



Supplement of

No severe ozone depletion in the tropical stratosphere in recent decades

Jayanarayanan Kuttippurath et al.

Correspondence to: Jayanarayanan Kuttippurath (jayan@coral.iitkgp.ac.in)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

33 DATA

34 MERRA-2 and ERA-5 Reanalyses

35 MERRA-2 (Modern Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Analysis-2) is a reanalysis data
 36 developed at the Global Modelling and Assimilation office (GMAO). These data have 72 sigma pressure

37 vertical layers with a spatial resolution of $0.625^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ (Bosilovich et al., 2015) and are available from

- 38 1980 onwards. The reanalysis also assimilates data from Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer39 (IASI) and Cross Infrared Sunder and Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder on board Suomi NPP.
- 39 (IASI) and Cross Infrared Sunder and Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder on board Suomi NPP.40 An adaptive bias correction scheme is also applied to the radiance data while assimilating the datasets
- 41 in MERRA–2. A comprehensive discussion on this assimilation system is given in Gelaro et al. (2016).
- 42 We have also used the ECMWF Reanalysis 5th Generation (ERA-5) data, which has 137 vertical hybrid
- 43 sigma pressure levels, and extends from the surface to 0.01 hPa. We use the monthly gridded data at a
- 44 0.25° × 0.25° resolution for the period 1984–2022 (Hersbach et al., 2019). Here, the ozone mass mixing
- 45 ratio (MMR) in kg kg⁻¹ is converted to parts per million (ppm) by multiplying it with the dividend of molar
- 46 mass of dry air (28.9644) and molar mass of ozone (47.9982). However, from the middle to upper
- 47 stratosphere, these ozone profiles show a bias within $\pm 20\%$ compared to the observations, whereas,
- 48 the biases are even up to about 50% in the UTLS region (SPARC, 2017).

49

50 Table	e S1: The location of SHADOZ ozonesonde observations.
----------	--

Location	Abbreviation	Latitude in °	Longitude in °
Ascension, UK	Ascen	-7.56	-14.22
Suva, Fiji	Fiji	-18.10	178.40
Hilo, Hawaii	Hilo	19.40	-155.40
Irene, S. Africa	Irene	-25.90	28.20
Watukosek, Java, Indonesia	Java	-7.60	112.70
Kuala Lampur, Malaysia	Kuala	2.73	101.70
Nairobi, Kenya	Nairobi	-1.30	36.80
Natal, Brazil	Natal	-5.40	-35.40
Paramaribo, Surinam	Paramaribo	5.80	-55.21
La Reunion Is, France	Reunion	-21.10	55.50
Pago Pago, Am. Samoa	Samoa	-14.20	-170.60
San Cristobal, Galapagos	Sancrist	-0.92	-89.60

51

- 52
- 53

54

- 56
- 57

58 Table S2: The trends (ppm yr⁻¹) at different altitude levels from GOZCARDS, SWOOSH and Reanalysis

59 datasets (MERRA-2 and ERA-5) for the pre-1997 and post-1997 periods. Here, DJF is December-January-

60 February, MAM is March-April-May, JJA is June-July-August and SON is September-October-December.

61

	Altitude	GOZCARDS (1984-2021)	SWOOSH (1984-2022)	ERA-5 (1984-2022)	MERRA-2 (1984-2022)				
Pre-1997									
(1984–1997)									
DJF	100 hPa	0.0000	-0.0046	-0.0001	-0.0004				
	10 hPa	0.0023	-0.0616	0.0087	-0.0264				
	1 hPa	-0.0058	-0.0091	0.0156	-0.0001				
MAM	100 hPa	-0.0006	-0.0026	-0.0001	-0.0004				
	10 hPa	-0.0005	-0.0258	0.0055	-0.0236				
	1 hPa	-0.0067	-0.0125	0.0152	0.0000				
JJA	100 hPa	0.0012	-0.0019	-0.0005	-0.0013				
	10 hPa	-0.0106	-0.0718	0.0101	-0.0074				
	1 hPa	0.0017	-0.0089	0.0155	-0.0001				
SON	100 hPa	-0.0005	0.0037	0.0001	-0.0008				
	10 hPa	0.0025	-0.011	0.0088	-0.0161				
	1 hPa	-0.0068	-0.0088	0.0143	-0.0001				
	Post-1997								
	(199	98–2022 and 1998-	-2021 for GOZCAR	DS)					
DJF	100 hPa	-0.0008	0.0003	-0.0006	-0.0010				
	10 hPa	0.0029	0.0032	0.0006	-0.0137				
	1 hPa	-0.0011	-0.0026	-0.0020	0.0041				
MAM	100 hPa	-0.0003	0.0003	-0.0005	-0.0008				
	10 hPa	0.014	0.0144	0.0024	-0.0126				
	1 hPa	-0.0001	-0.0006	0.007	0.0046				
JJA	100 hPa	0.0007	0.0003	-0.0009	-0.0012				
	10 hPa	-0.0049	-0.0024	-0.0060	-0.0160				
	1 hPa	0.0033	-0.0004	0.0011	0.0045				
SON	100 hPa	0.0000	0.0003	-0.0012	-0.0013				
	10 hPa	-0.0021	-0.0095	-0.0031	-0.0174				
	1 hPa	0.0012	0.0003	0.0008	0.0049				

62



Figure S1: Trends in mixing ratio of ozone estimated for each season using the GOZCARDS data for the
periods 1984–1997 and 1998–2021. The stippled regions are statistically significant at the 95% Cl. Here,
DJF is December-January-February, MAM is March-April-May, JJA is June-July-August and SON is
September-October-December.

- -

- /0



81 Figure S2: The difference in ozone estimated between GOZCARDS and SWOOSH ozone datasets for82 each month since 1985.

- **e** -



Figure S3: Trends in mixing ratio of ozone estimated for each season from MERRA–2 data for the periods

102 1984–1996 and 1997–2022. The stippled regions are statistically significant at the 95% CI. Here, DJF is
 103 December-January-February, MAM is March-April-May, JJA is June-July-August and SON is September-

- 104 October-December.



Figure S4: Trends in TCO estimated using the seasonally averaged data from MERRA–2 for the periods
 1984–1997 and 1998–2022. The stippled regions are statistically significant at the 95% CI. Here, DJF is
 December-January-February, MAM is March-April-May, JJA is June-July-August and SON is September-

114 October-December.

115

116

117 We have examined the MERRA-2 reanalysis (Table S2 and Fig. S3) to find the trends in ozone. The trends 118 are statistically nonsignificant in both periods, except in the topmost altitudes in the upper stratosphere 119 (Table S2). The trends estimated are nonsignificant at most altitudes and seasons during the 1984–1997 120 period. However, the trends are significant in the upper stratosphere in SON, but the values are very 121 small, within 0.01 ppmv yr⁻¹. The trends in the post-1997 period are positive and significant in the lower 122 and upper stratosphere, about 0.01 ppmv yr⁻¹, but those in the middle stratosphere are negative and nonsignificant. The TCO trends (Fig. S4) also show insignificant negative trends in most regions and 123 124 seasons, except around the equatorial region, in MAM during 1984–1997. The trend estimated for the 125 next two decades are either positive (0.25 ± 0.50 DU yr⁻¹; Fig. S4) or neutral, but significant only at 15°-126 30° S at MAM.



Figure S5: Trends in mixing ratio of ozone estimated for each season from ERA-5 data for the periods

130 1984–1996 and 1997–2022. The stippled regions are statistically significant at the 95% CI. Here, DJF is
131 December-January-February, MAM is March-April-May, JJA is June-July-August and SON is September-

- 132 October-December.

- -



Figure S6: Trends in TCO estimated using the seasonally averaged data from ERA-5 for the periods 1984–
1997 and 1998–2022. The hatched regions are statistically significant at the 95% CI. Here, DJF is
December-January-February, MAM is March-April-May, JJA is June-July-August and SON is SeptemberOctober-December.

150 The analyses with ERA-5 also yield similar trend estimates as for the MERRA-2 data in both periods151 (Table S2 and Fig. S5). The trends estimated with the TCO data for the 1984–1997 period show similar

values. Conversely, the trends in the post-1998 period show consistently higher values of about 0.5 DU

153 yr⁻¹ and are statistically significant at most latitudes (Fig. S6).



Figure S7: Trends in the annually averaged ozone estimated using the Multiple Linear Regression
 (MLR) model with GOZCARDS and SWOOSH data for the periods 1984–1997 and 1998–2022. The

161 stippled regions are statistically significant at the 95% Cl.



Figure S8: Trends in mixing ratio of ozone estimated using a multiple linear regression model for each
season using the GOZCARDS data for the periods 1984–1997 and 1998–2021. The stippled regions are
statistically significant at the 95% CI. Here, DJF is December-January-February, MAM is March-AprilMay, JJA is June-July-August and SON is September-October-December.

- . - -



Figure S9: Trends in mixing ratio of ozone estimated for each season using the SWOOSH data by applying
a multiple linear regression model for the periods 1984–1997 and 1998–2022. The stippled regions are
statistically significant at the 95% CI.





Figure S10: The latitudinal distribution of vertical trends in ozone estimated using the SHADOZ
 observations for the period 1998–2022. The average of ozonesonde profiles in different periods is
 shown on the right. The stippled regions are statistically significant at the 95% CI.



Figure S11: Yearly averaged ozone values in the TOST, GOZCARDS and SWOOSH data (top three panels), and the difference in ozone values found between TOST and GOZCARDS and TOST and SWOOSH (bottom two panels) data sets.



240 JK/V06/22042024/1746