook, J., and Mihele, C.: The influence of gas-particle partitioning and surface-atmosphere exchange on ammonia during BAQS-Met, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 133–145, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-133-2011>, 2011.
- Feng, S., Xu, W., Cheng, M., Ma, Y., Wu, L., Kang, J., Wang, K., Tang, A., Collett, J. L., Fang, Y., Goulding, K., Liu, X., and Zhang, F.: Overlooked nonagricultural and wintertime agricultural NH₃ emissions in Quzhou county, north China plain: evidence from ¹⁵N-Stable Isotopes, *Environ. Sci. Tech. Lett.*, 9, 127–133, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00935>, 2022a.
- Feng, T., Zhao, S., Liu, L., Long, X., Gao, C., and Wu, N.: Nitrous acid emission from soil bacteria and related environmental effect over the North China Plain, *Chemosphere*, 287, 132034, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132034>, 2022b.
- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for K⁺–Ca²⁺–Mg²⁺–NH₄⁺–Na⁺–SO₄²⁻–NO₃⁻–Cl⁻–H₂O aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4639–4659, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007>, 2007.
- Ge, S., Wang, G., Zhang, S., Li, D., and Zhang, H.: Abundant NH₃ in China enhances atmospheric HONO production by promoting the heterogeneous reaction of SO₂ with NO₂, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 14339–14347, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04196>, 2019.
- Gong, L., Lewicki, R., Griffin, R. J., Flynn, J. H., Lefler, B. L., and Tittel, F. K.: Atmospheric ammonia measurements in Houston, TX using an external-cavity quantum cascade laser-based sensor, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9721–9733, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9721-2011>, 2011.
- Gu, M., Pan, Y., Walters, W. W., Sun, Q., Song, L., Wang, Y., Xue, Y., and Fang, Y.: ethicular emissions enhanced ammonia concentrations in winter mornings: insights from diurnal nitrogen isotopic signatures, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 56, 1578–1585, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c05884>, 2022.
- Gunthe, S. S., Rose, D., Su, H., Garland, R. M., Achtert, P., Nowak, A., Wiedensohler, A., Kuwata, M., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zhu, T., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) from fresh and aged air pollution in the megacity region of Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 11023–11039, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11023-2011>, 2011.
- Han, S., Hong, J., Luo, Q., Xu, H., Tan, H., Wang, Q., Tao, J., Zhou, Y., Peng, L., He, Y., Shi, J., Ma, N., Cheng, Y., and Su, H.: Hygroscopicity of organic compounds as a function of organic functionality, water solubility, molecular weight, and oxidation level, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 3985–4004, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-3985-2022>, 2022.
- Haskins, J. D., Jaeglé, L., Shah, V., Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Campuzano-Jost, P., Schroder, J. C., Day, D. A., Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R., Dibb, J., Campos, T., Jimenez, J. L., Brown, S. S., and Thornton, J. A.: Wintertime gas-particle partitioning and speciation of inorganic chlorine in the lower troposphere over the northeast United States and coastal ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 123, 12897–12916, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jd028786>, 2018.
- Huang, X., Ding, A., Gao, J., Zheng, B., Zhou, D., Qi, X., Tang, R., Wang, J., Ren, C., Nie, W., Chi, X., Xu, Z., Chen, L., Li, Y., Che, F., Pang, N., Wang, H., Tong, D., Qin, W., Cheng, W., Liu, W., Fu, Q., Liu, B., Chai, F., Davis, S. J., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Enhanced secondary pollution offset reduction of primary emissions during COVID-19 lockdown in China, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 8, nwa137, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa137>, 2021a.
- Huang, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, W., Tang, G., and Wang, Y.: Atmospheric ammonia and its effect on PM_{2.5} pollution in urban Chengdu, Sichuan Basin, China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 291, 118–195, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118195>, 2021b.
- Jiang, Y., Xue, L., Shen, H., Dong, C., Xiao, Z., and Wang, W.: Dominant processes of HONO derived from multiple field ob-

- servations in contrasting environments, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 9, 258–264, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00004>, 2022.
- Kleffmann, J., Gavriloaiei, T., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Koppmann, R., Rupp, L., Schlosser, E., Siese, M., and Wahner, A.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source of OH radicals in a forest, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L05818, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gl022524>, 2005.
- Kramer, L. J., Crilley, L. R., Adams, T. J., Ball, S. M., Pope, F. D., and Bloss, W. J.: Nitrous acid (HONO) emissions under real-world driving conditions from vehicles in a UK road tunnel, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 5231–5248, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5231-2020>, 2020.
- Li, J., An, X., Cui, M., Sun, Z., Wang, C., and Li, Y.: Simulation study on regional atmospheric oxidation capacity and precursor sensitivity, *Atmos. Environ.*, 263, 118657, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118657>, 2021.
- Li, S., Song, W., Zhan, H., Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., Li, W., Tong, S., Pei, C., Wang, Y., Chen, Y., Huang, Z., Zhang, R., Zhu, M., Fang, H., Wu, Z., Wang, J., Luo, S., Fu, X., Xiao, S., Huang, X., Zeng, J., Zhang, H., Chen, D., Gligorovski, S., Ge, M., George, C., and Wang, X.: Contribution of vehicle emission and NO₂ surface conversion to nitrous acid (HONO) in urban environments: implications from tests in a tunnel, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 55, 15616–15624, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c00405>, 2021.
- Liao, S., Zhang, J., Yu, F., Zhu, M., Liu, J., Ou, J., Dong, H., Sha, Q., Zhong, Z., Xie, Y., Luo, H., Zhang, L., and Zheng, J.: High gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) emissions from light-duty diesel vehicles, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 55, 200–208, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05599>, 2021.
- Liu, J., Deng, H., Lakey, P. S. J., Jiang, H., Mekic, M., Wang, X., Shiraiwa, M., and Gligorovski, S.: Unexpectedly high indoor HONO concentrations associated with photochemical NO₂ transformation on glass windows, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 54, 15680–15688, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05624>, 2020.
- Liu, M., Song, Y., Zhou, T., Xu, Z., Yan, C., Zheng, M., Wu, Z., Hu, M., Wu, Y., and Zhu, T.: Fine particle pH during severe haze episodes in northern China, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 5213–5221, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017gl073210>, 2017.
- Liu, P., Chen, H., Song, Y., Xue, C., Ye, C., Zhao, X., Zhang, C., Liu, J., and Mu, Y.: Atmospheric ammonia in the rural North China Plain during wintertime: variations, sources, and implications for HONO heterogeneous formation, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 861, 160768, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160768>, 2023.
- Liu, Y., Ni, S., Jiang, T., Xing, S., Zhang, Y., Bao, X., Feng, Z., Fan, X., Zhang, L., and Feng, H.: Influence of Chinese New Year overlapping COVID-19 lockdown on HONO sources in Shijiazhuang, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 745, 141025, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141025>, 2020a.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Lian, C., Yan, C., Feng, Z., Zheng, F., Fan, X., Chen, Y., Wang, W., Chu, B., Wang, Y., Cai, J., Du, W., Daellenbach, K. R., Kangasluoma, J., Bianchi, F., Kujansuu, J., Petäjä, T., Wang, X., Hu, B., Wang, Y., Ge, M., He, H., and Kulmala, M.: The promotion effect of nitrous acid on aerosol formation in wintertime in Beijing: the possible contribution of traffic-related emissions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 13023–13040, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13023-2020>, 2020b.
- Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Costabile, F., Amoroso, A., Zhao, C., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R., Liao, J., and Zhu, T.: Evidence of aerosols as a media for rapid daytime HONO production over China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48, 13023–13040, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es504163z>, 2014.
- Lu, K., Guo, S., Tan, Z., Wang, H., Shang, D., Liu, Y., Li, X., Wu, Z., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y.: Exploring atmospheric free-radical chemistry in China: the self-cleansing capacity and the formation of secondary air pollution, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 6, 579–594, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwy073>, 2018.
- Luo, L., Bai, X., Lv, Y., Liu, S., Guo, Z., Liu, W., Hao, Y., Sun, Y., Hao, J., Zhang, K., Zhao, H., Lin, S., Zhao, S., Xiao, Y., Yang, J., and Tian, H.: Exploring the driving factors of haze events in Beijing during Chinese New Year holidays in 2020 and 2021 under the influence of COVID-19 pandemic, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 859, 160172, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160172>, 2023.
- Ma, S.: High-resolution assessment of ammonia emissions in China: Inventories, driving forces and mitigation, *Atmos. Environ.*, 229, 117458, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117458>, 2020.
- McFall, A. S., Edwards, K. C., and Anastasio, C.: Nitrate photochemistry at the air-ice interface and in other ice reservoirs, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 52, 5710–5717, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00095>, 2018.
- Meng, Z., Xu, X., Lin, W., Ge, B., Xie, Y., Song, B., Jia, S., Zhang, R., Peng, W., Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Yang, W., and Zhao, H.: Role of ambient ammonia in particulate ammonium formation at a rural site in the North China Plain, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 167–184, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-167-2018>, 2018.
- Meusel, H., Tamm, A., Kuhn, U., Wu, D., Leifke, A. L., Fiedler, S., Ruckteschler, N., Yordanova, P., Lang-Yona, N., Pöhlker, M., Lelieveld, J., Hoffmann, T., Pöschl, U., Su, H., Weber, B., and Cheng, Y.: Emission of nitrous acid from soil and biological soil crusts represents an important source of HONO in the remote atmosphere in Cyprus, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 799–813, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-799-2018>, 2018.
- Nah, T., Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Chen, Y., Tanner, D. J., Nenes, A., Russell, A., Ng, N. L., Huey, L. G., and Weber, R. J.: Characterization of aerosol composition, aerosol acidity, and organic acid partitioning at an agriculturally intensive rural southeastern US site, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 11471–11491, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11471-2018>, 2018.
- Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Ermel, M., Wu, D., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Breuninger, C., Moravek, A., Mouglin, E., Delon, C., Loubet, B., Pommerening-Roser, A., Sorgel, M., Poschl, U., and Hoffmann, T., Andreae, M. O., Meixner, F. X., and Trebs, I.: HONO emissions from soil bacteria as a major source of atmospheric reactive nitrogen, *Science*, 341, 1233–1235, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242266>, 2013.
- Pagsberg, P., Bjergbakke, E., Ratajczak, E., and Sillesen, A.: Kinetics of the gas phase reaction OH+NO(+M) → HONO(+M) and the determination of the UV absorption cross sections of HONO, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 272, 383–390, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-2614\(97\)00576-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-2614(97)00576-9), 1997.
- Platt, U., Perner, D., Harris, G. W., Winer, A. M., and Pitts, J. N.: Observations of nitrous acid in an urban atmosphere by differential optical absorption, *Nature*, 285, 312–314, <https://doi.org/10.1038/285312a0>, 1980.
- Pye, H. O. T., Nenes, A., Alexander, B., Ault, A. P., Barth, M. C., Clegg, S. L., Collett Jr., J. L., Fahey, K. M., Hennigan, C. J., Herrmann, H., Kanakidou, M., Kelly, J. T., Ku, I.-T., McNeill, V. F.,

- Riemer, N., Schaefer, T., Shi, G., Tilgner, A., Walker, J. T., Wang, T., Weber, R., Xing, J., Zaveri, R. A., and Zuend, A.: The acidity of atmospheric particles and clouds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 4809–4888, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-4809-2020>, 2020.
- Romer, P. S., Wooldridge, P. J., Crounse, J. D., Kim, M. J., Wennberg, P. O., Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S., Brosius, A. L., Thames, A. B., Miller, D. O., Brune, W. H., Hall, S. R., Ryerson, T. B., and Cohen, R. C.: Constraints on aerosol nitrate photolysis as a potential source of HONO and NO_x, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 52, 13738–13746, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03861>, 2018.
- Scharko, N. K., Berke, A. E., and Raff, J. D.: Release of nitrous acid and nitrogen dioxide from nitrate photolysis in acidic aqueous solutions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48, 11991–12001, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es503088x>, 2014.
- Shi, Q., Tao, Y., Krechmer, J. E., Heald, C. L., Murphy, J. G., Kroll, J. H., and Ye, Q.: Laboratory investigation of renoxification from the photolysis of inorganic particulate nitrate, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 55, 854–861, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06049>, 2021.
- Song, S., Gao, M., Xu, W., Shao, J., Shi, G., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Sun, Y., and McElroy, M. B.: Fine-particle pH for Beijing winter haze as inferred from different thermodynamic equilibrium models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 7423–7438, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7423-2018>, 2018.
- Song, S., Nenes, A., Gao, M., Zhang, Y., Liu, P., Shao, J., Ye, D., Xu, W., Lei, L., Sun, Y., Liu, B., Wang, S., and McElroy, M. B.: Thermodynamic modeling suggests declines in water uptake and acidity of inorganic aerosols in Beijing winter haze events during 2014/2015–2018/2019, *Environ. Sci. Tech. Lett.*, 6, 752–760, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.9b00621>, 2019.
- Spataro, F. and Ianniello, A.: Sources of atmospheric nitrous acid: state of the science, current research needs, and future prospects, *J. Air Waste Manage.*, 64, 1232–1250, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2014.952846>, 2014.
- Stieger, B., Spindler, G., van Pinxteren, D., Grüner, A., Wallasch, M., and Herrmann, H.: Development of an online-coupled MARGA upgrade for the 2 h interval quantification of low-molecular-weight organic acids in the gas and particle phases, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 281–298, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-281-2019>, 2019.
- Su, H., Cheng, Y., Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Andreae, M. O., Cheng, P., and Zhang, Y., and Poschl, U.: Soil nitrite as a source of atmospheric HONO and OH radicals, *Science*, 333, 1616–1618, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207687>, 2011.
- Twigg, M. M., Berkhout, A. J. C., Cowan, N., Crunaire, S., Dammers, E., Ebert, V., Gaudion, V., Haaima, M., Häni, C., John, L., Jones, M. R., Kamps, B., Kentisbeer, J., Kupper, T., Leeson, S. R., Leuenerberger, D., Lüttschwager, N. O. B., Makkonen, U., Martin, N. A., Missler, D., Mونسor, D., Neftel, A., Nelson, C., Nemitz, E., Oudwater, R., Pascale, C., Petit, J.-E., Pogony, A., Redon, N., Sintermann, J., Stephens, A., Sutton, M. A., Tang, Y. S., Zijlmans, R., Braban, C. F., and Niederhauser, B.: Inter-comparison of in situ measurements of ambient NH₃: instrument performance and application under field conditions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 15, 6755–6787, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-6755-2022>, 2022.
- Wang, C., Yin, S., Bai, L., Zhang, X., Gu, X., Zhang, H., Lu, Q., and Zhang, R.: High-resolution ammonia emission inventories with comprehensive analysis and evaluation in Henan, China, 2006–2016, *Atmos. Environ.*, 193, 11–23, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.08.063>, 2018.
- Wang, S., Wang, L., Li, Y., Wang, C., Wang, W., Yin, S., and Zhang, R.: Effect of ammonia on fine-particle pH in agricultural regions of China: comparison between urban and rural sites, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 2719–2734, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-2719-2020>, 2020.
- Wang, S., Wang, L., Fan, X., Wang, N., Ma, S., and Zhang, R.: Formation pathway of secondary inorganic aerosol and its influencing factors in Northern China: Comparison between urban and rural sites, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 840, 156404, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156404>, 2022.
- Wang, S., Fan, X., Xu, Y., Zhang, R., and Ren, B.: Insight into the non-linear responses of particulate sulfate to reduced SO₂ concentration: A perspective from the aqueous-phase reactions in a megacity in Northern China, *Atmos. Res.*, 290, 106796, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2023.106796>, 2023.
- Wang, W., Wang, S., Xu, J., Zhou, R., Shi, C., and Zhou, B.: Gas-phase ammonia and PM_{2.5} ammonium in a busy traffic area of Nanjing, China, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 23, 1691–1702, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5397-3>, 2016.
- Wang, Y., Jin, X., Liu, Z., Wang, G., Tang, G., Lu, K., Hu, B., Wang, S., Li, G., An, X., Wang, C., Hu, Q., He, L., Zhang, F., and Zhang, Y.: Progress in quantitative research on the relationship between atmospheric oxidation and air quality, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 123, 350–366, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2022.06.029>, 2023.
- Wells, M., Choulaton, T. W., and Bower, K. N.: A modelling study of the interaction of ammonia with cloud, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 359–363, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310\(97\)00199-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(97)00199-4), 1998.
- Wentworth, G. R., Murphy, J. G., Benedict, K. B., Bangs, E. J., and Collett Jr., J. L.: The role of dew as a night-time reservoir and morning source for atmospheric ammonia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 7435–7449, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7435-2016>, 2016.
- Wu, C., Lv, S., Wang, F., Liu, X., Li, J., Liu, L., Zhang, S., Du, W., Liu, S., Zhang, F., Li, J., Meng, J., and Wang, G.: Ammonia in urban atmosphere can be substantially reduced by vehicle emission control: A case study in Shanghai, China, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 126, 754–760, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2022.04.043>, 2023.
- Xie, Y., Wang, G., Wang, X., Chen, J., Chen, Y., Tang, G., Wang, L., Ge, S., Xue, G., Wang, Y., and Gao, J.: Nitrate-dominated PM_{2.5} and elevation of particle pH observed in urban Beijing during the winter of 2017, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 5019–5033, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5019-2020>, 2020.
- Xing, L., Fu, T.-M., Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Wang, G. H., Ho, K. F., Cheng, M.-C., You, C.-F., and Wang, T. J.: Seasonal and spatial variability of the OM/OC mass ratios and high regional correlation between oxalic acid and zinc in Chinese urban organic aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4307–4318, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-4307-2013>, 2013.
- Xu, J., Chen, J., Zhao, N., Wang, G., Yu, G., Li, H., Huo, J., Lin, Y., Fu, Q., Guo, H., Deng, C., Lee, S.-H., Chen, J., and Huang, K.: Importance of gas-particle partitioning of ammonia in haze formation in the rural agricultural environment, *At-*

- mos. Chem. Phys., 20, 7259–7269, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7259-2020>, 2020.
- Xu, W., Kuang, Y., Zhao, C., Tao, J., Zhao, G., Bian, Y., Yang, W., Yu, Y., Shen, C., Liang, L., Zhang, G., Lin, W., and Xu, X.: NH₃-promoted hydrolysis of NO₂ induces explosive growth in HONO, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 10557–10570, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10557-2019>, 2019.
- Xu, W., Zhao, Y., Wen, Z., Chang, Y., Pan, Y., Sun, Y., Ma, X., Sha, Z., Li, Z., Kang, J., Liu, L., Tang, A., Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Guo, Y., Zhang, L., Sheng, L., Zhang, X., Gu, B., Song, Y., Van Damme, M., Clarisse, L., Coheur, P. F., Collett Jr., J. L., Goulding, K., Zhang, F., He, K., and Liu, X.: Increasing importance of ammonia emission abatement in PM_{2.5} pollution control, *Sci. Bull.*, 67, 1745–1749, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2022.07.021>, 2022.
- Xue, C.: Substantially growing interest in the chemistry of nitrous acid (HONO) in China: current achievements, problems, and future directions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 56, 7375–7377, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02237>, 2022.
- Ye, C., Zhang, N., Gao, H., and Zhou, X.: Photolysis of particulate nitrate as a source of HONO and NO_x, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 6849–6856, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00387>, 2017.
- Ye, X., Ma, Z., Zhang, J., Du, H., Chen, J., Chen, H., Yang, X., Gao, W., and Geng, F.: Important role of ammonia on haze formation in Shanghai, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 6, 024019, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/6/2/024019>, 2011.
- Zhang, R.: Elevated excess-NH₃ can promote the redox reaction to produce HONO: Insights from the COVID-19 pandemic, Zenodo [data set], <https://zenodo.org/records/10273539>, 2023.
- Zhang, Q., Liu, P., Wang, Y., Gerorge, C., Chen, T., Ma, S. L., Ren, Y., Mu, Y., Song, M., Herrmann, H., Mellouki, A., Chen, J., Zhao, X., Wang, S., and Y., Z.: Unveiling the underestimated direct emissions of nitrous acid (HONO), *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 120, e2302048120, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2302048120>, 2023.
- Zhang, W., Tong, S., Jia, C., Wang, L., Liu, B., Tang, G., Ji, D., Hu, B., Liu, Z., Li, W., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., and Ge, M.: Different HONO sources for three layers at the urban area of Beijing, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 54, 12870–12880, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02146>, 2020.
- Zhang, W., Tong, S., Jia, C., Ge, M., Ji, D., Zhang, C., Liu, P., Zhao, X., Mu, Y., Hu, B., Wang, L., Tang, G., Li, X., Li, W., and Wang, Z.: Effect of different combustion processes on atmospheric nitrous acid formation mechanisms: a winter comparative observation in urban, suburban and rural areas of the North China Plain, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 56, 4828–4837, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c07784>, 2022.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Fang, Y., Liu, D., Tang, A., and Collett, J. L.: Atmospheric ammonia in Beijing during the COVID-19 outbreak: concentrations, sources, and implications, *Environ. Sci. Tech. Lett.*, 8, 32–38, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00756>, 2020.