Atmos. Chem. Phys., 24, 9645–9665, 2024 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-9645-2024 © Author(s) 2024. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Estimation of ground-level NO² and its spatiotemporal variations in China using GEMS measurements and a nested machine learning model

Naveed Ahmad 1 , Changqing Lin 1 , Alexis K. H. Lau 1,2 , Jhoon Kim 3 , Tianshu Zhang 4,5 , Fangqun Yu 6 , Chengcai Li^7 , Ying Li^8 , Jimmy C. H. Fung^{1,9}, and Xiang Qian Lao¹⁰

¹Division of Environment and Sustainability, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Sai Kung, Hong Kong SAR, China ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Sai Kung, Hong Kong SAR, China ³Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea ⁴Institute of Environment, Hefei Comprehensive National Science Center, Hefei 230000, China ⁵Key Laboratory of Environment Optics and Technology, Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230000, China ⁶Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, NY 12226, USA ⁷Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ⁸Department of Ocean Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China ⁹Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Sai Kung, Hong Kong SAR, China ¹⁰Department of Biomedical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China **Correspondence:** Changqing Lin (cqlin@ust.hk)

> Received: 26 February 2024 – Discussion started: 13 March 2024 Revised: 5 June 2024 – Accepted: 21 June 2024 – Published: 30 August 2024

Abstract. The major link between satellite-derived vertical column densities (VCDs) of nitrogen dioxide (NO2) and ground-level concentrations is theoretically the $NO₂$ mixing height (NMH). Various meteorological parameters have been used as a proxy for NMH in existing studies. This study developed a nested XGBoost machine learning model to convert VCDs of $NO₂$ into ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations across China using Geostationary Environmental Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS) measurements. This nested model was designed to directly incorporate NMH into the methodological framework to estimate satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. The inner machine learning model predicted the NMH from meteorological parameters, which were then input into the main XGBoost machine learning model to predict the ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations from its VCDs. The inclusion of NMH significantly enhanced the accuracy of ground-level NO₂ concentration estimates; i.e., the R^2 values were improved from 0.73 to 0.93 in 10-fold cross-validation and from 0.88 to 0.99 in the fully trained model. Furthermore, NMH was identified as the second most important predictor variable, following the VCDs of $NO₂$. Subsequently, the satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ data were analyzed across subregions with varying geographic locations and urbanization levels. Highly populated areas typically experienced peak $NO₂$ concentrations during the early morning rush hour, whereas areas categorized as lightly populated observed a slight increase in NO₂ levels 1 or 2 h later, likely due to regional pollutant dispersion from urban sources. This study underscores the importance of incorporating NMH in estimating ground-level NO₂ from satellite column measurements and highlights the significant advantages of geostationary satellites in providing detailed air pollution information at an hourly resolution.

1 Introduction

Nitrogen dioxide $(NO₂)$ is a pivotal trace gas within the atmosphere, exerting substantial influence on the ecological environment, air quality, and climate change (Myhre et al., 2013). This significance is underscored by its role as a prominent air pollutant with inhalable characteristics that pose potential health risks (Xue et al., 2023). Additionally, it serves as an essential precursor to the formation of secondary particles and ozone (Li et al., 2019). The origins of $NO₂$ are multifarious and intricate, stemming from diverse sources such as fossil-fuel-fired power plants, vehicular emissions, industrial activities, biofuel combustion, and residential cooking (Jion et al., 2023). Natural sources encompass wildfires, soil emissions, and lightning discharges (Li et al., 2022). Concerted efforts, including the implementation of stringent emission control policies in China, have resulted in a gradual reduction of $NO₂$ concentrations (Fan et al., 2020). Despite these positive trends, severe $NO₂$ pollution issues persist due to the heavy emissions associated with China's rapid economic development, particularly in urban agglomerations (Meng et al., 2018). The polluted regions in China continue to exhibit $NO₂$ concentrations that surpass the safety standard set by the World Health Organization (WHO) air quality guidelines (AQGs) (Chi et al., 2022).

While ground-based monitoring excels in accurately capturing $NO₂$ concentrations, the challenge lies in the low density and scattered distribution of observation stations (Wei et al., 2022). The inherent limitations in the geographical coverage of these stations, coupled with the elevated costs, render it challenging to effectively fulfill the requirements for monitoring ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations across extensive regions (Kong et al., 2021). This spatial limitation introduces substantial uncertainties when endeavoring to assess the levels of exposure on a large scale (Chi et al., 2022). Satellite instruments offer continuous air quality monitoring with broad spatial coverage (Li and Managi, 2022). Satellite-retrieved vertical column densities (VCDs) of $NO₂$ have been extensively utilized to identify variations in $NO₂$ pollution and emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) across various regions (Cui et al., 2021; Iqbal et al., 2022; Park et al., 2021). However, the official satellite products provide only the column amount of $NO₂$, not the ground-level concentrations (Lamsal et al., 2014). Consequently, there has been a discernible surge in scientific research focused on deriving ground-level NO² concentrations through satellite data analyses.

The $NO₂$ columns have been measured through polar sunsynchronous low-Earth-orbiting (LEO) satellite instruments (Yang et al., 2023). These LEO satellite instruments have a daily overpass time at exact locations. However, $NO₂$ pollution may vary significantly during different times of the day, driven by emissions, meteorology, and atmospheric chemistry (Shen et al., 2023). The single measurement per day from the LEO satellite instruments, typically taken around noon or in the afternoon, may lead to an underestimation of annual mean values (Qin et al., 2017). Previous studies have explored the diurnal variations of $NO₂$ by leveraging the differences in overpass times among these LEO satellite instruments (Boersma et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2010). However, these analyses are largely affected by the varied performance of on-board monitoring sensors and unstable data pairing (Hilboll et al., 2013). This highlights the importance of using a quantitatively uniform air quality dataset with a much higher temporal resolution from a single suite of onboard monitoring sensors to provide new insights into the diurnal variation of air pollution.

The Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS) stands as the inaugural satellite instrument launched for the explicit purpose of monitoring both gaseous and aerosol pollutants from a geostationary Earth orbit (GEO) over Asia (Kim et al., 2020). It was launched successfully by the Republic of Korea on 19 February 2020 and entered its intended orbit on 6 March 2020. The primary objective of the GEMS mission is to provide hourly columnar measurements of critical air quality parameters, including $NO₂$, ozone, and aerosols, across the Asian region. Unlike traditional LEO satellite instruments, the GEO-based GEMS provides more frequent monitoring of the columnar concentration of air pollutants, thereby enhancing our comprehension of the diurnal variations of $NO₂$ over Asia (Yang et al., 2023). Additionally, the data acquired through GEMS measurements show a significant improvement in spatial resolution compared to most existing LEO measurements.

Various studies have been conducted to estimate groundlevel $NO₂$ concentrations from satellite measurements, leveraging their ability to cover a large spatial extent (Fan et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021). The major bridge linking the VCDs of $NO₂$ with the groundlevel concentration is theoretically the $NO₂$ mixing height (NMH). Various meteorological conditions can govern the variations in the NMH (Ahmad et al., 2024). For instance, increased temperature facilitates the vertical dispersion of NO2, leading to an increase in the NMH. To convert the VCDs of $NO₂$ into ground-level concentrations, studies have employed various techniques, such as air quality models, machine learning techniques, land-use regression, and geographically weighted regression (Chi et al., 2022; Lamsal et al., 2008; Wei et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2021). These conversion models have considered multiple meteorological factors, such as temperature, humidity, and wind, along with the planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) (Chi et al., 2022; Qin et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2022).

Numerous past studies have highlighted the importance of the boundary layer structure in governing the occurrence and evolution of extreme air pollution episodes (Shi et al., 2020). A significant relationship between a surge in surface air pol-

lutant concentrations and a shallow PBLH has been extensively reported (Miao et al., 2019; Su et al., 2020b). It has also been recognized that air pollutants aloft can play a core role in the evolution of extreme surface pollution episodes via vertical mixing (Zhang and Rao, 1999). When the top of the mixing layer reaches the aloft pollutant-rich layer during the daytime, air pollutants can be entrained downwards, which rapidly increases surface air pollutant concentrations (Zhang et al., 2016). In addition to the vertical exchange, radiative absorption and scattering by pollutants can modify the boundary layer structure and consequently affect groundlevel pollutant concentrations. For instance, high loadings of scattering pollutants can cool the air near the ground and result in a more stable boundary layer, which further worsens air quality (Li et al., 2017). As a result, the PBLH has been used as a proxy of the NMH because of its ability to regulate near-surface pollution levels. However, as $NO₂$ may not be uniformly distributed within the planetary boundary layer, a significant difference may exist between the PBLH and NMH. It is important to develop a conversion model that directly considers the impacts of the NMH, which paves the way to refine the processes of converting satellite-derived columnar measurements into ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations (Ahmad et al., 2024).

Based on the GEMS measurements, Ahmad et al. (2024) evaluated the impacts of meteorological factors on the variations in the NMH over China and applied a machine learning method to predict the NMH from the meteorological parameters. In the present study, we developed a nested machinelearning-based model to evaluate the effects of NMH on the conversion of columnar $NO₂$ measurements to ground-level NO² concentrations. The inner machine learning model predicted the NMH from the meteorological parameters. Subsequently, the predicted NMH was incorporated into the main machine learning model to predict the ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations from its VCDs. Furthermore, the satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ data were analyzed for subregions with different geographic locations and urbanization levels. This study aims to enhance our understanding of the effects of NMH on the conversion of satellite-based columnar measurements to ground-level NO₂ concentrations. Additionally, it seeks to enrich the information on spatial and diurnal patterns of ground-level $NO₂$ across China using the world's first geostationary environmental satellite.

2 Study area, data, and methodology

2.1 Study area

This study investigated the spatial and temporal variations in ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations using GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs and various ground measurements for 2021. The study area is illustrated in Fig. 1, covering most of China between 18– 43° N and 103–123° E. Considering the varied characteristics of air pollution in different regions of China, we divided the study area into six subregions: northwestern China (NWC, including Gansu, Ningxia, and Shaanxi); northern China (NC, including Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, and Inner Mongolia); central China (CC, including Henan, Hubei, and Hunan); eastern China (EC, including Shandong, Jiangsu, Anhui, Shanghai, Zhejiang, Jiangxi, Fujian, and Taiwan); southwestern China (SWC, including Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou, and Yunnan); and southern China (SC, including Guangdong, Guangxi, and Hainan). Satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ data were analyzed across these subregions.

2.2 GEMS NO2 VCDs

The GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs from its level-2 product were employed in this study. The $NO₂ VCD$ retrieval algorithm is developed based on the differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) technique (Platt et al., 2008). It initially computes slant column densities (SCDs) of $NO₂$ within the wavelength range of 432–450 nm. Subsequently, these SCDs are transformed into VCDs using hourly air mass factors (AMFs). The nominal detection limit for the $NO₂$ VCDs is 1×10^{14} molec. cm⁻², with a retrieval accuracy of 1×10^{15} molec. cm⁻². NO₂ VCDs surpassing the GEMS detection limit of 1×10^{17} molec. cm⁻² were considered noise and consequently excluded from further analysis. The nominal spatial resolution of the GEMS $NO₂$ product was $7 \text{ km} \times 7.7 \text{ km}$, by binning two pixels of $3.5 \text{ km} \times 7.7 \text{ km}$ each (Ahmad et al., 2024). Despite the irregular shape of satellite measurement pixels due to east-to-west scans, this study performed re-gridding, which standardized the VCDs of NO₂ onto a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$ by calculating the average of all the NO₂ VCDs within the $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$ grid from 08:00 to 15:00 LT (local time; all instances of time in the text are in local time) in China. Data were excluded in the presence of cloudy conditions and solar zenith angles greater than 70°. Additional information on the GEMS mission and retrieval algorithms is available in the study by Kim et al. (2020).

2.3 Population data

We used the latest population data for 2021 from Oak Ridge National Laboratory's (ORNL) LandScan global product [\(https://landscan.ornl.gov/,](https://landscan.ornl.gov/) last access: 1 December 2023). The LandScan population data are derived via an innovative methodology that combines geographic information science, remote sensing technology, and machine learning algorithms. Operating at a remarkably fine resolution of approximately 1 km, LandScan represents the most detailed global population distribution data accessible. As the satellite $NO₂$ measurements were on a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$, we regridded the LandScan population data onto a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$. The spatial distribution of population density (D_P , people km⁻²) in the study area is shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 1. Study area and six subregions shown as different background colors. Blue circles show distributions of ground-based NO₂ monitoring stations. Yellow circles show the distributions of meteorological stations.

Based on population density, we divided the study region into four categories: lightly populated (LP) when $D_P \le 200$ people km⁻²; moderately populated (MP) when $D_P > 200$ people km⁻² but ≤ 500 people km⁻²; highly populated (HP) when $D_P > 500$ people km⁻² but ≤ 1000 people km⁻²; and supremely highly populated (SHP) when $D_P > 1000$ people km⁻². Satellite-derived ground-level NO₂ data were analyzed across subregions with varying urbanization levels.

2.4 Ground-based NO₂ and meteorological measurements

In this study, we acquired hourly $NO₂$ concentration data for 2021 from ground air quality monitoring networks situated within the study region. The spatial distribution of 856 ground-based NO² stations, sourced from the China National

Environmental Monitoring Center [\(https://www.cnemc.cn/,](https://www.cnemc.cn/) last access: 1 September 2023) and the Taiwan Environmental Protection Administration [\(http://210.69.101.63/taqm/en/](http://210.69.101.63/taqm/en/default.aspx) [default.aspx,](http://210.69.101.63/taqm/en/default.aspx) last access: 1 September 2023), is shown as blue circles in Fig. 1. Meteorological variables encompassing temperature (T) , air pressure (P) , wind speed (WS), relative humidity (RH), dew point (DP), visibility (VIS), and precipitation (PRECIP) were used in this study. These meteorological parameters were acquired from the global telecommunications system of the World Meteorological Organization. The spatial distribution of 208 meteorological stations is illustrated as yellow circles in Fig. 1.

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of population density (D_P, people km−²) within the study area.

2.5 Locations matching between different datasets

Satellite measurements, characterized by their extensive spatial coverage, stand in contrast to the localized nature of ground measurements available at specific locations. To establish a correspondence between satellite measurements and ground air quality monitoring networks, the satellite $NO₂$ data specific to the geographical coordinates corresponding to ground stations were meticulously extracted. Notably, the locations of meteorological stations may differ from those of air quality monitoring stations. Therefore, meteorological data were assigned to air quality monitoring stations situated within a 50 km radius of the meteorological station. The filtering process for model training involved the selection of stations with valid observations for all meteorological and air quality variables. These station-based datasets were used to train the machine learning model. For predicting groundlevel NO² concentrations from satellite measurements, all meteorological variables were mapped onto a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$ using the bilinear interpolation method. The spatial interpolation results of these meteorological parameters, together with the satellite measurements on the same regular grid, were employed to estimate ground-level $NO₂$ concentration at a resolution of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$.

2.6 Nested machine learning model to consider the effects of NMH

Machine learning models have been successfully employed in estimating ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations using satellite

data, typically following a two-fold procedural framework. Initializing this process involves the construction of a regression model, which is conventionally utilized to establish the overarching relationship between ground-measured $NO₂$ and its influencing factors (Chen et al., 2019; Chi et al., 2022). In this phase, the sample data undergo division into a training dataset and a test dataset for model training and subsequent verification, respectively. The attainment of an optimal regression model is facilitated through parameter optimization techniques. Subsequently, the second phase entails the application of the regression model, where relevant data are inputted for application analysis to estimate the results.

Within machine learning studies, the ensemble learning paradigm emerges as a prevailing methodology to amalgamate diverse learning algorithms into a cohesive regression model characterized by robust performance across multifaceted domains. Owing to the disparate methodologies employed in the generation of individual learners, ensemble learning bifurcates into two principal categories: the sequential instantiation of individual learners, as encapsulated by the boosting approach, and the concurrent instantiation of individual learners, exemplified by bagging and random forest (Friedman et al., 2000; Prasad et al., 2006). The boosting algorithm, a variant of the lifting technique, is instrumental in diminishing variance in supervised learning scenarios, wherein distinct models are formed through the employment of disparate loss functions. XGBoost leverages both first-order and second-order derivatives to enhance the precision of model loss, a strategy that proves instrumental in achieving higher accuracy. Notably, during the process of selecting the optimal splitting point, XGBoost facilitates parallel optimization. This concurrent optimization significantly mitigates computational complexity, thereby effectively curtailing overfitting tendencies in the model. XGBoost stands out as a notably efficient end-to-end gradient boosting tree framework, adept at transforming numerous weak learners into robust ones through boosting. This framework frequently demonstrates reduced computational overhead and enhanced predictive accuracy when compared with alternative ensemble tree models (Chen and Guestrin, 2016). Moreover, XGBoost exhibits a lower susceptibility to overfitting by mitigating the bias within the context of bias–variance decomposition. XGBoost has been empirically demonstrated to adeptly capture nonlinear relationships between predictions and predictors, yielding precise estimations through its regularized boosting methodology. This approach constructs the ultimate model by iteratively refining simpler and weaker models. Each subsequent tree learns from its predecessors and updates residual errors via gradient descent to optimize the loss function. Within the XGBoost framework, an augmented penalty term is incorporated into the error function to fine-tune the objective function, thereby smoothing the final learned weights and mitigating overfitting tendencies. Additionally, to further mitigate overfitting, feature sub-sampling and shrinkage techniques are integrated (Liu, 2021). The

study by Van et al. (2023) also demonstrated the XGBoost algorithm as the most suitable lightweight algorithm based on the comparative analysis of three machine learning models, i.e., XGBoost, decision tree, and random forest. The XG-Boost algorithm has proven to be useful in various air quality studies, including those focusing on the conversion between satellite-based column measurements and ground-level concentrations (Shao et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023). More details on the XGBoost regression model can be found in Chi et al. (2022).

In this study, a nested XGBoost machine learning model was developed to incorporate the NMH to convert columnar measurements into ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. The schematic illustration of the nested XGBoost machine learning model implemented in this study is depicted in Fig. 3. Firstly, an inner machine learning model (i.e., random forest) was applied to predict the NMH using meteorological variables as input parameters. The evaluation of the predicted NMH showed a good agreement with the measurementbased results, with respective coefficient of determination $(R²)$ values of 0.84 and 0.96 for the 10-fold cross-validation and fully trained model (Ahmad et al., 2024). The NMH dataset was then mapped onto a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$ and incorporated into the main machine learning model (i.e., $XGBoost$ regression) to estimate ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. The main XGBoost machine learning model employed 11 input parameters, including GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs, NMH, 2 temporal variables (i.e., month of the year and hour of the day ranging from 08:00 to 15:00), and 7 meteorological parameters (i.e., T , P , WS, RH, DP, VIS, and PRECIP). The months are numbered from 1 to 12, corresponding to January through December, exactly as per the real months of the observations. All common meteorological variables available from the ground monitoring network were used in this study. The ability of these meteorological variables to regulate near-surface $NO₂$ levels is ranked by feature importance in the machine learning model. In our previous study, these meteorological parameters were shown to impact the vertical mixing of $NO₂$ to varying extents (Ahmad et al., 2024). For instance, elevated temperatures are conducive to the upward mixing of air pollutants. Increased wind speed is associated with an unstable atmosphere and can impact $NO₂$ levels by modifying the vertical dispersion and horizontal transport of air pollutants. Increased surface air pressure often leads to large-scale sinking air motion, which suppresses the vertical dispersion of $NO₂$. In this study, all input parameters were filtered based on available satellite observations for the year 2021. To reveal the impacts of the NMH, we compared the performance of the basic XGBoost machine learning model without considering the NMH (Model I) and the nested XGBoost machine learning model after considering the NMH (Model II).

To avoid overfitting and assess the efficacy of the model, the 10-fold cross-validation methodology was employed. The dataset was partitioned into 10 groups of comparable

size, with nine folds utilized for model fitting. The remaining fold served as a validation set to gauge model performance. This iterative process was repeated 10 times, with each fold serving as the validation set, to evaluate the model's performance across all folds comprehensively. A set of widely recognized statistical metrics, including R^2 , root mean square error (RMSE), mean deviation (MD), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), were adopted to quantify the model's performance. In addition to the cross-validation, the XG-Boost regression model was trained using the entire dataset of input parameters to predict the ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations on a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$ across the study region for the year 2021. The fully trained model was assessed using the same statistical indicators to evaluate its predictive performance comprehensively.

2.7 Hourly, seasonal, and annual correction factors

There were some missing data for satellite NO₂ VCDs due to cloudy conditions between 08:00 and 15:00 for 2021. Therefore, we applied the correction factors, representing the ratio between the average $NO₂$ from all ground measurements and the average ground $NO₂$ measurements when satellite data were available (Eq. 1). These correction factors were used to obtain a bias-corrected estimation of satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations for each hour from $08:00$ to 15:00.

$$
F(k) = \frac{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}C_{g}(i,k)}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}C_{g}(i,k)}
$$
(1)

Here, $F(k)$ represents the correction factor for hour k (each hour from 08:00 to 15:00), C_g represents ground-measured $NO₂$ concentrations, *m* shows all ground measurements of $NO₂$, and *n* corresponds to ground measurements of $NO₂$ only when the satellite data were available. For a specific hour, the maximum possible value of m index in Eq. (1) is 365 for 1 year. The station-based spatial distributions of correction factors for each hour from 08:00 to 15:00 are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement. As the predicted $NO₂$ concentrations in the study region were on a regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$, the bilinear interpolation was applied to map the correction factors for each hour from 08:00 to 15:00 on the same regular grid of $0.2^{\circ} \times 0.4^{\circ}$ (Fig. S2). The bias-corrected ground-level NO² concentrations for each hour from 08:00 to 15:00 were then estimated using Eq. (2).

$$
C_{\rm s}(k) = C_{\rm s,0}(k) \times F(k),\tag{2}
$$

where $C_s(k)$ represents the bias-corrected satellite-estimated ground-level NO₂ concentrations for the hour k, and $C_{s,0}(k)$ represents initially predicted $NO₂$ concentrations.

Further, as the satellite data were available only during the daytime from 08:00 to 15:00, there were also missing satellite data for nighttime and other hours of the day beyond 08:00 and 15:00. Therefore, for seasonal correction factors, we calculated the ratio between the seasonal average of

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the nested XGBoost machine learning model, including an inner model to predict the NMH from meteorological values and main XGBoost regression model to convert the column measurements into ground-level NO₂ concentrations. The basic XGBoost model (Model I) does not consider NMH from the inner model and utilizes only 10 input variables for testing and training: satellite NO2, 2 temporal variables, and 7 meteorological variables. The nested XGBoost model (Model II) considers the NMH from the inner model an additional input variable, along with the other 10 input variables used for the basic model. Therefore, the nested model utilizes 11 input variables for testing and training: satellite NO2, 2 temporal variables, 7 meteorological variables, and the NMH predictions from the inner model.

all available ground-measured $NO₂$ concentrations for 24 h and the seasonal average of ground-measured $NO₂$ when the satellite data were available. The station-based and interpolated spatial distributions of correction factors for each season (i.e., spring, summer, fall, and winter) are presented in Fig. S3. Subsequently, Eq. (2) was used to calculate the biascorrected ground-level NO₂ concentrations for each season. Similarly, to obtain the annual correction factor, we estimated the ratio between the annual average of all available groundmeasured $NO₂$ concentrations for 24 h and the annual aver-

age of ground-measured $NO₂$ when the satellite data were available (Eq. 3).

$$
F = \frac{\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} C_{g}(i)}{\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{g}(i)}
$$
(3)

Here, F represents the annual correction factor, $C_{\rm g}$ represents ground-measured $NO₂$ concentrations, j shows all ground measurements of $NO₂$, and p corresponds to ground measurements of $NO₂$ only when the satellite data were

available. For the annual correction factor, the maximum possible value of j index in Eq. (3) is 8760 for 1 year. The spatial distributions of station-based and interpolated annual correction factors are shown in Fig. S4. Then, Eq. (2) was used for the bias correction of annual ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations.

3 Results

3.1 Evaluations of the nested XGBoost machine learning model and its feature contribution

The basic XGBoost model, referred to as Model I, was trained and evaluated by considering GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs together with temporal and meteorological variables as input parameters. Then, the nested XGBoost model, referred to as Model II, was trained and evaluated by considering the NMH as input parameters in addition to the input parameters of Model I. Figure 4a shows the 10-fold crossvalidation of Model I. It depicts a value of 0.73 for R^2 , while the RMSE, MD, and MAPE were $8.06 \,\mathrm{\mu g\,m^{-3}}$, $0.09 \,\mathrm{\mu g\,m^{-3}}$, and 39.68 %, respectively. The 10-fold cross-validation of Model II after considering the NMH is revealed in Fig. 4c, which shows an improved R^2 value of 0.93 and a lower RMSE of 4.19 μ gm⁻³, MD of 0.01 μ gm⁻³, and MAPE of 14.78 %. Further, we trained Model I and Model II on the entire dataset of the input parameters for the year 2021. The evaluations of the fully trained Model I and Model II are presented in Fig. 4b and d, respectively. Again, Model II shows a lower bias and an improved R^2 value after considering the influences of NMH (e.g., R^2 increases from 0.88 to 0.99). These results clearly demonstrate that the inclusion of NMH has a great influence on the model's performance. By adding NMH as an input parameter to the machine learning model, it can better capture the vertical distributions of $NO₂$ and hence can predict the ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations with higher accuracy and lower bias. Given the superior performance of Model II in accurately predicting ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations, we used the predictions from Model II for further analysis in this study.

A total of 11 features were involved in the predictions of ground-level $NO₂$. These features include GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs, NMH, two temporal variables (hour of the day and month of the year), and seven meteorological variables $(T,$ P, WS, RH, VIS, DP, and PRECIP). Based on the XGBoost machine learning model, the feature contribution of input parameters in descending order is presented in Fig. 5. GEMS NO² VCDs were identified as the top predictor variable with a feature importance of 54.98 %. The second important predictor was NMH, with a contribution of 25.64 %. The temporal variables were ranked third and fourth, with an importance of 3.23 % and 3.21 % for the month of the year and hour of the day, respectively. They were followed by the meteorological parameters with a contribution of 2.45 % from temperature, 2.23 % from visibility, 2.01 % from relative humidity, 1.86 % from pressure, 1.84 % from wind speed, 1.63 % from precipitation, and 0.92 % from dew point. Among the predictors, the dominant contributors to the predictions were GEMS NO² VCDs and NMH, accounting for 80.62 % of the predictive power. Temporal variables made a modest contribution of 6.44 %, while meteorological parameters contributed only 12.94 % to the overall prediction accuracy.

The Shapley additive explanation (SHAP) values presented in Fig. 6 were estimated from the XGBoost machine learning model to understand the impacts of individual input variables on the model's predictions. The analysis reveals that higher values of GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs correspond to higher predictions of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. In comparison, lower values of GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs result in lower predicted levels of ground-level $NO₂$. Conversely, lower NMH values are associated with higher predicted ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations, whereas higher NMH values are linked to lower predicted ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. For temporal variables, the month of the year indicates the intra-annual pattern of ground-level $NO₂$, with lower concentrations observed in warm seasons and higher concentrations in cold seasons. On the other hand, the hour of the day indicates the diurnal variations of ground-level $NO₂$ values, with higher concentrations occurring during the morning and lower values during the afternoon. However, it is noted that the SHAP values for the meteorological variables, including temperature, are all small, clustered around zero, and have limited influence on the prediction results. The major and distinct impact on the model's performance for predicting ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations is observed for GEMS NO₂ VCDs and NMH.

3.2 Spatial distributions of ground-level NO2 concentrations

Based on the satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations (mentioned as ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations from hereon), Fig. 7 shows an example of the spatial distributions of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations for each hour from 08:00 to 15:00 on 29 September 2021. The figure depicts a notable diurnal pattern of ground-level $NO₂$, with the highest values observed at 08:00 and the lowest values observed at 15:00, following a decreasing trend from 08:00 to 15:00. A few GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs were missing due to high cloud fractions during some hours. Additionally, it should be noted that satellite measurements are only available during the daytime. We employed correction factors based on ground measurements to address the data missing issues resulting from clouds and temporal gaps (see Sect. 2.7).

The bias-corrected ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were applied in the further analyses. Figure 8 shows the spatial distributions of the annual average ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations for the year 2021 across the study region, including four urban agglomerations: the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH) region, the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), the Pearl

Figure 4. The 10-fold cross-validation (a) and the validation of a fully trained model (b) for satellite-estimated ground-level NO₂ concentrations from basic Model I without considering the NMH. The 10-fold cross-validation (c) and the validation of a fully trained model (d) for satellite-estimated ground-level NO₂ concentrations from nested Model II after considering the NMH. The red dotted line represents a 1 : 1 relationship. The solid black line is the line of best fit between the ground-measured $NO₂$ and the satellite-estimated $NO₂$. The scattered dots represent the individual NO₂ values for each ground measurement and satellite-based estimation. The color scale ranging from red to blue represents the density of the $NO₂$ values, with red indicating high density and blue representing low density.

Figure 5. Relative importance of individual input features (i.e., GEMS NO₂ VCDs, NMH, temporal variables, and meteorological parameters) in the XGBoost machine learning model.

River Delta (PRD), and the Sichuan Basin (SCB). Most urban agglomerations depicted ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations around 40 μ gm⁻³ or even higher. The highest groundlevel NO² concentrations were observed in the BTH region, with a spatial distribution characterized by higher values in the region's central, southern, and southeast parts and lower concentrations in the northern and southwestern parts. In the YRD region, elevated values were observed over Shanghai, the southern part of Jiangsu, and the northern part of Zhejiang. The PRD region exhibited the highest ground-level NO² concentrations in its central region, along with Guangdong's coast and central areas. In the SCB, the western part of Chongqing depicted the highest ground-level NO₂ concentrations, which can be attributed to its large population and higher emissions. The presence of a few scattered clusters of $NO₂$ pollution in the SCB could be attributed to economic factors and the influence of topography (Li et al., 2023). These spatial patterns are in good agreement with previous studies conducted using LEO satellite instruments

Figure 6. Shapley additive explanation (SHAP) values from the XGBoost machine learning model to explain the impacts of individual input variables on the model's prediction of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations.

(Chi et al., 2022; Qin et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021).

Considering the human health risks associated with $NO₂$, we evaluated the population exposure levels for different provinces in the study region. The provincial-level $NO₂$ concentrations were estimated from the annual average groundlevel $NO₂$ concentrations. Figure 9 compares the spatial mean and population-weighted mean of $NO₂$ concentrations for individual provinces in descending order by the population-weighted mean. The population-weighted mean NO² concentrations were consistently higher than the spatial mean $NO₂$ concentrations, indicating that relying solely on the spatial mean may underestimate the population exposure level. The underestimation of population exposure levels using the spatial mean was more pronounced in provinces with centralized populations (e.g., Hebei and Guangdong).

The population in the province of Tianjin was exposed to the highest levels of $NO₂$, with a population-weighted $NO₂$ mean of $40.26 \,\mathrm{\mu g\,m}^{-3}$. This level of exposure is close to the WHO interim target 1 (IT-1) of $40 \mu g m^{-3}$. The NO₂ exposure level of people living in Hebei, Shanghai, Shandong, and Jiangsu exceeded the IT-2 levels of $30 \mu g m^{-3}$. The NO₂ exposure levels for Beijing and Zhejiang were slightly under the IT-2 levels, with population-weighted means of 28.86 and $28.25 \,\mathrm{\upmu}\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$, respectively. Residents in Henan, Anhui, Shanxi, Hubei, Sichuan, Hunan, and Jiangxi provinces were exposed to $NO₂$ levels exceeding the IT-3 levels of 20 μg m⁻³. All provinces depicted population exposure levels of NO₂ exceeding the AQG levels of 10 µg m⁻³. Hainan had the lowest population-weighted mean $NO₂$ concentrations of $10.57 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, which closely approached the levels set by the AQG.

The annual average ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were further evaluated for all subregions with different geolocations and urbanization levels. Results are presented in Fig. 10. Overall, the highest $NO₂$ concentrations were observed in NC, followed by EC, CC, NWC, SWC, and SC. Additionally, compared to lightly populated areas, the highly populated areas exhibited higher $NO₂$ concentration levels, primarily due to increased emissions and a more developed economy (Qiu et al., 2023). Among all subregions, the highest $NO₂$ concentrations for highly populated and supremely highly populated areas were found in the NC region, while the highest $NO₂$ concentrations for lightly populated areas were observed in the EC region. In the highly populated areas in the NC region, $NO₂$ concentrations exceeded IT-2 levels and were nearly double the concentrations of lightly populated areas. $NO₂$ concentrations in highly populated areas of NWC, NC, CC, SWC, and SC exceeded the IT-3 levels. Only NC, CC, and EC exceeded the IT-3 level for moderately populated areas. Furthermore, all the subregions and their urbanization categories, including the lightly populated areas, depicted their $NO₂$ values higher than the AQG level.

3.3 Seasonal variations of ground-level NO2 concentrations

Similar to the annual average, the estimation of seasonalaverage $NO₂$ incorporated correction factors to address the data missing issues resulting from clouds and in the nighttime. Based on the bias-corrected $NO₂$ data, the seasonalaverage NO₂ concentrations for lightly populated, moderately populated, highly populated, and supremely highly populated areas are shown in Fig. 11. Among all subregions, the ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were highest in winter. This can be attributed to the more stable atmospheric structure and lower precipitation during this season, which creates less favorable conditions for the dispersion and deposition of ground-level NO₂. Additionally, the reduced photolysis rate of $NO₂$ due to low temperatures in winter leads to an increased residence time of $NO₂$ in the atmosphere (Xu et al., 2021). The temperature inversion in winter can further prolong the lifetime of the ground-level $NO₂$, leading to higher accumulations near the ground. Furthermore, the elevated concentrations in winter can be attributed to increased energy consumption for heating purposes.

Among the six subregions, NC and EC depicted the highest NO₂ concentrations, reaching levels close to IT-1 (40 µgm−³) in winter for highly populated areas. Conversely, the lowest ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were observed during summer for all six subregions. During this season, the increased precipitation coupled with the monsoon-induced atmospheric convection fosters wet deposition and dispersion of ground-level $NO₂$. Additionally, abundant sunlight promotes the decomposition of $NO₂$. Furthermore, the $NO₂$ emissions are generally lower in summer than in winter (Bhattarai et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019).

Figure 7. Spatial distributions of the satellite-derived hourly ground-level NO₂ concentrations on 29 September 2021, for each hour from 08:00 to 15:00.

Figure 8. Spatial distributions of annual average ground-level NO₂ concentrations for 2021 derived from satellite measurements in the study region (a) and in the four major urban agglomerations in China: (b) the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH) region, (c) the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), (d) the Pearl River Delta (PRD), and (e) the Sichuan Basin (SCB). This annual average concentration represents the 24 h average throughout the year of 2021 after the bias correction for the missing data issue.

Figure 9. Spatial mean and population-weighted mean groundlevel $NO₂$ concentrations for 2021 in different provinces of China in the study region.

Considering the different population densities in the subregions, the $NO₂$ pollution levels were lowest in lightly populated areas and highest in highly populated areas for all seasons. In lightly populated areas, the average $NO₂$ concentrations were approximately 50 % of those observed in highly populated areas.

3.4 Diurnal variations of ground-level NO₂ concentrations

The estimations of hourly averaged ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations incorporated correction factors to address data gaps caused by clouds. Based on the bias-corrected $NO₂$ data, Fig. 12 shows the spatial distribution of average groundlevel NO² concentrations for each hour between 08:00 and 15:00 in 2021. Consistent spatial patterns were observed during this time range, with higher ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations in highly populated urban areas characterized by elevated NO_x emissions. In the morning, clear indications of high ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were noticed over urban centers, reflecting NO_x emissions related to traffic. The spatial gradients of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were notably pronounced from urban centers to the outskirts dur-

ing this time. However, these spatial gradients were less pronounced during noon and afternoon hours. Compared to the highly populated urban areas, ground-level $NO₂$ distributions in lightly populated areas displayed lower diurnal variability. These variations in ground-level $NO₂$ distributions can be attributed to changes in NO_x emission patterns, meteorological conditions, and photochemistry throughout different times of the day (Shen et al., 2023). For instance, Xu et al. (2023) observed the minimum $NO₂$ lifetime at noon, which can be attributed to higher photochemical reaction rates resulting from increased temperature and ultraviolet radiation (Gao et al., 2023).

The diurnal variations of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations for the subregions are illustrated in Fig. 13. In most subregions, the peak of ground-level $NO₂$ was observed between 08:00 and 09:00 in highly populated areas. Additionally, a slight increase in $NO₂$ concentrations was observed in the late afternoon (i.e., 15:00). In lightly populated and moderately populated areas, NWC and NC depicted a decreasing trend from 08:00 to 13:00, followed by a slight increase at 14:00 and 15:00. Lightly populated areas of CC showed an increasing trend from 08:00 to 10:00, followed by a nearly constant value. However, moderately populated areas of CC showed a decreasing trend from 08:00 to 13:00 and then displayed an increasing trend at 14:00 and 15:00. EC exhibited increasing values from 08:00 to 09:00, followed by a decreasing trend until 14:00, and again increased until 15:00 for both lightly populated and moderately populated areas. In lightly populated and moderately populated areas of SWC, NO² concentrations showed an increasing trend from 08:00 to 10:00, followed by a decreasing trend throughout the afternoon. For the SC region, NO₂ concentrations remained relatively consistent from 08:00 to 10:00, followed by a decreasing trend in both lightly populated and moderately populated areas.

Overall, highly populated areas exhibited peak groundlevel $NO₂$ concentrations during the early morning rush hour (08:00–09:00), followed by a decreasing trend. The minimum $NO₂$ levels were observed at 13:00–17:00, with a slight increase observed at 15:00. This diurnal pattern of groundlevel $NO₂$ concentrations aligns with the findings of Zhang et al. (2023). The decrease in $NO₂$ levels from early morning to afternoon can be attributed to reduced traffic emissions, increased photochemical consumption, and higher NMH levels (Ahmad et al., 2024; Xie et al., 2016). In lightly populated and moderately populated areas, a slight morning peak was observed around 09:00 or 10:00, occurring later than the peak observed in urban areas. This delayed morning peak in these areas can be attributed to regional dispersions originating from urban sources. The diurnal pattern of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations observed in this study is consistent with previous studies using ground-based air quality monitoring stations (Shen et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2016).

Figure 10. Annual mean ground-level NO₂ concentrations for 2021 in subregions with different geolocations (e.g., NWC, NC, CC, EC, SWC, and SC) and urbanization levels (e.g., LP, MP, HP, and SHP). The vertical bars represent 1σ standard deviation.

Figure 11. Seasonal variations in ground-level NO₂ concentrations for 2021 in subregions with different geolocations (e.g., NWC, NC, CC, EC, SWC, and SC) and urbanization levels (e.g., LP, MP, HP, and SHP). The vertical bars represent 1σ standard deviation.

4 Discussion

The scientific contributions of this study are summarized as follows. First, the results of this study have contributed to enriching our scientific understanding of the relationship between columnar $NO₂$ and ground-level $NO₂$. We have proven that the mixing height of $NO₂$ plays a key role in linking satellite-derived VCDs of NO₂ with ground-level concentrations, though the impacts of NMH were rarely considered in a direct manner in previous studies. Secondly, the analyses in this study have improved our understanding of the spatiotemporal variations of $NO₂$, particularly the diurnal variations that cannot be obtained from common polar-orbiting satellite measurements. The diurnal variations in $NO₂$ concentration differ between urban and rural areas, resulting from the different emission sources and pollutant dispersion characteristics. Thirdly, the analyses of $NO₂$ variation have policy implications for air pollution control. It was found that the spatial coincidence between $NO₂$ concentrations and population density increased overall population exposure and the

Figure 12. Spatial distributions of the average ground-level NO₂ concentrations for each hour between 08:00 and 15:00 in 2021.

associated health impacts. This suggests that for more effective reduction of overall population exposure and better protection of public health, control efforts should be further targeted at highly populated and highly polluted areas. Additionally, land-use and city planning should encourage population redistribution away from the most heavily polluted regions.

PBL characteristics are pivotal in regulating the vertical dispersion and horizontal transport of atmospheric pollutants, subsequently determining the vertical variations of NO² and its concentration at the Earth's surface (Akther et al., 2023; Xiang et al., 2019). Results in this study highlight the key role of the mixing height of $NO₂$ in linking satellite-derived VCDs of NO₂ with ground-level concentrations. To convert the VCDs of $NO₂$ into ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations, previous conversion models have used PBLH as a proxy of the NMH, because of its ability to regulate ground-level pollution levels. For example, within a stable PBL, pollutants like $NO₂$ from ground sources mainly accumulate near the ground surface (Yuval et al., 2020). Intense solar heating can induce elevated temperatures, fostering an unstable PBL that is conducive to the upward dispersion of air pollutants including NO₂ (Kalmus et al., 2022; Su et al., 2020a). The wind pattern is connected to atmospheric stability and can impact $NO₂$ levels by modifying pollutants' dispersion and horizontal transport (Yin et al., 2019). High surface air pressure often leads to large-scale sinking air motion, resulting in the limited vertical diffusion of $NO₂$ (Chow et al., 2018). Elevated relative humidity levels act as a suppressive factor, constraining the PBLH and exacerbating the accumulation of pollutants near the ground (Xiang et al., 2019). Therefore, different meteorological factors significantly impact the vertical distribution of $NO₂$ in the atmosphere (Huang et al., 2021). This study developed a conversion model that directly considers the impacts of the NMH. The predictions of NMH from the inner model directly incorporated the impacts of meteorological parameters (T, P, \mathcal{L}) WS, RH, DP, VIS, and PRECIP). It was found that temperature, wind speed, dew point, and visibility were positively correlated with NMH, while relative humidity and air pressure mainly demonstrated an inverse relationship (Ahmad et al., 2024). The atmosphere's dynamic and thermodynamic aspects played crucial roles in developing the vertical structure of $NO₂$. The incorporation of the NMH in the model paved the way to refine the processes of converting satellitederived columnar measurements into ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations.

Two models were tested and trained: Model I, which did not consider NMH, and a nested Model II, which incorporated NMH. The validation results demonstrated that

Figure 13. Diurnal variations in ground-level NO₂ concentrations from 08:00 to 15:00 for 2021 in subregions with different geolocations (e.g., NWC, NC, CC, EC, SWC, and SC) and urbanization levels (e.g., LP, MP, HP, and SHP). The vertical bars represent 1σ standard deviation.

nested Model II exhibited more promising outcomes than Model I, suggesting that including NMH significantly influenced the model's performance. Including NMH as an input parameter in the machine learning model could better capture the vertical distributions of $NO₂$ and thus predict ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations with improved accuracy and performance. Additionally, the hour-by-hour 10 fold cross-validation depicted a distinct improvement in the ground-level $NO₂$ estimations for nested Model II considering NMH as an input parameter (Fig. S5 for Model I without NMH and Fig. S6 for nested Model II with NMH). The $R²$ values for Model I without NMH were 0.63 for 08:00, 0.70 for 09:00, 0.69 for 10:00 to 13:00, 0.55 for 14:00, and 0.39 for 15:00. The improved R^2 values for nested Model II, which includes NMH, were 0.85 for 08:00, 0.90 for 09:00 to 11:00, 0.91 for 12:00, 0.93 for 13:00, 0.89 for 14:00, and 0.85 for 15:00. Similarly, nested Model II, considering the NMH, depicted significantly reduced biases compared to Model I without NMH. The ground-level $NO₂$ estimations for all hours were significantly improved when considering NMH, as it directly incorporates the vertical distributions of $NO₂$. During the early morning hours, most of the $NO₂$ is distributed near the ground. However, as the day progresses, NMH increases, and the ground-level $NO₂$ tends to be mixed vertically. Further, the improvements in ground-level $NO₂$ estimations were assessed using 10-fold cross-validation for different population categories, i.e., lightly populated, moderately populated, highly populated, and supremely highly populated. The nested Model II, considering NMH, depicted notable improvements compared to Model I without NMH (Fig. S7). The improved R^2 values for nested Model II considering NMH were 0.91 for lightly populated areas and 0.92 for the other three population categories compared to Model I without NMH, which depicted an R^2 value of 0.63 for lightly populated, 0.73 for moderately populated, 0.77 for highly populated, and 0.74 for supremely highly populated areas. The RMSE for nested Model II considering NMH was improved and observed below $5 \mu g m^{-3}$ for all population categories compared to Model I without NMH, which depicted RMSE values around 8–9 μg m⁻³ for different population categories. The MAPE for nested Model II considering NMH was also improved for all population categories, and around 15 % and lower values were observed. These improvements depict that nested Model II considering NMH effectively captures the spatial distributions of vertical mixing of ground-level $NO₂$ across all population categories. The

spatiotemporal distributions and diurnal patterns of NMH have been previously described by Ahmad et al. (2024). Compared to Model I without NMH, the performance of the ground-level $NO₂$ estimations through nested Model II considering NMH showed significant improvement at the grid points where ground-based observations were available (Fig. S8). The correlation coefficients for grid-based 10 fold cross-validation were improved to 0.8–1.0 for nested Model II considering NMH compared to Model I without NMH, which depicted lower correlation coefficients. Furthermore, nested Model II considering NMH also depicted lower RMSE values for grid-based estimations.

GEMS, the world's first GEO-based environmental satellite instrument, offers a new opportunity for monitoring air quality across extensive regions, providing unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. The quality of GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs, obtained from the level-2 product, has been evaluated using ground-based instruments in various regions. Encouragingly, a good agreement has been observed between the GEMS NO₂ VCDs and measurements from various groundbased instruments (Ahmad et al., 2024; Kim et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). The results presented in this study emphasize the significant advantage of geostationary satellites in providing air pollution information at an hourly resolution. They enable the assessment of diurnal variations in air pollution across different areas, ranging from lightly populated to supremely highly populated regions. This represents a substantial improvement over traditional LEO-based satellite instruments. Furthermore, these GEO-based measurements are valuable supplements to traditional measurements from ground-based air quality monitoring networks, primarily concentrated in urban areas, leaving vast rural regions without observations.

The diurnal variations of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations across China depicted distinct gradients across all subregions and population categories. This gradient reflects regional disparities in industrialization, urbanization, and transportation infrastructure of Chinese megacities and rural areas. Highly populated areas depicted the highest concentrations of ground-level $NO₂$ during the early morning hours, attributed to intensified vehicular traffic in the early morning hours and higher industrial emissions. In contrast, lightly populated areas exhibited lower ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations and a delayed peak of around 1 to 2 h, indicating lower anthropogenic influence and more contribution from regional transport contributed by the $NO₂$ emissions from highly populated areas. Various driving factors influence these diurnal variations in ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations, each contributing differently across different regions. For instance, anthropogenic emissions dominate in highly populated urban and suburban areas, characterized by traffic emissions peaking in the morning and late afternoon (Liu et al., 2018; Naiudomthum et al., 2022). This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in highly populated areas with high traffic density. As morning rush hour subsides, reduced vehicular traffic activities in highly populated areas lead to a gradual decline in $NO₂$ emissions. However, atmospheric processes such as higher mixing height of NO₂, more dispersion, and dilution also come into play, resulting in reduced ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. Increased turbulent mixing in the lower atmosphere helps disperse pollutants from their sources in highly populated areas, gradually decreasing ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations. Additionally, photochemistry also influences the diurnal variations of $NO₂$ concentrations. The ratio of $NO₂$ to $NO₁$ is influenced by radiation, ozone, and peroxyl radicals. During the daytime, NO_x undergoes oxidation through radical-mediated reactions, forming nitric acid and organic nitrates, with their levels depending on radiation, ozone, and volatile organic compounds. As a result, the lifetime of $NO₂$ reaches its lowest point around noon, typically lasting a few hours during summer. Furthermore, atmospheric transport contributes to the diurnal variation of $NO₂$, particularly in highly populated areas and their surrounding regions (Zhang et al., 2023). The hourly groundlevel $NO₂$ concentration results presented in this study provide high-resolution information on the diurnal variations in ground-level $NO₂$ pollution levels across different regions and demographic patterns.

The spatial distribution of ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations in the study region revealed significant regional disparities, with higher levels observed in urban agglomerations with high population densities (e.g., BTH, YRD, and PRD regions) than in lightly populated areas (e.g., western China). Even within the NC region, the highly populated urban areas had $NO₂$ concentrations nearly double those of lightly populated rural areas. These spatial disparities are due to distributions of $NO₂$ emission sources that vary with population densities, decreasing from highly populated to lightly populated areas. In highly populated urban areas in regions like BTH, YRD, and PRD, mobile NO_x emissions from dense road networks contribute to a pronounced increase in $NO₂$ levels. Moreover, the short lifespan of $NO₂$ due to atmospheric chemical reactions results in elevated concentrations near emission sources in highly populated areas, such as roadways, accompanied by rapid declines in $NO₂$ concentrations with increasing distance from highly populated areas (Lee et al., 2018). Furthermore, the diverse terrains, land cover, and climates observed in subregions with different population categories collectively influence vertical and horizontal airflows and rates of $NO₂$ formation and deposition, and they contribute to spatiotemporal variations in ground-level NO² concentrations between the highly populated and lightly populated areas across China. Additionally, the populationweighted mean NO₂ concentrations were consistently higher than the spatial mean $NO₂$ concentrations in most provinces across China. This is due to the spatial coincidence between NO² concentrations and population density. These results indicate that the use of simple spatial average concentrations can lead to a systematic underestimation of overall population exposure and the associated health impacts. It is important to use high-resolution $NO₂$ data to accurately quantify

true population exposure. Furthermore, the adverse impacts of high NO₂ concentrations in highly populated urban areas suggest that for a more effective reduction of overall population exposure and better protection of public health, control efforts should be further targeted at highly populated and highly polluted areas. Targeted control programs to reduce pollutant levels at population hotspots should be more costeffective than trying to reduce pollutant concentrations everywhere. Additionally, control policies can be implemented by encouraging the public to relocate to less polluted areas through land-use development and urban planning.

The GEMS measurements, while valuable, are subject to uncertainties and limitations. One of the primary challenges is the impact of cloudy conditions, which can affect the reliability of GEMS measurements. To address this issue, data with a cloud fraction exceeding 30 % were intentionally excluded from the analysis. This approach aimed to strike a balance between obtaining an adequate number of measurements and minimizing the influence of cloud-contaminated data. Additionally, data with a solar zenith angle exceeding 70° were excluded. Regions with a higher likelihood of cloud cover had more missing data, and there was a relatively small sample size available in the early morning due to the absence of solar radiation. Another inherent limitation of satellite measurements is the lack of data during nighttime. The lack of nighttime data and cloudy conditions leads to skewness in the GEMS measurements, especially for phenomena that exhibit diurnal variations. To align the satelliteestimated $NO₂$ with ground-measured $NO₂$, correction factors were applied for hourly, seasonal, and annual averages (see Sect. 2.7). These correction factors are based solely on the ground NO₂ measurements, which results in reduced and minimized biases associated with them. However, some limitations still exist, as these correction factors rely on an ancillary data source with low spatial resolution. Spatially, the spatial distributions of the correction factors were obtained by interpolating the ground monitoring data. We made the assumption that the correction factors vary smoothly in the areas between different stations. However, atmospheric conditions and $NO₂$ emissions can vary significantly across different regions at different times of the day. Additionally, we applied a constant correction factor for seasonal and annual averages, which may not be able to correct the detailed bias from hour to hour. It is important to note that the data used in this study correspond to version 1 of the GEMS product. Ongoing efforts are being made to enhance the accuracy of GEMS products, and subsequent versions are expected to offer improved quality and reliability.

Further, to explore the impact of missing GEMS $NO₂$ VCDs and associated biases on estimating average groundlevel NO² concentrations between 08:00 and 15:00, we calculated the difference between the average $NO₂$ concentrations derived from all ground measurements and the average ground-measured $NO₂$ concentrations when satellite data were available. The hourly variations of these concentration

Figure 14. Difference between the average $NO₂$ concentrations from all ground measurements and the average ground-measured NO2 concentration when satellite data were available for each hour from 08:00 to 15:00. The vertical bars represent whiskers that extend to the most extreme data points within 1.5 times the interquartile range from quartile 1 (25th percentile of data) and quartile 3 (75th percentile of the data).

differences for 2021 are presented in Fig. 14. The issue of missing data consistently underestimated the average $NO₂$ concentrations for each hour. The degree of underestimation was higher during hours with more missing data. For instance, at 15:00, 14:00, 13:00, and 08:00, the mean underestimation was -6.27 ± 2.38 , -4.38 ± 1.94 , -2.60 ± 2.50 , and $-1.57 \pm 1.19 \,\text{\mu g m}^{-3}$, respectively. The underestimation gradually decreased for 12:00, 11:00, and 09:00. Notably, the underestimation was at its minimum for 10:00, with a value of $-0.16 \pm 1.61 \,\text{\mu g\,m}^{-3}$.

5 Conclusion

This study developed a nested machine learning model to incorporate the NMH as an input parameter in the methodological framework. The model's performance in predicting ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations from satellite columnar measurements was then explored. Among the testing and training of the two models, the model that considered the NMH as one of the input parameters demonstrated more promising results. This suggests that the inclusion of the NMH significantly impacts the model's performance. Furthermore, the NMH was identified as the second most important predictor variable after the GEMS NO₂ VCDs. The diurnal variations of satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations exhibited a clear gradient across all subregions, ranging from highly populated to lightly populated areas. In highly populated areas, peak ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations were observed during the early morning rush hour (08:00–09:00). In areas categorized as lightly populated or moderately populated, a slight morning peak was observed around 09:00 or 10:00, occurring later than in urban sites. In highly and supremely highly populated areas in northern China, $NO₂$ concentrations still exceeded the WHO IT-2 standards and were double the levels observed in lightly

populated regions. These satellite-derived ground-level $NO₂$ concentrations provided high-resolution information on the diurnal variations of $NO₂$ pollution levels across different regions and levels of urbanization. It is important to note that the GEMS measurements, while valuable, are subject to uncertainties and limitations, particularly due to the impact of cloudy conditions and the absence of nighttime data. Correction factors were applied in this study to mitigate these issues and address the inherent challenges of satellite measurements. Some limitations still exist, as these correction factors rely on an ancillary data source with low spatial resolution. Additionally, we applied a constant correction factor for seasonal and annual averages, which may not be able to correct the detailed bias that occurs from hour to hour. Overall, the findings of this study enhance our understanding of the effects of the mixing height of $NO₂$ on the conversion of satellite-based columnar measurements to ground-level NO² concentrations. They also provide valuable insights into the spatial and diurnal patterns of ground-level $NO₂$ across China.

Data availability. The data used in this study can be found from <https://envf.ust.hk/dataview/no2-GEMS-data/current/> (Institute for the Environment, 2024).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-9645-2024-supplement.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-9645-2024-supplement)

Author contributions. ChaL designed the analyses and NA carried them out. AKHL supervised the study. JK provided the data. FY, TZ, and CheL performed the simulations. YL, JCHF, and XQL edited the manuscript. NA and ChaL prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing interests. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue "GEMS: first year in operation (AMT/ACP inter-journal SI)". It is not associated with a conference.

Acknowledgements. We thank the National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER) of South Korea for providing the GEMS data and the Institute for the Environment (IENV) and Environmental Central Facility (ENVF) of the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST) for providing atmospheric and environmental data.

Financial support. This work was supported by the NSFC– RGC Joint Research Project (grant nos. 42161160329 and N_HKUST609/21), the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (project nos. GRF 16202120 and 16302220), and the Laboratory of Optical Monitoring of Atmospheric Environment of HKUST (Guangzhou).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Farahnaz Khosrawi and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Ahmad, N., Lin, C., Lau, A. K. H., Kim, J., Li, C., Qin, K., Zhao, C., Lin, J., Fung, J. C. H., and Li, Y.: Effects of meteorological conditions on the mixing height of Nitrogen dioxide in China using new-generation geostationary satellite measurements and machine learning, Chemosphere, 346, 140615, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140615,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140615) 2024.
- Akther, T., Rappenglueck, B., Osibanjo, O., Retama, A., and Rivera-Hernández, O.: Ozone precursors and boundary layer meteorology before and during a severe ozone episode in Mexico city, Chemosphere, 318, 137978, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.137978,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.137978) 2023.
- Bhattarai, H., Tripathee, L., Kang, S., Sharma, C. M., Chen, P., Guo, J., and Ghimire, P. S.: Concentration, sources and wet deposition of dissolved nitrogen and organic carbon in the Northern Indo-Gangetic Plain during monsoon, J. Environ. Sci.-China, 102, 37– 52, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.jes.2020.09.011,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.09.011) 2021.
- Boersma, K. F., Jacob, D. J., Eskes, H. J., Pinder, R. W., Wang, J., and van der A, R. J.: Intercomparison of SCIAMACHY and OMI tropospheric $NO₂$ columns: Observing the diurnal evolution of chemistry and emissions from space, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D16S26, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2007JD008816,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008816) 2008.
- Chen, T. and Guestrin, C.: Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system, in: Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 August 2016, Association for Computing Machinery, 785–794, https://doi.org[/10.1145/2939672.293978,](https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.293978) 2016.
- Chen, Z. Y., Zhang, R., Zhang, T. H., Ou, C. Q., and Guo, Y.: A kriging-calibrated machine learning method for estimating daily ground-level NO₂ in mainland China, Sci. Total Environ., 690, 556–564, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.349,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.349) 2019.
- Chi, Y., Fan, M., Zhao, C., Yang, Y., Fan, H., Yang, X., Yang, J., and Tao, J.: Machine learning-based estimation of groundlevel NO₂ concentrations over China, Sci. Total Environ., 807, 150721, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150721,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150721) 2022.

- Chow, E. C., Li, R. C., and Zhou, W.: Influence of tropical cyclones on Hong Kong air quality, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 35, 1177–1188, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s00376-018-7225-4,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-018-7225-4) 2018.
- Cui, Y., Wang, L., Jiang, L., Liu, M., Wang, J., Shi, K., and Duan, X.: Dynamic spatial analysis of NO₂ pollution over China: Satellite observations and spatial convergence models, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 12, 89–99, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.apr.2021.02.003,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2021.02.003) 2021.
- Fan, C., Li, Z., Li, Y., Dong, J., van der A, R., and de Leeuw, G.: Variability of $NO₂$ concentrations over China and effect on air quality derived from satellite and ground-based observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 7723–7748, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-7723-2021)[21-7723-2021,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-7723-2021) 2021.
- Fan, H., Zhao, C., and Yang, Y.: A comprehensive analysis of the spatio-temporal variation of urban air pollution in China during 2014–2018, Atmos. Environ., 220, 117066, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117066,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117066) 2020.
- Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R.: Additive logistic regression: a statistical view of boosting, Ann. Stat., 28, 337–407, https://doi.org[/10.1214/aos/1016218223,](https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1016218223) 2000.
- Gao, Y., Pan, H., Cao, L., Lu, C., Yang, Q., Lu, X., Ding, H., Li, S., and Zhao, T.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions and meteorological conditions on diurnal variation of formaldehyde (HCHO) in the Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 14, 101779, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.apr.2023.101779,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2023.101779) 2023.
- Hilboll, A., Richter, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Long-term changes of tropospheric NO2 over megacities derived from multiple satellite instruments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4145–4169, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-13-4145-2013,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-4145-2013) 2013.
- Huang, Y., Guo, B., Sun, H., Liu, H., and Chen, S. X.: Relative importance of meteorological variables on air quality and role of boundary layer height, Atmos. Environ., 267, 118737, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118737,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118737) 2021.
- Institute for the Environment (IENV): Ground-level Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) from Geostationary Environmental Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS), IENV, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST) [data set], [https://envf.ust.hk/dataview/](https://envf.ust.hk/dataview/no2-GEMS-data/current) [no2-GEMS-data/current,](https://envf.ust.hk/dataview/no2-GEMS-data/current) last access: 1 January 2024.
- Iqbal, A., Ahmad, N., Din, H. M. U., Roozendael, M. Van, Anjum, M. S., Khan, M. Z. A., and Khokhar, M. F.: Retrieval of NO2 Columns by Exploiting MAX-DOAS Observations and Comparison with OMI and TROPOMI Data during the Time Period of 2015–2019, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 22, 210398, https://doi.org[/10.4209/aaqr.210398,](https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.210398) 2022.
- Jion, Most. M. M. F., Jannat, J. N., Mia, Md. Y., Ali, Md. A., Islam, Md. S., Ibrahim, S. M., Pal, S. C., Islam, A., Sarker, A., Malafaia, G., Bilal, M., and Islam, A. R. M. T.: A critical review and prospect of $NO₂$ and $SO₂$ pollution over Asia: Hotspots, trends, and sources, Sci. Total Environ., 876, 162851, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162851,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162851) 2023.
- Kalmus, P., Ao, C. O., Wang, K. N., Manzi, M. P., and Teixeira, J.: A high-resolution planetary boundary layer height seasonal climatology from GNSS radio occultations, Remote Sens. Environ., 276, 113037, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113037,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113037) 2022.
- Kim, J., Jeong, U., Ahn, M. H., et al.: New era of air quality monitoring from space: Geostationary environment monitoring spectrometer (GEMS), B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 101, E1–E22, https://doi.org[/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0013.1,](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0013.1) 2020.
- Kim, S., Kim, D., Hong, H., Chang, L.-S., Lee, H., Kim, D.-R., Kim, D., Yu, J.-A., Lee, D., Jeong, U., Song, C.-K., Kim, S.-W.,

Park, S. S., Kim, J., Hanisco, T. F., Park, J., Choi, W., and Lee, K.: First-time comparison between $NO₂$ vertical columns from Geostationary Environmental Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS) and Pandora measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 16, 3959–3972, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-16-3959-2023,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-3959-2023) 2023.

- Kong, L., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z., Li, J., Wu, H., Wu, Q., Chen, H., Zhu, L., Wang, W., Liu, B., Wang, Q., Chen, D., Pan, Y., Song, T., Li, F., Zheng, H., Jia, G., Lu, M., Wu, L., and Carmichael, G. R.: A 6-year-long (2013–2018) high-resolution air quality reanalysis dataset in China based on the assimilation of surface observations from CNEMC, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 529–570, https://doi.org[/10.5194/essd-13-529-2021,](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-529-2021) 2021.
- Lamsal, L. N., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Steinbacher, M., Celarier, E. A., Bucsela, E., Dunlea, E. J., and Pinto, J. P.: Ground-level nitrogen dioxide concentrations inferred from the satellite-borne Ozone Monitoring Instrument, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 113, D16308, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2007JD009235,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009235) 2008.
- Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E. J., Gleason, J. F., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Irie, H., Cede, A., Herman, J., Weinheimer, A., Szykman, J. J., and Knepp, T. N.: Evaluation of OMI operational standard $NO₂$ column retrievals using in situ and surface-based NO2 observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11587–11609, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-14-11587-2014,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11587-2014) 2014.
- Lee, H. J., Chatfield, R. B., and Bell, M. L.: Spatial analysis of concentrations of multiple air pollutants using NASA DISCOVER-AQ aircraft measurements: Implications for exposure assessment, Environ. Res., 160, 487–498, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envres.2017.10.017,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.10.017) 2018.
- Li, C. and Managi, S.: Estimating monthly global groundlevel NO2 concentrations using geographically weighted panel regression, Remote Sens. Environ., 280, 113152, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113152,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113152) 2022.
- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Shen, L., Zhang, Q., and Bates, K. H.: Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017 trends in summer surface ozone in China, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 116, 422–427, https://doi.org[/10.1073/pnas.1812168116,](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812168116) 2019.
- Li, M., Mao, J., Chen, S., Bian, J., Bai, Z., Wang, X., Chen, W., and Yu, P.: Significant contribution of lightning NO_x to summertime surface O_3 on the Tibetan Plateau, Sci. Total Environ., 829, 154639, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154639,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154639) 2022.
- Li, Y., Xing, C., Peng, H., Song, Y., Zhang, C., Xue, J., Niu, X., and Liu, C.: Long-term observations of $NO₂$ using GEMS in China: Validations and regional transport, Sci. Total Environ., 904, 166762, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166762,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166762) 2023.
- Li, Z., Guo, J., Ding, A., Liao, H., Liu, J., Sun, Y., Wang, T., Xue, H., Zhang, H., and Zhu, B.: Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality, Natl. Sci. Rev., 4, 810–833, 2017.
- Lin, J.-T., McElroy, M. B., and Boersma, K. F.: Constraint of anthropogenic NO_x emissions in China from different sectors: a new methodology using multiple satellite retrievals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 63–78, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-10-63-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-63-2010) [2010,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-63-2010) 2010.
- Liu, J.: Mapping high resolution national daily NO2 exposure across mainland China using an ensemble algorithm, Environ. Pollut., 279, 116932, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116932,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116932) 2021.
- Liu, Y. H., Ma, J. L., Li, L., Lin, X. F., Xu, W. J., and Ding, H.: A high temporal-spatial vehicle emission inventory based on detailed hourly traffic data in a mediumsized city of China, Environ. Pollut., 236, 324–333, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.068,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.068) 2018.
- Meng, K., Xu, X., Cheng, X., Xu, X., Qu, X., Zhu, W., Ma, C., Yang, Y., and Zhao, Y.: Spatio-temporal variations in $SO₂$ and $NO₂$ emissions caused by heating over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Region constrained by an adaptive nudging method with OMI data, Sci. Total Environ., 642, 543–552, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.021,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.021) 2018.
- Miao, Y., Li, J., Miao, S., Che, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Zhu, R., and Liu, S.: Interaction Between Planetary Boundary Layer and PM2.5 Pollution in Megacities in China: a Review, Current Pollution Reports, 5, 261–271, 2019.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edite by: Stocker, T. F.,Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, https://doi.org[/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018,](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018) 2013.
- Naiudomthum, S., Winijkul, E., and Sirisubtawee, S.: Near Real-Time Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Traffic Emissions in Bangkok Using Google Maps Application Program Interface, Atmosphere, 13, 1803, https://doi.org[/10.3390/atmos13111803,](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13111803) 2022.
- Park, H., Jeong, S., Park, H., Labzovskii, L. D., and Bowman, K. W.: An assessment of emission characteristics of Northern Hemisphere cities using spaceborne observations of $CO₂$, $CO₂$, and $NO₂$, Remote Sens. Environ., 254, 112246, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112246,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112246) 2021.
- Platt, U., Stutz, J., Platt, U., and Stutz, J.: Differential absorption spectroscopy, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 135–174, https://doi.org[/10.1007/978-3-540-75776-4_6,](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75776-4_6) 2008.
- Prasad, A. M., Iverson, L. R., and Liaw, A.: Newer classification and regression tree techniques: Bagging and random forests for ecological prediction, Ecosystems, 9, 181–199, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s10021-005-0054-1,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-005-0054-1) 2006.
- Qin, K., Rao, L., Xu, J., Bai, Y., Zou, J., Hao, N., Li, S., and Yu, C .: Estimating ground level $NO₂$ concentrations over centraleastern China using a satellite-based geographically and temporally weighted regression model, Remote Sens.-Basel, 9, 950, https://doi.org[/10.3390/rs9090950,](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9090950) 2017.
- Qin, K., Han, X., Li, D., Xu, J., Li, D., Loyola, D., Zhou, X., Xue, Y., Zhang, K., and Yuan, L.: Satellite-based estimation of surface $NO₂$ concentrations over east-central China: A comparison of POMINO and OMNO2d data, Atmos. Environ., 224, 117322, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117322,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117322) 2020.
- Qiu, P., Zhang, L., Wang, X., Liu, Y., Wang, S., Gong, S., and Zhang, Y.: A new approach of air pollution regionalization based on geographically weighted variations for multi-pollutants in China, Sci. Total Environ., 873, 162431, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162431,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162431) 2023.
- Shao, Y., Zhao, W., Liu, R., Yang, J., Liu, M., Fang, W., Hu, L., Adams, M., Bi, J., and Ma, Z.: Estimation of daily $NO₂$ with explainable machine learning model in China, 2007–2020, Atmos. Environ., 314, 120111, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120111,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120111) 2023.
- Shen, Y., Jiang, F., Feng, S., Xia, Z., Zheng, Y., Lyu, X., Zhang, L. Y., and Lou, C.: Increased diurnal difference of $NO₂$ concentrations and its impact on recent ozone pollution in eastern China in summer, Sci. Total Environ., 858, 159767, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159767,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159767) 2023.
- Shi, Y., Hu, F., Xiao, Z., Fan, G., and Zhang, Z.: Comparison of four different types of planetary boundary layer heights during a haze episode in Beijing, Sci. Total Environ., 711, 134928, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134928,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134928) 2020.
- Su, T., Li, Z., and Kahn, R.: A new method to retrieve the diurnal variability of planetary boundary layer height from lidar under different thermodynamic stability conditions, Remote Sens. Environ., 237, 111519, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111519,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111519) 2020a.
- Su, T., Li, Z., Zheng, Y., Luan, Q., and Guo, J.: Abnormally Shallow Boundary Layer Associated With Severe Air Pollution During the COVID-19 Lockdown in China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2020GL090041, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2020GL090041,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090041) 2020b.
- Tian, Y., Jiang, Y., Liu, Q., Xu, D., Zhao, S., He, L., Liu, H., and Xu, H.: Temporal and spatial trends in air quality in Beijing, Landscape Urban Plan., 185, 35–43, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.01.006,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.01.006) 2019.
- Van, N. H., Van Thanh, P., Tran, D. N., and Tran, D. T.: A new model of air quality prediction using lightweight machine learning, Int. J. Environ. Sci. Te., 20, 2983–2994, https://doi.org[/10.1007/s13762-022-04185-w,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-022-04185-w) 2023.
- Wei, J., Liu, S., Li, Z., Liu, C., Qin, K., Liu, X., Pinker, R. T., Dickerson, R. R., Lin, J., Boersma, K. F., Sun, L., Li, R., Xue, W., Cui, Y., Zhang, C., and Wang, J.: Ground-Level NO2Surveillance from Space Across China for High Resolution Using Interpretable Spatiotemporally Weighted Artificial Intelligence, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 9988–9998, https://doi.org[/10.1021/acs.est.2c03834,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c03834) 2022.
- Wu, S., Huang, B., Wang, J., He, L., Wang, Z., Yan, Z., Lao, X., Zhang, F., Liu, R., and Du, Z.: Spatiotemporal mapping and assessment of daily ground $NO₂$ concentrations in China using high-resolution TROPOMI retrievals, Environ. Pollut., 273, 116456, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116456,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116456) 2021.
- Xiang, Y., Zhang, T., Liu, J., Lv, L., Dong, Y., and Chen, Z.: Atmosphere boundary layer height and its effect on air pollutants in Beijing during winter heavy pollution, Atmos. Res., 215, 305– 316, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.09.014,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.09.014) 2019.
- Xie, M., Zhu, K., Wang, T., Chen, P., Han, Y., Li, S., Zhuang, B., and Shu, L.: Temporal characterization and regional contribution to O_3 and NO_x at an urban and a suburban site in Nanjing, China, Sci. Total Environ., 551–552, 533–545, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.047,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.047) 2016.
- Xu, J., Lindqvist, H., Liu, Q., Wang, K., and Wang, L.: Estimating the spatial and temporal variability of the groundlevel $NO₂$ concentration in China during 2005–2019 based on satellite remote sensing, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 12, 57–67, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.apr.2020.10.008,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2020.10.008) 2021.

- Xu, T., Zhang, C., Xue, J., Hu, Q., Xing, C., and Liu, C.: Estimating Hourly Nitrogen Oxide Emissions over East Asia from Geostationary Satellite Measurements, Environ. Sci. Tech. Let., 57, 5349–5357, https://doi.org[/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00467,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00467) 2023.
- Xue, T., Tong, M., Wang, M., Yang, X., Wang, Y., Lin, H., Liu, H., Li, J., Huang, C., Meng, X., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Gong, J., Zhang, S., and Zhu, T.: Health Impacts of Long-Term $NO₂$ Exposure and Inequalities among the Chinese Population from 2013 to 2020, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 5349–5357, https://doi.org[/10.1021/acs.est.2c08022,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c08022) 2023.
- Yang, L. H., Jacob, D. J., Colombi, N. K., Zhai, S., Bates, K. H., Shah, V., Beaudry, E., Yantosca, R. M., Lin, H., Brewer, J. F., Chong, H., Travis, K. R., Crawford, J. H., Lamsal, L. N., Koo, J.- H., and Kim, J.: Tropospheric NO₂ vertical profiles over South Korea and their relation to oxidant chemistry: implications for geostationary satellite retrievals and the observation of $NO₂$ diurnal variation from space, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 2465–2481, https://doi.org[/10.5194/acp-23-2465-2023,](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-2465-2023) 2023.
- Yin, J., Gao, C. Y., Hong, J., Gao, Z., Li, Y., Li, X., Fan, S., and Zhu, B.: Surface Meteorological Conditions and Boundary Layer Height Variations During an Air Pollution Episode in Nanjing, China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 3350–3364, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2018JD029848,](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029848) 2019.
- Yu, S., Yin, S., Zhang, R., Wang, L., Su, F., Zhang, Y., and Yang, J.: Spatiotemporal characterization and regional contributions of O_3 and NO_2 : An investigation of two years of monitoring data in Henan, China, J. Environ. Sci.-China, 90, 29–40, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.jes.2019.10.012,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2019.10.012) 2020.
- Yuval, Levi, Y., Dayan, U., Levy, I., and Broday, D. M.: On the association between characteristics of the atmospheric boundary layer and air pollution concentrations, Atmos. Res., 231, 104675, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.104675,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.104675) 2020.
- Zhang, J., and Rao, S. T.: The Role of Vertical Mixing in the Temporal Evolution of Ground-Level Ozone Concentrations, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 38, 1674–1691, 1999.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Chen, G., Smeltzer, C., Crawford, J., Olson, J., Szykman, J., Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., Montzka, D. D., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Fried, A., and Diskin, G.: Large vertical gradient of reactive nitrogen oxides in the boundary layer: Modeling analysis of DISCOVER-AQ 2011 observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 121, 1922–1934, 2016.
- Zhang, Y., Lin, J., Kim, J., Lee, H., Park, J., Hong, H., Van Roozendael, M., Hendrick, F., Wang, T., Wang, P., He, Q., Qin, K., Choi, Y., Kanaya, Y., Xu, J., Xie, P., Tian, X., Zhang, S., Wang, S., Cheng, S., Cheng, X., Ma, J., Wagner, T., Spurr, R., Chen, L., Kong, H., and Liu, M.: A research product for tropospheric $NO₂$ columns from Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer based on Peking University OMI NO₂ algorithm, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 16, 4643–4665, https://doi.org[/10.5194/amt-](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-4643-2023)[16-4643-2023,](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-4643-2023) 2023.
- Zhao, S., Yu, Y., Yin, D., He, J., Liu, N., Qu, J., and Xiao, J.: Annual and diurnal variations of gaseous and particulate pollutants in 31 provincial capital cities based on in situ air quality monitoring data from China National Environmental Monitoring Center, Environ. Int., 86, 92–106, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envint.2015.11.003,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.11.003) 2016.
- Zhao, Z., Lu, Y., Zhan, Y., Cheng, Y., Yang, F., Brook, J. R., and He, K.: Long-term spatiotemporal variations in surface NO2 for Beijing reconstructed from surface data and satellite retrievals, Sci. Total Environ., 904, 166693, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166693,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166693) 2023.