



Supplement of

Markedly different impacts of primary emissions and secondary aerosol formation on aerosol mixing states revealed by simultaneous measurements of CCNC, H(/V)TDMA, and SP2

Jiangchuan Tao et al.

Correspondence to: Li Liu (liul@gd121.cn) and Ye Kuang (kuangye@jnu.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

1 Table S1. The relationship among MAF, NF_H, NF_V and NF_{noBC} at different particle size quantified by 2 the correlation coefficient (r), the normalized mean bias (NMB) and the regression parameters 3 including the slope and the intercept. MAF at SSs of 0.08%, 0.14% and 0.22% were used in 4 comparison for particle size of 200 nm, 150 nm and 100 nm, respectively. 1-NF_{CBC} rather than NF_{CBC} 5 is used, as NF_{CBC} is mainly distributed the range from 0 to 0.2.

Combination	Dp	r	NMB(%)	Slope	Intercept
NFv-NF _H	50nm	0.438	32.5	0.168	0.772
	100nm	0.484	19.3	0.250	0.670
	150nm	0.482	19.8	0.257	0.647
	200nm	0.657	20.5	0.357	0.567
MAF-NF _H	100nm	0.553	11.4	0.276	0.620
	150nm	0.446	13.3	0.270	0.610
	200nm	0.675	2.25	0.726	0.204
MAF-NFv	100nm	0.529	-4.9	0.530	0.362
	150nm	0.636	-3.0	0.558	0.334
	200nm	0.629	-9.9	0.914	-0.01
NFnoBC-MAF	200nm	0.504	9.25	0.307	0.564
NF _{noBC} -NF _H	200nm	0.564	19.0	0.307	0.591
NFnoBC-NFV	200nm	0.658	-1.9	0.671	0.248
	250nm	0.597	-3.8	0.462	0.405
	300nm	0.706	-2.1	0.525	0.347
	350nm/335nm	0.346	3.21	0.220	0.604



8 Fig. S1. The mass spectra of OA factors.



12 Fig. S2. The correlations between OA factors and external species.



16 Fig. S3. Comparison between aerosol volume concentration derived from measurements of PNSD and

17 aerosol chemical compositions.



20 Fig. S4. Schematic of the parameterization scheme of SPAR curves. The black solid curve and the

21 black crossing are the measured SPAR and fitted SPAR with the parameterization scheme. The red,

22 green and blue dashed lines indicate the fitting parameters of Maximum Activation Fraction (MAF),

23 the midpoint activation diameter (Da) and s, respectively.



25

Fig. S5. (a-1) Diurnal variations of aerosol mixing state parameters (identified by color and marker) at different particle sizes (50, 150, 200 and 300 nm) during the three periods. The shaded areas indicate the standard deviations. (m-o) Diurnal variations of mass fractions of aerosol chemical compositions (identified by color and marker) during the three periods.

30





Fig. S6. (i, k, m and o): Average size-dependence of hygroscopic particles (NF_H, blue), volatile particles (NF_V, orange), CCN (MAF, green), BC free particles(NF_{noBC}, red) and ratio of thinly coated BC in total BC particles (R_{exBC} , black) during the 0-6, 6-12, 12-18 and 18-24 hours in the heavily polluted period. (j, l, n and p): Corresponding mass fraction of aerosol chemical compositions (identified by colors) during the three periods during the 0-6, 6-12, 12-18 and 18-24 hours in the heavily polluted period.



Fig. S7. (a-e) The correlation coefficient (R) between aerosol mixing state parameters and MF of
primary aerosol chemical composition during different periods (f-k) The correlation coefficient (R)
between the difference among the four aerosol mixing state parameters and MF of primary aerosol
chemical composition during different periods. Moderately polluted period: Blue; Heavily polluted
period: Red; Clean period: Green; Whole campaign: Orange.



46 Fig. S8. The correlation between the difference among the four aerosol mixing state parameters and

- 47 MF of secondary aerosol chemical composition during different periods. Moderately polluted period:
- 48 Blue; Heavily polluted period: Red; Clean period: Green.



Fig. S9. (a-e) The correlation coefficient (R) between aerosol mixing state parameters during
different periods (f-k) The correlation coefficient (R) between the difference among the four aerosol
mixing state parameters and MF of secondary aerosol chemical composition during different periods.
Moderately polluted period: Blue; Heavily polluted period: Red; Clean period: Green; Whole
campaign: Orange.

57 S1. Calibration of SP2

In this study, Aquadag soot particles was used for calibrating the measured incandescence signal of the SP2 as reported by Gysel et al. (2011). Briefly, the soot mass is determined through the aerosol density as shown in Fig. S10 (a) and aerosol mobility diameter determined by the DMA. The relationships between measured incandescence signal heights and black carbon mass at different diameters were shown in Fig. S10 (b) and (c). The size of rBC refers to the mass equivalent diameter for DMA selected BC-containing particles is converted from the mass of rBC by assuming that the density of rBC is 1.8 g/cm3, which is the median ρ value recommended by Bond and Bergstrom (2006).







68 Also, multiple charge corrections were conducted for BC containing aerosols and BC free 69 aerosols using the method reported by Zhao et al. (2021).

71 Reference:

Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light Absorption by Carbonaceous Particles: An Investigative
Review, Aerosol Science and Technology, 40, 27–67, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820500421521,
2006.

- Gysel, M., Laborde, M., Olfert, J. S., Subramanian, R., and Gröhn, A. J.: Effective density of Aquadag
 and fullerene soot black carbon reference materials used for SP2 calibration, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4,
 2851–2858, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-2851-2011, 2011.
- Zhao, G., Tan, T., Zhu, Y., Hu, M., and Zhao, C.: Method to quantify black carbon aerosol light
 absorption enhancement with a mixing state index, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 18055–18063,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-18055-2021, 2021.